HRA का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

साप्ताहिक

WEEKLY

सं. 27] No. 27] नई दिल्ली, जून 26 - जुलाई 2, 2005 शनिवार/आषाढ़ 5 - आषाढ़ 11, 1927

NEW DELHI, JUNE 26—JULY 2, 2005 SATURDAY/ASADHA 5—ASADHA 11, 1927

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के क्रप में रखा जा सके Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

> भाग II—खण्ड ३—इप-खण्ड (li) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (li)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षों मंत्रीलय की छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सीविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (Other than the Ministry of Defence)

विधि और न्याय मंत्रालय

(विधि कार्यं विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2286. — केन्द्रीय सरकार, दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का 2) की धारा 25 की उपधारा (1क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए,—

- (i) श्री आर. के. पाठक, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (ii) श्री जे. आर. मोरे, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (iii) कु. नीति पंडे, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (iv) श्री राजेश देशाई, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (v) श्री अजीत एस. ईनामदार, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (vi) श्री एन. नटराजन, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (vii) श्री विमल गुप्ता, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,

- (viii) श्री गिरीश आरं. अग्रवाल, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई,
- (ix) श्री दीषक ठाकुर, अधिवक्ता, मुम्बई

को बृहत्तर मुंब्बंई में महानगर मिंगस्ट्रेट न्यायालयों और विशेष न्यायालयों में भारत संघ या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग या कार्यालय या अपनी सरकारी हैसियत से कार्य करने वाले केन्द्रीय सरकार के विभाग के किसी अधिकारी ह्यारा या उसके विरुद्ध सभी दांडिक मामलों का संचालन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए, इस शर्त के अध्यधीन कि ऊपर उल्लिखित अधिवकता केन्द्रीय सरकार या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी अधिकारी या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग के विरुद्ध किसी दांडिक मामले में बृहत्तर मुम्बई में किसी महानगर न्यायालय और किसी विशेष न्यायालय में उपसंजात नहीं होंगे, तुरन्त प्रभाव से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश तक, इनमें से जो भी पहले हीं, सहायक लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा.सं. 23(2ए)/2005-न्या०]

डी.आर. मीणा, संयुक्त सचिव और विधि सलाहकार

(6647)

MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2286.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1A) of Section 25 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints,—

- (i) Shri R.K. Pathak, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (ii) Shri J.R. More, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (iii) Ms. Nitee Punde, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (iv) Shri Rajesh Desai, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (v) Shri Ajit S. Inamdar, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (vi) Shri N. Natarajan, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (vii) Shri Vimal Gupta, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (viii) Shri Girish R. Agrawal, Advocate, Mumbai,
- (ix) Shri Deepak Thakur, Advocate, Mumbai

as Assistant Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting all criminal cases by or against the Union of India or any Department or Office of the Central Government or any officer of the Central Government Department acting in his official capacity in the Metropolitan Magistrate Courts and Special Courts in Greater Mumbai with immediate effect for a period of three years or until further orders, whichever is earlier, subject to the condition that the above mentioned advocates shall not appear in any criminal case in any Metropolitan Magistrate Court and Special Court in Greater Mumbai against the Central Government or any officer of the Central Government or against any Department of the Central Government.

[F. No. 23(2A)/2005-Judl.]

D.R. MEENA, Jt. Secy. and Legal Adviser

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 16 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2287.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए निम्नलिखित अधिवक्ताओं को विचारण न्यायालयों में निदेशक, केंद्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो द्वारा उन्हें सोंपे गए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना (के.अ.ब्यूरो) द्वारा गोवा राज्य में संस्थित मामलों के अभियोजन तथा विधि द्वारा स्थापित पुनरीक्षण अथवा अपील न्यायालयों में इन मामलों से उद्भूत अपीलों/पुनरीक्षणों अथवा अन्य विषयों का संचालन करने के लिए विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है:—

- 1. श्री संतना बी. फरिया
- 2. श्री अविनाश पी. लवांडे

[सं. 225/23/2004-ए.वी.डी.-II] चन्द्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi, the 16th June, 2005

S.O. 2287.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints the following Advocates as Special Public Prosecutors for conducting the prosecution of cases instituted by the Delhi Special Police Establishment (CBI) in the State of Goa as entrusted to them by the Director, Central Bureau of Investigation in the trial Courts and appeals/revisions or other matter arising out of these cases in revisional or appellate Courts established by law:—

- (i) Shri Santana B. Faria
- (ii) Shri Avinash P. Lavande

[No. 225/23/2004-AVD-II] CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 **जून**, 2005

का.आ. 2288. — केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरों के निम्नलिखित अधिवक्ताओं को विचारण न्यायालयों में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा संस्थित मामलों के अभियोजन तथा किसी ऐसे राज्य अथवा संघ राज्य क्षेत्र जिस पर पूर्वोक्त धारा के उपबंध लागू होते हैं, में विधि द्वारा स्थापित पुनरीक्षण अथवा अपील न्यायालयों में इन मामलों से उद्भूत अपीलों/पुनरीक्षणों अथवा अन्य विषयों का संचालन करने के लिए विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है:—

- 1. श्री विवेक सक्सेना
- 2. श्री धन किशोर
- 3. श्री पी. वी. रामकृष्ण राव
- 4. श्री अमृतपाल सिंह
- 5. श्री राजमोहन चंद
- 6. श्री आई. के. चंद्रा
- 7. श्री बी. एस. चौधरी
- 8. श्री कमलकांत गोयल
- 9. श्री राम लाल नेगी
- 10. श्री पवन कुमार डोगरा
- 11. श्री सुरेंद्र कुमार कांतिवाल
- 12. श्री मनोज कुमार टी.पी.
- 13. श्री बाल करण सिंह
- 14. श्री मनोज चालदान
- 15. श्री एम. एच. कबाडी
- 16. श्री पी. अरुण
- 17. श्री के. सूर्यनारायण

[सं. 225/1/2005-ए.वी.डी.-II(i)] चन्द्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th June, 2005

- S.O. 2288.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints the following prosecuting Officers of the Central Bureau of Investigation as Special Public Prosecutor for conducing cases instituted by the Delhi Special Police Establishment in trial courts and appeals/revisions or other matters arising out of these cases in revisional or appellate courts, established by law in any State or Union Territory to which provisions of the aforesaid section apply:—
 - 1. Shri Vivek Saxena
 - 2. Shri Dhan Kishore
 - 3. Shri P.V. Ramakrishna Rao
 - 4. Shri Amrit Pal Singh
 - 5. Shri Raj Mohan Chand
 - 6. Shri I.K. Chandra
 - 7. Shri B.S. Choudhary
 - 8. Shri Kamal Kant Goel
 - 9. Shri Ram Lal Negi
 - 10. Shri Pawan Kumar Dogra
 - 11. Shri Surender Kumar Kantiwal
 - 12. Shri Manoj Kumar T.P.
 - 13. Shri Bal Karan Singh
 - 14. Shri Manoj Chaldan
 - 15. Shri M.H. Kabadi
 - 16. Shri P. Arun
 - 17. Shri K. Suryanarayana

[No. 225/1/2005-AVD. II(i)] CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2289.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 25 की उपधारा (1-ए) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरों के निम्निलिखित अभियोजन अधिकारियों को किसी राज्य अथवा संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा सक्षम अधिकारिता वाले न्यायालयों में संस्थित मामलों के अभियोजन का संचालन करने के लिए सहायक लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है:—

- 1. श्री संजय कुमार
- 2. श्री रमन कुमार
- 3. श्री विनय कुमार
- 4. श्री अनिल कुमार तंवर

- 5. श्री दलजीत सिंह चावला
- 6. श्रीमती गीता सिंह
- 7. श्री प्रवीण श्रीवास्तव
- 8. श्री मुकेश कुमार मरोरिया

[सं. 225/1/2005-ए.वी.डी.-II(ii)]

चन्द्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th June, 2005

- S.O. 2289.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1-A) of Section 25 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints the following prosecuting Officers of the Central Bureau of Investigation as Assistant Public Prosecutor for conducing cases instituted by the Delhi Special Police Establishment in the courts of competent jurisdiction in any State or Union Territory:—
 - 1. Shri Sanjay Kumar
 - 2. Shri Raman Kumar
 - 3. Shri Vinay Kumar
 - 4. Shri Anil Kumar Tanwar
 - 5. Shri Daljit Singh Chawla
 - 6. Smt. Geeta Singh
 - 7. Shri Praveen Srivastav
 - 8. Shri Mukesh Kumar Maroria

[No. 225/1/2005-AVD. II(ii)]

CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2290.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. एच.डी. 57 पी.सी.आर. 2005 दिनांक 14-3-2005 द्वारा प्राप्त कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की सहमति से सर्वश्री रमेश जेली, पूर्व मुख्य प्रबंध निदेशक, तत्कालीन ग्लोबल ट्रस्ट बैंक, श्रीधर सुबाश्री, पूर्व कार्यकारी निदेशक, तत्कालीन ग्लोबल ट्रस्ट बैंक, वी. जनार्दन, पूर्व उपाध्यक्ष, तत्कालीन ग्लोबल ट्रस्ट बैंक, विवेक नागपाल, पूर्व निदेशक, मैसर्स शोंख टेक्नॉलाजिज इंटरनेशनल लि., केतन वी. पारिख, निदेशक, मैसर्स गोल्डिफिश कंप्यूटर्स प्रा. लि. और निदेशक, मैसर्स पेंथर फिनकैप एंड मैनेजमैंट सर्विसिज लि., सी.वी.आर. राव, निदेशक, मैसर्स शोंख टेक्नालाजिज इंटरनेशनल लि. और प्रशांत कुमार, निदेशक, मैसर्स शोंख टेक्नालाजिज इंटरनेशनल लि. तथा किन्हीं अन्य लोक सेवकों अथवा व्यक्तियों के विरुद्ध वर्ष 2001-2002 की अवधि के दौरान साख-सुविधाएं प्राप्त करने के मामले में तत्कालीन ग्लोबल ट्रस्ट बैंक को 38.49 करोड़ रु. का धोखा देने के कारण भारतीय दंड संहिता, 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम सं. 45) की धारा 120-बी सपिठत धारा 409 और 420 के अधीन दंडनीय अपराधों तथा तत्संबंधी सारभूत अपराधों और उक्त अपराधों से संबंधित अथवा संसक्त उसी संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किए गए अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत किन्हीं अन्य अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शिक्तयों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार सम्पूर्ण कर्नाटक राज्य पर करती है।

[सं॰ 228/30/2005-ए.वी.डी.-II]

चन्द्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd June, 2005

S.O. 2290.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of State Government of Karnataka, vide Notification No.HD 57 PCR 2005 dated 14-03-2005 hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Karnataka for the investigation of offences punishable under Section 120B read with 409 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act. No. 45 of 1860) and substantive offences thereof, against S/Shri Ramesh Gelli, Former CMD, erstwhile Global Trust Bank, Sridhar Subasri, Former ED, erstwhile Global Trust Bank, V. Janardhanan, Formerly Vice President, erstwhile Global Trust Bank, Vivek Nagpal, Former Director of M/s. Shonkh Technologies International Ltd., Ketan V. Parekh, Director of M/s. Goldfish Computers Pvt. Ltd., and Director of M/s. Panther Fincap and Management Services Ltd., C.V.R. Rao, Director of M/s. Shonkh Technologies International Limited and Prasanth Kumar, Director of M/s. Shonkh Technologies Internationl Limited for defrauding erstwhile Global Trust Bank to the tune of Rs. 38.49 crores in the matter of availing credit facilities during the period 2001-2002 and any other public servants or persons in relation to or in connection with the said offences, any other offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[No. 228/30/2005-AVD -II] CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 17 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2291. — केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप-नियम (4) के अनुसरण में, राजस्व विभाग के अधीन केन्द्रीय उत्पाद एखं सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय को, जिनके 80 प्रतिशत कर्मचारीवृंद ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, अधिसूचित करती है:—

लाजिस्टिक निदेशालय, सीमा तथा केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क, चौथी मंजिल, लोकनायक भवन, खान मार्किट, नई दिल्ली-110803

[फा. सं. 11013(01)/2005-हिन्दी-2]

मधु शर्मा, निदेशक (रा.भा.)

MINISTRY OF FINANCE (Department of Revenue)

New Delhi, the 17th June, 2005

S.O. 2291.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of rule 10 of the Official Language (Use for Official Purposes of the Union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following Office under the Board of Central Excise & Customs, Department of Revenue the 80% Staff whereof have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi:—

Directorate of Logistics Custom and Central Excise 4th Floor, Lok Nayak Bhavan New Delhi-110003

[F. No. 11013 (01)/2005-Hindi-2] MADHU SHARMA, Director (OL)

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2292.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड ३ के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी फंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् एतदद्वारा श्री सी०पी० स्वर्णकार (जन्मतिथि: 01-05-1948), जो इस समय पंजाब नेशनल बैंक में महाप्रबंधक हैं, को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 30-04-2008 तक अर्धात् जिस तारीख को वह अधिवर्षिता की आयु प्राप्त करेंगे, उसके अंतिम दिन तक की अविध के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यपालक निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/12/2005-बीओ-I]

जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs) (Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2292.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3, Sub-clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri C.P. Swarankar (DOB: 01-05-1948) presently General Manager, Punjab National Bank, as a whole time Director (designated as the Executive Director) of Punjab National Bank with effect from the date of his taking charge of the post and upto 30-04-2008, i.e. the date of his superannuation or until futher orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/12/2005-BO-I]

G. B. SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2293.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8, उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् एतदद्वारा श्री पी. एल. गैरोखा (जुन विधि: 15-12-1948), जो इस समय यूनियन बैंक आफ इंडिया में महाप्रबंधक हैं, को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-12-2008 तक अर्थात् जिस तारीख को वह अधिवर्षिता की आयु प्राप्त करेंगे, उसके अंतिम दिन तक की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यप्राप्त निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/13/2005-बीओ-]]

जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2293.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with Sub-clause (1) of clause 3, Sub-clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri P. L. Gairola (DOB: 15-12-1948) presently General Manager, Union Bank of India, as a whole time Director (designated as the Executive Director) of Union Bank of India with effect from the date of his taking charge of the post and up to 31-12-2008, i.e. the date of his superannuation or until futher orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/13/2005-BO-I]

G. B. SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2294.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी(उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात एतदद्वारा श्री ए० सी० महाजन (जन्म तिथि: 05-07-1950), जो इस समय बैंक आफ इंडिया में महाप्रबंधक हैं, को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से पांच वर्ष तक की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, बैंक आफ बड़ौदा के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यपालक निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/11/2005-बीओ-I]

जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2294.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3, sub clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri A. C. Mahajan (DOB:05-07-1950) presently General Manager, Bank of India, as a whole time Director (designated as the Executive Director) of Bank of Baroda for a period of five years from the date of his taking charge of the post on or until further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/11/2005-BO-1]

G. B. SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2295.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पिठत बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिकतयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् एतद्द्वारा सुश्री सुनंदा लहरी (जन्म तिथि: 07-08-1947), जो इस समय यूको बैंक में महाप्रबंधक हैं, को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-08-2007 तक अर्थात् जिस तारीख को वह अधिवर्षिता की आयु प्राप्त करेंगी, उसके अंतिम दिन तक की अविध के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, युनाइटेड बैंक आफ इंडिया के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यपालक निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/14/2005-बीओ-I] जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2295.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3, sub clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Ms Sunanda Lahiri (DOB: 07-08-1947) presently General Manager, UCO Bank, as a whole time Director (designated as the Executive Director) of United Bank of India with effect from the date of her taking charge of the post and up to 31-08-2007 i.e. the date of her superannuation or until further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/14/2005-BO-1] 'G. B. SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जुन, 2005

का. आ. 2296 .—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के परचात् एतद्द्वारा श्री यू.एस. कोहली (जन्म तिथि: 18-08-1947), जो इस समय पंजाब एंड सिंध बैंक में महाप्रबंधक हैं, को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-08-2007 तक अर्थात् जिस तारीख को वह अधिवर्षिता की आयु प्राप्त करेंगे, उसके अंतिम दिन तक की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, देना बैंक के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यपालक निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/18/2005-बीओ-I] जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2296.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3, sub clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri U.S. Kohli (DOB: 18-08-1947) presently General Manager, Punjab & Sind Bank, as a whole time Director (designated as the Executive Director) of Dena Bank with effect from the date of his taking charge of the post and up to 31-08-2007 i.e. the date of his superannuation or until further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/18/2005-BO-I] G. B. SINGH, Under Secy. नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2297.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् एतदद्वारा श्री एम.डी. माल्या (जन्म तिथि: 09-11-1952),जो इस समय कारपोरे शन बैंक में महा प्रबंधक हैं, को 1-07-2005 को या उसके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से पांच वर्ष की अविध के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, ओरियंटल बैंक आफ कामर्स के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यपालक निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/10/2005-बीओ-I]

जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2297.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980 read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3, sub-clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri M.D. Mallya (DOB: 09-11-1952) presently General Manager, Corporation Bank, as a whole time Director (designated as the Executive Director) of Oriental Bank of Commerce for a period of five years with effect from the date of his taking charge on or after 01-07-2005 or until further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/10/2005-BO-I] G. B. SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2298 .— राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970/1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) और खण्ड 8 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पिठत बैंककारी कंपनी(उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970/1980 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् एतदद्वारा श्री के. एल. गोपालकृष्ण (जन्म तिथि: 10-12-1947), जो इस समय यूनियन बैंक आफ इंडिया में महाप्रबंधक हैं, को उनके कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से 31-12-2007 अर्थात् जिस तारीख को वह अधिवर्षिता की आयु प्राप्त करेंगे, उसके अंतिम दिन तक की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, जो भी पहले हो, कोरपोरेशन बैंक के पूर्णकालिक निदेशक (कार्यपालक निदेशक के रूप में पदनामित) के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 9/16/2005-बीओ-[] जी.बी. सिंह, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th June, 2005

S.O. 2298.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970/1980, read with sub-clause (1) of clause 3, sub clause (1) of clause 8 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970/1980, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri K.L. Gopalakrishna (DOB: 10-12-1947) presently General Manager, Union Bank of India, as a whole time director (designated as the Executive Director) of Corporation Bank with effect from the date of his taking charge of the post and upto 31-12-2007 i.e. the date of his superannuation or until further orders whichever is earlier.

[F. No. 9/16/2005-BO-1] G. B. SINGH, Under Secv.

रसायन एवं उर्वरक मंत्रालय

(उर्वरक विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 2005

का. 31. 2299. — केन्द्रीय सरकार राजभाषा ''संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग'' नियम 1976 के नियम 10 के उप-नियम (4) के अनुसरण में रसायन एवं उर्वरक मंत्रालय, उर्वरक विभाग के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रण में आने वाले निम्नलिखित कार्यालय, जिनके 80 प्रतिशत से अधिक अर्थात् शत-प्रतिशत कर्मचारियों ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को अधिस्चित करती है:

- नेशनल फर्टिलाइजर्स लिमिटेड, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र
- 2. नेशनल फर्टिलाइजर्स लिमिटेड, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, औरंगाबाद, महाराष्ट
- 3. नेशनल फर्टिलाइजर्स लिमिटेड, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, जलगांव, महाराष्ट्र

[सं. ई-11011/1/2001-हिन्दी]

स्वतंत्र के. सेखों, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (Department of Fertilizers)

New Delhi, the 14th June, 2005

S.O. 2299.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language "Use for official purpose of the Union" Rule 1976 the Central Govt. hereby notifies the following offices, under the Administrative Control of the Ministry of Chemicals & Fertilizers, Department of Fertilizers, more than 80% i.e. 100% Staff whereof have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi:—

- National Fertilizers Limited, Regional Office, Nagpur, Maharashtra.
- 2. National Fertilizers Limited, Regional Office, Aurangabad, Maharashtra.

3. National Fertilizers Limited, Regional Office, Jalgaon, Maharashtra.

[No. E.-11011/1/2001-HINDI] SWATANTRA K. SEKHON, Jt. Secy.

पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 15 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2300.—राजभाषा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम 1976 के नियम 10 के उप-नियम (4) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा मंत्रालय के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन भारतीय वन सर्वेक्षण (पूर्वी अंचल), कोलकाता, जिसके 80 प्रतिशत से अधिक कर्मचारिवृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को अधिसूचित करती है।

[सं. ई-11011/2/2003-रा.भा. (का.)]

जय नारायण, निदेशक (रा. भा.)

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS

New Delhi, the 15th June, 2005

S.O. 2300.—In pursuance of sub-rule (4) of Rule, 10 of the Official Languages (Use for official purpose of the Union) Rule 1976, the Central Government hereby notifies the Forest Survey of India (East Region), Calcutta under the administrative control of the Ministry of Environment & Forests, the 80% staff whereof have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi.

[No. E-11011/2/2003-O.L.(I)] JAI NARAIN, Director (O.L)

नागर विमानन मंत्रालय

(एएआई अनुभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2301.—भारतीय विमानपत्तन प्राधिकरण अधिनियम 1994 (1994 की संख्या-55) के खंड 3 तथा खंड 41 [का.आ. 718(ई)] द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 8-6-2005 की इसी संख्या की अधिसूचना का अधिक्रमण करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार एतद्वारा 8 जून, 2005 (पूर्वाहन) सै श्री पी. एस. नायर का त्याग पत्र सदस्य (कार्मिक एवं प्रशासन) के पद से तथा भारतीय विमानपत्तन प्राधिकरण की सेवाओं से स्वीकार करती है तथा उन्हें दिनांक 8-6-2005 (पूर्वाहन से) भारतीय विमानपत्तन प्राधिकरण की सेवाओं से स्वीच्छक सेवानिवृत्ति की अनुमित भी देती है।

[सं. एवी.-24011/006/2003-एएआई]

एल. हौकिप, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION

(AAI Section)

New Delhi, the 10th June, 2005

S.O. 2301.—In excerise of the powers conferred by Section 3 and Section 41 [S.O. 718(E)] of the Airports Authority of India Act, 1994 (No. 55 of 1994), and in

supersession to the Ministry's Notification of even No. dated 8-6-2005, the Central Government hereby accepts the resignation of Shri P.S. Nair, from the post of Member (Personnel & Administration) w.e.f. the forenoon of 8th June 2005. Shri Nair has also been permitted to voluntarily retire from the services of Airports Authority of India w.e.f. 8th June, 2005 (Forenoon).

[No.AV-24011/006/2003-AAI]

L. HAOKIP, Under Secy.

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2302.—इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 5 फरवरी, 2005 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के अनुक्रम में तथा चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियम, 1983 के नियम 7 व 8 के साथ पठित चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की धारा 5 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को तत्काल प्रभाव से दो वर्ष की अविध के लिए अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, जो भी पहले हो, केंद्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के चेन्नई सलाहकार पैनल के सदस्यों के रूप में नियुक्त करती है:—

- 1. श्रीमती लक्ष्मी राजाराम
- 2. श्रीमती रानी वेंकटेशन
- 3. श्रीमती एस धीविजा कुमारी
- 4. श्री सी. बालासुंदरम्
- 5. श्री ई. एकाम्बरम्
- 6. श्री टी. विजया **रवि वर्मा**
- 7. श्री पी. चंद्रशेखरन
- 8. श्री ए.जी. पुवाराघवन
- 9. श्री टी.एल. शिवालिंगम्
- 10. श्री के. सिल्वाराज पांडियन
- 11. श्री वी.के. अरिवीझागन
- 12. श्री मन्नई मथियालगन
- 13. श्री सुबा सोमू
- 14. श्री सी.आर. सुंदरराजन
- 15. श्री बी. सुदालैयांडी
- 16. डॉ के. गायत्री देवी
- 17. श्रीमती जी. सरस्वती नलादियार
- 18. श्रीमती मीना वेंकटरमण
- 19. श्री मरियप्पा बास्कर
- 20. श्री एस. मुनावर बाशा

- 21. श्री एम.सी. जबरूल्लाह
- 22. श्रीमती जयकला प्रभाकर
- 23. श्रीमती सुशीला पदमनाभन
- 24. श्री के. स्वामीनाथन
- 25. श्री के.पी. श्रीनिवास राजा
- 26. श्री ए.जे. ज्वाय
- 27. श्री इलांगो
- 28. श्री नेताजी गणेशन
- . 29. श्रीमती प्रेमा श्रीनिवासन
- 30. श्री इब्राहीम रावतार
- 31. श्री रवि भारती
- 32. श्री बालाकृष्णन
- 33. श्री ए. शक्तिवेल
- 34. श्रीमती रानी कृष्णन
- 35. श्रीमती बानु लॉरेंस
- 36. श्रीमती मीना सिल्वाराज
- 37. श्रीमती जयश्री
- 38. श्रीमती सरस्वती नलादियार
- 39. श्री जी.आर. वेंकटेश
- 40. श्री कठिपारा जनार्धनन
- 41 श्री एम.ए. मुथालगन
- 42. श्री एस. रामदास
- 43. श्री कक्कन कस्तूरीभाई
- 44. श्री ई.के. अरुणकुमार
- 45. प्रोफेसर डॉ. वी. नागराजन
- 46. श्रीमती थिरुप्पर सरोजा
- 47. श्री बालासुब्रमण्यम

[फा. सं. 809/4/2004-एफ (सी)]

पी.पी. नायर, डेस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2302.—In continuation of this Ministry's Notification of even number dated 5th February, 2005 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-secition (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) read with rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983 the Central Government is pleased to appoint

the following persons as members of the Chennai Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with immediate effect for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier:

- 1. Smt. Lakshmi Rajaram
- 2. Smt. Rani Venkatesan
- 3. Smt. S. Dhivija Kumari
- 4. Shri C. Balasundaram
- 5. Shri E. Ekambaram
- 6. Shri T. Vijaya Ravi Verma
- 7. Shri P. Chandrasekaran
- 8. Shri A.G. Poovaraghavan
- 9. Shri T.L. Sivalingam
- 10. Shri K. Selvaraj Pandian
- 11. Shri V.K. Arivizhagan
- 12. Shri Mannai Mathyalagan
- 13. Shri Suba Somu
- 14. Shri C.R. Sunder Rajan
- 15. Shri B. Sudalaiyandi
- 16. Dr. K. Ghayathri Devi
- 17. Smt. G. Saraswathy Naladiar
- 18. Smt. Meena Venkatraman
- 19. Shri Mariappa Basker
- 20. Shri S. Munavar Basha
- 21. Shri M.C. Zabarullah
- 22. Smt. Jayakala Prabhakar
- 23. Smt. Susheela Padmanabhan
- 24. Shri K. Swaminathan
- 25. Shri K.P. Srinivasa Raja
- 26. Shri A.J. Joy
- 27. Shri Ilango
- 28. Shri Nethaji Ganesan
- 29. Smt. Prema Srinivasan
- 30. Shri Ibrahim Rawthar
- 31. Shri Ravi Bharti
- 32. Shri Balakrishnan
- Shri A Sakthivel
- 34. Smt. Rani Krishnan
- 35. Smt. Banu Lawrence

- 36. Smt. Meena Selvaraj
- 37. Smt. Jaishree
- 38. Smt. Saraswati Naladiyar
- 39. Shri G. R. Venkatesh
- 40. Shri Kathipara Janardhanan
- 41. Shri M.A. Muthalagan
- 42. Shri S. Ramadass
- 43. Shri Kakkan Kasturibhai
- 44. Shri E.K. Arunakumar
- 45. Prof. Dr. V. Nagarajan
- 46. Smt. Thiruppur Saroja
- 47. Shri Balasubramanian

[F. No. 809/4/2004-F(C)]

P. P. NAIR, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2303.—इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 5 फरवरी, 2005, 18 फरवरी, 2005 और 10 मार्च, 2005 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचनाओं के अनुक्रम में तथा चलचित्र (प्रमाणन) नियम, 1983 के नियम 7 व 8 के साथ पठित चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 की 37) की धारा 5 की उप धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को तत्काल प्रभाव से दो वर्ष की अविध के लिए अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, जो भी पहले हो, केंद्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के हैदराबाद सलाहकार पैनल के सदस्यों के रूप में नियुक्त करती है:—

- 1. श्रीमती सलिका अनंता लक्ष्मी
- 2. श्री एस. वेणुगोपाल रेड्डी
- 3. श्रीमती ई. जया लक्ष्मी
- 4. श्री जल्ला प्रसाद यादव
- 5. श्री जी. चंद्रशेखर रेड्डी
- श्रीमती मदपाती सरलारानी
- 7. श्री श्रीनिवास राजू
- 8. श्री पी सुनील कुमार गौड़
- 9. श्री गोपी नायड्
- 10. श्री वीनूकोंडा नागराजू
- 11. श्रीमती पालुरी सेषुमांबा
- 12. श्रीमती वी. चूड़ामणि
- 13. श्री बी. नारसी रेड्डी

[फा. सं. 809/3/2004-एफ (सी.)]

पी.पी. नायर, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

- S.O. 2303.—In continuation of this Ministry's Notifications of even number dated 5th February, 2005, 18th February, 2005 and 10th March, 2005 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) read with rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983 the Central Government is pleased to appoint the following persons as members of the Hyderabad Advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with immediate effect for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier:
- 1. Smt. Salika Anantha Lakshmi
- 2. Shri S. Venu Gopal Reddy
- 3. Smt. E. Java Lakshmi
- 4. Shri Jalla Prasad Yadav
- 5. Shri G. Chandra Sekhar Reddy
- 6. Smt. Madapati Sarlarani
- 7. Shri Srinivasa Raju
- 8. Shri P. Sunil Kumar Goud
- 9. Shri Gopi Naidu
- 10. Shri Vinukonda Nagaraju
- 11. Smt. Paluri Seshumamba
- 12. Smt. V. Choodamani
- 13. Shri B. Narsi Reddy

[F. No. 809/3/2004-F(C)] P. P. NAIR, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 15 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2304. — चलचित्रकी (प्रमाणन) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 7 व 8 के साथ पठित चलचित्रकी अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 37) की धारा 5 की उप धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, केन्द्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के तिरुअनंतपुरम् सलाहकार पैनल का गठन करती है तथा निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को तत्काल प्रभाव से दो वर्ष की अवधि के लिए अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, जो भी पहले हो, के लिए उक्त पैनल के सदस्यों के रूप में नियुक्त करती है। यह इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 4 फरवरी, 2004 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना के अधिक्रमण में होगी।

- 1. श्री के. आनन्द कुमार
- 2. प्रो. के. बालाकृष्णन्
- 3. डॉ. लिजे फ्रांसिस
- 4. श्री के. कृष्ण कुमार
- 5. श्री टेनी जोस

- 6. श्री के, जे, राफी
- 7. श्री एन. श्रीकुमार
- 8. श्री टी. के. राधाकृष्णन पिल्लै
- 9. श्री अनिल अक्कारा
- 10. श्री जोसफ बाबू वी.वी.
- 11. श्री वी.वी. प्रकाश
- 12. श्री के. शिवरमण
- 13. श्री पी. मोहम्मद अली
- 14. श्री के.एस. शाहजी
- 15. श्री बी. बाबू प्रसाद
- 16. श्री एम. वीरन कुट्टी
- 17. श्री ई बी आई जार्ज
- 18. श्री सतीशन पाचेनी
- 19. श्री थानकामोनी दिवाकरन्
- 20. श्रीमती दीप्ति प्रदीप कुमार
- 21. श्री एम.के. शिवशंकरन्
- 22. श्री शरत् चन्द्रन
- 23. श्री पी.एस. बाबुराज
- 24. श्री सूर्या कृष्णा मूर्ति
- 25. श्री जोसफ़ मैथ्यु
- 26. श्री रोज मैरी
- 27. श्री एल. रामचन्द्रन
- 28. श्रीमती लक्ष्मी नायर
- 29. श्री एम एफ थॉमस
- 30. श्रीमती वाणी विश्वनाथ
- 31. श्रीमती बिंदु बालाकृष्णन
- 32. श्री पालोड रवि
- 33. श्री आर.एस. प्रदीप कुमार
- 34. सुश्री गिरिजा सेतुनाथ
- 35. श्री वी.के. जोसफ
- 36. सुश्री अनीता करनावार

[फा. सं. 809/9/2004-एफ (सी.)] पी.पी. नायर, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 15th June, 2005

- S.O. 2304.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) read with rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983 the Central Government is pleased the constitute the Thiruvananthapuram Advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification and to appoint the following persons as members of the said panel with immediate effect for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier. This supersedes this Ministry's notification of even number dated 4th February, 2004.
- 1. Shri K. Ananda Kumar
- 2. Prof. K. Balakrishanan
- 3. Dr. Lizzy Francis
- 4. Shri K. Krishna Kumar
- 5. Shri Tenny Jose
- 6. Shri K.J. Raphy
- 7. Shri N. Sreekumar
- 8. Shri T.K. Radhakrishanan Pillai
- 9. Shri Anil Akkara
- 10. Shri Joseph Babu V.V.
- 11. Shri V.V. Prakash
- 12. Shri K. Sivaraman
- 13. Shri P. Mohammed Ali
- 14. Shri K.S. Shaji
- 15. Shri B. Babu Prasad
- 16. Shri M. Veeran Kutty
- 17. Shri E.B.I. George
- 18. Shri Satheesan Pacheni
- 19. Shri Thankamoni Divakaran
- 20. Smt. Deepthi Pradeep Kumar
- 21. Shri M.K. Sivsankaran
- 22. Shri Sarath Chandran
- 23. Shri P.S. Baburaj
- 24. Shri Soorya Krishna Moorthi
- 25. Shri Joseph Mathew

- 26. Shri Rose Mary
- 27. Shri L. Ramachandran
- 28. Smt. Lakshmi Nair
- 29. Shri M.F. Thomas
- 30. Smt. Vani Vishwanath
- 31. Smt. Bindu Balakrishnan
- 32. Shri Palode Ravi
- 33. Shri R.S. Pradeep Kumar
- 34. Ms. Girija Sethunath
- 35. Shri V.K. Joseph
- 36. Ms. Anita Karnavar

[F. No. 809/9/2004-F(C)]

P. P. NAIR, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 16 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2305.—इस मंत्रालय की दिनांक 7 जून, 2005 की समसंख्यक अधिसूचना में आंशिक संशोधन करते हुए और चलचित्रकी (प्रमाणन) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 7 और 8 के साथ पठित चलचित्रकी अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 की 37) की धारा 5 की उप धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री वी.एस. नायडू को श्री बालासुब्रमण्यन के स्थान पर तत्काल प्रभाव से दो वर्ष की अविध के लिए अथवा अगले आदेशों तक, जो भी पहले हो, केंद्रीय फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के चैन्नई सलाहकार पैनल के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[फा. सं. 809/4/2004-एफ (सी)]

पी. पी. नायर, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 16th June, 2005

S.O. 2305.—In partial modification of this Ministry's Notification of even number dated 7th June, 2005 and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) read with rules 7 and 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983 the Central Government is pleased to appoint Shri V. S. Naidu as a Member of the Chennai Advisory panel of the Central Board of Film Certification with immediate effect for a period of two years or until further orders, whichever is earlier, in place of Shri Balasubramanian.

[F. No. 809/4/2004-F(C)]

P. P. NAIR, Desk Officer

उपभोक्ता मामले. खाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

(उपभोक्ता मामले विभाग)

भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2306.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित कस्ता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गये मानक (को) में संशोधन किया गया/किये गये हैं :

		अनुसूचा		
क्रम संख्या	संशोधित भारतीय मानक की संख्या और वर्ष	संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि	संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1.	14968:2001	संशोधन संख्या 1—मार्च, 2005	मार्च, 2005	
2.	1681:1998	संशोधन संख्या 1—अप्रैल, 2005	अप्रैल, 2005	

इन संशोधनों की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों: नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतू उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : टी एक्स डी/जी-25]

एम. एस. वर्मा, निदेशक एवं प्रमुख (टीएक्सडी)

MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Department of Consumer Affairs)

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2306.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rules (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that amendments to the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been issued:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and year of the Indian Standards	No. and year of the amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	IS 14968:2001	Amendment No. 1—March, 2005	March, 2005
2.	IS 1681:1998	Amendment No. 1—April, 2005	April, 2005

Copy of this Standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhawan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg. New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices: New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref.: TXD/G-25]

M. S. VERMA, Director and Head (Textiles)

नई दिल्ली, 10 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2307.—भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गये हैं वे स्थापित हो गए हैं :

अनुसूची

क्रम	स्थापित भारतीय मानक(कों)	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय	स्थापित तिथि
संख्या	की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक	मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	
		का संख्या जार पप	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	आई एस 4402:2005/आई एस ओ 1107:2003	आई एस 4402:1993/	मई, 2005
	मछली पकड़ने के जाल का कपड़ा-मूल शब्द और परिभाषाएँ (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस ओ 1107:1974	
2.	आई एस 5815 (भाग 5) : 2005/आई एस ओ	आई एस 5815:1993/	मई, 2005
	1806:2002 मछली पकड़ने का जाल-जाल बनाने के धागों का टूटना, भार और गाँठ टूटना ज्ञात करना (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	्आई एस ओ 1806:1973	
3.	आई एस 15565:2005 वस्त्रादि-परीक्षण विधि- रंगों में फ्री बेन्जीडीन का मूल्याकंन करना	÷	मार्च, 2005
4.	आई एस 15513 (भाग 1):2005/आई एस ओ 10787-1:1994 वस्त्रादि मशीनरी और उपसाधन हिल्ड फ्रेम - भाग 01 छड़ की सहायता से फ्रेम पटरे पर सीधी लगी हिल्ड छड़-समन्वित आयाम	-	जनवरी, 2005
5.	आई एस 15513 (भाग 2):2005/आई एस ओ 10787-2:1994 वस्त्रादि मशीनरी और उपसाधन हिल्ड फ्रेम - भाग 02 छड़ की सहायता से फ्रेम पटरे में लगी हिल्ड छड़-समन्वित आयाम	-	जनवरी, 2005
6.	आई एस 15513 (भाग 3):2005/आई एस ओ 10787-3:1994 वस्त्रादि मशीनरी और उपसाधन हिल्ड फ्रेम - भाग 03-हिल्ड फ्रेम की गाइड	-	जनवरी, 2005

इस भारतीय मानक की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, मानक भवन, 9 बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूणे तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[संदर्भ : टीएक्सडी/जी-25]

एम. एस. वर्मा, निदेशक एवं प्रमुख (टीएक्सडी)

New Delhi, the 10th June, 2005

S.O. 2307.—In pursuance of clause (b) of Sub-rule (1) of Rules (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules. 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established on the date indicated against each:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and year of the Indian Standards Established	No. and year of Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard	Date of established
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	IS 4402:2005/ISO 1107:2003 Textiles - Fishing nets - Netting - Basic terms and definitions (Second Revision)	IS:4402:1993/ISO 1107:1974	May, 2005
2.	IS 5815 (Part 5):2005/ISO 1806: 2002 Fishing nets - Determination of mesh breaking force of netting (Second Revision)	IS:5815 (Part 5): 1993/ISO 1806:1973	May, 2005
3.	IS 15565:2005 Textiles - Methods of test - Estimation of free benzidine in dyes	_	March, 2005
4.	IS 15513 (Part 1):2004/ISO 10787- 1:1994 Textiles Machinery and Accessories - Heald frames Part 1 Heald carrying rod fixed to the frame stave by rod support - Coordinated dimensions	_	January, 2005
5.	IS 15513 (Part 2):2004/ISO 10787- 2:1994 Textiles Machinery and Accessories - Heald frames Part 2: Heald carrying rod fixed directly on the frame stave Coordinated dimensions		January, 2005
6.	IS 15513 (Part 3):2004/ISO 10787- 3:1994 Textiles Machinery and Accessories - Heald frames-Part 3: Guide for heald frames	_	January, 2005

Copy of this Standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhawan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices: New Delhi, Kolcatta, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref.: TXD/G-25]

M.S. VERMA, Director and Head (Textiles)

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2308.— भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो (प्रमाणन) विनियम, 1988 के विनियम 6 के उपविनियम (3) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतदद्वारा नीचे अनुसूची में दिये गये उत्पादों की मुहरांकन शुल्क अधिसूचित करता है:

अनुसूची

भारतीय मानक सं.	भाग	अनु.	वर्ष	उत्पाद	इकाई _	न्यूनतम मु बड़े पैमाने पर (रु.)	हरांकन शुल्क छोटे पैमाने पर (रु.)	दर	स्लैब- 1 में 1 इकाइयाँ	इकाई दर स्लैब- (रु.)	स्लैब- 2 में 2 इकाइयाँ	इकाई दर शेष (रु.)	प्रचालन तिथि
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
10914	2	0	1991	स्वचल वाहन-हवा भरे टायर तिरछी प्लाई वाले- विशिष्टि भाग 2 ट्रक बस और हल्के ट्रक के टायर		100000	80000	2	100000	1.5	100000	1.35	20050701
10914	3	0	1991	स्वचल वाहन–हवा भरे टायर तिरछी प्लाई वाले– विशिष्टि भाग 3 यात्री कर के टायर	1 टायर .	100000	80000	2	100000	1.5	100000	1.35	20050701

[संदर्भ : सी.एम.डी. **I**/13 :10]

बलवंत राय, उप महानिदेशक (मुहर)

New Delhi, the 27th June, 2005

S.O. 2308.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 6 of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulations 1988, the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the Marking for the products given in the schedule:

SCHEDULE

IS: No.	PT.	Sec.	Year	Product	UNIT	Min. Mar Large Scale (Rs.)	Small Scale	Unit Rate Slab-1 (Rs.)	Units In Slab-1	Rate	Units In Slab-2	Unit Rate Rest (Rs.)	Enforcement Date
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
10914	2	0	1991	Automative Vehicles- Pneumatic Tyres: Part 2 Truck Bus and Light Truck Tyre Diagonal Ply	1 Tyre	100000	80000	2	100000	1.5	100000	1.35	20050701
10914	3	0	1991	Automative Vehicles- Pneumatic Tyres: Part 3 Passenger Car Tyre	1 Tyre	100000	80000	2	100000	1.5	100000	1.35	20050701

[Ref: CMD-I/13:10]

BALWANT RAI, Dy. Director General (Marks)

विद्युत मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2309.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, सरकारी स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिभोगियों की बेदखली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का अधिनियम सं. 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, प्रबंधक (सिविल) चमेरा पावर स्टेशन, स्टेज-I, खैरी, हिमाचल प्रदेश को, जो सरकार के राजपित्रत अधिकारी के समतुल्य अधिकारी है, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए संपदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है जो उक्त अधिनियम के द्वारा या उसके अधीन अपनी अधिकारिता की स्थानीय सीमाओं के भीतर प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग और संपदा अधिकारी पर अधिरोपित कर्तव्यों का

पालन करेगा और उक्त प्रयोजनों के लिए भारत सरकार के विद्युत मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 1250, तारीख 27-4-2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र, भाग ॥, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii), तारीख 29-5-2004 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात :—

संशोधन

- 1. पूर्वोक्त कानूनी आदेश में, ''नेशनल हाइड्रोइलैक्ट्रिक पावर कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड (एन.एच.पी.सी.) के अधिकारी'' पद के स्थान पर ''प्रबंधक (सिविल), चमेरा पावर स्टेशन, स्टेज-I, खैरी, हिमाचल प्रदेश'' पद रखा जाएगा।
- 2. उक्त अधिसूचना के नीचे सारणी के स्तंभ 1 में, ''श्री रंजन नारंग' ज्येष्ठ प्रबंधक (सिविल)'' प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर ''श्री एस.एल. शर्मा, प्रबंधक (सिविल)'' प्रविष्टि रखी जाएगी।

[फा.सं. 16/16/97-डी ओ(एनएचपीसी)] राजेश वर्मा, निदेशक (एच-I)

MINISTRY OF POWER

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2005

S.O. 2309.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public/Premises (Eviction of Un-authorized Occupants) Act, 1971 (Act No. 40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoints the Manager (Civil), Chamera Power Station Stage-I, Khairi, Himachal Pradesh, an Officer equivalent to the rank of Gazetted Officer of the Government, to be an Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties, imposed on Estate Officers by or under the said Act, within the local limits of his jurisdiction and for the said purpose make the following amendments to the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Power, number S.O. 1250 dated 27-4-2004, published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) dated 29-5-2004, namely:—

AMENDMENTS

- 1. In the aforesaid statutory order for the expression "Officer of National Hydroelectric power Corporation Ltd. (NHPC)" the expression "Manager (Civil), Chamera Power Station Stage-I, Khairi, Himachal Pradesh" shall be substituted.
- 2. In the table below the said notification, in column 1 for the entry "Shri Rajan Narang, Senior Manager (Civil)" the entry "Shri S.L. Sharma, Manager (Civil)" shall be substituted.

[F. No. 16/16/97-DO(NHPC)] RAJESH VERMA, Director (H-I)

कोयला मंत्रालय

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2310.—कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 9 की उपधारा 1(क) के अधीन पौनी विस्तार विवृत परियोजना, बल्लारपुर क्षेत्र, जिला चंद्रपुर (महाराष्ट्र) के लिए जारी की गई भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्यांक का. आ. 3293 तारीख 16 दिसम्बर, 2004 जो भारत के राजपत्र, भाग-II, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) तारीख 25 दिसम्बर, 2004 में प्रकाशित की गई थी उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित ऐसी भूमि (जिन्हें इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त भूमि कहा गया है) में या उस पर के अधिकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त होकर, आलंतिक रूप से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित हो गए हैं;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है, कि वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, नागपुर (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् सरकारी कम्पनी कहा गया है) ऐसे निबंधनों और शर्तों का जिन्हें केन्द्रीय सरकार इस निमित्त अधिरोपित करना उचित समझे, अनुपालन करने के लिए तैयार है;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है, कि भूमि और उक्त भूमि में इस प्रकार निहित या उस पर के सभी अधिकार तारीख 25 दिसम्बर, 2004 से केन्द्रीय सरकार में इस प्रकार निहित बने रहने के बजाय, निम्नलिखित निबंधनों और शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, सरकारी कंपनी में निहित हो जाएँगे, अर्थात् :—

- 1. उक्त सरकारी कम्पनी, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंधों के अधीन यथाअवधारित, प्रतिकर, व्याज, नुकसान और वैसी ही मदों की बाबत किए गए सभी संदायों की केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्रतिपृत्ति करेगी।
- 2. उक्त सरकारी कम्पनी द्वारा शर्त (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को संदेय रकमों का अवधारण करने के प्रयोजनों के लिए एक अधिकरण का गठन किया जाएगा तथा ऐसे किसी अधिकरण और ऐसे अधिकरण की सहायता के लिए नियुक्त किए गए व्यक्तियों

के संबंध में उपगत सभी व्यय सरकारी कम्पनी वहन करेगी और वैसे ही उक्त भूमि में या उस पर इस प्रकार निहित अधिकारों के लिए या उनके संबंध में सभी विधिक कार्यवाहियों, जैसे अपील आदि की बाबत उपगत, सभी व्यय भी सरकारी कम्पनी वहन करेगी:

- 3. उक्त सरकारी कम्पनी, केन्द्रीय सरकार या उसके पदधारियों की, ऐसे किसी अन्य व्यय के संबंध में, जो उक्त भूमि में या उस पर इस प्रकार निहित होने वाले पूर्वोक्त अधिकारों के बारे में, केन्द्रीय सरकार या उसके पदधारियों द्वारा या उनके विरुद्ध किन्हीं कार्यवाहियों के संबंध में आवश्यक हो, क्षितपूर्ति करेगी;
- 4. उक्त सरकारी कम्पनी को, केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना, उक्त भूमि में अधिकारों को किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को अंतरित करने की शक्ति नहीं होगी; और
- 5. उक्त सरकारी कम्पनी, ऐसे निर्देशों और शर्तों का, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा जब कभी आवश्यक हो, उक्त भूमि के विशिष्ट क्षेत्रों के लिए दिए जाएं या अधिरोपित किए जाएं, पालन करेगी।

[सं. 43015/7/2001-पी आर आई डब्ल्यू] बी. के. पण्डा, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF COAL

ORDER

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2310.—Whereas on the publication of the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Coal, number S.O. 3293 dated the 16th December, 2004, published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated the 25th December, 2004, issued in the name of Pauni Expansion Opencast Project, Ballarpur Area, District Chandrapur (Maharashtra) under sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said lands) the lands and rights in or over the lands, described in the Schedule appended to the said notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land) vested absolutely in the Central Government free from all encumbrances under Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the said Act;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the Western Coalfields Limited, Nagpur (hereinafter referred to as the Government Company) is willing to comply with such terms and conditions as the Central Government thinks fit to impose in this behalf;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the lands and rights in or over the said lands, so vested, shall with effect from the 25th December, 2004 instead of continuing to so vest in the Central Government, vest in the Government Company, subject to the following terms and conditions, namely:—

- 1. the said Government Company shall reimburse the Central Government all payments made in respect of compensation, interest, damages and the like, as determined under the provisions of the said Act;
- 2. a tribunal shall be constituted for the purpose of determining the amounts payable to the Central Government by the Government Company under condition (1), and all expenditure incurred in connection with any such tribunal and persons appointed to assist the tribunal shall be borne by the Government Company and similarly, all expenditure incurred in respect of all legal proceedings like appeals, etc., for or in connection with the rights, in or over the said lands, so vesting shall also be borne by the said Government Company;
- the said Government Company shall indemnify the Central Government or its officials against any other
 expenditure that may be necessary in connection with any proceedings by or against the Central Government or its officials regarding the aforesaid rights in or over the said lands so vested;
- 4. the said Government Company shall have no power to transfer the land and rights in or over the said lands so vested to any other person without the previous approval of the Central Government; and
- 5. the said Government Company shall abide by such directions and conditions as may be given or imposed by the Central Government for particular areas of the said land as and when necessary.

[No. 43015/7/2001/PRIW] B. K. PANDA, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2311.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्रतीत होता है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में उल्लेखित भूमि में कोयला अभिप्राप्त किए जाने की संभावना है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20)(जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें कोयले का पूर्वेक्षण करने के अपने आशय की सूचना देती है;

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले रेखांक सं. सी-1(ई)/III/जे.जे.एम.आर./730-0305, तारीख 30 मार्च, 2005 का निरीक्षण वेस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड (राजस्व अनुभाग), कोल इस्टेट सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440001 (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कलेक्टर, चंद्रपुर (महाराष्ट्र) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाऊस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है;

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाली भूमि में, हितबद्ध सभी व्यक्ति उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (7) में निर्दिष्ट सभी नक्शों, चार्टों और अन्य दस्तावेजों को इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से नब्बे दिनों के भीतर ओ.एस.डी. (एल॰ एण्ड आर॰) राजस्व विभाग, वैस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, कोल इस्टेट, सिविल लाइन्स, नागपुर-440001(महाराष्ट्र) को भेज सकेंगे।

अनुसूची एकोणा-I ओ सी खंड माजरी क्षेत्र, जिला चंद्रपुर (महाराष्ट्र)

(रेखांक सं॰ सी-1(ई)Ш/जे.जे.एम.आर./730-0305, तारीख 30 मार्च, 2005)

क्रम सं०	ग्राम का नाम	पटवारी सर्किल संख्या	तहसील	जिला	क्षेत्रफल हेक्टर में	टिप्पणियां
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	एकोणा	11	वरोरा	चन्द्रपुर	50.17	भाग
2.	मार्डा	11	वरोरा	चन्द्रपुर	40.65	भाग
3.	वनोजा	11	वरोरा	चन्द्रपुर	18.60	भाग
4.	चरूर (खटी)	10	वरोरा	चन्द्रपुर	144.79	भाग

कुल क्षेत्र: 254.21 हेक्टर (लगभग)

या

628.15 एकड़ (लगभग)

सीमा वर्णन :---

- क—ख रेखा ''क'' बिन्दु से आरम्भ होती है और ग्राम एकोणा, डाइवल नदी के पूर्वी तट के साथ-साथ ग्राम एकोणा और चरूर (खटी) से होकर गुजरती है। फिर नाले के दक्षिण तट के साथ-साथ आगे बढ़ती है और विन्दु ''ख'' पर मिलती है।
- ख—ग रेखा ग्राम चरूर (खटी) से और ग्राम चरूर (खटी) और वनोजा की सम्मिलित ग्राम सीमा के साथ-साथ आगे बढ़ती है, पी॰डब्ल्यू॰डी॰ सड़क को पार करती है और फिर वनोजा ग्राम से होकर आगे बढ़ती है, ग्राम सड़क को पार करती है फिर ग्राम वनोजा और मार्डा की सम्मिलित सीमा के साथ-साथ आगे बढ़ती है और बिन्दु ''ग'' पर मिलती है।
- ग—क रेखा ग्राम मार्डा से होकर गुजरती है फिर ग्राम सड़क तथा ग्राम मार्डा और एकोणा की सम्मिलित सीमा को पार करती है फिर ग्राम एकोणा से होकर आगे बढ़ती है और आरम्भिक बिन्दु ''क'' पर मिलती है।

[सं. 43015/6/2005-पी आर आई डब्ल्यू] बी. के. पण्डा, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2311.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the lands mentioned in the Schedule hereto annexed:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein;

The plan bearing No. C-1 (E)III/JJMR/730-0305 dated the 30th March, 2005 of the area covered by this notification can be inspected in the Office of the Western Coalfields Limited (Revenue Department), Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 (Maharashtra) or in the office of the Collector Chandrapur (Maharashtra) or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata.

All persons interested in the lands covered by this notification shall deliever all maps charts and other documents referred to in Sub-section (7) of Section 13 of the said Act, to the O.S.D. (L&R), Revenue Department, Western Coalfields Limited, Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur-440001 (Maharashtra) within ninety days from the date of publication of this notification.

SCHEDULE YEKONA—IOC BLOCK, MAJRI AREA DISTRICT CHANDRAPUR (Maharashtra)

Plan No. C-1(E)III/JJMR/730-0305 dated the 30th March, 2005.

Serial Number	Name of Village	Patwari circle number	Tahsil	District	Area in hectares	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Yekona	11	Warora	Chandrapur	50.17	Part
2.	Marda	11	Warora	Chandrapur	40.65	Part
3.	Wanoja	11	Warora	Chandrapur	18.60	Part
4.	Charur (Khati)	10	Warora	Chandrapur	144.79	Part

Total area

254.21 hectares

(Approximately)

or

628.15 acres (Approximately)

Boundary description :-

A—B : Line starts from point 'A' through villages Yekona along the Eastern Bank of Daiwal River of Village Yekona and Charur (Khati) then proceeds along with the Southern Bank of Nallah and meets at point 'B'.

B—C: Line passes through Village Charur (Khati) and proceeds along the common Village boundary of Villages Charur (Khati) and Wanoja crosses PWD Road then proceeds through Village Wanoja crosses Villages road then proceeds along the common village boundary of villages Wanoja and Marda and meets at point 'C'.

: Line passes through Village Marda then crosses Village road and common Village boundary of Villages Marda and Yekona then proceeds through Village Yekona and Meets starting point 'A'.

[No. 43015/6/2005/PRIW]

B. K. PANDA, Director

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2312.—कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 9 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्यांक का. आ. 3095 तारीख 24 नवम्बर, 2004 के भारत के राजपत्र, भाग-II, खंड 3, उप-खंड (ii) तारीख 4 दिसम्बर, 2004 में प्रकाशित होने पर, उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि और भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकार (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिकार कहा गया है) उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त होकार, आत्यितिक रूप से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित हो गए थे;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया है, कि साउथ ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लिमिटेड, बिलासपुर (छत्तीसगढ़)सरकारी कम्पनी (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् सरकारी कम्पनी कहा गया है) ऐसे निबंधनों और शर्तों का जो केन्द्रीय सरकार इस निमित्त अधिरोपित करना उचित समझे, अनुपालन करने के लिए रजामंद है;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है, कि इस प्रकार निहित उक्त भूमि और उक्त भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकार तारीख 4 दिसम्बर, 2004 से केन्द्रीय सरकार में इस प्रकार निहित बने रहने की बजाय, निम्नलिखित निबंधनों और शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए उक्त कम्पनी में निहित हो जाएँगे, अर्थात् :—

- 1. उक्त कम्पनी, उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन अवधारित, प्रतिकर, ब्याज, नुकसानी और वैसी ही मदों की बाबत किए गए सभी संदायों की केन्द्रीय सरकार को प्रतिपूर्ति करेगी।
- 2. उक्त कम्पनी द्वारा शर्तें (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को संदेय रकमों का अवधारण करने के प्रयोजन के लिए एक अधिकरण का गठन किया जाएगा तथा ऐसे किसी अधिकरण और ऐसे अधिकरण की सहायता के लिए नियुक्त व्यक्तियों के संबंध में उपगत सभी व्यय, उक्त कम्पनी वहन करेगी और इसी प्रकार, निहित उक्त भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के लिए या उनके संबंध में सभी विधिक कार्यवाहियों, जैसे अपील आदि की बाबत उपगत, सभी व्यय भी उक्त कम्पनी वहन करेगी।
- 3. उक्त कम्पनी, केन्द्रीय सरकार या उसके पदधारियों की, ऐसे किसी अन्य व्यय के संबंध में, जो इस प्रकार निहित उक्त भूमि में या उस पर के अधिकारों के बारे में, केन्द्रीय सरकार या उसके पदधारियों द्वारा या उनके विरूद्ध किन्हीं कार्यवाहियों के संबंध में आवश्यक हो, क्षतिपूर्ति करेगी।
- 4. उक्त कम्पनी को, केन्द्रीय सरकार के पूर्व अनुमोदन के बिना, उक्त भूमि को किसी अन्य व्यक्ति को अंतरित करने की शक्ति नहीं होगी; और
- 5. उक्त कम्पनी, ऐसे निर्देशों और शर्तों का, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा जब कभी आवश्यक हो, उक्त भूमि के विशिष्ट क्षेत्रों के लिए दिए जाएँ, या अधिरोपित की जाएं, पालन करेगी।

[सं. 43015/19/2000-पी. आर. आई. डब्ल्यू.] बी. के. पण्डा, निदेशक

ORDER

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2312.—Whereas on the publication of the notification of the Government of India, in the Ministry of Coal, number S.O. 3095 dated the 24th November, 2004, in Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), of the Gazette of India, dated 4th December, 2004, issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the rights in or over the lands, described in the Schedule appended to the said notification (hereinafter referred to as the said rights) vested absolutely in the Central Government free from all encumbrances under Sub-Section(1) of Section 10 of the said Act;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), a Government Company (hereinafter referred to as the Company), is willing to comply with such terms and conditions as the Central Government thinks fit to impose in this behalf;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the said rights in or over the lands, so vested, shall with effect from the 4th December, 2004 instead of continuing to so vest in the Central Government, vest in the said Company, subject to the following terms and conditions, namely:—

- 1. The said Company shall reimburse the Central Government all payments made in respect of compensation, interest, damages and the like, as determined under the provisions of the said Act;
- 2. A tribunal shall be constituted for the purpose of determining the amounts payable to the Central Government by the said Company under Condition (1), and all expenditure incurred in connection with any such tribunal and persons appointed to assist the tribunal shall be borne by the said Company and similarly, all expenditure incurred in respect of all legal proceedings like appeals, etc., for or in connection with the rights, in or over the said lands, so vesting shall also be borne by the said Company;
- 3. the said Company shall indemnify the Central Government or its officials against any other expenditure that may be necessary in connection with any proceedings by or against the Central Government or its officials regarding the aforesaid rights in or over the said lands so vested;
- 4. The said Company shall have no power to transfer the said lands to any other person without the previous approval of the Central Government; and
- 5. The said Company shall abide by such directions and conditions as may be given or imposed by the Central Government for particular areas as and necessary.

[No. 43015/19/2000-PRIW]

B. K. PANDA, Director

नई दिल्ली, 22 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2313. — केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1398(अ) एवं 1399(अ) तारीख 21-12-2004 द्वारा, उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा राजस्थान राज्य में विजयपुर-कोटा एवं स्पर पाइपलाइनों के माध्यम से पेट्रोलियम गैस के परिवहन के लिए पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्रित अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 06-05-2005 तक उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं;

और पाइपलाइन बिछाने के सम्बन्ध में जनता से प्राप्त आक्षेपों पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा विचार कर लिया गया है और उन्हें अननुज्ञात कर दिया गया है;

अत:, अब सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निर्देश देती है कि पाइप-लाइनें बिछाने के लिए भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख को, केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, पाइपलाइनें बिछाने का प्रस्ताव करने वाली गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड में निहित होगा और तदुपरि, भूमि में ऐसे उपयोग का अधिकार, इस प्रकार अधिरोपित निबंधनों और शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

ઝ	বু	ų	্ঘ	Į

<u>जिला</u>	तहसील	गाँव	सर्वे नं.	आर.ओ.यू. अर्जित करने
			वे	लिए क्षेत्रफल (हैक्टेयर में)
1	2	3	4	5
बारां	अन्ता	पलसवा	135	0.1245
			140	0.0040

 बारां	अन्ता	पलसवा	82	0.0740
			81	0.0048
			70	0.0560
			80	0.0718
			7 9	0.0146
	•		78	0.0010
			<i>7</i> 2	0.0468
			. <i>7</i> 3	0.0294
			64	0.0020
			19	0.0600
			21	0.0140
			26	0.0648
			26/1003	0.0047
			25	0.0826
•			24	0.1098
			23	0.0416
			22	0.0424
			योग	0.8488
बारां	अन्ता	काचरी	424	0.0052
	٠		424/468	0.0204
			423/480	0.1096
	,		405	0.2807
			396	0.6243
			373	0.3120
			374	0.0350
			367	0.3030
			353	0.2880
	•		354	0.1670
			355	0.7940
			349	0.2140
			349/429	0.1560
			347	0.1720
٠			345	0.1280
			346	0.2890
			335	0.4340
		•	334	0.495 0
			425/477	0.0830
			योग	

3

4

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
बारां	अन्ता	चकशाहबाद	180	0.0720	<u>बारां</u>	अन्ता	अन्ता	180/1924	0.0188
भारा	બના	पपाराहिषाप	179	0.0720				180	0.1026
								179	0.0082
			योग ———	0.1690				155	0.0073
बारां	अन्ता	तामखेड़ा	50	0.0295				156	0.1426
			54	0.0120				158	0.1373
			49	0.0326				159	0.0598
			57	0.0482				160	0,0004
			58	0.1080				164	0.0404
			46	0.0500				165	0.1393
			59	0.0290				166	0.0280
			60	0.1566				171	0.0030
			68	0.0010				170	0.0208
			62	0.0950				169	0.0296
			67	0.0108				183	0.0010
			63	0.1504				184	0.0620
			65	0.0004				188	0.0382
			64	0.0596				189	0.0113
			154	0.0246				190	
			181	0.0492					0.0232
			184	0.0010				187	0.0311
			183	0.0992				191	0.0912
			182 185	0.0560 0.0198				423/1947	0.1150
			186	•				424	0.0385
			187	0:0774 0.1004				425	0.0010
			189	0.7250				428	0.0370
		,	236/799	0.0240				429	0.0298
		•	236	0.3240				430	0.0078
			235	0.1800				431	0.0240
			234	0.1850				432	0.0330
			233	0.1260				434	0.0040
			241	0.2260				436	0.1270
			242	0.4470				437	0.0475
			252	0.5400				441	0,0010
			251	0.1470				440	0.1862
			246	0.4500				445	0.3052
			259	0.0020				448	0.0681
			250	0.7290				449	0.0560
		,	266/781	0.0420				450	0.0067
			265	0.0040				466	0.1538
			266	0.0100				467	0.1110
			योग	5.3717				468	0.1002

1	2	3	4	5	1	2		4	5
गरां	अन्ता	अन्ता	469	0.1139	बारां	अन्ता	नागदा	532	0.0020
			470	0.0004		•		539	0.0698
			480	0.1032				538	0.051
			482	0.1644				<i>5</i> 33	0.1314
			480/1881	0.0346				534	0.2012
			478	0.0140				1345	0.153
			54 9	0.0896				1346	0.0020
			551	0,0002				1344	0.084
			548	0.1841				1346/1572	0.002
			545	0.0760		•		1343	0.0186
			546	0.0120				1204	0.1392
			<u> योग</u>	3.2413				1207	0.0558
		> 0						1211	0.0198
		बमोरी	13	0.0234				1210	0.0093
			10	0.0860				1209	0.0382
			11	0.1808				. 1212	0.039
			20/1065	0.0212				1213	0.079
			22	0.0278				1215	0.029
			76 77	0.0984				1216	0.0612
			77	0.0818				1201	0.0096
		i	74	0.0216				1200	0.0414
		•	82	0.0872				1199	0.013
		.•	83	0.0625				1175	0.043
			84	0.0646				1176	0.1178
			88 87	0.2032				1178	0.0002
		•	87 54					1177	0.1846
		**	·	0.0306				1181	0.0452
		,	योग	1.0145				1191	0.0092
		नागदा	424	0.7257				1190	0.0674
			425	0.0010				1187	0.0966
			434/1577	0.0050				1185	0.0142
		•	435	0.6213				1184	0.0320
			461	0.1150				1183	0.0082
			528	0.0718				योग	3,9020
			527	0.0120	बारां	अन्ता	पलायथा	1230	0.7373
			525	0.2184				1221	0.5626
		,	529	0.1026				<u> योग</u>	1.2999
		* .	531	0.0514					
		ζ.	543	0.0080			[फाइल सं.	. एल-14014/9/	
			540	0.0978				स्वामी नि	संह, निर्देशव

2

3

5

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2005

S.O. 2313.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1398(E) and 1399(E) dated 21-12-2004 issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transport of Natural Gas through Vijaipur-Kota and Spur pipelines in the State of Rajasthan by the GAIL (India) Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on 06-05-2005;

And whereas the objections received from the public to the laying of the pipeline have been considered and disallowed by the Competent Authority:

And whereas the Competent Authority has, under Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted its report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report decided to acquire the Right of User in the lands specified in the Schedule;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the Right of User in the said land specified in the Schedule is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And, further, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the Right of User in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on this date of the publication of this declaration, in the GAIL (India) Limited, free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

District	Tehsil	Village	Survey No.	Area		
			•	to be		
				acquired		
				for ROU		
			(In H	lectares)		
1	2	3	4	5		
Baran	Anta	Palsava	135	0.1245		
			140	0.0040		
			82	0.0740		
			81	0.0048		
			70	0.0560		

Baran	Anta	Palsava	80	0.0718
			79	0.0146
			78	0.0010
			72	0.0468
			73	0.0294
			64	0.0020
			19	0,0600
			21	0.0140
			26	0,0648
			26/1003	0.0047
			25	0.0826
			24	0.1098
			23	0.0416
			22	0.0424
			TOTAL	0.8488
		Kachari	424	0.0052
			424/468	0.0204
			423/480	0.1096
			405	0.2807
			396	0.6243
			373	0.3120
			374	0.0350
			367	0.3030
			353	0.2880
			354	0.1670
			355	0.7940
			349	0.2140
			349/429	0.1560
			347	0.1720
			345	0.1280
			346	0.2890
			335	0.4340
			334	0.4950
			425/477	0.0830
			TOTAL	4.9102
		Chaksaha	abad 180	0.0720
			179	0,0970
			TOTAL	0,1690

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Baran	Anta	Tamkheda	50	0.0295	Baran	Anta	Anta	180/1924	0.0188
			54	0.0120				180	0.1026
			49	0.0326				179	0.0082
			57	0.0482				155	0.0073
			58	0.1080				156	0.1426
			46	0.0500				158	0.1373
			59	0.0290				159	0.0598
			60	0.1566				160	0.0004
			68	0.0010				164	0.0404
			62	0.0950				165	0.1393
								166	0,0280
			67	0.0108				171	0.0030
			63	0.1504				170	0.0208
			65	0.0004				169	0.0296
			64	0.0596				183	0.0010
			154	0.0246				184	0.0620
			181	0.0492				188	0.0382
			184	0.0010				189	0.0113
			183	0.0992				.190	0.0232
			182	0.0560				187	0.0311
			185	0.0198				191	0.0912
			186	0.0774				423/1947	0.1150
			187	0.1004				424	0.0385
			189	0.7250				425	0.0010
		2	236/799	0.0240				428	0.0370
			236	0.3240				429	0.0298
			235	0.1800				430	0.0078
			234	0.1850				431	0.0240
			233	0.1260				432	0.0330
			241	0.2260				434	0.0040
			242	0.4470				436	0.1270
			252	0.5400				437	0:0475
			251	0.1470				441	0.0010
			246	0.4500				440	0.1862
			259	0.0020				445	0.3052
			250	0.7290				448	0.0681 0.0560
		2	266/781	0.0420				449 450	0.0360
		_	265	0.0040				450 466	0.0067
			266	0.0100				460 467	0.1338
		_	OTAL	5.3717				467 468	0.1100

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Baran	Anta	Anta	469	0.1139	Baran	Anta	Nagda	532	0.0020
			470	0.0004	Dutui	1 111111	1.00	539	0.0698
			480	0.1032				538	0.0516
			482	0.1644				533	0.1314
			480/1881	0.0346				534	0.2012
			478	0.0140		•		1345	0.1538
			549	0.0896				1346	0.0020
			551	0.0002				1344	0.0840
			548	0.1841	•			1346/1572	0.0020
			545	0.0760				1343	0.018
			546	0.0120				1204	0.1392
			TOTAL	3.2413				1207	0.0558
		Bamori	13	0.0234				1211	0.0198
		2502-1022	10	0.0860				1210	0.0093
•			11	0.1808		•		1209	0.0382
			20/1065	0.0212				1212	0.039
			22	0.0278				1213	0.079
			7 6	0.0984				1215	0.029
			77	0.0818				1216	0.061
			74	0.0216				1201	0.009
			82	0.0872				1200	0.041
			83	0.0625				1199	0.013
			84	0.0646				1175	0.043
			88	0.2032				1176	0.117
			87	0.0254				1178	0.000
			54	0.0254				1177	0.184
								1181	0.045
			TOTAL	1.0145				1191	0.009
		Nagda	424	0.7257				1190	0.067
			425	0.0010				1187	0.096
			434/1577	0.0050				1185	0.014
			435	0.6213	•			1184	0.032
			461	0.1150				1183	0.008
			528	0.0718				TOTAL	3.902
			527	0.0120			Palaita	1230	0.737
			525	0.2184			галана		
			529	0.1026				1221	0.562
			531	0.0514				TOTAL	1.299
			543	0.0080			[F	ile No. L-14014	1/9/04-G.P.
			54 0	0.0978				SWAMI SING	H. Directo

नई दिल्ली,	22 जन	. 2005
15 14//11	22 1	, 2005

का.आ. 2314. — केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 1398(अ) एवं 1399(अ) तारीख, 21-12-2004 द्वारा, उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा राजस्थान राज्य में विजयपुर-कोटा एवं स्पर पाइपलाईनों के माध्यम से पेट्रोलियम गैस के परिवहन के लिए पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्रित अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 06-05-2005 तक उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी:

और पाइपलाइन बिछाने के सम्बन्ध में जनता से प्राप्त आक्षेपों पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा विचार कर लिया गया है और उन्हें अननुज्ञात कर दिया गया है:

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है;

अत:, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है:

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निर्देश देती है कि पाइप-लाइनें बिछाने के लिए भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख को, केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, पाइपलाइनें बिछाने का प्रस्ताव करने वाली गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड में निहित होगा और तदुपरि, भूमि में ऐसे उपयोग का अधिकार, इस प्रकार अधिरोपित निबंधनों और शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

		ગાંતુત્વા		
— जिला	तहसील	गाँव	सर्वे नं.	आर.ओ.यू.
			র্সা	र्जित करने के
			वि	नए क्षेत्रफल
				(हैक्टेयर में)
1	2	3	4	. 5
———- कोटा	दीगोद	ककरावदा	215/235	0.2259
			197	0 1969

अनमची

1		3	4 -	
कोटा	दीगोद	ककरावदा	196	0.0276
		(जारी)	200 ,	0.3748
			201	0.0200
			203	0.0996
			193	0.1016
			192	0.0914
			191	0.0746
			186	0.2894
,			योग	1.5018
		चीसा	158	0.0210
			159	0.1998
			154	0.0998
			153	0.1256
			152	0.0514
			100	0.1290
			99	0.0588
			98	0.1368
*			97	0.1170
			96	0.0990
			95	0.1044
			94	0.1852
			160	0.0522
			174	0.0970
			176	0.0997
			1 77	0.1060
			178	0.1516
			211	0.0348
			216	0.0008
			215	0.0402
			214/378	0.0620
			214	0.1150
			213	0.0374
			योग	2.1245
		रूगी	176	0.0100
			175	0.1053
			174	0.0900
			172	0.2312
			171	0.0192
			170/285	0.0344
			170	0.1032
			159	0.1290
			127	0.1270

3

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
कोंटा	दीगोद	रूगगी	160	0.0225	कोटा	दीगोद	पाचड़ा	212	0.0360
·s		(जारी)	166	0.1032			की झोपड़ी	211	0.1450
			165	0.0218			(जारी)	210	0.0370
			133	0.0749				213	0.0360
			130	0,0080				218	0.1780
			131	0.1330				217	0.1620
			132	0.1490				226	0.0420
			128	0.0638				225	0.1620
			127	0.0040				224	0.0020
			122	0.0560				227	0.4660
			121	0.1838				259	0.1170
			111	0.0020				258	0.1470
			110	0.1108				257	0.0240
			109	0.0936				256	0.0300
			105	0.1024				255	0.3100
			98	0.1790				254	0.0010
			96	0.1876				253	0.4740
			93	0,0896				252	0.2340
			92 .	0.1688				251	0.0010
			87	0.0542				योग	3.7780
		_	86	0.0226			बल्लभपुरा	33	0.0192
			योग	2.5809				32/407	0.2040
		गढेपान	325	0.0420				32	0.2700
			349/363	0.0120				32/406	0.2270
			349	0.2686				31	0.0439
			347	0.0082				28	0.0020
			344	0.0150				30	0.0870
			348	0.0150				25	0.1610
		_		0.3608				52	0.1683
		— पाचड़ा की	170	0.0010				77	0.0350
		झोपड़ी	194	0.0270				81	0.0910
		Z.,	171	0.0210				78	0.0810
			193	0.3280				7 9	0.1350 -
			193/319	0.1480				144	0.2541
			195	0.1310				89/412	0.0100
			191	0.0020				129/403	0.0650
			202	0.0120				129	0.900
			204	0.0800				128	0.0020
			203	0.0030				130	0.1300
			207	0.2820				134	0.0420
			208	0.0010				135	0.1280
			209	0.1380				189	0.1910

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	
— कोटा	दीगोद	बल्लभपुरा	191	0.1500	कोटा	दीगोद	सिमलिया	907	0.2180
			192	0.0130			(जारी)	906	0.2360
			योग	2.5995				864	0.1150
		भौंरा	156	0.2320				863/937	0.1000
		""	159	0.0719				859	0.2520
			162	0.0410				858	0.0040
			165	0.0410				914	0.0240
			166	0.1110				852	0,1600
			170					842/941	0.555
				0.2330				842/940	0.2010
			201	0.0550				834	0.0930
			205	0.1200				830	0.0560
			206	0.0640				832	0.0860
			208	0.2586				761 762	0.0680
			209	0.0120				763	0.0750
			233	0.0691				762	0.0330
			473	0.0100				769 768	0.0830
•			474	0.3504				771	0.130
			587/623	0.1937				772	0.071
			487	00,330				778	0.0254
•			486	0.1410				777	0.0690
			485/587	0.3150				656	0.014
			494	0.0750				621	0.212
			494/617	0.2300				629	0.1180
			495	0.0690				627/975	0.0410
			498	0.4260				628/976	0.015
			500	0.3780				627	0.0160
			500/625	0.1940				628	0.0960
			503	0.1800		,		615	0.5040
			502	0.0280				615/961	0.0010
			506	0.1720				614	0.1580
			507	0.0200				612	0.0460
			योग	4.1007				योग	5.1680
		सिमलिया	890	0.0440			कराडिया	188	0.0220
			889	0.1790				189	0.1930
			888	0.0220				190	0.2590
			887	0.1250				193	0.1280
			891	0.2770				197	0.4290
			900	0.1120				169	0.2210
			901	0.1450				168	0.1790
			902	0.0180				218	0.0000
			904	0.1160				206	0.0300

PART	II_	_SEC	3/	(ii)	1
IPARI	11-	—SEC.	21	ш	ш

								·	
	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<u>कोटा</u>	दीगोद	कराडिया	207	0.0740	कोटा	दीगोद	ब्रजपुरा	30	0.0670
			203	0.0020				27	0.2220
			235	0.0160				26	0.1770
			266	0.1230			•	13	0.0710
			267	0.1090				14	0.0100
			271	0.0860				15	0.0420
		•	272	0.0170				14/304	0.0020
			273	0.0560				16	0.4940
			298	0.0110				18	0.0600
			337	0.0030				6	0.0040
			338	0.0820			•	5	0.0680
			336	0.0020				3.	0.0020
			335	0.0270				19	0.1750
			339	0.1470				1	0.0200
			334	0.3390				योग	1.6890
			539	0,0060			पौलाई कलां	327	0.0020
			538	0.2628			uxu y uxu	380	0.3530
			536	0.0310				330	0.2290
			535	0.2160				331	0.1380
			534	0.0910				378/731	0.1188
			533	0.0000				377	0.0770
			520	0.0320				376	0.0020
			467	0.0459				377/759	0.0770
			466	0.0320				374	0.4420
			468	0.0010				370	0.2110
			469	0.2090				369	0,0060
			470	0.1050				371	0.0860
			471	0.0895	•			366	0.0950
			479	0.2590				365	0.0220
			478	0.2180				364	0.1870
			482	0.1740				390/748	0,0080
			489	0.2320			•	389	0.0420
			490	0.0520				670	0,0080
			494	0.0080				669	0.1100
			493	0.1530				669/738	0.0220
			491	0.0020				668	0.1630
			492	0.1100				667	0.0240
			492/595	0.0200				667/724	0.0140
			योग	4.9042				636	0.0000
		ब्रजपुरा	42	0.0690				635	0.2720
		····••	31	0.0200				640	0.1070
			29	0.1840				641	0.0850

भारत क	गजपत्र	• जलाई	2	2005/आषाढ	11.	1927

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<u></u> होटा	दीगोद	पौलाई	644	0.1900	कोटा	 लाडपुरा	डायरा	373	0.0990
		कलां	645	0.0440		_		374	0.0120
			646	0.1610		•		371	0.1646
			650	0.1700				372	0.3120
			620	0.0330		•		362	0.1890
			622	0.0180				363	0.3530
			621	0.0883					
			619	0.0280				364	0.2810
			611	0.0443				353/381	0.2200
			610	0.0320				352	0.2340
			609	0.1840				योग	1.8646
			608	0.1880			गोदल्याहेडी	4	0.0680
			603	0.0140				8	0.0620
			535	0.2000				7	0.1810
			536	0.0960					
			538	0.1580		•		6/596	0.1391
			540	0.0630	,			5	0.1480
			540/726	0.0270				3	0.2670
			54 9	0.0800				2	0.0380
			54 3	0.0100				1	0.0140
			544 545	0.1340 0.0330				योग	0.9171
			1 7 6	0.0330			— मोरपा	2	0.5830
			175	0.0400			וראווי	9	0.6000
			163	0.2550					
	,		162	0.2160				14	0.0450
			159	0.2360				15	0.1880
			160	0.0100				16	0.2240
			158	0.0340				54	0.1540
			135	0.0040				52	0.2020
			134	0.0555				50	0.3650
	i		133	0.0470				51	0.1320
			132	0.1500				166	0.1370
			131/737	0.0040				58	0.0820
			योग	6.0959			•	59	0.1270
	•	नोहती	1	0.0230				62	0.1480
			2	0.2500				63	0.0460
			4	0.2190				68	0.1820
			5	0.5380				69	0.1700
			115	0.2260					
	ą.		5/371	0.0080				74	0.3450
	v		116	0.0140				74/388	0.0233
	<u> </u>		117	0.0070				<i>7</i> 3	0.0060
			योग	1.2850				 योग	3,7593

				1					
0.1760	117	3	<u>2</u> ਜ਼ਾਵਧਸ	<u>1</u> कोटा	0.2240	4	3	2	<u>क्रोग</u>
0.1760	117	रसूलपुर	लाडपुरा	काटा	0.3240	177	अरनिया	लाडपुरा	कोटा
0.0100	113				0.2310	185			
					0.0020 0.1710	186 184			
1.6210	योग ———				0.1710	183			
0.0000	141	खेड़ा			0.1650	179			
0.2440	140				0.2790	181			
0.2610	138				0.1180	194			
0.3350	136				0.0230	195			
0.1340	135				0.0320	175			
0.1550	130				0.0950	135			
-0.0250	131				0.1510	136			
0.1390	120				0.1360	137			
0.1010	119				0.0860	127			
0.0760	99				0.0840	126			
0.0010	61				0.1920	125			
0.0380	60				0.0380	124			
0.1020	59				0.3280	122			
0.0250	57				0.0020	121			
0.1060	58				0.0420	94			
0.2480	55				0.1645	83			
0.1900	53				0.1175	84			
0.0100	45				0.0800	78			
0.1860	40				0.1720	<i>7</i> 7			
0.1\$80	41				0.0750	76			
2.5040	योग				0.0180	74			
0.1290	485	भोजपुरा	लाडपुरा	कोटा	0.0280 0.3320	38 18			
0.0840	484				0.3320	15			
0.0090	490				0.1810	13			
0.2930	492				0.0340	24			
0.0010	495				0.0000	25			
0.2920	498				4.0860	योग			
0.0370	509								
0.1500	510				0.2040 0.0170	137 134	रसूलपुर		
0.0380	511				0.0860	133			
0.1180	512				0.0370	132			
0.1430	513				0.0400	130			
0.0250	514				0.0160	249			
1.3190	योग				0,0660	248			
0.0600	924	मण्डानिया	लाडपुरा	कोटा	0.1630	251			
0.0300	883				0.3240	252			
0.0500	884				0.2480	121			
0.1200	923				0,1780	120			

1	2	. 3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
कोटा	लाडपुरा	मण्डानिया	921	0.1440	कोटा	लाडपुरा	हाथीखेड़ा	185	0.0220
			920	0.0600				189	0.1260
			919	0.0210				190	0.0170
			918	0.1160				191	0.0630
	.*		917	0.2560				193	0.0020
	•		916	0.1490				192/348	0.0100
			897	0.0020				192	0.3280
	•		898	0.2220				197	
			895	0.1330					0.0570
			646	0.0700				201	0.5420
			638	0.1310				230	0.3990
			639	0.1040				231	0.0120
			645	0.0150				233	0.0750
		•	647	0.0020				233/345	0.0210
			644	0.1490				 योग	1.6740
			641	0.0130			हनुमन्तखेड़ा		
			642	0.0000	·		हनुमन्तखड़ा	45 44	0.0230 0.0280
			650 652	0.0230 0.0670				43	0.3980
			673	0.0030				55	0.0370
			676	0.0300				51	0.1055
			678	0.0170				52	0.1033
			677	0.0300					
			679	0.1160				54	0.0060
			680	0.1610				53	0.3050
			681	0.1540				61	0.1365
			684	0.0010				62	0.1900
			683	0.1220				63	0.2160
	•		685	0.1250				66	0.1590
			688	0.0060				योग	1.6080
			687	0.1150			नया नोहरा	289	0.0470
			697	0.0280			गथा गाहरा	289	0.0470
			762	0.0120				282	0.0890
			798/1163	0.1340	•			283	0.2740
			798	0.0370				287	0.0285
	•		763	0.0500				•	
			795	0.0360			•	82	0.1510
			794	0.0110				· 83	0.2025
			793	0.0150				84	0.4070
			792	0.1180				योग	1.3490
			28	0.0400			फासंग्रह	त-14014/9/(
			योग	3.3370			F /		नंह, निदेशक

2

3

5

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2005

S.O. 2314.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S. O. 1398 (E) and 1399(E) dated 21-12-2004 issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transport of Natural Gas through Vijaipur—Kota and Spur pipelines in the State of Rajasthan by the GAIL (India) Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on 6-5-2005;

And whereas the objections received from the public to the laving of the pipeline have been considered and disallowed by the Competent Authority;

And whereas the Competent Authority has, under Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted its report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline:

And, further, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipelines shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on this date of the publication of the declaration, in the GAIL (India) Limited, free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

District	Tehsil	Village	Survey No	o. Area
				to be
				acquired
				for R.O.U.
			(Iı	n Hectares)
1	2	3	4	5.
Kota	Digod	Kakarvada	215/235	0,2259
			197	0.1969
			196	0.0276
			200	0.3748
			201	0.0200
			203	0.0996

ı	2	3	4 .	3
Kota	Digod	Kakarvada	193	0.1016
			192	0.0914
			191	0.0746
			186	0.2894
			Total	1.5018
		Chisa	158	0.0210
			159	0.1998
			154	0.0998
			153	0.1256
			152	0.0514
			100	0.1290
			99	0.0588
			98	0.1368
			97	0.1170
			96	0.0990
			95	0.1044
			94	0.1852
			160	0.0522
			174	0.0970
			176	0,0997
			177	0.1060
			178	0.1516
			211	0.0348
			216	8000,0
			215	0.0402
			214/378	0.0620
			214	0.1150
			213	0.374
			Total	2.1245
		Ruggi	176	0.0100
			175	0.1053
			174	0.0900
			172	0.2312
			171	0.0192
			170/285	0.0344
			170	0.1032
			159	0.1290
			167/283	0.0280
			160	0.0225
			166	0.1032
			165	0.0218
•				

133

130

0.0749

0.0080

1	2	3	4	5	1	. 2	3 .	4	5
Kota	Digod	Ruggi	131	0.1330	Kota	Digod	Pachada	213	0.0360
			132	0.1490			Ki Jhopadi	218	0.1780
			128	0.0638				217	0.1620
			127	0.0040				226	0.0420
		•	122	0.0560				225	0.1620
,			121	0.1838				224	0.0020
			111	0.0020				227	0.4660
			110	0.1108				259	0.1170
			109	0.0936				258	0.1470
			105	0.1024				257	0.024
			98	0.1790				256	0.0300
			96	0.1876				255	0.3100
			93	0.0896				254	0.0010
			92	0.1688				253	0.4740
			87	0.0542				252	0.2340
			86	0.0226			•	251	0.0010
								Total	3.7780
			Total	2.5809			Ballabpura	33	0.0192
		Gadepan	325	0.0420				32/407	0.2040
			349/363	0.0120			•	32	0.2700
			349	0.2686				32/406	0.2270
			347	0.0082				31	0.0439
		•	344	0.0150				28	0.0020
			348	0.0150				30	0.0870
			Total	0.3608				25	0.1610
		Pachada Ki	170	0.0010				52	0.1683
		Jhopadi	194	0.0270				<i>7</i> 7 .	0.0350
		Jiiopaui	171	0.0210				81	0.0910
		,	193	0.3280				78	0.0810
•				0.3280			•	79	0.1350
			193/319					144	0.2541
			195	0.1310				89/412	0.0100
			191	0.0020				129/403	0.0650
			202	0.0120				129	0,0900
			204	0.0800	•			128	0.0020
			203	0.0030				130	0.1300
			207	0.2820				134	0.0420
			208	0.0010				135	0.1280
,			209	0.1380				189	0.1910
			212	0.0360	•			191	0.1500
			211	0.1450	v			192	0.0130
			210	0.0370				Total	2.5995

6682		THE GAZ	ETTE OF IN	DIA: JULY 2	, 2005/ASAI	OHA 11, 1927		[Part II	-Sec. 3(ii)
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Kota	Digod	Bhoura	156	0.2320	Kota	Digod	Simaliya	914	0.0240
		159	0.0719			•	852	0.1600	
		162	0.0410				842/941	0.555	
			165	0.1110				842/940	0.2010
			166	0.0180				834	0.093
			170	0.2330				830	0.056
			201	0.0550				832	0.086
			205	0.1200				761	0,068
			206	0.0640				763	0.075
			208	0.2586				762	0.033
			209	0.0120				769	0.083
			233	0.0691				768	0.130
			473	0.0100				771	0.130
			474	0.3504				772	0.026
			587/623	0.1937					0.020
			487	0.0330				778 777	
			486	0.1410				777	0.069
			485/587	0.3150				656	0.014
			494 494/617	0.0750				621	0.212
				0.2300				629	0.118
			495	0.0690				627/975	0.041
			498 500	0.4260 0.3780				628/976	0.013
			500/625	0.3780				627	0.016
			503	0.1940				628	0.096
			502	0.0280				615	0,504
			5 06	0.1720				615/961	0.00
			507	0.0200				614	0.158
			TOTAL	4.1007				612	0,046
		Simaliya	890	0.0440	ů.			TOTAL	5.168
		ыншуа	889	0.1790			Kararia	188	0.022
			888	0.0220				189	0.193
			887	0.1250				190	0.259
			891	0.2770				193	0.128
			900	0.1120				197	0.429
			901	0.1450				169	0.22
			902	0.0180				168	0.179
			904	0.1160				218	0,00
			907	0.2180				206	0.030
			906	0.2360				207	0.074
			864	0.1150				203	0,002
								235	0.016

0.1000

0.2520

0.0040

863/937

859

858

235

266

267

0.0160

0.1230

0.1090

1	_ 2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Kota	Digod	Kararia	271	0.0860	Kota	Digod	Brujpura	14	0.0100
			272	0.0170				15	0.0420
			273	0.0560				14/304	0.0020
			298	0.0110				16	0.4940
			337	0.0030				18	0.0600
			338	0.0820				6 .	0.0040
			336	0.0020				5	0.0680
			335	0.0270				3	0.0020
			339	0.1470				19	0.1750
			334	0.3390				1	0.0200
			539	0.0060				TOTAL	1.6890
			538	0.2628			Polaikalan	327	0.0020
			536	0.0310				380	0.3530
			535	0.2160				330	0.2290
			534	0.0910				331	0.1380
			533	0.0000			*	378/731	0.1188
			520	0.0320				377	0.0770
*			<i>4</i> 67	0.0459				376	0.0020
			466	0.0439				377/759	0.0770
			468	0.0010				374	0.4420
								370	0.2110
			469	0.2090				369	0.0060
			470	0.1050				371	0.0860
			471 470	0.0895				366	0.0950
			479	0.2590				365	0.0220
			478	0.2180				364	0.1870
			482	0.1740				390/748	0.0080
			489	0.2320			•	389	0.0420
			490	0.0520				670	0.0080
			494	0,0080				669	0.1100
			493	0.1530				669/738	0.0220
			491	0.0020				668	0.1630
			492	0.1100			•	667	0.0240
			492/595	0.0200				667/724	0.0140
			TOTAL	4.9042				636	0.0000
		Brujpura	42	0.0690				635	0,2720
		ումիաս	31	0.0220				640	0.1070
			29	0.0220				641	0.0850
				0.1840				644	0.1900
			30					645	0.0440
			27	0.2220				646	0.1610
			26	0.1770				650	0.1700
			13	0.0710				620	0.0330

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA: JULY 2, 2005/ASADHA 11, 1927

[PART II—SEC. 3(ii)]

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Kota	Digod	Polaikalan	622	0.0180	Kota	Ladpura	Dahara	373	0.0990
			621	0.0883				374	0.0120
•			619	0.0280				371	0.1646
			611	0.0443				372	0.3120
			610	0.0320				362	0.1890
			609	0.1840				363	0,3530
			608	0.1880				364	0.2810
			603	0.0140				353/381	0.2200
			535	0.2000			-	352	0.2340
							-	TOTAL	1.8646
			536	0.0960			Godliyahedi		0,0680
			538	0.1580				8	0,0620
			540	0.0630				7	0.1810
			540/726	0.0270				6/596	0.1391
			549	0.0800				5	0.1480
		*	543	0.0100				3 2	0.2670 0.0380
			544	0.1340				1	0.0140
			545	0.0330			-	TOTAL	0.9171
			176	0.1480			Morpa -	2	0,5830
			175	0.0400			worpa	9	0,6000
			163	0.2550				14	0.0450
			162	0.2160				15	0.1880
			159	0.2360				16	0.2240
			160	0.0100				54	0.1540
			158	0.0340				52	0.2020
			135	0.0040				50	0,3650
								51	0.1320
			134	0.0555				166	0.1370
			133	0.0470				58	0.0820
			132	0.1500				59	0.1270
			131/737	0.0040				62	0.1480
			TOTAL	6.0959				63	0.0460
		Nohati	1	0.0230				68 69	0.1820 0.1700
			2	0.2500				74	0.3450
			4	0.2190				74/388	0.0233
			5	0.5380				73	0,0060
			115	0.2260			-	TOTAL	3.7593
			5/371	0.0080			Arniya .	177	0.3240
			116					185	0.2310
				0.0140				186	0.0020
			117	0.0070				184	0.1710
			TOTAL	1.2850				183	0.0970

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Kota	Ladpura	Arniya	179	0.1650	Kota	Ladpura	Kheda	136	0.3350
			181	0.2790				135	0.1340
			194	0.1180				130	0.1550
			195	0.0230				131	-0.0250
		_	175	0.0320				120	0.1390
			135	0.0950				119	0.1010
			136	0.1510			•	99	0.0760
			137	0.1360				61	0.0010
			127	0.0860				60	0.0380
			126	0.0840				59	0.1020
			125	0.1920				57	0.0250
			124	0.0380				58	0.1060
			122	0.3280				55	0,2480
			121	0.0020				53	0.1900
			94	0.0420				45	0.0100
			83	0.1645				40	0.1860
			84	0.1175				41	0.1780
			<i>7</i> 8	0.0800				TOTAL	2.5040
		•	77	0.1720			Bhojpura	485	0.1290
			76	0.0750			ыюјрига	484	0.1250
			74	0.0180				490	0.0090
			38	0.0280				492	0.2930
			18	0.3320		÷		495	0.0010
			15	0.2880				498	0.2920
			14	0.1810				509	0.0370
			24	0.0340				510	0.1500
			25	0.0000			3	511	0.0380
			TOTAL	4.0860				512	0.1180
		Rasulpur	137	0,2040				513	0.1430
		•	134	0.0170				514	0.0250
	•		133	0.0860				TOTAL	1.3190
•			132	0.0370			Mandania		
			130	0.0400			Mandania	924	0.0600
			249	0.0160				883	0.0300
			248 .	0,0660				884	0.0500
		•	251	0.1630				923	0.1200
			252	0.3240				921	0.1440
			121	0,2480				920	0,0600
			120	0.1780	š			919	0.0210
			117	0.1760				918	0.1160
			112	0.0560		· ·		917	0.2560
			113	0.0100				916	0.1490
			TOTAL	1.6210				897 808	0.0020
		Kheda	141	0.0000				898 895	0.2220 0.1330
12			140	0.2440				646	0.1530
			138	0.2610				638	0.0700

•	-	O	-
n	ก	Λ	n

					<u></u>				555.5(12)]
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Kota	Ladpura	Mandania	639	0.1040	Kota	Ladpura	Hanuat-	45	0.0230
			645	0.0150	•		kheda	44	0.0280
			647	0.0020				43	0.3980
			644	0.1490				55	0.0370
			641	0.0130				51	0.1055
			642	0.0330				52	0.0040
			650	0.0230				54	0.0060
			652	0.0670				53	0.3050
			673	0.0030			,	61	0.1365
			676	0.0300					
			678	0.0170				62	0.1900
			677	0.0300				63	0.2160
			679	0.1160				66	0.1590
			680	0.1610				TOTAL	1.6080
			681	0.1540			Naya	289	0.0470
			684	0.0010			Nohara	285	0.1500
			683	0.1220				282	0.0890
			685	0.1250				283	0.2740
			688	0.0060					
			687 697	0.1150				287	0.0285
			762	0.0280 0.0120				82	0.1510
			798/1163	0.0120				83	0.2025
			798/1103 798	0.1340				84	0.4070
			763	0.0500				TOTAL	1.3490
			795	0.0360			TESIA.	No. L-14014	
			794	0.0110			_		_
			793	0.0150			SW	'AMI SINGH	I, Director
			792	0.1180		नई वि	दल्ली, 22 जून,	2005	
			28	0.0400	क	ा. आ . 2315.	—केन्द्रीय सरका	ार ने पेट्रोलियम	और खनिज
			TOTAL	3.3370	पाइपलाइन	। (भूमि में उपयोग			
		Hathikheda		0.0220	(1962 क	ज 50) (जिसे इस	ामें इसके पश्चात	् उक्त अधिनिय	ाम कहा गया
		паннкисца	189	0.0220	है) की ध	गरा ३ की उपधा	रा (1) के अध	त्रीन <mark>जारी</mark> भारत	सरकार के
			190	0.1200	पेट्रोलियम	। और प्राकृतिक	गैस मंत्रालय की	अधिसूचना सं	ख्या का.आ.
			191	0.0630	2730 तार्र	ोख 25-10-200)4 द्वारा, उस अ	धेसूचना से संल	ग्न अनुसूची
			193	0.0020	में विनिर्दि	ष्ट भूमि में गेल	(इंडिया) लिमि	टेड द्वारा, राजस्	थान राज्य में
			192/348	0.0100		श्रीराम फर्टिलाईज			
			192	0.3280		ा गैस के परिवहन			
			197	0.0570	लिए उपय	ोग् के अधिकार	का अर्जन करने	के अपने आशय	म की घोषणा
			201	0.5420	की थी;				
			230	0.3990	औ	ार उक्त राजपत्रित	ा अधिसूचना क	ी प्रतियां जनत	। को तारीख
			231	0.0120		2005 तक उपलब			
			233	0.0750		ार पाइपलाइन बि			गप्त आश्रेपों
			233/345	0.0210		ार पाइपलाइन ।व प्राधिकारी द्वारा वि			
			TOTAL	1.6740	कर दिया			🤉 - 11 🗸	
			TOTAL	1.0/40	0.513.0	< ,			

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उस में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निर्देश देती है कि पाइपलाइनें बिछाने के लिए भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख को, केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाय, पाइपलाइनें बिछाने का प्रस्ताव करने वाली गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड, में निहित होगा और तदुपरि, भूमि में ऐसे उपयोग का अधिकार, इस प्रकार अधिरोपित निबंधनों और शर्तों के अधीन रहते हुए, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

		अनुसूची		
<u>जिला</u>	् तहसील	गांव	सर्वे नं.	आर.ओ.यू.
				अर्जित करने के
				लिए क्षेत्रफल
				(हेक्टर में)
1	. 2	3	4	5
कोटा	लाडपुरा	राजनगर	318	0.1740
			315	0.1560
		r	310	0.1200
		•	311	0.0360
			305	0.0840
			306	0.0240
			307	0.2880
		_	योग	0.8820
		सुखपुरा	36	1.0920
			43	0.0840
		_	योग	1.1760

[फाइल सं. एल-14014/9/04-जी.पी.]

स्वामी सिंह, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 22nd June, 2005

S.O. 2315.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S. O. 2730 dated the 25-10-2004 issued under Sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of Users in Land)

Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for purpose of laying pipeline for the transport of natural gas through Samcore—Sriram Fertilizer spur piepline project in the State of Rajasthan by the GAIL (India) Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 18-01-2005;

And whereas the objections received from the public to the laying of the pipeline have been considered and disallowed by the Competent Authority;

And whereas the Competent Authority has, under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted its report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines;

And, further, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipelines shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on the date of the publication of the declaration, in the GAIL (India) Limited, free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

District	Tehsil	_	Survey No.	Area
			to be	
		7		acquired
•				for R.O.U.
			(lr	Hectares)
1	2	3	4	5
Kota	Ladpura	Rajnagar	318	0.1740
	•		315	0.1560
			. 310	0.1200
			311	0.0360
			305	0.0840
		•	306	0.0240
			307	0.2880
			TOTAL	0.8820
Kota	Ladpura	Sukhpura	a 36	1.0920
			43	0.0840
			TOTAL	1.1760

[File No.-L 14014/9/04-G.P.] SWAMI SINGH, Director नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2316.—केंद्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार, का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 163 तारीख 13 जनवरी, 2005, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीख 15 जनवरी, 2005, में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुद्धा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुद्धा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम से हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 21 मार्च, 2005, को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं ;

और **सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उ**क्त अधिनिय**म की धारा 6** की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है ;

अतः अब, केब्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तर्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है;

और केब्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारां 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख है केब्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमित एत्र सं._आर – 31015/7/03 ओ.आर-🎚 दिनांक 25/11/2004 द्वारा लगाई गई शर्तो के अध्यधीन सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपेरिशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

	तहसील ः नसीराबाद	जिलाः अजमेर	राज्य	राज्यः राजस्याब			
क्रम		·		क्षेत्रफल			
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1.	राजोसी	३४२६ (स.नाला)	Ø	.02	01		
		3427	.0	08	55		
		3422/1	} 0	00	23		
		3422/2	Į				
		3428/1 (स.पहा इ)] 0	14	97		
		3428/2	J.				
		3430	0	07	38		
		3429/1	} 0	13	58		
	17.0	3429/2	Į				
		3442/1	} 0	05 ·	70		
		3442/2	J				
		3414/1	lo	00	92		
		3414/2	J		•		
		3385/1 (स.भूमि)	<u>l</u> 1	10	67		
		3385/2					
		३३८६ (स.रास्ता)	0	01	42		
		3327(स.न्राला)	0	00	94		
		3326	0	00	50		
	•	3325	0	04	98		
		3324	0	04	65		
		3323	. 0	02	85		
		3333	0	05	5 <i>7</i>		
		3334	0	02	62		
		3316	0	01	92		
		3337	0	00.	81		
		3315	0	04	63		
		3313	0	07	37		
		३३४४(स.बरड़ा)	} 0	00	20		
		. ३३४४मिन(बरड़ा)	ſ	00	20		
		३२५६(स.आबादी)	0	1 1	97		
		३२५७/। (स.आबादी)	} 0	10	83		
		3257/2	ſ				
		3258	0	07	1 5		
		३२५९/१ (स.आबादी)	} 0	05	93		
		3259/2		0.5	3 3		
	•	3290	0	0.0	47		

सं. गाँव का नाम खसरा सं. हेक्टेयर ए 1 2 3 4 1. राजोसी (जारी) 3289/1 0 0 3260 0 0 0 3263 0 0 3264 0 0	फल .
सं. गाँव का नाम खसरा सं. हेक्टेयर ए 1 2 3 4 1. राजोसी (जारी) 3289/1 0 0 3260 0 0 0 3263 0 0 3264 0 0	
1. राजोसी (जारी) 3289/1 0 0 3289/2 3260 0 0 0 3263 0 0 0 3264 0 0 0	यर वर्ग मीटर
3289/2 3260 0 0 3263 0 0 3264 0 0	5 6
3289/2 3260 0 0 3263 0 0 3264 0 0	3 37
3263 0 0 3264 0 0	
3264 0 0	2 53
22654(77207)	4 83
3265/1(स.आबादी) । ्र	5 94
	1 01
3265/2	
3 2 3 6 (स.सङ्क) 0 0	
	4 72
	2 13
	0 95
3114/1 0 0	0 20
3114/2	
3108/1 0 0	1 68
3108/2	
3106/1(स.आबादी) 0 0	0 20
3106/2	
3107/1 0 0	3 46
3107/2	
3 1 0 5/1 (स.आबादी)	
3105/2	8 76
3105/3/1	
3105/3/2	
3103 0 0	1 80
3104/1 (स.पाल) ₀ 0	3 28
3104/2 J 3122 0 0	4 15
3122 0 0. 3123(स.मोरी) 0 0	
3124/4273 0 0	
3056(स.रास्ता) 0 0	
2001/1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 20
2001/4076	
3081/4276 0 00	50
3080 0 0	I 00
3079 0 0	
3078 0 04	
3077 0 11	
3075 0 00	
2764(स.रास्ता) 0 05	

तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्या	ान -
क्रम	,		क्षेत्रफल	
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6
1. राजोसी (जारी)	2760	0	00	20
	2762	0	04	67
	2763/1	0	09	17
	2763/2	Į		
	2757/1	} 0	07	28
	2757/2	Į	•	
	2756/1	, 0	0.5	35
	2756/2	J		
	2755	0	07	17
	2754	0	00	20
* 1	२७५३(स.रास्ता)	0	03	77
	2 <i>77</i> 5/1 (स.बरड़ा)	·} o	08	90
	2775/2	J		
	281 5 (स.पाल)	0	04	33
	2726	0	80	17
	2721	0	00	56
	2725	0	06	74
	2723	0	0.8	86
	2668	0	03	87
(*)	२६६७ (स.नाला)	0	03	07
	2666/4243(स. ना ला)	0	00	20
-90-	2664/4242(स.नाला)	0	02	61
	2664/1	} o	31	37
	2664/2	ĺ		
	2330/1	ļ o	0:3	86
	2330/2	J		
	2336	0	13	19
	2337	0	09	08
	2335	0	01	82
	2341	0	10	69
•	2342	0	06	59
	२३२४(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	02	19
	2309	0	11	67
	2297	0	14	49
	2298	0	05	34
•	2296	0	04	99
	2294	0	04	70
	2293	0	00	47
	2291	0	14	26 -
	1240	0	07	7.3

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्य	ा
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	राजोसी (जारी)	2287	0	00	20
		1241	0	07	13
		1242	0	06	41
		1245	0	04	53
		1246	0	06	77
		1248	0	03	04
		1255	0	07	48
		1268	0	03	53
		1270	0	0 1	14
		1269	0	02	07
		2157	0	05	33
		2156	0	0 1	41
		2161	0	06	06
		2163	0	14	09
		2165	0	03	41
		२ १ ५ ५ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	22
		२ १ ३ ८ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	88
		2070	0	06	49
		2071	0	01	88
		2073	0	09	0 1
		2140	0	02	68
		2139	0	18	29
		1446	0	09	15
		1441	0	00	65
		1447	0	00	78
		1445	0	05	33
		1454	0	00	20
		1452	0	06	37
		1453	0	04	99
		1461	0	00	40
		1462	0	22	37
		1463/1	} 0	00	79
		1463/2		0.0	
		1460	0	00	67
		1493	0	21	60
		1551/1(सुरक्षा मंत्रालय)	} 0	12	1 2
		1551/2	J		
		1560/2	} 0	13	36
		१ ५६०/१ (सुरक्षा मंत्रालय)	<u></u>		

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	ेजिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्था	न
क्रम	·		1	क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एथर	वर्ग मीटर
	2	3	4	5	6
1.	राजोसी (जारी)	1557/2	٥ ' (× 1.4	0.1
		1557/1(सुरक्षा मंत्रालय)		••	•
		1558	0	00	20
		1556/1(सुरक्षा मंत्रालय)] 0	00	95
		1556/2	J		•
		ा ५७८। (स.रास्ता)	} o	02	85
	·	१५७८/२(सुरक्षा मंत्रालय)	j		
		1577/2	} 0	00	20
		१५७७/१ (सुरक्षा मंत्रालय)	J		
		1576	0	16	82
		1581	0	13	18
	•	1582	0	10	24
		1584	0	12	47
	0	1583	0	08	81
	दिलवाड़ी गादेरी	17	0	08	73
J. 1	ગાવરા	5 3 [°] 5 3 मिन	} 0	11	89
				• •	
		53 मिन .	,	0.1	50
		52	0	0 1	59
		5 4 5 4 मिन	0	15	03
		. 54ानण . 54मिन		. •	
		55	í ·		
		5 5 मिन	} 0	09	54
		56	ĺ.		
		56मिन	} 0	06	93
		57	0	10	69
		5 1 मिन(स.रास्ता)] 0	00	
		५ १ मिन		00	20
		60(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	0 1	26
		६१ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	02	13
		६२(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	01	89
		86	0	06	77
	•	८ ५ मिन	0	00	20
		८ ५ मिन		. .	
		८७मिन(स.चारागाह)			
		८७मिन(एच.पी.सी.एल.)	. 1	09	06
		87मिन	•		٠.
		८७मिन(भा.रा.रा.प्रा.)			
		८८(स.नाला)	0	01	26
	•				

तहसील : नसीराबाद जिला : अजमेर राज्य : राजस्थान क्रम : क्षेत्रफल सं. गाँव का नाम खसरा सं. हेक्ट्रेयर एयर द 1 2 3 4 5 3. गादेश (जारी) 70 0 00	वर्ग मीटर 6
सं. गाँव का नाम खसरा सं. हेक्टेयर एयर 1 2 3 4 5	6
3. गादेरी (जारी) 70 0 00	20
, , ,	20
94 0 00	93,
8 9 मिन } 0 3 8 8 9 मिन	62
90 0 00	20
91 मिन } 0 00 91 मिन	32
92(स.बरड़ा) 0 07	48
96 0 01	67
101(स.भूमि) 0 25	66
103(स.बरड़ा) 0 38	06
102 0 04	63
104 0 00	20
105 0 02	16
106 0 19	44
107 0 02	16
108 0 09	26
4. मोड़ी 4(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह) 1 1 1	06
ख.सं. <mark>४ व २१ के</mark> बीच 0 01	20
21 0 41	16
20	
2 0 मिन	
20मिन 🗦 0 01	72
2 0 मिन	
20मिन	
22 0 00	20
23(स.भूमि) 0 08	16
38(स.भूमि) ो _{0 00}	20
3 8 मिन	
२४(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह) ० ०१	30
5. लंबेरा 725 0 10	18
७ १३ (स.पत्थर) ए० १३	1 2
728.	64
7 3 4 (स.रास्ता) 0 0 1	62
735 0 22	37
736(स.भूमि) 0 0 <i>7</i>	20
742 0 04	86
743 0 17	11
. 744 0 15	1 2

तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्या	न
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल	
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6
5. लवेरा (जारी)	745	0	03	90
	746	0	03	57
	757	0	18	18
	758	0	06	66
	759	0	0 1	80
	760	0	07	36
	761	0	06	16
	7 6 4 (स.भूमि)	0	32	47
	798	0	07	60
	799	0	00	20
	797	0	28	20
	800 800मिन	} 0	0 1	20
	800ामण 801	0	0 1	44
	802	0	02	62
	803	0	06	11
	८०५ ८०४(स.रास्ता)	0	01	44
	805	0	01	41
	806	0	14	85
	811	0	09	36
	810	0	04	08
	812	0	06	41
	815	0	03	82
	814	0	03	02
	892	0	07	03
	890	0	06	30
_	891	0	06	06
	889	0	04	62
	905	0	0 1	02
	906	0	28	08
	935	0	08	37
	936	0	07	38
	941	0	04	70
	939	0	03	46
	940	0 .	16	02
	948	0	0 1	24
3.*	959	0	07	38
	958	0	10	02
*	957	0	09	62

तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्थ	ान
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल	•
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6
5. लवेरा (जारी)	955	0	20	67
	990	0	0 1	54
	992	0	23	65
	1526	0	04	28
	1525	0	04	32
	1524	0	. 03	92
	1522	0	03	0 1
	1521	Ó	00	20
	1516	0	09	0 0
	1515	0	02	52
	1514	0	04	32
	१ ५ १ ३ (स.रास्ता)	0	04	41
	1510	0	03	40
	1497	0	00	40
	1496	0	10	87
	1 4 9 5 (स.पाल)	0	05	28
	1494	0	00	63
	1493	0	8 0	55
	1492	0	02	52
	1438	0	04	1 3
	1439	0	0 1	19
	1441	0	00	20
	1445	0	04	34
	1442	0	00	72
	1444	0	00	20
	1443	0	04	64
	1448	0	06	06
	1449	0	04	99
	1450	0	00	20
	1482	} 0	04	91
	1482/1639)		
	1467	0	04	63
	1466	0	03	96
	1465	0	0 5	04
	1464	0	04	32
	1463	0	06	77
6. रामपुरा अहिरान	374मिन	} 0	06	30
	374	J	-	
	375	0	13	68

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्य	<u> </u>
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
 : : ਦਾਂ.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	ंहेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	. 2	3	4	5	6
6.	रामपुरा अहिरान (जारी)	376	0	0.0	20
		377	0	06	03
		386	0	00	48
		385	0	1 1	70
		384	0	09	06
		389	0	02	03
		383	0	13	. 32
		392	0	00	56
a	•	414	0	13	50
	•	413	0	11	94
		410	0	02	16
,	•	411	0	11	52
		439	0	21	74
		440	0	18	77
		438	0	0 1	35
		441	0	05	35
		442	0	09	62
		443	0	13	32
		444	0	06	30
		1 4 1 (स.भूमि)	0	05	76
		१४०(स.रास्ता)	0	02	13
		488	0	07	74
		121	0	32	43
		500	0	36	71
		501	0	29	93
		502	0	8 0	55
	·	503	0	8 0	19
7.	जिलावङ्ग	८८१ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	09	27
		८९८(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	0 1	95
		१३२४(स.रास्ता)	0	01	80
		१ ३ २ ५ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	16	04
		१ ३ २ ६ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	62
		1323मिन			
		ा ३२३मिन(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह) 👌	. 0	10	33
		१ ३ २ ३ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)			
		१ ३ २ ९ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	0 ļ	50
		1322	0	02	70
		1321	0	17	46
		1320	0	00	24

तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्य	
क्रअ			क्षेत्रफल	
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
2	3	4	5	6
 िललावङ्ग (जारी) 	१ ३ १ ८ (स.भूमि)			
	1 3 1 8मिन	} 0	1 1	07
	1 3 1 8मिन	J		
	1317	0	0 1	45
	1334	0	00	69
	1316	0	04	30
	1315	0	00	20
	1338	0	03	46
	1337	0	0 1	94
	1339	0	03	42
	1340	0	00	82
	1350	0	03	24
	1351	0	00	20
	१ ३४९(स.धोरा)	0	01	35
	1 3 6 9 मिन (स.मोरी)	} 0	0 1	43
	1 3 6 9 मिन	}	01	43
	1367	0	0 1	07
	1357	0	10	60
	1358	0	00	21
	१ ३ ५ ५ मिन(स.धोरा)	} o	00	50
	1 3 5 5 मिन	} "	00	30
	1359	0	04	1 1
	1360	0	04	50
	१ ३६ १ मिन(स.धोरा)	} 0	03	96
	1 3 6 1 मिन	} 0	03	90
	1362	0	03	67
	1356	0	00	96
	1207	0	0 1	40
	१ ३ ६ ३ (स.धोरा)	0	03	74
	1152	0	06	04
	1201	0	0 1	80
	1200	0	05	35
	1188	0	12	12
	१ १ ६ ९ (स.धोरा)	0	0 1	44
	1151	0	00	98
	1153	0	06	48
	1150	0	04	99
3. काबपुरा	329	0	1 2	11
3	331	0	06	84

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	जानग	ः राजस्था	 ਜ
क्रम	तहसाल : मसाराषाद	idel : Solete		क्षेत्रफल	-
प्रज सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
	कानपुरा (जारी)	332	0	04	98
	,	333	0	02	80
		322	. 0	12	47
		321	0	04	27
		316	0	20	88
		315	0	80	88
		344	0	03	12
		290	0	0 1	78
		345	0	0.0	20
		289	0	02	07
		288	0	06	66
		287	0	80	35
		348	0 ,	01	39
	**************************************	286	0	0 1	42
		251	0	00	36
		285	0	02	00
		252	0	16	66
		253	0	00	36
		254	0	12	96
		240मिन		1.0	7.5
		240मिन	} 0	19	75
		240	J		
		255	0	00	20
,		238	0	02	29
		164	0	00	20
		165	0	20	48
		230	0	00	25
	•	166	0	0 1	68
		227	0	42	14
		226	0	Q3	40
		807	0	16	22
		225	0	00	40
		224	0	00	20
		892	0	23	64
		893	0	18	18
	,	894	0	03	78
		895	0	13	54
		८९६ (स.रास्ता)	0	00	72
		897	0	21	02

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्था	ान -
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	कानपुरा (जारी)	1014	0	0 1	44
		1013	} 0	57	35
		1013मिन	J		
		1321	0	00	20
		९९८ (स.भूमि)	0	12	60
		998मिन	Į		
		1005मिन			• •
		१००५मिन(स.भूमि)	} 0	09	32
		1005	J		
		1 0 0 4 मिन	} 0	0 0	30
	·	1004	J		
		1003	0	17	46
		997 (स.नाला)	0	09	72
		954(स.भूमि)	0	30	64
		955	0	07	84
		9 5 5 मिन _्	}		
		957	} 0	17	46
		9 ५ ७ मिन	J		
		958	0	13	89
		959	0	03	92
		962	0	07	92
	` `	961	0	15	32
9. व	बनेवडी	709	0	13	44
		710	0	0 1	98
		713	0	14	21
		712	0	09	45
		7 1 2 मिन्	J		
		725	0	10	85
		704(स.भूमि)	0	12	83
		703	0	06	72
		703मिन	J		
		702	0	02	24
		734	0	07	10
		737	0	08	91
		738	0	08	10
		700(स.भूमि)	0	00	27
		699	0	06	00
		698	0	00	29
		696	0	12	71

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	नसीराबाद जिला : अजमेर		राज्यः राजस्थान			
ञ्म	·			क्षेत्रफल			
सं.	गाँव का नाम	अ सरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीट		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
9.	बनेवडी (जारी)	697	0	11	05		
		690/1456मिन(स.भूमि)	0	00	20		
		690/1456मिन	0	00	20		
		690/1447(स.भूमि)	0	09	44		
		690/1448(स.भूमि)	0	15	68		
		690/1449(स.भूमि)	0	16	39		
		690/1450(स.भूमि)	} 0	22	1.0		
		772/1451 (स.चारागाह)	J				
		773	0	19	43		
		786 .	0	01	41		
		847(स.भूमि)	0	84	64		
	•	८६२(स.रास्ता)	O	03	33		
		1 1 1 6 (स.भूमि)	0	25	48		
		1 1 1 7 (स.भूमि)	0	. 08	37		
		1118	0	10	51		
		१ १ १ ८ मिन	J		- -		
		1120	0	03	78		
		1100	0	13	67		
		1099	0	00	20		
		1098	0	09	27		
		1097	0	04	63		
		1092	0	06	24		
		1091	0	00	20		
	·	1093	0	.03	30		
		1083	0	09	54		
		1082	0	00	20		
		1 0 6 6 (स.भूमि)	0	05	94		
		1074	0	19	4,6		
		1073	0	22	24		
		1 0 7 1 (स.कुंआ)	0	00	80		
		१०७०(स.रास्ता)	O	03	56		
		१४२२(स.चारागाह)	0	30	60		
		१ ३ ९ २ (स.रास्ता)	0	04	33		
		1 3 6 1 (स.भूमि)	0	31	18		
		1362	} o	03	06		
		1 3 6 2 मिन	آ ر				
		1363	0	34	39		
		१ ३९०(स.चारागाह)	0	23	17		
	. 4	१ ३ <i>७</i> ५(स.चारागाह)	0	04	77		
		१ ३७६ (स.चारागाह)	0	36	86		

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्था	न
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	बनेवडी (जारी)	१ ३७६/१ ४५५(स.चारागाह)	0	00	20
		1378	0	16	93
		1 3 7 9 (स.नाला)	0	0.5	<u>,</u> 76

[फा. सं. आर-31015/63/2004-ओ.आर-II] हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2005

s. O. 2316.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 163 dated the 13th January, 2005, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), published in the Gazette of India dated the 15th January, 2005, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Gujarat to Delhi through Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 21st March, 2005;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user therein:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule, appended to this notification, is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of declaration, in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide this Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR- 11 dated 25-11-2004.

SCHEDULE

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State :	RAJAST	HAN
Sr.	,			Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RAJOSHI	3426(G/L Nala)	0	02	01
		3427	0	80	55
		3422/1	} 0	00	23
		3422/2	اِ		
		3428/1(G/L Pahad)	} 0	14	97
		3428/2	J		
		3430	0	07	38
		3429/1	} 0	13	58
		3429/2	1		
		3442/1	} 0	05	70 -
		3442/2	Į		
		3414/1	} 0	00	92
		3414/2	Į		
		3385/1(G/L)	1	10	67
		3385/2	J		
		3386(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	42
		3327(G/L Nala)	0	00	94
		3326	0	00	50
		3325	0	04	98
		.3324	0	04	65
		3323	0	02	85
		3333	0	05	57
		3334	0	02	62
		3316	0	01	92
		3337	0	00	81
		3315	0	04	63
		3313	0	07	37
		3344(G/L Barda)	} 0	00	20
		3344Min(Barda)		00	20
		3256(G/L Abadi)	0	11	97
		3257/1(G/L Abadi) 3257/2	} o	10	83
		3258	0	07	15
		3259/1(G/L Abadi)]		
	•	3259/2	} 0	05	93
		3290	0	00	47

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State :	RAJASTI	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RAJOSHI (Contd)	3289/1	} 0	03	37
		3289/2	J		
		3260	0	02	53
		3263	0	04	83
		3264	0	05	94
		3265/1(G/L Abadi) 3265/2	0	11	01
		3236(G/L Road)	0	01	99
		3111	0	04	72
		3110	0	02	13
		3109	0	00	95
		3114/1	} 0	00	20
f		3114/2			
		3108/1	} 0	01	68
	•	3108/2	{		
		3106/1(G/L Abadi)	0	00	20
		3106/2 3107/1) 1		
		3107/2	} 0	03	46
		3105/1(G/L Abadi)))		
		3105/2			
		3105/3/1	} 0	80	76
		3105/3/2			
		3103	0	01	80
		3104/1(G/L Pal)) ,	02	20
		3104/2	} 0	03	28
		3122	0	04	15
		3123(G/L Mori)	0	01	20
		3124/4273	0	05	93
		3056(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	42
		3081/1	0	03	20
		3081/2			
		3081/4276	0	00	50
		3081/4276/1)	01	
		3080 3079	0 0	01 01	00
		3078	0	04	82 68
		3073 3077	0	11	38
		3075	0	00	65
		2764(G/L Cart Track)	0	05	19
			<u>~</u>		

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : I	RAJASTI	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RAJOSHI (Contd)	2760 -	0	00	20
	,	2762	0	04	67
	4	2763/1	} 0	09	17
		2763/2	Į	00	.,
		2757/1	} 0	07	28
		2757/2	اِ ٽ	0,	
		2756/1	} 0	05	35
		2756/2	J		
		2755	0	07	17
		2754	0	00	20
		2753(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	77
		2775/1(G/L Barda)	} o	08	90
		2775/2	J		
		2815(G/L Pal)	0	04	33
	•	2726	0	08	17
	·	2721	0	00	56
		2725	0	06	74
		2723	.0	08	86
		2668	0	03	87
		2667(G/L Nala)	0	03	07
	4'	2666/4243(G/L Nala)	0	00	20
		2664/4242(G/L Nala)	0	02	61
	4	2664/1	} 0	31	37
		2664/2	J		
		2330/1	} 0	03	86
		2330/2	J	13	19
		2336	0	09	08
		2337	0	09	82
		2335	0	10	69
		2341	0	06	59
		2342	0	02	19
		2324(P.W.D. Road)	0	11	67
		2309	0	14	49
	•	2297 - 2298	0	05	34
		2296	0	04	99
		2296 2294	0	04	70
	•	2294	0	00	47
		2293 2291	0	14	26
		1240	0	07	73
1		. 1240			

Sr. No. Name of the Village Khasara No. Hectare Area 1. 2 3 4 5 1. RAJOSHI (Contd) 2287 0 00 1241 0 07 1242 0 06 1245 0 04 1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05 2156 0 01 0 0 0	Sq.mtr. 6 20 13 41 53 77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41 06
1. RAJOSHI (Contd) 2 3 4 5 1. RAJOSHI (Contd) 1241 0 07 1242 0 06 1245 0 04 1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	6 20 13 41 53 77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1. RAJOSHI (Contd) 2287 0 00 1241 0 07 1242 0 06 1245 0 04 1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	20 13 41 53 77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1241 0 07 1242 0 06 1245 0 04 1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	13 41 53 77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1242 0 06 1245 0 04 1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	41 53 77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1245 0 04 1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	53 77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1246 0 06 1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	77 04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1248 0 03 1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	04 48 53 14 07 33 41
1255 0 07 1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	48 53 14 07 33 41
1268 0 03 1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	53 14 07 33 41
1270 0 01 1269 0 02 2157 0 05	14 07 33 41
1269 0 02 2157 0 05	07 33 41
2157 0 05	33 41
	41
2156 0 01	
	06
2161 0 06	
2163 0 14	09
2165 0 03	41
2155(G/L Cart Track) 0 02	22
2138(G/L Cart Track) 0 01	88
2070 0 06	49
2071 0 01	88
2073 0 09	01
2140 0 02	68
2139 0 18 _,	29
1446 0 09 ^f	15
1441 0 .00	65
1447 0 00	78
1445 0 05	33
1454 0 00	20
1452 0 06	37
1453 0 04	99
1461 0 00	.40
1462 0 22	37
1463/1 0 00	79
1463/2	67
1460 0 00	67 60
1493 0 21	60
1551/1(Ministry of Defence) 0 12 1551/2	12
1560/2 1560/1(Ministry of Defence) 0 13	36

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State:	RAJASTI	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RAJOSHI (Contd)	1557/2] o	14	01
		1557/1(Ministry of Defence)	J		
		1558	0	00	20
		1556/1(Ministry of Defence)	- 0	00	95
		1556/2	ĺ		
		1578/1(G/L Cart Track)	} 0	02	85
		1578/2(Ministry of Defence)	J		
		1577/2	} 0	00	20
		1577/1(Ministry of Defence)	_	16	00
		1576 1581	0 0	16 13	82 10
		1582	0	10	. 18 . 24
		1584	0	12	47
		1583	0	08	81
2.	DILWADI	17	Ö	08	73
3.	GADERI	53)	00	, 0
		53Min	} o	11	89
		53Min	J		
		52	0	01	59
		54)		
		54Min	} o₁	15	03
		54Min	J		
		55	} o	09	54
		55Min	, 0	09	54
		56	} 0	06	93
		56Min		00	33
		57	0	10	69
		51Min(G/L Cart Track)	} o	00	20
		51Min	J		
		60(P.W.D. Road)	0	01	26
		61(P.W.D. Road)	0	02	13
		62(P.W.D. Road)	0	01	89
		86	0	06	77
		85Min	0,	00	20
		85Min			
		87Min(G/L Pasture)			
		87Min(HPCL) { 87Min {	1	09	06
		87Min(NHAI Road)			
		88(G/L Nala)	0	01	26
		OO(G/L IVala)		01	26

ſ		Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : I	RAJASTI	HAN
	Sr.				Area	
	No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
	1.	2	3	4	5	6
	3.	GADERI (Contd)	70	0	00	20
			94	0	00	93
			89Min	0	38	62
			89Min	j		
			90	0	00	20
			91Min	0	00	32
			91Min	,	07	40
			92(G/L Barda)	0	07 01	48 67
			96 101(G/L)	0 0	01 25	67 66
			101(G/L) 103(G/L Barda)	0	38	06
			103(G/L Barda)	0	04	63
			104	0	00	20
			105	Ö	02	16
			106,	0	19	44
			107	0	02	16
			108	Ö	09	26
	4.	MODI	4(G/P Pasture)	1	11	06
			In Bet. Svy. No. 4 & 21	0	01	20
			2 1	0	41	16
			20)		
			20Min			
			20Min	> 0	01	72
			20Min			
			20Min)		
			22	0	00	20
		*	23(G/L)	0	80	16
			38(G/L)	0	00	20
			38Min	J		
	_	LAVEŠA	24(G/P Pasture)	0	01	30
,	5.	LAVERA	725	0	10	18
			726(G/L Rock)	0	13	12
			728	} 0	35	64
			728Min)	01	62
			734(G/L Cart Track) 735	0 0	01 22	62 37
			735 736(G/L)	0	07	20
		•	736(G/L) 742	0	07	20 86
			742 743	0	17	11
			743 744	0	15	12
_			177		10	14

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : I	RAJASTI	HAN
Sr.	Γ			Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
5.	LAVERA (Contd)	745	0	03	90
		746	0	03	57
		757	0	18	18
		758	0	06	66
		759	0	01	80
		760	0	07	36
		761	0	06	16
		764(G/L)	0	32 ⁻	47
		798	0	07	60
		799	0	00	20
		797	0	28	20
		800	} o	01	20
		800Min	J •	01	20
		801	0	01	44
		802	0	02	62
		803	0	06	11
		804(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	44
		805	0	01	41
		806	0	14	85
	•	811	0	09	36
		810	0	04	08
		812	0	06	41
		815	0 .	03	82
		814	0	03	02
		892	0	07	03
		890	0	06	30
		891	0	06	06
		889	0	04	62
		905	0	01	02
		906	0	28	80
		935	0	08	37
		936	0	07	38
		941	0	04	70
		939	. 0	03	46
		940	0	16	02
		948	0	01	24
		959	0	07	38
		958	0	10	02
		957	0	09	62

Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State :	RAJASTI	HAN
Sr.			Area	
No. Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1. 2	3	4	5	6
5. LAVERA (Contd)	955	0	20	67
	990	0	01	54
	992	0	23	65
	1526	0	04	28
	1525	0	04	. 32
	1524	0	03	92
	1522	0	03	01
	1521	0	00	20
	1516	0	09	00
	1515	0	02	52
	1514	0	04	32
	1513(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	41
	1510	0	03	40
	1497	0	00	40
	1496	0	10	87
	1495(G/L Pal)	0	05	28
	1494	0	00	63
	1493	0	80	55
	1492	0	02	52
	1438	0	04	13
	1439	0	01	19
	1441	0	00	20
	1445	0	04	34
	1442	0	00	72
	1444	0	00	20
	1443	0	04	64
	1448	0	06	06
	1449	0	04	99
	1450	0	00	20
	1482	} 0	04	91
	1482/1639		04	31
	1467	0	04	63
	1466	Э	03	96
	1465	0.	05	04
	1464	0	04	32
	1463	0	06	77
6. RAMPURA AHIRAN	374Min	} 0	06	30
	374		UU	30
	375	0	_13	68

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State :	RAJAST	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
6.	RAMPURA AHIRAN (Contd)	376	0	00	20
		377	0	06	03
		386	0	00	48
		385	0	11	70
		384	0	09	06
		389	0	02	03
		383	0	13	32
		392	0	00	56
		414	0	13	50
		413	0	11	94
		410	0	02	16
		411	0	11	52
		439	0	21	74
		440	0	18	77
		438	0	01	35
		441	0	05	35
		442	0	09	62
		443	0	13	32
		444	0	06	30
		141(G/L)	0	05	76
		140(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	13
		488	0	07	74
		121	0	32	43
		500	0	36	71
		501	0	29	93
		502	0	08	55
		503	0	08	19
7.	IILAWADA	881(G/P Pasture)	0	09	27
		898(G/P Pasture)	0	01	95
		1324(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	80
		1325(G/P Pasture)	0	16	04
		1326(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	62
		1323Min)		•	
	4 2 to	1323Min(G/P Pasture)	0	10	33
		1323(G/P Pasture)			
		1329(G/P Pasture)	0	01	50
		1322	0	02	70
		1321	0	17	46
	⊬	1320	0	00	24

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : R	AJAST	HAN
Sr					
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mi
1.	2	3	4	5	6
7.	JILAWADA (Contd)	1318(G/L)			
		1318Min	0	11	07
		1318Min)		
		1317	0	01	45
		1334	0	00	69
		1316	0	04	30
		1315	0	00	20
		1338	0	03	46
		1337	0	01	94
		1339	0	03	42
		1340	0	00	82
		1350	0	03	24
		1351	0	00	20
		1349(G/L Dhora)	0	01	35
		1369Min(G/L Mori)	} 0	01	43
		1369Min	5	01	70
		1367	0	01	07
		1357	0	10	60
		1358	0	00	21
		1355Min(G/L Dhora)	} 0	00	50
		1355Min	50	00	30
		1359	0	04	11
		1360	0	04	50
		1361Min(G/L Dhora)	10	03	96
		1361Min	} 0	03	90
		1362	0	03	67
		1356	0	00	96
		1207	0	01	40
		1363(G/L Dhora)	0	03	74
		1152	0	06	04
		1201	0	01	80
		1200	0	05	35
		1188	0	12	12
		1169(G/L Dhora)	0	01	44
		1151	0	00	98
		1153	0	06	48
		1150	0	04	99
8.	KANPURA	329	0	12	11
	10.20.00.01	331	0	06	84

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : F	RAJAST	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
8.	KANPURA (Contd)	332	0	04	98
		333	0	02	80
		322	0	12	47
		321	0	04	27
		316	0	20	88
		315	0	08	88
		344	0	03	12
		290	0	01	78
		345	0	00	20
		289	0	02	07
		288	0	06	66
		287	0	08	35
		348	0	01	39
		286	0	01	42
		251	0	00	36
		285	0	02	00
		252	0	16	66
		253	0	00	36
		254	0	12	96
		240Min		10	75
		240Min	} 0	19	75
		240	J ^	00	20
		255	0	00	20
		238	0	02	29 20
		164	0	00	20
		165	0	20 00	48 25
		230	0	01	68
		166	0 0	42	14
		227	0	03	40
		226 807	0	16	22
			0	00	40
		225	0	00	20
		224 892	0	23	64
			0	18	18
		893 894	0	03	78
		895	0	13	54
		896(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	72
		890(G/L Call Hack)	0	21	02
	(1)	091			

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : F	RAJAST	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
8.	KANPURA (Contd)	1014	0	01	44
		1013	0	57	35
		1013Min	J	00	
		1321	0	00	20
		998(G/L)	} 0	12	60
		998Min	J		
		1005Min		00	32
		1005Min(G/L)	0	09	32
		1005) 1		
		1004Min	} 0	00	30
		1004	0	17	46
		1003	0	09	72
		997(G/L Nala)	0	30	64
		954(G/L) 955)	30	
		955Min	0	07	84
		957	1		4.0
		957Min	} 0	17	46
		958	0	13	89
		959	0	03	92
		962	0	07	92
		961	0	15	32
9.	BANEWADI	709	0	13	44
		710	0	01	98
		713	0	14	21
		712	} 0	09	45
		712Min			
		725	0	10	85
		704(G/L)	0	12	83
		703	} 0	06	72
		703Min	J		
		702	0	02	24
		734	0	07	10
		737	0	08	91
		738	0	80	10
		700(G/L)	0	00	27
		699	0	06	00
		698	0	00	29 71
		696	0	12	71_

i —	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : F	RAJAST	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
9.	BANEWADI (Contd)	697	0.	11	05
		690/1456Min(G/L)	0	00	20
	•	690/1456Min	0	00	20
		690/1447(G/L)	0	09	44
		690/1448(G/L)	0	15	68
		690/1449(G/L)	0	16	39
		690/1450(G/L)	} 0	22	10
		772/1451(G/L Pasture)	J • .	22	10
		773	0	19	43
		786	0	01	41
		847(G/L)	0	84	64
		862(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	33
		1116(G/L)	0	25	48
		1117(G/L)	0	80	37
	,	1118) <u> </u>	40	E4
		1118 M in) 0.	10	51
		1120	0	03	78
		1100	0	13	67
		1099	0	00	20
		1098	0	09	27
		1097	0	04	63
		1092	0	06	24
		1091	0	00	20
		1093	Ō	03	30
		1083	0	09	54
		1082	0	00	20
		1066(G/L)	Ö	05	94
		1074	Ö	19	46
	•	1073	Ö	22	24
		1071(G/L Well)	. 0	00	;80
		1070(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	56
		1422(G/L Pasture)	Ö	30	60
		1392(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	33
		1361(G/L)	0	31	18
		1362	} .	•	٥,
		1362Min	} 0	03	06
		1363	0	34	39
		1390(G/L Pasture)	0	23	17
		1375(G/L Pasture)	0	04	77
		1376(G/L Pasture)	0	36	86

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State: RAJASTHAN		
Sr.					
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1.	2	3	4	5	6
9.	BANEWADI (Contd)	1376/1455(G/L Pasture)	0	00	20
		1378	0	16	93
		1379(G/L Nala)	0	05	76

[No. R-31015/63/2004-O.R.-IJ] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2317.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मुन्द्रा (गुजरात) से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में, जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाऐ जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री शिवदत्त गौड़, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, मुन्द्रा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन, पिरयोजना, हिंदुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपीरेशन लिमिटेड, डी-7, लालबहादुर नगर (पूर्व), क्लार्क्स आमेर होटल के सामने, जवाहरलाल नेहरू मार्ग, मालवीय नगर, जयपुर-302017(राजस्थान) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तहसील : नसीराबाद		जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	राज्य ः राजस्थान		
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल			
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
ा. राज	गेसी	3426(स.नाला)	, 0	0 1	19	
		3422/1	o `	03	33	
		3422/2	J			
		3430	0	00	10	
		3429/1	} 0	00	31	
		3429/2	Ţ			
		3414/1	ļ o	00	50	
		3414/2	J			
		३३२७(स.नाला)	0	00	84	
	·	3326	0	0 1	87	
		3324	0	02	47	
		3333	0	00	13	
		3334	0	0 1	05	
		3337	0	00	14	
		3313	0	00	27	
		3258	0	00	33	
	•	3260	. 0	00	67	
		3263	0	0 1	17	
		३ 236(स.सड़क)	0	0.0	14	
		3111	0	00	62	
		3114/1	} o	00	51	
		3114/2			0.	
		3108/1	} o	00	93	
		3108/2				
		3107/1] 0	00	10	
		3107/2		,		
		3 1 0 4 / 1 (स.पाल)	} • o	00	64	
		3104/2	ſ		•	
		3122	0	00	83	
		3 1 2 3 (स.मोरी)	0	00	22	
		3124/4273	0	00	84	
		3124/4272	0	00	20	
		3081/1	} 0	00	33	
		3081/2	J			
		3080	0	00	62	
		3077	0	0 1	80	
	,	3075	0	0 1	7.5	

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्था	न
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	राजोसी (जारी)	2763/1	} 0	00	47
		2763/2	<u> </u>	00	41
		2757/1	} o	0 0	64
		2757/2			0.4
		2 7 5 3 (स.रास्ता)	0	01	44
		२७७ ५/१ (स.बरड़ा)	0	00	14
		2775/2	J -		
		2 ८ १ ५ (स.पाल)	0	00	30
•		2721	0	00	54
		2723	0	00	41
		2668	0	0 0	0 5
		266 7 (स.नाला)	0	00	49
		2664/4242(स.नाला)	0	00	24
		2666/4273	0	00	20
		2330/1	} 0	0 1	18
		2330/2	J		
		2335	0	00	98
		232 4(पी.ड ल्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	0 0	30
		2294	0	00	53
		1240	0	00	47
		1245	0	00	28
		1248	0	00	29
		1249	0	0 0	20
		1254	0	00	20
		1270	0	00	74
		2157	0	0 0	29
		2156	0	00	10
		2163	0	00	51
		2165	0	00	14
		2 1 5 5 (स.रास्ता)	0	0 0	13
		2070	0	0 0	32
		2073	0	0.0	42
		2140	0	00	61
		2139	0 0	0 1 0 0	03 13
		1441	0	00	
		1445	0	02	28 _. 54
		1452	0	01	56
		1462)		
		1463/1 1463/2	0	0 1	34
		1493	ر 0	0 0	14
		1493			

	ंतहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्थ	<u> </u>
क्रम		Œ(क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	राजोसी (जारी)	1582	0	- 01	16
		1583	0	0 1	52
2.	गादेरी	53]		
		5 3 मिन	} 0	00	22
		53मिन	J		
		54		0.0	20
		54मिन	} 0	00	29
		54मिन	ļ		
	·	55	} o	00	8 0
		5 5 मिन	1		
		56	} o	00	19
	· ·	5 6 मिन - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	J		1.0
		६०(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	00	16
		62(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	00	24
		८८(स.नाला) ८९मिन	O T	0 1	21
		४५१मन ८९मिन	} 0	00	78
	•	४ ५१मन ९ १ मिन	1		
		५ । मन ९ १ मिन	} 0	00	70
		१०३(स.बरड़ा)	0	0 1	05
		106	. 0	00	34
3.	मोड़ी	ख.सं. ४ व २१ के बीच	0	00	05
		21	0	0 1	40
		20)		
		20मिन			
		20मिन	> 0	00	86
		20मिन	1		
		20मिन)		
		38(स.भूमि)	}	00	26
		38मिन	ſ	.	,
		24(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	01	75
	,	26	0	0 1	0.4,
4.	लवेरा	721	0	00	88
		722	0	03	80
	,	725	0	18	71
		७२६(स.पत्थर)	0	02	20
		७३४(स.रास्ता)	0	00	52
	* •	736(स.भूमि)	0	00	36
		744	0	0.0	20
		745	0	00	38

तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्थ	ान
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल	
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6
4. लवेरा (जारी)	758	0	0 1	54
	760	0	00	10
	761	0	1.1	30
	797	0	00	34
	803	0	00	18
	८०४(स.रास्ता)	0	00	16
	811	0	0 1	24
	815	0	0 0	29
	892	0	00	45
	890	0	00	1 1
	889	0	00	78
	906	0	00	24
	936	0	00	64
	939	0	02	25
	956	0	00	20
	990	0	00	50
	1525	0	00	31
	1516	0	00	27
	1 5 1 3 (स.रास्ता)	0	00	22
	1496	0	02	43
	1 4 9 5 (स.पाल)	0	00	42
	1494	0	00	8 0
	1492	0	0 1	02
	1438	0	00	04
	1439	0	04	26
	1442	0	01	57
	1443	0	0 1	06
	1482	0	0 1	50
	1482/1639	J		
	1 468(स.बरडा)	0	00	20
5. रामपुरा अहिरान	375	0	00	21
	385	0	00	41
	384	0	00	21
	389	0	00	1 3
	392	0	00	40
	410	0	00	19
	411	0	00	36
6 Paragraph	444	0	00	47
6. जिलावड़ा	1 3 2 6 (स.रास्ता)	0	00	16
	1322	0	00	15

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	ग्रन्य	: राजस्था	 । त
क्रम		TOKAN Y GIGGER	T	क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	जिलावड़ा (जारी)	1320	0	00	08
		1316	0	00	53
		1339	0	00	41
		१ ३ ४ ९ (स.धोरा)	0	00	07
		1357	0	00	10
		1359	0	00	53
		1360	0	00	13
		1362	0	0 1	67
		१ ३ ६ ३ (स.धोरा)	0	0 1	96
		1 1 6 9 (स.धोरा)	0	00	34
		1153	0	00	- 76
7.	कानपुरा	331	0	00	28
		333	0	00	40
		316	0	00	50
		344	0	00	80
		288	0	00	11
		348	0	00	03
		253	0	00	24
		254	0	00	79
		165	0	00	40
		230	0	00	22
		227	. 0	01	25
		807	0	03	07
		892	0	00	23
		894	0	00	32
		998(स.भूमि) 998मिन	}	05	04
		997 (स.नाला)	0	00 -	25
	0	962	0	01	34
8.	बनेवडी	710	0	00	14
		712 712मिन	} 0	0 1	44
		704(स.भूमि)	0	00	36
		702	0	02	76
		734	0	06	72
		737	0	00	71
		73.8	0	00	10
		699	0	0.0	58
	•	८४७(स.भूमि)	0	00	72
		1 1 1 7 (स.भूमि)	0	0.1	61
		1120	0	00	32
		1101	0	00	71

	तहसील : नसीराबाद	जिला : अजमेर	राज्य	ः राजस्थ	ान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	बनेवडी (जारी)	1097	0	03	57
		1093	0	04	66
	·	1094	0	00	96
		1066 (स.भूमि)	0	04	75
		1079	0	19	46
		1073	0	00	93
		1 0 7 1 (स.कुंआ)	0	00	27
		१ ४२२(स.चारागाह)	0	00	41
		१ ३ ९ २ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	02
		1 362 1 362 मिन	} 0	00	32
		१ ३७ ५ (स.चारागाह)	0	00	58

[फा. सं. आर-31015/63/2004-ओ.आर-॥] हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2005

s. o. 2317.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Mundra (Gujarat) to Delhi, a pipeline should be laid by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conterred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein:

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Shivdutt Gaur, Competent Authority, Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline, Project, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, D-7, Lal Bahadur Nagar (East), Opp. Clarks Amer Hotel, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur – 302017 (Rajasthan).

SCHEDULE

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : R		IAN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr
1	2	3	4	5_	6
1.	RAJOSHI	3426(G/L Nala)	0	01	19
		3422/1	} 0	03	33
		3422/2			
		3430	0	00	10
		3429/1	} 0	00	31
		3429/2		00	01
		3414/1	} o	00	50
		3414/2	J	00	50
		3327(G/L Nala)	0	00	84
	• *	3326	0	01	87
		3324	0	02	47
		3333	0	00	13
		3334	0	01	05
		3337	0	00	14
		3313	0	00	27
		3258	0	00	33
		3260	0	00	67
		3263	0	01	17
		3236(G/L Road)	0	00	14
		3111	0	00	62
		3114/1		00	E 1
		3114/2	} 0	00	51
		3108/1	1		02
		3108/2	} 0	00	93
		3107/1	ĺ	00	10
		3107/2	} 0	00	10
		3104/1(G/L Pal)	ĺ	00	0.4
		3104/2	} 0	00	64
		3122	0	00	83
		3123(G/L Mori)	0	00	22
		3124/4273	0	00	84
		3124/4272	0	00	20
		3081/1)		
		3081/2	} 0	00	33
		3080	0	00	62
	•	3077	. 0	01	80
		3075	0	01	75

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : R	AJASTH	AN
Sr.				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RAJOSHI (Contd)	2763/1	0	00	47
		2763/2	{		
		2757/1	0	00	64
		2757/2	0	01	44
		2753(G/L Cart Track) 2775/1(G/L Barda))		
		2775/1(G/L Baida) 2775/2	} 0	00	14
		2815(G/L Pal)	0	00	30
		2721	0	00	54
		2723	0	00	41
		2668	0	00	05
		2667(G/L Nala)	0	00	49
		2664/4242(G/L Nala)	0	00	24
		2666/4273	0	00	20
		2330/1	} o	01	18
		2330/2	J		
		2335	0	00	98
		2324(P.W.D. Road)	0	00	30
		2294	0	00	53
		1240	0	00	47
		1245	0	00	28
		1248	0	00	29
		1249	0	00	20
		1254	0	00	20
		1270	0	00	74
		2157	0	00	29
		2156	0	00	10
		2163	0	00	51 14
		2165	0	00 00	14 13
		2155(G/L Cart Track)	0 0	00	32
		2070 2073	0	00	42
		2140	0	00	61
		2139	0	01	03
		1441	0	00	13
		1445	0	00	28
		1452	0	02	54
		1462	0	01	56
		1463/1)		
		1463/2	} 0	01	34
		1493	0	00	14

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : R.	AJASTH	AN
Sr				Area	
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RAJOSHI (Contd)	1582	0	01	16
		1583	0	01	52
2.	GADERI	53)		
		53Min	} 0	00	22
		53Min	Į		
		54			
		54Min	} 0	00	29
	•	54Min	{		
		55	0	00	08
		55Min	J		
		56	} 0	00	19
	·	56Min	ļ		
		60(P.W.D. Road)	0	00	16
		62(P.W.D. Road)	0	00	24
		88(G/L Nala)	0	01	21
		89Min	0	00	78
		89Min	J .		
		91Min	0	00	70
	,	91Min	J	04	٥٣
		103(G/L Barda)	0	01	05
_	MODI	106	0	00	34
3.	MODI	In Bet. Svy. No. 4 & 21	0 0	00 01	05 40
		21 20	١	O I	40
		20Min			
		20Min	} 0	00	86
	,	20Min		00	00
		20Min			
		38(G/L)	, 1		
		38Min	} 0	00	26
		24(G/P Pasture)	0	01	75 .
		26	0	01	04
4.	LAVERA	721	0	00	88
٦.	EAVENA	722	0	03	80
		725	0	18	71
		726(G/L Rock)	0	02	20
		734(G/L Cart Track)	Ö	00	52
		736(G/L)	0	00	36
		744	Ö	00	20
		745	Ö	00	38

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : R.	AJASTH	AN
Sr				Area	11/20
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr
1	2	3	4	5	6
4.	LAVERA (Contd)	758	0	01	54
		760	0	00	10
		761	0	11	30
	,	797	0	00	34
		803	0	00	18
		804(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	16
		811	0	01	24
		815	0	00	29
		892	0	00	45
		890	0	00	11
		889	0	00	78
		906	0	00	24
		936	0	00	64
		939	0	02	25
		956	0	00	20
		990	0	00	50
		1525	0	00	31
		1516	0	00	27
		1513(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	22
		1496	0	02	43
		1495(G/L Pal) 1494	0 0	00	42
		1492	0	00 01	08 02
		1438	0	00	04
		1439	0	04	26
		1442	0	01	57 ⁻
		1443	0	01	06
		1482)		
		1482/1639	} 0	01	50
		1468(G/L Barda)	0	00	20
5.	RAMPURA AHIRAN	375	0	00	21
		385	0	00	41
		384	0	00	21
		389	0	00	13
		392	0	00	40
		410	. 0	00	19
		411	0	00	36
		444	0	00	4 7
6.	JILAWADA	1326(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	16
		1322	0	00	15

No	Γ	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : R	AJASTH	AN
No	Sr				Area	
6. JILAWADA (Contd) 1320 0 00 05 1316 0 00 53 1339 0 00 41 1349(G/L Dhora) 0 00 07 1357 0 00 10 1359 0 00 53 1360 0 00 13 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 76 1353 0 00 76 1353 0 00 76 1353 0 00 76 1354 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 00 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 00 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 255 0 00 25 257 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 9962 0 01 34 88 BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 712Min		Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare		Sq mtr.
1316 0 00 53 1339 0 00 41 1349(G/L Dhora) 0 00 07 1357 0 00 10 1359 0 00 53 1360 0 00 13 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 13153 0 00 76 1353 0 00 40 3316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 348 0 00 00 348 0 00 03 348 0 00 00 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 897(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 888 0 00 144 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 88 00 00 14 710 0 00 14	1	2				
1339 0 00 41 1349(G/L Dhora) 0 00 07 1357 0 00 10 1359 0 00 53 1360 0 01 67 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 1153 0 00 76 1316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 257 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 05 04 1712 712Min	6.	JILAWADA (Contd)				
1349(G/L Dhora) 0 00 07 1357 0 00 10 1359 0 00 53 1360 0 00 13 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 97 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 331 0 00 26 3316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 286 0 00 11 348 0 00 00 253 0 00 26 253 0 00 23 254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 257 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min } 997(G/L Nala) 0 05 04 998(G/L) 998Min } 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 88						
1357 0 00 10 1359 0 00 53 1360 0 00 13 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 331 0 00 56 334 0 00 56 344 0 00 56 348 0 00 01 348 0 00 00 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 00 253 0 00 24 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 257 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 896(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 896(G/L) 998(G/L) 900 00 25 800 0						
1359 0 00 53 1360 0 00 13 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 331 0 00 26 3316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 286 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 257 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 8 BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 712Min			•			
1360 0 00 13 1362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 1363 0 00 40 331 0 00 26 334 0 00 00 348 0 00 00 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 257 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 9 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 998(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14						
1,362 0 01 67 1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1,169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1,153 0 00 76 1,153 0 00 76 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,153 0 00 40 1,154 0 00 79 1,155 0 00 40 1,155 0 0						
1363(G/L Dhora) 0 01 96 1169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 1153 0 00 26 331 0 00 40 316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 896(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) Nala) 0 00 25 88 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 896 0 01 34 88 88 88 88 88 88 89 0 00 25 897 (G/L) Nala) 0 00 25 88 89 89 0 00 25 89 998(G/L) 998(G/L) Nala) 0 00 25 88 89 0 00 25 89 10 00 25 89 10 00 25 89 10 00 25 80 10						
1.169(G/L Dhora) 0 00 34 1153 0 00 76 1153 0 00 76 331 0 00 26 3316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 2553 0 00 24 2554 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 898(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 998(G/L) 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 88 88 888 88888888888888888888888888						
7. KANPURA 331 0 00 28 333 0 00 40 316 0 00 50 344 0 00 348 0 00 13 348 0 00 253 0 00 254 253 0 00 254 254 0 00 759 165 0 00 227 0 01 230 227 0 01 257 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 8998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 712Min 712 712Min						
7. KANPURA 331 333 0 00 40 344 0 00 50 344 0 00 1348 0 00 253 0 00 24 253 0 00 254 254 0 00 255 165 0 00 26 27 0 01 28 807 0 03 71 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 0 00 23 894 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 997(G/L Nala) 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 88 8 BANEWADI 710 0 01 44 712 712Min			•			
333 0 00 40 316 0 00 50 344 0 0 00 80 288 0 0 00 11 348 0 00 00 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 712Min } 0 01 44	2	KANDURA				
316 0 00 50 344 0 00 80 288 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 8. BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 712Min } 0 01 44	J.,	RAHFORA				40
344						50
288 0 0 00 11 348 0 00 03 253 0 00 24 254 0 00 79 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 8. BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 712Min						80
254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 712 712Min } 0 01 44			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Q		11
254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 712 712Min } 0 01 44				O	00	03
254 0 00 75 165 0 00 40 230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 712 712Min } 0 01 44				O'	00	24
230 0 00 22 227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 712Min } 0 01 44			254	0	00	79
227 0 01 25 807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 712Min 227 0 01 25			165	0.	00	40
807 0 03 07 892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 712Min 8 0 03 07 00 03 07 00 03 07 00 04 00 04 00 04 00 04 00 04			230	0	00	22
892 0 00 23 894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 712Min 8 894 0 00 32 998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 712 0 01 44						25
894 0 00 32 998(G/L) 0 05 04 998Min 0 00 25 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 0 0 01 44 712Min						07
998(G/L) 0 05 04 998Min 0 00 25 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 710 0 00 14 712 0 01 44 712Min						23
998Min				0	00	32
998Min 997(G/L Nala) 0 00 25 962 0 01 34 8. BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 } 0 01 44			1	0	05	04
8. BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 } 0 01 44 712Min				j		
8. BANEWADI 710 0 00 14 712 712Min } 0 01 44						
712 712Min } 0 01 44					0	
712Min 5 0 01 44	8.	BANEWADI		7	00	
			4	}	01	44
104(0/L)				, n	00	36
			7 -			76
						72
						71
					-	10
						58
						72
						617
, , ,						32
					00	71

	Tehsil: NASIRABAD	District : AJMER	State : RA	JASTH	IAN	
Sr.			Area			
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	. 4	5	6	
8.	BANEWADI (Contd)	1097	0	03	57	
		1093 .	0	04	66	
		1094	0	00	96	
		1066(G/L)	0	04	75	
•		1079	0	19	46	
		1073	0	00	93	
		1071(G/L Well)	0	00	27	
	•	1422(G/L Pasture)	0	00	41	
		1392(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	02	
		1362 1362Min	} o	00	32	
		1375(G/L Pasture)	. 0	00	58	

[No. R-31015/63/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secv.

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2318.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 2979 तारीख 11 नवम्बर, 2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीख 20 नवम्बर, 2004, में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुन्द्रा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुन्द्रा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम से हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीखा 15 फरवरी, 2005, को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और केब्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है :

अतः अ**ब, केन्द्रीय स**रकार, उन्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, **यह घोषणा** करनी **है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में** विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना है

और केन्द्रीय संस्कार उत्तत अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, वह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख़ की केन्द्रीय संस्कार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमृति प्रत्र, सं. आर - 31015/7/03 ओ.आर-]] दिनांक 25/11/2004 द्वारा लगाई नई शर्तों के सध्यधीन सभी विल्लंगमों से मुदत, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

	तहसील :	पिण्डव	ाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज्य : राजस्यान			
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल					
सं.	गाँव	का न	तम .	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीट	
1		2		3	4	5	6	
1.	कासिन्द्रा			१ ५ ५ (स.खालखद्दर)	0	03	20	
				1 ५६(स.नाला)	0	03	14	
				, 158	0	01	12	
				159	0	10	32	
	-			160	0	04	32	
				142	0	05	52	
			•	141	0	10	36	
				136	0	05	91	
				135	0	12	91	
			•	126	0	14	00	
				124	. 0	00	43	
				128	0	00	20	
				127	0	10	32	
				1 2 2 मिन 0 1	0	03	43	
				1 1 4 (स.नाला)	0	04	50	
				106	0	1 2	3 2	
				107	0	06	87	
				105	0	02	59	
	-			108	0	13	35	
		7 .		109	0	09	52	
		٠,		102	0	12	60	
				94	0	02	25	
				95	. 0	09	96	
				96	0	00	57	
				९७ (स.खालखद्दर)	0	09	42	
				98	0	04	29	
				176(स.नदी)	0	10	05	
				191	.0	03	16	
				195	0	14	02	
				194	0	0 1	09	
				193	0	06	33	
				196	0	09	82	
				२ १ ५ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	75	

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज्	यः राजर	त्यान
ञ्म	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			क्षेत्रफल	<u> </u>
सं.	गाँच का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्ट्रेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीट
1 .	2	3	4	5	б
1.	कासिन्द्रा (जारी.,.)	214	0	√01	88
		2,28	0	0 1	26
		2.13	0	22	61
		229	0	00	21
		232	0.	74 0.5	84
		239	O.		29
		243	0	10	24
		244/498	0	19	11
		245	0	0.1	23
		261/497	0	15	74
		26 । मिन् ० । (स.खालखद्दर)	0	03	24
		261/475	0	07	48
		261/1	0	10	33
		389/470	0	07	14
		389/471	0	10	28
		389/486	0	00	76
		375/437	0	21	02
		375/434	0	04	27
		375/468	0	05	34
		3.7 5मिन 0 1	0	0 1	80
		375/493	0	0 1	08
		374	0	02	0 5
		575/438	0	00	20
		३५९(स.रास्ता)	0	04	36
		357	0	03	20
		356	0	20	84
		3 55	0	18	17
		3 48	0	0 1	15
		३,६ १ (स.नाला)	. 0	0 1	69
		362मिन् ०१ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह) 362/429 (म्री डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	} o	54	29
		315	0	30	17
		316	0	30	33
		31.7(स.सत्ता)	0	02	52
		301	0	12	26
		303	0	10	8 1
		305	0	04	27
		304 मिन	0	12	32
		३०मन २ १० मिन)	1 4-	V 2
		290/1			
			0	32	56
		290/2			
		290/3			
		290/4	. J.		

तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिल्लाः सिरोही	सब	य : राजा	स्थान
क्रम	- Chicago Alama	order or (Share or	क्षेत्रफल	- 10113
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्ट्रेयर	एखर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6
2. अचपुरी (असे)	278	0.	24	72
•	277	0	04	00
3. सामवाडा	२७६(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	50	61
3. सामवाडा	376 (स.भूमि)	0	14	58
	355(स.भूमि) 355मिन	}	00	43
	356/1	7		
	356 (स.भूमि)	} 0	18	35
	357	0	09	21
	375	0	26	00
	373/1	0	21	26
	371	0	00	62
	372	0	16	84
	330(स.भूमि)	0	22	06
	327	0	12	05
	325(स.नाला)	0	04	95
	324	0	06	55
	2 1 6 (स.नाला)	0	1 5	50
	2 1 5 (स.भूमि)	0	02	85
	210	0	05	63
	209 208	0	1,3	08
	207	0 0	07 00	47
	१९३(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	1	05	25 13
	142	0	41	56
	141/1	0	07	40
	135	0	20	12
	136/1	0	13	60
	१६६(स.रास्ता)	0	09	64
	54	0	14	35
	58	0	19	74
	3.5	0	16	24
	33	0	12	21
	28	0	18	44
	27	0	06	83
	27/386	0	04	71
	26	0	00	27
	25	0	00	38
	९०(स.रास्ता)	0	04	99
	91	0	00	20
4	101(स.नाला)	0.	0 1	58
4. फूलाबाईखेड़ा	576(स.नाला) 576/651	} 0	02	35

तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राज	ध्याव
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल	- [1
सं. गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 ' 2	3	4	5	6
4. फूलाबाईखेड़ा (जारी)	5 <i>7 7</i> मिन 0 1	0	18	24
- ,	589	0	30	08
•	591	0	13	60
	588	0	00	20
	587	0	04	68
i.	585	0	17	88
	५४४(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	5 <i>7</i>
	541	. 0	. 08	20
	515/648	0	30	60
	540	0	00	20
	5 1 5 (स. ना ला)	0	24	37
	494	0	07	83
	493	0	08	54
	488	0	30	09
	484	0	17	57
	486	0	00	20
	462/2	0	30	17
<u> </u>	461	0	22	18
	460	0	22	36
	458	0	22	94
5) 0	454	0	3 1	68
5. काछोली	830	0	1 1	52
	831	0	0 1	98
	834	0	16	99
	836	0	15	10
	७८३ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	02
	768	0	20	11
	767	0	29	45
	771	0	01	05
	770	0	04	22
	765	0	01	15
	678(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क) 674	0	01	42
		0	0.0	20
	673	0	09	80
	668 675	0	0 8 0 0	40
	667	0		32
	665	0	02 00	70 69
	666	0 0	04	69 74
• • •	६४७ (स.रास्ता)	0	01	71

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	. राज	य ः राजर	थान
क्रम			:	क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	् खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	काछोली (जारी)	548	0	17	30
		546	0	10	67
	•	544	0	04	48
		542	0	02	28
		543	0	03	26
		537	0	08	75
		538	0	00	42
		539	0	00	30
		533	0	0 1	47
		540	0	11	98
		530	0 ·	02	96
		541	0	03	43
		523	0	06	65
		6 6 9 (स.नदी)	0	18	72
		845(स.नदी)	0	30	18
6.	पातुम्बरी	9:4(स.नदी)	0	30	29
		९ ५ (स.रास्ता)	0	03	38
		108	0	09	25
		109	0	07	50
		110	0	0,5	25
		111	0	00	77
		120	0	00	20
		112	0	04	40
		113	0	06	32
		114	0	06	91
		115	0	0.3	69
		115/193	0 🕹	0.5	18
		104	0	1.3	40
		103	0	05	53
		102	0	01	54
		151	. 0	24	66
		152	0	25	37
		153	0	0 1	8 1
		ा ५४(स.मगरी)	0	02	48
		83	0	05	22
		1 5 5 (स.मगरी)	0	37	38
		161	0	20	50
		162	0	10	08
		ा ६० (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	72
		159	0	11	26

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज्य	य ः राजः	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	पातुम्बरी (जारी)	१ ५८ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	88
		64	0	06	63
		. 61	0	00	39
		56	0	21	02
		53	0	0 1	40
		57	0	8 0	26
		58	0	07	20
		52	0	02	88
		49(स.नाला)	0	04	11
		44	0	00	25
		45	0	05	6 5
		46	0	19	62
	•	47	0	0 1	94
		40(स.नाला)	0	0.2	93
		48	0	0.0	15年20
		39	0	13	93
	•	15	0	0 1	44
		14	0	06	88
		1 3	0	06	43
		1 1	0	00	36
		1 2	0	05	8 1
7.	भावरी	623	0	8 0	16
		622	0	06	80
		621	0	02	88
		617	0	06	52
		618	0	0 1	71
		620	0	09	3 1
		619	0	07	92
		५५५(स.खालखद्दर)	0	00	69
		553	0	0.5	56
		४४० (स.खालखद्दर)	0	03	66
		५५१ (स.खालखद्दर)	0	18	48
		६२४(स.नाला)	0	05	70
		548	0	01	89
		547	0	01	90
		546	0	01	89
	•	545	0	00	96
		४४१ (स.रास्ता)	0	03	38
		435	0	06	77
	<u> </u>	438	0	00	20

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	<u>'</u>
सं.	गाँव का गाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	भावरी (जारी)	436	0	03	66
		434	0	1 1	89
	•	433	0	00	30
		432	0	00	3 1
		431	0	04	56
	•	427(स.रास्ता)	0	01	85
		426	0	04	13
		425	0	09	11
		424	0	80	54
		423	0	09	09
		421	0	00	58
		422	0	06	. 28
		७८१ (स.खालखद्दर)	0	0 1	77
		7 8 5 (स .रास्ता)	, 0	00	82
	·	805	0	05	50
		806	0	1 1	44
		807	0	0.6	17
		808	0	04	68
		809	0	04	95
		810	0	02	85
		811	0	00	80
		816	0	05	22
		814	0	08	13
		821	0	06	48
		824	0	8 0	63
		826	0	06	61
		८२९(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	06	75
		1 0 1 7मिन 0 1	0	09	00
		1018	0	10	34
		1 0 2 3 मिन 0 1	0	07	20
		१०५२/२०५६(स.खालखद्दर)	0	05	44
		1052/2154] 0	0.0	44
		1052/2057	ſ		
		1053	0	09	22
	t	1052/2168	0	0 1	79
		1024	0	00	67
		1054	0	00	20
		1062	0	04	14
		1063	0	02	60
		1066	0	18	89

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य : राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	भावरी (जारी)	1069	0	00	3.5
		1070	0	00	20
		1 0 7 1 (स.रास्ता)	0	02	07
		1075	0	02	88
		1074	0	13	18
		1077	0	8 0	28
		1073	0	0 1	0 0
		1078	0	10	41
		265(स.नाला)	0	03	93
		236	0	00	47
		234	0	05	0 1
		231	0	0 1	33
		233	0	06	36
		232	0	07	37
		230	0	05	74
		227	0	05	76
		225	0	13	20
		224	0	1 1	02
		1 ८ ७ (स.नाला)	0	02	13
8.	धनारी	ा ३ (स.खालखद्दर)	0	03	16
		1514	0	14	17
		1519	0	1 1	62
		1520	0	06	56
		1521	0	1 2	50
		1522	0	0.5	82
		1525	0	1 2	62
		1527	0	12	79
		1529	0	16	29
		1533	0	03	63
		1534	0	0 0	67
		1477	0	00	20
		1535	0	18	02
		1541	0	00	50
		1542	0	8 0	83
		1543	()	8 0	14
		1540	()	02	52
		1444	0	19	86
		1415	0	0 1	84
		1416	. 0	04	60
		1414	0	0 1	61

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	•
सं.	गाँव का माम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	धमारी (जारी)	1413	0	00	20
		1417	0	03	22
		1418	0	08	63
		1419	0	08	37
		1420	0	02	78
		१४१२(स.खालखद्दर)	0	04	0.5
		१ ६ ८ ४ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	01	97
		1689	0	12	02
		1691	0	07	85
		1692	0	06	92
		1693	0	05	89
		1697	0	07	07
		१६९८(स.रास्ता)	0	01	15
		1704	0	07	99
		1705	0	13	3 1
		1719	0	09	05
	•	1717	0	00	20
		1718	Q	06	12
		1731	0	10	90
	·	ख.सं.१७३१ और ६०५] 0	00	. 62
		के बीच में(स.रास्ता)	ſ	00	. 02
		605	0	15	15
		604	0	16	48
		१ ५ १ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	04	68
		528	0	07	15
		१ ७ ५ १ (स.नाला)	0	01	39
	•	527	0	08	18
	!	526	0	02	05
	·	५२५(स.नाला)	0	03	12
		523	0	0 0	52
	8	522	0	10	83
		. 518	0	12	40
		517	0	00	20
		516	0	06	55
		515	0	06	5 3
		510	0	07	28
		507	0	07	20
		5 0 a	";	8 0	35
		473(स.रास्ता)	0	02	75
		462	0	03	1.2

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य : राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	धनारी (जारी)	463	0	05	40
	•	461	0	10	80
		459	0	06	61
		456	0	09	00
		455	0	08	28
		451	0	05	22
		४५०(स.खालखद्दर)	0	1 1	42
		449	0	0 1	18
	•	४४७(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	01	13
		446	0	18	58
		४४४(सिं.वि.नहर)	.0	05	76
		445 (सिं.वि.)	0	00	20
		435(सिं.वि.)	0	05	24
		434(सिं.वि.)	0	05	64
		433(सिं.वि.)	0	00	96
		436	0	20	26
		369	0	00	20
		368	0	07	44
		370	0	02	64
		372	0	01	61
		364	0	00	58
		363	0	03	24
		362	0	04	42
		360	0	06	48
		. ३७४(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	52
		375	0	06	81
		376	0	0 1	32
		377	0	20	88
		384	0	16	72
		382	0	12	05
		383	0	05	42
		380	0	26	06
		2119/337(रेलवे विभाग)	. 0	06	3 6
		2120/337(रेलवे विभाग)	. 0	00	30
		2117/337(रेलवे विभाग)	0	4 =	1.0
		196	0	15	16
		२०५(ग्रा.पं.धारागाह)	0	42	14
9.	कोदरला	1 6 6 (स.नाला) 5 3 २ (स.नाला)	0	02	93
٦.	A114 C61	522(स. बाला)	0	02	90
	of the second se	527	0	0.8	15

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	यः राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	ं खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	कोदरला (जारी)	526	0	11	88
		५२१ (स.गला)	0	02	61
		512	0	13	83
		509	0	15	91
	**	504	0	27	46
		481	. 0	05	80
		482	0	07	20
		483	0	05	04
		484	0	00	20
		479(स.भूमि)	0	10	02
		478(स.भूमि)	0	17	16
		477	0	20	97
		477मिन० १ (स.भूमि)	J		
		473/2	0	20	33
		473/3	0	13	13
		474			
		474मिन० १ (स.भूमि)		50	0.4
		474मिन02	} 0	52	04
		474मिन03			
		474मिन04) :	•	
		462(स.स ड़क)	0	0 1	11
		460			
		460मिन०१ (स.भूमि)		4.0	40
		460मिन02	} 0	49	49
		460मिन03			
		460मिन04)		
		, 440	0	1 O	8 0
		441	0	13	80
	•	439	0	00	42
		442	0	8 0	56
		357	0	00	20
		358	0	03	60
		४५९(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	42
		350	0	06	48
		349	0	0.8	10
		348	0	12	74
		347	0	17	97
	•	३४५(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	75
		315	0	03	30
		314	0	10	54

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	રાज્	यः राजर	
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	कोदरला (जारी)	316	0	0.0	20
		313	0	1 1	22
		311	0	00	55
		312	0	13	0 1
		305	0	00	30
		307	0	02	59
		306	0	11	61
		३००(स.नाला)	0	06	03
		297	0	19	87
		287(स.भूमि)	0	02	96
	•	291	0	17	77
10.	रामपुरा	130	\ 0	10	82
		130/1)		
		152	0	02	56
		131	0	0 5	04
		151	0	24	85
		132	0	00	20
		150	0	16	47
		186	0	03	36
		149	0	14	8 0
		187	0	00	20
		148	0	14	1 1
		147	0	00	84
		188	0	14	56
		191	0	10	25
		195	0	17	10
		194	0	09	74
		197	0	0.0	52
		199	0	00	52
		198	0	07	98
		210 20 ∌मिन01	0	14	43
		20 अमग । 72 मिन 0 1	0	17	05
		7 21मन 0 1 7 1 मिन 0 1	0	04	00
		7 । मन । 7 0 मिन 0 1	0	13	32
		७००० । २२४(स.रास्ता)	0	02	57 26
		224(स.रास्ता) 225मिन01	.0	01	26
		2251मन0 227मिन0	0 0	1 4 1 2	40 24
		227मिन01 229मिन01	0		64
		22919901	0	17	
		230 232मिन01	0	18	36 20
		2321510101		03	20

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	 राज	य ः राजः	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
10.	रामपुरा (जारी)	236	0	00	21
		२३७(स.रास्ता)	0	02	88
		243	0	00	20
		238	0	20	88
	•	239	0	05	63
		295	0	04	0.9
11.	डूंगरी	297 (स.नाला)	0	00	50
		281	0	06	57
		284	0	33	35
		283	0	05	70
		282	0	06	28
	·	276(स.सड़क)	0	07	65
		1 6 4 (स.मगरी)	0	1 1	17
		१ ६ ५ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	72
		166	0	05	95
		170	0	14	95
		171	0	06	99
		172	0	14	79
		173	0	07	35
		175	0	07	11
		176	0	0 1	78
		१७९(स.रास्ता)	0	03	68
		187	0	02	79
		191	0	10	96
		192	0	8 0	75
		120	0	11	39
		113	0	17	28
		112	O _i	03	94
		111	0	18	81
		1 1 0	0	00	61
		103	0	00	20
		104	0	09	36
		99	0	03	58
		98	0	07	33
		96	0	03	30
		९७ मन	0	0 1	76
		97/1	0	04	34
		97/2	0	0.5	62
		97/3	0	0 1	53
		89	0	05	51
		90/2	0	0.0	60

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	ध्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	डूंगरी (जारी)	90/1	0	02	96
		9 0 मिन	0	02	88
		86	0	02	88
		६६(स.रास्ता)	0	02	88
		85	0	00	45
		84(स.नाला)	0	03	06
		८३(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	07	94
		81	0	28	80
		78/1	0	16	92
	•	78/2	0	22	38
		77	0	00	20
		७६ (स.सस्ता)	0	03	05
		७ ५ (स.नाला)	0	06	48
		७ १ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	0 1	8 0
4.0	•	74	0	46	86
12.	बसंतगढ़	1400	0	05	22
		1402	0	04	48
		1404	0	8 0	72
		1403	0	07	20
		1406	0	24	84
		1405	0	00	20
		१ ३ ९ ५ (स.रास्ता)	0	03	47
		1,393	0	00	20
		1.390	0	12	34
		1391	0	09	69
		1392	0	05	57
		1374	0	03	61
		1373	0	02 05	17
		1372 · 1368	0 0	08	08 81
		1369	0	00	50
		1367	0	12	23
		1 3 3 6 (स.नदी)	0	11	84
	•	1335)	• •	0-4
	•	1335/1	> 0	03	0 1
		3 3 5 मिन 0 1 (स.भूमि)			
		1 3 3 4 मिन 0 1	0	18	11
		1334	0	00	57
13.	चवरली	६२२(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	21	08
	·	४ १ ४ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह))		
		४०४(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	} 0	40	16
		(-Al II-IIII)			

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	थ ः राजः	स्यान
क्रम			T	क्षेत्रफल	
સં.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
13.	चवरली (जारी)	410	0	08	01
		409	0	. 11	26
	e e e	401	0	10	49
		378	0	00	25
		400	0	03	04
		379	0	02	29
		399	0	0 1	12
		380	0	8 0	49
		381	0	0.0	94
		382	0	05	28
		383	0	10	95
		384	0	07	19
		385	0	09	60
		२७२(स.खड्डा/रास्ता)	0	0 1	38
		2,73	0	00	80
		276	0	10	73
		277	0	09	72
		281	0	12	27
		280	0	00	85
		283	0	03	07
		284	0	03	92
		286	0	13	93
		285	0	00	20
		291	0	80	78
		292	0	13	75
		304	0	02	88
		.305(स.भूमि)	0	30	17
		314	0	00	55
		2 1 9 (स.मगरी)	0	46	35
		325	0	08	92
		326	0	09	48
		218	0	23	04
•		216 .	0	18	90
		211	0	09	31
		207	0	02	07
		२०६(स.रास्ता)	0	02	24
1.4	अजारी	205	0	21	42 51
1 4.	ગળારા	557	0	28	51 62
		558	0	11	62
		559	0	05	98
		5 6 1 (स.मगरी)	0	0.8	34

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज्य	यः राजर	:थान
क्रम			T	क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
14:	अजारी (जारी)	565	0	14	12
		5 5 6 (स.मगरा)	0	10	31
		566	0	00	33
		569	0	1 1	56
		570	0	05	54
		571	0	02	86
		572	0	13	53
		573	()	11	57
		574	0	1 1	42
		575	0	14	61
		576	0	00	59
		5 <i>77</i> (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	04
		599	0	1 1	75
		600(स.नदी)	0	08	64
		601	0	03	22
		604	0	09	25
		605	0	07	92
		608	0	07	20
		607	0	03	60
		617	0	00	76
		६८२(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	62
		495	O	02	21
		494	0	05	81
		493	0	1 0	98
		491	0	0 1	35
		490	0	10	90
		485	0	1 2	36
		478	0	08	81
		474	0	10	52
		471	0	1 5	09
		465	0	13	27
		463	0	06	92
		402	0	07	93
		403	0	11	48
		396	0	04	86
		404	0	05	17
		394	* *	06	06
		393	0	01	92
		392	0	09	90
		407	0	02	55
	· V	३७९(स.स्राल खद्दर)	0	03	24

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज्य	य ः राज्र	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गौंव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	. 4	5	6.
14.	अजारी (जारी)	३७८ (स.रास्ता)	0	00	90
		377	}	00	90
		306	J		
		309	0	04	84
		310	0	04	50
	•	311	0	13	05
		312	0	0 1	44
		313	0	00	20
		315	0	0 1	60
		316	0	0 1	36
•		320	0	0 1	80
		323	0	04	10
		290 (स.नदी)	0	10	91
		289(स.रास्ता)	0	08	23
		266	0	15	04
		263	0	10	69
		262	0	11	22
		257	0	00	20
		252	0	00	23
		७७३ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	16
		210	0	04	24
		201	0	10	85
		200	0	04	22
		782	0	03	96
		783	0	13	00.
•		784	0	01.	43
		792	0 -	12	15
		794	0	06	45
		796	0	06	86
		802	0	10	90
		803	0	04	51
		810	0	01	62
		808	0	00	31
1.5.	पिण्डवाङ्ग	809	0	04	44
	14-54151	3 38 1 3380	0 0 .	08 10	6 4 15
		3385	0 .	00	20
		3386	0	04	79
		3372	0	00	20
		3387	0	07	52
		3367	0	0.0	26

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राज	धान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
15.	पिण्डवाड़ा (जारी)	3408	0	. 04	09
		3409	0	05	3 1
		3410	0	10	46
		3411	0	04	53
		3412	0	05	85
		3416	0	0 1	17
		3413	0	03	10
	•	3415	0	07	76
		3463	0	11	03
		3462	0	10	76
		3461	0	13	55
		३४६५(स.रास्ता)	0	03	25
		3468	0	1 1	1 1
		3477	0	19	62
		3478	0	06	18
		३४८१ (स.रास्ता)	0	07	53
		3528	0	0 5	59
		3526	0	10	80
		3525	0	06	15
		3524	0	03	30
		3518	0	17	26
	•	3519	0	09	05
		3 5 0 5 (स.रास्ता)	0	00	98
		3596	0	05	22
		3596/3881	0	09	36
		3591	0	1 1	68
		3590	0	8 0	03
		३६०२(स.रास्ता)	0	02	60
		3604	0	02	45
		3603	0	13	42
		३६१८(स.रास्ता)	0	02	64
		3641	0	00	61
		3640	0	04	20
		3639	0	06	47
		3638	0	(° 7	04
		3637	0	09	71
		3634	0	04	66
		3647	0	0 5	93
		3633	0	09	98
		3632	0	09	30
		3630(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	70

तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	ध्यान
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल	
सं. गाँव का नाम	ग्रसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6
15. पिण्डवाङ्ग (जारी)	3625	0	23	39
	3 2 8 9 (सिं.वि.नहर)	0	06	00
	3273	0	80	17
	3274	0	12	05
	३२७५(स.रास्ता)	0	03	23
	3280	0	01	39
	3279	0	09	72
	3278	0	04	8 1
	3228	0	00	20
	3276	0	05	33
	3 <i>7</i> ६ १ (स.रास्ता)	0′	03	09
	3758	0	13	85
	3766	0	09	90
	3767	0	08	38
	808(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	56
·	891	0	09	52
	890	0	0 1	26
	878	0	08	77
	879	0	10	50
	872	0	06	40
	866	0	1.1	17
	862	0	02	99
	861	0	07	72
	८९५(स.रास्ता)	0	8 0	10
	912/1	\ 0	12	14
	9 1 2मिन 0 1	J		
	911/1	} 0	05	23
	9 1 1 मिन 0 1	J	0.0	2.0
	9 1 3 9 3 0 (स.बाला)	0 _. 0	00 08	30 03
	930(a.aleii) 960	0	11	89
	956	0	06	66
	955	' 0	06	86
. 0	954	0	10	20
	952	0	08	01
	951	0	00	20
	971	0	06	60
	972	0	00	75
	945	0	18	90
	944	0	01	60
	942	0	05	40

	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
15.	पिण्डवाड़ा (जारी)	943	0	00	45
		937	0	06	98
		936	0	00	20
		१ ० ५ १ (स.रास्ता)	0	00	20
		८०७ (सिं.वि.नहर)	0	02	58
		1 0 5 9 (सिं.वि.नहर)	0	0 0	20
		१०६० (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	76
		१ ३४८ (सिं.वि.नहर)	0	00	36
		1061	0	04	84
		1062	0	0 0	20
		1067	0	09	90
		1065	0	0 1	35
		1066	0	02	16
		1070	0	0 0	29
		1074	0	20	52
		1076	0	00	54
		1082	0	05	35
		1078	0	02	83
		1079	0	04	46
		1081	0	04	43
		1080	0	0 0	25
		1091	0	02	92
		1092	0	16	57
		१ १ १ ३ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	46
		1172	0	11	25
		1207	0	09	36
		1208	0	08	92
		1206	0	00	40
		1205	0	04	86
		1210	0	11	87
		1211	0	04 03	68 32
		1216	0 0	12	3 Z 8 1
		1215		00	50
		1213	0 0	01	27
		1214	0	08	95
		1223	0	04	08
		1 2 2 4 (स.रास्ता)	0	05	47
		1249	0	09	22
		1250	0	02	09
		1251	9	10	70
		1 & 0 !	*	10	. 1)

Ī	तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
15.	पिण्डवाड़ा (जारी)	1254	0	10	53
		587 (वनविभाग सङ्क)	0	08	88 .
		586(सं.भूमि)	0	01	67
		1255	0	12	38
		1256	0	17	33
		५७४(स.रास्ता)	0	04	76
		1257	0	14	82
		1259	0	12	16
		1260	. 0	10	58
		८ ३ (वनविभाग)	0	00	50
		५७३ (न.पा.चारागाह)	0	05	76
	•	5 7 3 मिन 0 1 (न.पा.)	J		
		५७२ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	06	55
-		८७ (न.पा.चारागाह)	1	69	74
		८८(स.नाला)	0	05	04
		८ ९ (न.पा.)	1	19	86
		६६(वनंविभाग नाला)	0	04	74
1.0		६४(न.पा.चारागाह)	3	50	69
16.	सादलवा	344	0	05	40
		३४१ (स.नाला)	0	01	87
	•	345 .	0	80	31
		347	0	00	49
		346	0	22	46
		348	0	20	63
		३५९(स.रास्ता)	.0	10	32
		328	0	49	23
		327 30 <i>(</i> प्रचाना)	0	21	87
		३२६(स.नाला) • १९७	0 0	07 13	17 65
		198	0	15	63
		199	0	17	19
		2 0 0 (स.रास्ता)	0	01	55
		२००(स.सस्सा) २०१ (ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	42	32
		२६४(स.बाला)	0	00	20
		266	0	16	1.5
		265(स.रास्ता)	0	04	63
		239	0	22	56
		240	0	11	40
		241	0	11	22
		242	0	04	56
		246	0	22	60
		270			

तहसील : पिण्डवाड़ा		जिला : सिर्ी	राज्य	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम		•		क्षेत्रफल		
सं.	गाँव का नाम		खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2		3	4	5	б
16.	सादलवा (जारी)		247	0	0.0	20
17.	आमली		२(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	36	90
			3	0	16	56
			५७(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	93	24

[फा. स. आर-31015/46/2004-ओ.आर-॥]

हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2005

s. o. 2318.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 2979 dated the 11th November, 2004, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), published in the Gazette of India dated the 20th November, 2004, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Gujarat to Delhi through Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 15th February, 2005;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on beconsatisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right user therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule, appended to this notification, is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of declaration, in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide this Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR-II dated 25-11-2004.

1	Tehsil : PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	KASINDRA	155(G/L Khalkaddar)	0	03	20
	1. 4	156(G/L Nala)	0	03	14
		158	0	01	12
		159	, 0	10	32
		160	0	04	32
		142	0	05	52
		141	0	10	36
		136	0	05	91
		135	0	12	91
		126	0	14	00
		124	0	00	43
		128	0	00	20
		127	0	10	32
		122Min01	0	03	43
		114(G/L Nala)	0	04	50
		106	0	12	. 32
		107	0	06	87
		105	0	02	59
		108	0	13	35
		109	0 ,	09	52
		102	0	12	60
		94	0	02	25
		95	0	09	96
		96	0	00	57
		97(G/L Khalkaddar)	0	09	42
		98	0	04	29
		176(G/L River)	0	10	05
		191	0	03	16
		195	0	14	02
		194	0	01	09
		193	0	06	33
		196	0	09	82
		215(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	75
		214	0	01	88
		228	0	01	26
		213	0	22	61
		229	0	00	21
		232	0	24	84

Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJA	STHAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
1. KASINDRA (Contd)	239	0	05	29
	243	, 0	10	24
	244/498	0	19	. 11
	245	0	01	2 3
	261/497	0	15	74
	261Min01(G/L Khalkhadda	ır) 0	03	24
	261/475	0	07	48
	261/1	0	10	33
	389/470	0	07	14
	389/471	0	10	28
	389/486	0	00	76
	375/437	0	21	02
	375/434	0	04	27
	375/468	0	05	34
	375Min01	0	01	80
	375/493	O	01	80
	374	0	02	05
	375/438	0	00	20
	359(G/L Cart Track)		04	36
	357	0	03	20
	356	0	20	84
	355	0	18	17
	348	0	01	15
	361(G/L N ala)	0	01	69
	362Min01(G/P Pasture 362/429(P.W.D.Road	~ U	54	29
2. ACHPURA	315	0	30	17
z. Nom one	316	0	30	33
	317(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	52
	301	0	12	26
	303	0	10	81
	305	0	04	27
	304Min	0	12	32
	290min			
	290/1			
	290/2		22	56
	290/3	. 0	32	50
	290/4	}		
	278	0	24	72
2	277	0	.04	00
	276(G/P Pasture)	0	50	61

	I. BINDWADA	District - SIROUI	State: RAJASTHAN		
	II ; PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	Jule		
Sr.	ma of the \filles	Khasara No.	Hectare	Area	Sq.mtr.
	me of the Village	3	4 40	3 H . 5 / 6	6
3. SANGW		376(G/L)	0	14	58
g. garron		355(G/L)	1		
		355Min	} 0	00	43
		356/1	Ĭ .	4.0	25
		356(G/L)	} 0	18	35
		357	0	09	21
		375	0	26	00
	e get	373/1	0	21	26
		371	0	00	62
		372	0	16	84
		33 0(G/L)	0	22	06
		327	0	12	05
		325(G/L Nala)	0	04	95
		324	0	06	55
		216(G/L Nala)	0	15 ⁶	50
		215(G/L)	0	02	85
		210	0	05	63
		209	0	13	08
		208	0	07	47
		207	0	00	25
		193(G/P Pasture)	1		13m
		₹142	0	41	56
		.141/1	0	07	40
		135	0	20	12
		136/1	0	13	60
*		166(G/L Cart Track)	0	09	64
		54	0	14	35
		58	0	19	74
		35	0	16	24
		33	0	12	21
		28:40 g	0	18	44
		27	0	06	83
		27 <i>/</i> 386	0	04	71
•		26	0	00	27
		25	0	00	38
		90(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	99
		, 91	0	00	20
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	101(G/L Nala)	0	. 01	58
4. PHULAE	BAI KHEDA	576(G/L Nala) 576/651	} 0	02	35

Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State: RAJASTHAN		
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	\$q.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
4. PHULABAI KHEDA (Contd)	577Min01	0	18	24
	589	0	30	08
	591	0	13	60
•	588	0	00	20
	587	0	04	68
	585	0	17	88
•	544(G /L Cart Track)	0	01	57
	541	0	80	20
	515/648	0	30	60
	540	0	00	20
	515(G/L Nala)	0	24	37
	494	0	07	83
	493	0	80	54
	488	0	30	09
	484	0	17	57
	486	0	00	20
	462/2	0	30	17
	461	0	22	18
	460	0	22	36
	458	0	22	94
	454	0	31	68
5. KACHHOLI	, 830	0	11	52
	831	0	01	98
	834	0	16	99
	836	0	15	10
	783(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	02
	768	0	20	11
	767	0	29	45
	771	0	01	05
	770	0	04	22
	765	0	01	15
	678(P.W.D. Road)	0	01	42
	674	0	00	20
	673	0	09	80
	668	0	08	40
	675	0	00	32
	667	0	02	70
	665	0	00	69
	666	0	04	74
	647(G/L Cart Track)	. 0_	01	71

	Tehs	II : PINDWAR	\	District: SIROHI	State	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.		1	93			Area	
No	Na	ame of the Villa	ge	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1		2		3	4	5	6
5.	KACHH	IOLI (Contd)		548	0	17	
		* . *		546	0	10	67 ⁻
	***			544	0	04	48
		•		542	0	02	28
				543	0	03	26
				537	0	80	75
				538	0	00	42
	:	. 1		539	0	00	30
	:			533	0	01	47 [.]
		1.		540	0	11	98
				530	0	02	96
				541	0	03	43
				523	0	06	65
				669(G/L River)	0	18	72
				845(G/L River)	0	30	18
6.	PATUN	BARI		94(G/L River)	0	30	29
				95(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	38
		:		108	0	09	25
		1		109	0	07	50
				110	0	05	25
				111	0	00	77
		6.		120	0	00	20
				112	0	04	40
				113	0	06	32
				114	0	06	91
				115	0	03	69
				115/193	0	05	18
				104	0	13	· 40
				103	0	05	53
				102	0	01	54
				151	0	24	66
		.*		152	0	25	37
				153	0	01	81
				154(G/L Magri)	0	02	48
				83	0	05 27	22
				155(G/L Magri)	0	37	38
				161	0	20	50
				162	0	10	08
				160(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	72
				159	00	11	26

Tehsil : PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
6. PATUMBARI (Contd)	158(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	88
	64	0	06	63
	61	0	00	39
	56	0	21	02
	53	0	01	40
	57	0	08	26
	58	0	07	20
	52	0	02	88
	49(G/L Nala)	0	04	11
	44	0	00	25
	45	0	05	65
	46	0	19	62
	47	0	01	94
	40(G/L Nala)	0	02	93
	48	0	00	20
	39 45	0	13	93
	15	0	01	44
	14	0 0	06 06	88 42
	13 11	0	00	43 36
	12	0	05	81
7. BHAVRI	623	0	08	16
7. BHAVIN	622	0	06	80
	621	0	02	88
	617	0	06	52
	618	0	01	71
	620	0	09	31
	619	0	07	92
	555(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	00	69
	553	0	05	56
	440(G/L \halkhaddar)	0	03	66
	551(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	18	48
	624(G/L Nala	0	05	70
	548	0	01	89
	547	0	01	90
	546	0	01	89
	545	0	00	96
	441(Git. Cart Track)	0	03	38
•	435	0	06	77
1	438		00	20

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare,	Are	Sq.mtr.
_ 1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	BHAVRI (Contd)	436	0	:03	66
	•	434	0	11	89
		433	0	000	30
		432	0	00	31
		431	0	04	56
	•	427(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	85
		426	0	04	13
		425	0	09	11
		424	0	98	54
		423	0	09	09
		421	0	00	58
		422	0	06	28
	ϵ	781(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	01	77
		785(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	82
	•	805	0	05	50
		806	0	11	44
		807	0	06	17
		808	0	.04	68
		809	0	- 04	. 95
		810	0	02	85
		811	0	00	80
		816	0	05	22
		814	0	08	13
		821	0	06	48
		824	0	80	63
		826	0	06	61
		829(P.W.D. Road)	0	06	75
	•	1017Min01	0	09	00
		1018	0	10	34
		1023Min01	0	07	20
	1052/2	056(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	05	44
		1052/2154	} o	00	44
		1052/2057	J		
		1053	0	09	22
	OH.	1052/2168	0	01	79
		1024	0	00	67
		1054	U	00	20
	4.	1062	0	04	14
		1063	0	02	60
	*	1066	. 0	18	89

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROH	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.	*			Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	BHAVRI (Contd)	1069	0	00	35
		1070	0	00	20
		1071(G/LCart track)	0	02	07
		1075	0	02	88
		1074	0	13	18
		1077	0	08	28
		1073	0	01	00
		1078	0	10	41
		265(G/L Nala)	0	03	93
		236	0	00	47
		234	0	05	01
		231	0	01	33
		233	0	06	36
		232	0	07	37
		230	0	05	74
		227	0	05	76
		225	0	13	20
		224	0	11	02
		187(G/L Nala)	0	02	13
8.	DHANARI	1513(G/L KhalKhaddar)	0	03	16
		1514	0	14	17
		1519	0	11	62
		1520	0	06	56
		1521	0	12	50
		1522	0	05	82
		1525	0	12	62
		1527	0	12	79
		1529	0	16	29
		1533	0	03	63
		1534	0	00	67
		1477	0	00	20
		1535 1541	0 0	18 00	02 50
		1541	0	08	83
		1542	0	08	03 14
		1543	0	02	.52
		1444	0	19	86
		1415	0	01	84
		1416	0	04	60
				04	
		1414	0	<u> </u>	61

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.	-1		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Area	÷
No.	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	DHANARI (Contd)	1413	0	00	20
		1417	0	03	22
		1418	0	08	63
		1419	0	08	37
		1420	0	02	78
		1412(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	04	05
	•	1688(P.W.D.Road)	0	01	97
		1689	0	12	. 02
	•	1691	0	07	85
		1692	0	06	92 .
		1693	0	05	89
		1697	0	07	07
	44	1698(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	15
	**	1704	0	07	99
	•	1705	0	13	31
		1719	0	09	05
		1717	0	00	20
		1718	0	06	12
		1731	0	10	90
	. •	In Between Svy No.1731	<i>z</i> ()	00	62
		& 605(G/L Cart Track)	•	15	45
		605	0	15 16	15 48
		604	0	16 0 4	68
		151(P.W.D.Road)	0	07	15
		528		01	39
	•	1751(G/L Nala)	0 0	08	18
	*	527 526	0	02	05
		526	0	03	12
		525(G/L Nala)	0	00	52
		523 522	0	10	83
		518	0	12	40
		517	0	. 00	20
	2	516	Ō	06	55
		515	0	06	53
		510	0	07	28
	•	507	0	07	20
		506	0	08	35
		473(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	75
		473(G/L Call Hack) 462	0	03	12

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	DHANARI (Contd)	463	0	05	40
		461	0	10	80
		45 9	0	06	61
		456	0	09	00
		455	0	08	28
		451	0	05	22
		450(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	11	42
		449	0	01	18
		447(P.W.D.Road)	0	01	13
		446	0	18	58
		444(Irrig. Deptt.Canal)	0	05	76
		445(Irrig. Deptt.)	0	00	20
		435(Irrig. Deptt.)	0	05	24
		434(Irrig. Deptt.)	0	05	64
		433(Irrig. Deptt.)	0	00	96
		436	0	20	26
		369	0	00	20
		368	0	07·	44
		370	0	02	64
		372	0	01	61 50
		364	0	00	58
		363	0	03	24
		362	0	04	42
		360	0	06	48
		374(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	52
		375 376	0	06	81
	•	376 377	0 0	01 20	32 88
		384	0	16	72
	•	382	0	12	05
		383	0	05	42
		380	0	26	06
	,	2119/337(Railway Deptt.))	20	00
		2120/337(Railway Deptt.)	0	06	36
		2117/337(Railway Deptt.)			
	4	196	0	15	16
		205(G/P Pasture)	ő	42	14
		166(G/L Nala)	Ö	02	93
9. I	KODARLA	522(G/L Nala)	Ŏ	02	90
. .	1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	527	0	08	15

	Tehsil : PINDWARA	District: SIROHI	State	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village_	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	KODARLA (Contd)	526	0	11	88
		521(G/L Nala)	0	02	61
		512	0	13	83
		509	0	15	91
		504	. 0	27	46
		481	0	05	80
		482	0	07	20
		483	0	05	04
		484	0	00	20
		479(G/L)	0	10	02
		478(G/L)	0	17	16
		477	0	20	97
		477Min01(G/L)	J	0.0	2.0
		473/2	0	20	33
		473/3	. 0	13	13
		474			
		474Min01(G/L)		5 0	04
		474Min02	> 0	52	04
		474Min03	}		
	•	474Min04)	01	11
		462(G/L Road) 460	0	UI	, ,
		460Min01(G/L)			
		460Min02	} 0	49	49
		460Min03		40	70
		460Min04			
		440	0	10	08
		441	Ö	13	80
		439	0	00	42
		442	0	08	56
		357	0	00	20
		358	0	03	60
		459(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	42
		350	0	06	48
		349	0	08	10
		348	0	12	74
		347	0	17	97
		345(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	75
		315	0	,03	30
		314	0	10	<u>54</u>

		Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	STHAN
	Sr.				Area	
i	No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
ļ	1	2	3	4	5	6
	9.	KODARLA (Contd)	316	0	00	20
			313	0	11	22
			311	0	00	55
			312	0	13	01
			305	0	00	30
			307	0	02	59
		. ,	306	0	11	61
			300(G/L Nala)	0	06	03
			297	0	19	87
			287(G/L)	0	02	96
			291	0	17	77
	10.	RAMPURA	130	} o	10	82
			130/1	J		
			152	0.	02	56
			131	0	05	04
			151	0	24	85
			132	0	00	20
			150	0	16	47
			186	0	03	36
			149	0.	14	08
			187	0	00	20
			148 147	0 0	14 00	11 84
		*	188	0	14	56
			191	0	10	25
			195	0	17	10
			194	0	09	74
			197	0	00	52
			199	0	00	52
			198	0	07	98
			210	0	14	43
			209Min01	0	17	05
			72Min01	0	04	00
			71Min01	0	13	32
			70Min01	0	02	5 7
			224(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	26
			225Min01	0	14	40
			227Min01	0	12	24
			229Min01	Ő	17	64
			230	0	18	36
			232Min01	0	03	20

,	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
10.	RAMPURA (Contd)	236	0	00	21
	·.	237(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	88
		243	0	00 .	20
		238	0	20	88
		239	0	05	63
		295	0	04	09
11.	DUNGRI	297(G/L Nala)	0	00	50
		281	0	06	57
		284	0	33	35
		283	0	05	70
		282	0	06	28
		276(G/L Road)	0	07	65
		164(G/L Magri)	0	11	17
		165(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	72
		166	0	05	95
		170	0 ,	14	95
		171	0	06	99
		172	0	14	79
		173	0	07	35
		175	0	07	11
		176	0	01	78
		179(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	68
		187	0	02	79
		191	0	10	96
		192	0	08	75 20
		120	0	11	39
		113	0	17	28
		112	, 0	03	94
		111	0	18	81
	· ·	110	0	00	61
		103	0	00	20
		104	0	09	36 50
		99	0	03 07	58
		9 8 96	0 0	03	33 30
		97Min	0	03	76
		97/1	0	04	34
		97/1	0	05	62
		97/3	0	01.	53
		9773 89	0	05	53 51
		90/2	0	00	60
		3012	U		

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	Distric: SIROHI	State	RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are .	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	1 4 I	5	6
11.	DUNGRI (Contd)	90/1	0	02	96 88
		90Min	0 0	02 02	88
		86	0	02	88
		66(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	45
		85 84(G/L Nala)	0	03	06
		83(G/P Pasture)	0	07	94
		81	0	28	80
		78/1	0	16	92
		78/2	0	22	38
		77	Ö	00	20
		76(G/L Cart Track)	0.	03	05
		75(G/L Nala)	0	06	48
		71(G/P Pasture)	0	01	80
		74	0	46	86
12.	BASANTGARH	1400	0	05	22
		1402	0	04	48
		1404	0	08	72
		1403	0	07	20
		1406	0	24	84
		1405	0	00	20
	·	1395(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	47
	* *	1393	0	00	20
		1390	0 0	12 09	34 69
		1391		05	57
		1392 1374	0 0	03	61
		1374	0	02	17
		1372	0	05	08
		1368	0	08	81
		1369	0	00	50
			0	12	23
		1336(G/L Rive [™]	0	11	84
		1335]		
	·¥)	1335/1	\ 0	03	01
		1335Min01(G/L)	J		
		1334Min01	0	18	11
		1334	0	00	57
13.	CHAVARLI	622(G/P Pasture)	0	21	08
		414(G/P Pasture)	} 0	40	16
	*	404(G/P Pasture)	J		no reg like higher strapp galagan registerer som av strapp strap

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.			Í	Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	CHAVARLI (Contd)	410	0	80	01
	•	409	0	11	26
		401	0	10	49
	•	378	0	. 00	25
		400	0	03	04
		379	0	02	29
		399	0	01	12
		380	0	80	49
		381	٠0	00	94
		382	0	05	28
	,	383	0	10	95
		384	0	07	19
		. 385	. 0	09	60
		272(G/L Khadda/Cart Track)	0	01	38
		273	0	00	80
		276	0	10	73
		<u> 2</u> 77	0	09	72
		281	0	12	27
		280	0	00	85
		283	0	03	07
	•	284	0	03	92
		286	0	13	93
		285	0	00	20
		291	0	80	78
		292	0	13	75
		304	0	02	88
		305(G/L)	0	30	17
		314	0	00	55
		219(G/L Magri)	0	46	35
		325	0	80	92
		326	0	09	48
		218	0	23	04
		216	0	18	90
		211	0	09	31
		207	0	02	07
		206(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	24
		205	0	21	42
14	AJARI	557	0	28	51
		558	0	· 11	62
		559	0	05	98
		561(G/L Magri)	0	80	34

	Tehsil : PINDWARA	District : SIF OHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.		4.77 Z 73 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24		Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr
1	2	3	4	5	6
14.	AJARI (Contd)	565	0	14	12
		556(G/L Magra)	0	10.	31
		566	0	00	33
		569	0	11	56
		570	0	05	54
		571	0	02	86
		572	0	13	53
		573	0	11	57
		574	0	11	42
		575	0	14	61
		576	0	00	59
		577(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	04
	4	599	0	11	75
		600(G/L River)	0	80	64
		601	0	03	22
	·	604	0	09	25
		605	0	07 07	92
		608 607	0 0	07 03	20 60
		617	0	00	76
		682(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	62
		495	0	02	21
	,	494	0	05	81
		493	0	10	9£
		491	0	01	35
		490	0	10	90
		485	0	12	36
		478	0 ·	08	81
		474	0	10 .	52
		471	0	15	09
		465	0	13	27
		463	0	06	92
		402	0	07	93
		403	0	11 .	48
		396	0 .	04	86
		404	0	05	17
		394	0	06	06
		393	0	01	. 92
		392	0	09	90
		407	0	02	55
		379(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	03	24

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5 '	6
14	. AJARI (Contd)	378(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	90
		377	} o	00	90
		306	J		
	•	309	0	04	84
		310	0	04	50
		311	0.	13	05
		312	0	01	44
	·	313	0	00	20
		315	0	01	60
	•	316	0	01	36
		320	0	01	80
		323	0	04	.10
		290(G/L River)	0	10	91
		289(G/L Cart Track)	0	08	23
		266 263	0 0	15 10	04 60
	•	262 [.]	0	11	69 22
		257	0	00	20
		252	0	00	23
		773(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	16
		210	0	04	24
		201	0	10	85
		200	0	04	22
		782	0	03	96
		783	0	13	00
		784	0	01	43
		792	0	12	15
		794	0	06	45
		796	0	06	86
		802	0	10	90
		803	0	04	51
	• .	810	0	01	. 62
		808	0	00	31
		809	0	04	44
15.	PINDWARA	3381	0	80	64
		3380	0	10	15
		3385	0	00	20
		3386	0	04	79
		3372	0	00	20
		3387	0	07	52
	<u> </u>	3367	. 0	_00	26

315

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State :	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
15.	PINDWARA (Contd)	3408	0	04	09
•		3409	0	05	31
		3410	0	10	46
		3411	0	04	53
		3412	0	05	85 1 7
		3416	0	01	17
		3413	0	03	10
		3415	0	07	76
		3463	0	11	03
		3462	0	10	76
		3461	0	13	55 25
		3465(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	25
		3468	0	11	11
		3477	0	19	62
		3478	0	06 07	18
		3481(G/L Cart Track)	0	07 05	5 3 59
		3528	0	05 10	80
		3526	0 0	06	15
		3525 3524	0	03	30
		3518	0	17	26
		3519	0	09	05
	•	3505(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	98
		3596	0	05	22
	•	3596/3881	0	09	36
		3591	0	11	68
		3590	0	08	03
		3602(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	60
		3604	0	02	45
		3603	0	13	42
		3618(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	64
		3641	0	00	61
		3640	0	04	20
		3639	0	06	47
		3638	0	07	04
		3637	0	09	71
		3634	0	04	66
		3647	0	05	93
		3633	0	09	98
		3632	0	09	30
		3630(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	70

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.	3.1			Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
15.	PINDWARA (Contd)	3625	0	23	39
		3289(Irrig.Deptt.Canal)	0	06	00
		3273	0	08	17
		3274	0	12	05
		3275(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	23
		3280	0	01	39
, =	• .	3279	0	09	72
. 4		3278	0	04	81
		3228	0	00	20
		3276	0	05	33
		3761(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	09
		3758	0	13	85
	``	3766	0	09	90
		3767	0	80	38
		808(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	56
		891	0	09	52
		890	0	01	26
		878	0	80	77
		879	0	10	50
		872	0	06	40
		866	0	11	17
		862 ·	0	02	99
		861	0	07	72
		899(G/L Cart Track)	0	80	10
		912/1	} 0	12	14
		912Min01	J	'-	•
		911/1	} 0	05	23
		911Min01			
		913	0	00	30
ė		930(G/L Nala)	0	80	03
		960	0	11	89
		956	0	06	66
	•	955	0	06	86
		954	0	10	20
		952	,0	80	01
	·	951	0	00	20
		971	0	06	60
	1	972	0	00	75
		945	0	18	90
	•	944	0	01	60
		942	0	05	40

Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
15. PINDWARA (Contd)	943	0	00	45
	937	0	06	98
	936	0	00	20
	1051(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	20
	807(Irrg.Deptt.Canal)	0	02	. 58
	1059(Irrg.Deptt.Canal)	0	00	20
	1060(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	76
	1348(Irrg.Deptt.Canal)	0	00	36
	1061	0	04	84
	1062	0	00	20
	1067	0	09	90
	1065	0	01	35
	1066	0	02	16
	1070	0	00	29
	1074	0	20	52 54
	1076	0	00	54 25
	1082 1078	0	05	35
	1079	0 0	02 04	83 46
	1079		04	43
	1080	O Ó	00	45 25
	1091	0	02	92
	1092	0	16	57
	1113(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	46
	1172	0	11	25
	1207	0	09	36
	1208	0	08	92
	1206	0	00	40
	1205	0	04	86
	1210	0	11	87
	1211	0	04	68
	1217	0	03	32
	1216	0	12	81
	1215	0	00	50
	1213	0	01	27
	1214	0	80	95
	1223	0	04	80
	1224(G/L Cart Track)	0	05	47
	1249	0	09	22
	1250	0	02	09
	1251	0	10	70

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
15	. PINDWARA (Contd)	1254	0	10	53
		587(Forest Deptt.Road)	0	80	88
		586(G/L)	0	01	67
		1255	. 0	12	38
		1256	0	17	33
		574(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	76
		1257	0	14	82
		1259	0	12	16
		1260	0	10	58
		83(Forest Deptt.)	0	00	50
		573(N/P Pasture)	} 0	05	76
		573Min01(N/ P)	J '		
		572(P.W.D. Road)	0	06	55
		87(N/ P Pasture)	1	69	74
		88(G/L Nala))	0	05	04
	t	89(N/ P)	1	19	86
		66(Forest Deptt.Nala)	0	04	74
		64(N/ P Pasture)	3	50	69
16	SADALWA	344	0	05	40
		341(G/L Nala)	0 ,	01	87
		345	0	08	31
	·	347	0	00	49 46
		346	0	22	46 63
•		348	0	20	63
		359(G/L Cart Track)	0.	.10	32
		328	0.	49.	23 87
		327	0	21 07	17
		326(G/L Nala) 197	0	13	65
	,	198	0	15	63
		199	0	17	19
	•	200(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	55
		201(G/P Pasture)	0	42	32
		268(G/L Nala)	0	00	20
		266 266	0	16	15
		265(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	63
		239	0	22	56
		240	0	11	40
		241	0	11	22
		242	0	04	56
		242	0	22	60 60
		240			

	Tehsil: PINDWARA	District: SIROHI	State :	RAJA	STHAN
Sr.				Area	!
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
16. 5	SADALWA (Contd)	247	0	00	20
17. A	AMLI	2(G/P Pasture)	0	36	90
		3	0	16	56
· ·		57(G/P Pasture)	0	93	24

[No. R-31015/46/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2319.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसकें पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक भैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 3148 तारीख 7 दिसम्बर, 2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीख 11 दिसम्बर, 2004, में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुन्द्रा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुन्द्रा–दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम से हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 3। जनवरी, 2005, को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उद्यंत अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन_, केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार नें, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है :

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

और केन्द्रीय रास्कार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदेत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, रह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख की केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमति पत्र सं. आर – 31015/7/03 ओ.आर-🎚 दिनांक 25/11/2004 द्वारा लगाई गई शर्तों के उअध्यधीन सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

	तहसील : फागी	जिला : जयपुर	राज	य ः राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	बुकनी	164	0	00	20
		178/7	0	22	51
		१७९(स.रास्ता)	0	01	42
		१८० (स.चारागाह)	0	26	02
		320(सि.वि.नहर)	0	03	12
		३३३/२(स.आबादी)			•
		333/3मिन। (स.चारागाह)	1	32	1.6
		333/3(स.भूमि)	•	O.L	
		३३३/३मिन(स.भूमि)			
		341	0	13	86
		3 4 2 (स.भूमि)	0	04	51
		343	0	10	99
		३६६(स.रास्ता)	0	00	73
	*	3 4 9 (स.भूमि)	0	10	19
		357	0	20	99
		3 5 6 मिन् 2 (स.भूमि)	0	29	52
		3 5 4 (स .पाल)	0	0 1	48
		४४८/६०६(स.खारङ्ग)	0	3 1	68
	· ·	573	0	00	68
		572	0	07	20
	C	५७ १ (स.रास्ता)	0	00	83
		५७० (स.खारड़ा)	0	04	28
	\$	569	0	04	56
		568	0	02	0.5
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 6 5 (स.रास्ता)	0	02	22
		566	0	00	24
	`	564	0	03	03
		563	0	02	80
		551	0	04	14
		552	0	10	99
	•	553	0	0 5	46
		547	0	02	63
		554	0	08	46
		555	0	13	40
		556	0	00	20
		557	0	1 1	30
	•	537	0	13	02
	4	536	0	00	20

	तहसील : फागी	जिला : जयपुर	राज	य : राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1.	बुकनी (जारी)	5 5 8 (स.नदी)	0	32	59
		598/4	0	20	71
2.	नन्दलालपुरा	161	0	1 7	27
		160/2	0	20	27
		159	0	12	62
		166	0	00	87
		158	0	00	23
		167	0	13	56
		168	0	0 1	89
		१ ८० (सि.वि.नहर)	0	05	10
		201	0	1 1	26
		199	0	14	47
		198	0	00	90
		197	0	08	09
		205 (सि.वि.नहर)	0	0 1	12
		217	0	06	01
		218	0	00	20
		220	0	20	36
		224	0	00	30
		225	0	04	50
		228	0	20	39
		231	0	06	ÓΟ
		232	0	05	36
		233	0	06	77
		234	0	07	85
		278	C	00	20
		275	0	12	50
		274	0	10	20
		273	0	07	12
		3 3 5 (सि.वि.नहर)	0	0 1	61
		272	0	00	20
		327	0	0 1	94
		328	0	17	87
		333	0	1 1	34
		330	0	00	42
		332	0	04	5 1
		341	0	00	20
		3 4 2 (सि.वि.नहर)	0	0 1	8 1
		344	0	0 1	69
		343(स.भूमि)	0	0 1	78

	तहसील : फागी	जिला : जयपुर	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
3.	शेरपुरा	१ ८४(स.झरडा)	0	04	54
		183	0	0 1	97
		182	0	00	20
		180	0	04	53
		₀ 181	0	04	55
		233/1)		
		233/3			
		233/4	} 0	36	46
		233/5			
		233/6	J		
		234(सि.वि.नहर)	0	0 1	65
		2 3 5 (सि.वि.नहर)	0	00	74
		2 3 ६ (सि.वि.नहर)	0	01	76
		239(स.चारागाह)	0	65	28
		245	· 0	26	1.8
		244	0	00	20
		२४८(स.रास्ता)	0	00	80
		250	0	00	82
		247	0	00	84
		251	· O	02	18
		255	0	10	87
		254	0	08	31
		253	0	10	75
		84	0	18	52
		83	0	03	50
		५९(स.रास्ता)	0	01	42
		२५८(स.चारागाह)	0	03	32
		50/1	0	00	20
		५०/२(स.चारागाह)	0	62	85
		49	0	07	22

[फा. सं. आर-31015/59/2004-ओ.आर-II

हरीश कुमार, अवर सचि

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2005

s. o. 2319.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Minis of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 3148 dated the 7th December, 2004, issu under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act, published in the Gazette of India dated the 11th December, 2004, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Gujarat to Delhi through Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 31st January, 2005;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user therein:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule, appended to this notification, is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of declaration, in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide this Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR- II dated 25-11-2004.

SCHEDULE

	Tehsil: PHAGI	District : JAIPUR	State	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	BUKNI	164	0	00	20
		178/7	0	22	51
	•	179(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	42
		180(Ĝ/L Pasture)	0	26	02
		320(Irri.Deptt.Canal)	0	03	12
		333/2(G/L Abadi) `			
		333/3Min1(G/L Pasture)) } 1	32	16
	•	333/3(G/L)		32	10
		333/3Min(G/L)			
		341	0	13	86
		342(G/L)	0	04	51
		343	0	10	99
		366(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	73
		349(G/L)	0	10	19
		357	0	20	99
		356Min2(G/L)	0	29	52
		354(G/L Pal)	0	01	48
		448/606(G/L Kharda)	0	31	68
		573	0	00	68
		572	0	07 -	20

	Tehsil : PHAGI	District : JAIPUR	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	. 4	5	6.
1.	BUKNI (Contd)	571(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	83
		570(G/L Kharda)	0	04	28
		569	0	04	56
		568	0	02	05
		565(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	22
		566	0	00	24
		564	0	03	03
		563	0	.02	80
		551	0	04	14
		552	0	10	99
		553	0	05	46
		547	0	02	63
		554	0.	80	46
		555	0	13	4 0
		556	0	00	20
		557	0	11	30
		537	0	13	02
		536	Ö	00	20
		558(G/L River)	0	32	59
		598/4	0	20	71
N	ANDALALPURA	161	0	17	27
		160/2	0	20	27
		159	0	12	62
		166	0	00	87
		158	0	00	23
		167	0	13	56
		168	0	01	89
		180(Irri.Deptt.Canal)	0	05	10
		201	0	11	26
		199	0	14	47
		198	0	00	90
		197	0	08	09
		205(Irri.Deptt.Canal)	0	01	12
		217	0	06	01
		218	0	00	20
		220	0	20	36
		224	0	00	30
		225	0	04	50
		228	0	20	39
		231	0	06	00
		232	0	05	36

	Tehsil: PHAGI	District : JAIPUR	State	: RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	NANDALAL PURA (contd-)	233	0	06	77
		234	0	07	85
		278	0	00	20
		275	0	12	50
		274	0	10	20
		273	0	07	12
		335(Irri.Deptt.Canal)	0	01	61
		272	0	00	20
		327	0	01	94
		328	0	17	87
		333	0	11	34
		330	0	00	42
		332	0	04	51
		341	0	00	20
		342(Irri.Deptt.Canal)	0	01	81
		344	0	01	69
		343(G/L)	0	01	78
3.	SHERPURA	184(G/L Jharda)	0	04	54
		183	0	01	97
		182	0	00	20
		180	0	04	53
		181	0	04	55
		233/1			
		233/3	0	20	40
		233/4	0	36	46
		233/5			
		233/6	0	0.4	0.5
		234(Irri Deptt Canal)	0	01	65 74
		235(Irri.Deptt.Canal) 236(Irri.Deptt.Canal)	0 0	00 01	74 76
		239(G/L Pasture)	0	65	28
		245	0	26	18
		244	0	00	20
		248(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	80
		250	0	00	82
		247	0	00	84
		251	0	02	18
		255	0	10	87
		254	0	08	31
	•	253	0	10	75
		84	0_	18	52

					· ·
$I_{\overline{1}}$	2.	3	ч	5	6
3.	SHER PURA (contal.)	83	0	03	50
		59(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	42
	<u>.</u>	258(G/L Pasture)	0	03	32
		50/1	0	00	20
		50/2(G/L Pasture)	0	62	85
		49	0	07	_22

[No. R-31015/59/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2320.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 2978 तारीखा 11 नवम्बर, 2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीखा 20 नवम्बर, 2004, में प्रकाशित की गई थीं द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुन्द्रा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुन्द्रा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम सें हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपरिशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिस्नने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 27 जनवरी, 2005, को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की घारा 6 की उपघारा (1) के अधीन केद्धीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनयम की घारा 6 की उपघारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलब्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जा $\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{R}^2$;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की घारा 6 की उपघारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तिया का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख़ में केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमति पत्र सं. आर – 3 10 1 5/7/0 3 ओ.आर-II दिनांक 25/1 1/2004 द्वारा लगाई गई शर्तो के अध्यधीन सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज्य : राजस्था			
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल		
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	आमलीया	3	0	13	32	
		६ (स.रास्ता)	0	04	94	
	•	91	0	04	50	
		90	0	29	19	
		9	0	1 2	34	
		8	0	00	448	
		10	0	00	220	
		16	0	0 1	0.0	
		11	0	02	()0	
		22	0	06	554	
		23	0	06	1 2	
		24	0	12	7′5	
		25	0	1 1	1 5	
		26	0	12	2:4	
		27	0	24	7'7	
		33	0	46	(19	
		3.4	0	8 0	69	
		35	0	19	55.1	
		36	0	14	66	
		36/461	0	04	97	
		37	0	09	83	
		39	0	41	410	
		40	0	04	410	
2. 7	ना ना	9 1 6 (स.नदी)	0	25	₹ 5 5	
		९ १ ७ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	07	25	
		९ १ ८ (स.भूमि)	0	72	7.5	
		918/4250	0	62	92	
		920 (स.भूमि)	0	17	36	
		925(स.वाला)	0	0 1	14	
		922	0	97	42	
		921 (स.मगरी)	0	17	21	
		1166	0	17	33	
		1161	0	26	25	
		१ १ ६ ० (स.नाला)	0	04	2'4	
		1154	0	14	5 1	
		१ । ५५(स.आबादी)	0	00	44	
		1153	0	1 3	56	

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	ध्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	- 5	6
2.	नाना (जारी)	1150	0	29	52
		1 1 4 7 (स.भूमि)	0	20	47
		1145	0	26	94
		1 1 4 2 (स.नाला)	0	02	24
		1139	0	20	42
		११४०(स.रास्ता)	0	03	50
		2096	0	20	56
		2099	0	03	5 1
		2103	0	17	88
		2102	0	0 0	20
		2 1 1 5 (स.नाला)	0	0 1	50
		2186	0	0 5	48
		2 1 8 5 (स.भूमि)	0	0 1	41
		2 1 8 4 (स.भूमि)	0	05	5 5
		2 1 8 3 (स.भूमि)	0	17	43
		2 1 8 2 (स.भूमि)	0	· 01	93
		२ १ ८ १ (स.रास्ता)	0	10	36
	\$	2154	0	00	20
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 1 9 3 (स.मगरा)	0	00	20
	\$ 2	2 1 9 6 (स.मगरा)	0	00	20
		2166	0	06	21
	4.5	2165	0	00	20
		2167	0	06	81
	\$ `	2168	0	05	68
	8 ·	2169	0	05	76
	t ·	2170	0	0 1	02
	ŧ .	२१९७ (स.मगरा)	0	00	20
		2198	0	0 0	56
	•	२१९९(स.मगरा)	0	0 1	49
	•	2200	0	02	67
	•	२२०१ (स.मगरा)	0	0 5	8 1
		2204/4161 (स.भूमि)	0	0 0	20
		2202(स.भूमि)	0	12	43
	v.	2203	0	0 9	59
	•	२४१८(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	0 4	39
		2424(स.मगरी)	0	07	20
		2420/2	0	06	96
		2420/3	0	07	56
: -\$		२४२०मिन	0	16	56

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	नाना (जारी)	2419(स.रास्ता)	0	1 2	43
		२४१७(स.मगरी)	0	13	92
		२४१६(स.रास्ता)	0	02	52
		2 4 1 5 (वनविभाग)	0	80	56
		२४७७ (वनविभाग)	0	8 1	86
3.	कागदङ्ग	३६२(स.माइंस)	0	00	20
		360	0	47	33
		359	0	02	1 5
		3 5 4 (स .वाला)	0	07	04
		353	0	2 1	68
		349	0	40	92
		350	0	00	20
	•	343	0	05	41
		342	0	10	16
		339	0	04	40
		१ ९(स.रास्ता)	0	02	91
		18	0	0 5	70
		1 2 (स.भूमि)	0	02	32
		13	0	12	38
		8	0	10	68
		7	0	06	47
		5	0	08	49
		4(स.भूमि)	0	07	82
		20(स.नदी)	0	26	96
		72	0	09	69
		71	0	09	41
		76	0	0 0	30
		77	0	0 0	20
		79	0	0 0	20
		68	0	28	13
		6 4 (स.भूमि)	0	08	36
		66	0	02	14
		67	0	0,0	60
		63	0	02	60
		65	0	03	46
		58	0	06	62
		85	0	0 0	50
		60	0	0 1	65
		57	0	10	38

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	यः राजर	ऱ्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	. 3	4	5	6
3.	कागदड़ा (जारी)	५४(स.रास्ता)	0	02	93
		33	0	04	92
		32	0	02	32
		3 1	0	10	69
		29	0	11	55
		25	0	07	49
		24	0	25	07
		४१ (स.रास्ता)	0	01	10
4.	भन्दर	3 4 5 9 (स.भाखर)	0	01	95
		३४६१ (स.भूमि)	0	19	98
		3 4 6 2 (स.वाला)	0	0 1	6 5
		३४६३(स.भूमि)	0	32	06
		3 4 7 3 (स.भूमि)	0	07	66
		३४७२(स.रास्ता)	0	00	74
		३४७ । (स.भूमि)	0	14	33
		3470/4794(स.भूमि)	0	30	47
		३५१२(स.रास्ता)	0	07	38
		4038	0	06	18
		4035(स.भाखर)	0	08	16
		4003(स.नाला)	0	0 1	41
		4001	0	10	26
		४००२(स.रास्ता)	0	02	16
		3988	0	07	09
		3987	0	00	26
		3990	0	1 1	40
		३९८६(स.भूमि)	0	03	07
		3983	0	13	94
		3984	0	0 1	33
		3982	0	06	03
		3980	0	03	80
		3981	0	0 5	73
		3977	0	03	70
		3976	0	07	11
		3973	0	22	33
		3961	0	0 5	36
		3960	0	12	19
		3960/4756	0	06	8 4
		3932	0	0 1	86
		3910	0	00_	66

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राजर	ऱ्या न
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4.	भन्दर (जारी)	391.1	0	25	43
		3907	0	26	8 1
		3898	0	14	3 1
		3899	0	06	52
		3895	0	13	95
		3894	0	02	37
		3891	0	05	64
		3892	0	1 5	37
		3890	0	06	54
		3889	0	09	49
		३८५५(स.रास्ता)	0	02	80
		3854	0	19	62
		3912	0	0 1	50
		3913	0	00	58
		3914	0	00	20
		3853	0	0 5	45
		३८४०(स.नाला)	0	12	53
		4268	0	05	71
		४२७०(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	61
		४२७ १ (स.भूमि)	0	22	75
		4272	0	19	04
		3834	0	09	24
		३८२९(स.नाला)	0	12	42
		3819	0	21	0 0
		3816	0	00	51
		3817	0	1 1	71
		3818	0	1 2	99
		3801 (स.रास्ता)	0	03	36
		3796	0	22	40
		3794	0	06	71
		669	0	03	60
		666	0	1 1	98
		665	0	07	74
•		662	0	0 0	20
		661	0	0 1	40
		660	0	07	88
		659	0	04	57
		658	0	26	98
		६५७(स.भूमि)	0	49	08

	तहसील : बार्ली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	. हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीट
1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	सेन्दला (जारी)	६७३(स.रास्ता)	0	19	29
		695(स.भूमि)	0	0 1	95
		693	0	20	18
		686	0	21	94
		684	0	04	08
		683	0	00	63
		5 8 2 (स.नदी)	0	19	42
		580	0	02	0 5
	•	579	0	03	36
	•	578	0	00	20
		555	0	1 2	41
		556	0	0 5	88
		557	0	06	27
		558	0	06	30
		559	0	13	16
		562	0	8 0	93
		560	0	03	48
		561	0	00	90
		३०४(स.रास्ता)	0	03	8 0
		471	0	13	26
		472	0	07	40
		469	0	05	85
		473	0	05	92
		468	0	13	6 1
		467	0	1.1	59
		464	0	07	66
		459	0	05	97
		458	0	02	05
	•	454	0	0.8	42
		455	O _.	05	68
		438	0	03	68
		4 4 5 (स.भूमि)	0	1.1	76
		439	0	02	16
		444	0	03	48
		4 01 (स.भूमि)	0	92	40
		491	0	0 1	12
_	बेडा	2 1 7 4(स.प हाड़)	0	93	60
6.	ИDI	2177	0	18	00
		2 1 7 8 (स.भूमि)	0	11	18

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
ਲਂ.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
6. 3	वेडा (जारी)	2176	0	00	36
		2285(स.मगरा)	0	77	20
		2300(स.भाखर)	0	1 5	68
		2299	0	16	46
		2298	0	12	75
		2320	0	09	69
		2322	0	13	75
		२२७९(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	98
		2336	0	18	82
		2340	0	02	88
		2341	0	0 5	88
		2343	0	00	20
		. 2344	0	00	20
		2346(स.नाला)	0	0 1	87
		2347	0	04	0 1
		2350	0	0 5	8 0
		2351	0	04	57
		2354	0	04	19
		2357	0	0 1	5 5
		2356	0	02	98
		2358	0	0 1	97
		2359	0	0 1	77
	Å.	2363	0	02	88
		2364	0	0 1	26
		2365	0	00	20
		2368	0	03	01
		2367	0	08	63
		2 ३ ९ ४ (स.नाला)	0	0 1	72
		2398	0	03	38
		2397	0	0 5	23
		2405	0	09	54
		2406(स.सड़क)	0	07	02
		2420	0	0 5	93
		2421	0	05	8 4
		2422	0	0 4	79
		2423	0	0 4	19
		2426	0	0 4	09
		2484/4971	0	. 15	8 1
		2433	0	0 0	38

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज्य	य ः राजस	यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	बेडा (जारी)	2434(स.भूमि)	0	00	87
		2435	0	00	20
		2 4 8 4 (स.नाला)	0	14	32
		2630	0	0 1	65
		2613	. 0	12	38
		2614	0	. 11	88
	•	2616 .	0	8 0	72
		2624	0	14	27
		2620	0	14	12
		२५९०(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	66
		2801	0	1 1	1 0
		2802	0	03	62
		2803	0	09	73
		2 <i>777</i> /1 (स.भूमि)	0	1 4	80
		2777/4	0.	06	48
		2834	0	10	76
		2835	0	0 1	24
		2838(स.रास्ता)	0	02	03
		2864	0	13	37
		2860	0	00	20
		2863	0	13	0 5
		2861	0	0 5	17
		2862	0	12	5 5
		२९३४(स.रास्ता)	0	02	09
		301.5	0	18	75
		3016	0	09	56
		3010	0	06	52
		3021	0	1 5	60
		3022	0	06	93
		3001	0	00	20
		3000	0	0 1	18
		3023	0	06	1 5
		2999	0	0 1	83
		2998	0	13	51
		2997	0	14	27
		3033	0	13	78
		३०३४(स.नाला)	0	0 5	5 1
		३२०५(स.भूमि)	0	02	26
		3204(स.भूमि)	0	0 0	70

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	ध्यान
क्रम		,		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	बेडा (जारी)	३२०३(स.रास्ता)	0	02	39
		3201	0	24	21
		3202/4966	0	1 1	22
		3202	0	49	89
		3419	0	17	45
		3418	0	00	23
		3443	0	28	78
		3444	0	03	41
		3442	0	04	47
		3433	0	00	25
		3434	0	8 0	68
		3441	0	00	64
		3435	0	07	1 5
		3436	0	04	99
		3438	0	00	20
		3437	0	06	96
		३४७२(स.रास्ता)	0	02	77
		3521	0	18	13
		3520	0	0 1	60
		3519	0	19	19
		3518	0	05	72
		3517	0	10	95
		3510	0	07	07
		3508	0	1 5	22
		3507	0	15	0 1
		3501	0	16	76
		. ३४९९(स.रास्ता)	0	08	60
		3498/4810	0	0 5	63
		३८६१ (स.रास्ता)	0	06	18
		3862(स.भूमि)	0	04	41
		3865	0	0 5	97
		3866	0	17	75
		3871	0	09	0 0
		3874	0	08	69
		3878	0	09	64
		3883	0	0 8	1.1
		3882	0	0 8	66
		3892	0	1 5	32
		3893	0	23	1 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 6. बेहा (जारो) 3964 0 14 31 3957 0 14 74 3956 0 11 62 3952 0 13 27 3950 0 08 27 3949 0 09 54 3937(स.रास्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.रास्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.स्ता) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भाटुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2351 0 11 43 2351 0 11 43 2351 0 11 43 2351 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96	,	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राजर	थान
1 2 3 4 5 6 6. बेहा (जारो) 3964 0 14 31 3957 0 14 74 3956 0 11 62 3952 0 13 27 3950 0 08 27 3949 0 09 54 3937(स.रास्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.रास्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.स्ता) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भाटुळ 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 11 43 2359 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 010 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96	क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
1 2 3 4 5 6 6. बंडा (जारो) 3964 0 14 31 3957 0 14 74 3956 0 11 62 3952 0 13 27 3950 0 08 27 3950 0 09 54 3937(स.गस्ता) 0 05 18 3937(स.गस्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.गस्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.ग्रीम) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.गाता) 0 00 30 7. भाटुब्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 02 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96	सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर		वर्ग मीटर
3957 0 14 74 3956 0 11 62 3952 0 13 27 3950 0 08 27 3950 0 05 18 3937(स.गस्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.गस्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.म्नि) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भाटुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2372 0 03 91						
3956 0 11 62 3952 0 13 27 3950 0 08 27 3949 0 09 57 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.सता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.सता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.सूने) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाता) 0 00 31 7. भाटुब्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 11 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2366 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 96 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96	6.	बेडा (जारी)	3964			
3952 0 13 27 3950 0 08 27 3949 0 09 54 3937(स.सता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 18 3931(स.सरा) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.भूमि) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भादुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2328 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96						
3950 0 08 27 3949 0 09 54 3937(स.सस्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.सस्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.सूमि) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भादुब्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 82 2328 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			3956			
3949 0 09 54 3937(स.रास्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.रास्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.भीम) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भाढ़द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 11 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			3952			
3937(स.रास्ता) 0 05 18 3939 0 32 42 3931(स.रास्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.म्होंम) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भाटुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 02 90 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			3950			
3939 0 32 42 3931(स.रास्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.मूमि) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.नाला) 0 00 30 7. भादुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2369 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2366 0 0 01 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 2371 0 05 90 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			3949			
3931(स.रास्ता) 0 03 57 3930/4814(स.म्)म) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.नाला) 0 00 30 7. भादुब्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 11 38 2361 0 13 97 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 00 20 2370 0 4 19 2227 0 02 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			३९३७(स.रास्ता)			
3930/4814(स.म्) 0 19 48 3929 0 15 48 3929 0 15 48 3940(स.जाला) 0 00 30 7. भादुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 11 38 2361 0 13 97 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 02 55 2369 0 00 20 2370 0 4 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96				0		•
3929 0 15 48 3940(स.नाला) 0 00 30 7. भाटुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			•	0		
3940(स.नाला) 0 00 30 7. भाटुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2369 0 20 82 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			३९३०/४८१४(स.भूमि)	0	19	
7. भादुन्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			. 3929	0	1 5	
7. भाटुब्द 2486 0 62 64 2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96			३९४०(स.नाला)	0	0 0	
2490 0 23 14 2491 0 21 96 2337 0 04 62 2339 0 07 93 2340 0 09 55 2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35	7.	भाटुन्द		0	62	
2337		_	2490	0		
2339			2491	0		
2340			2337			
2341 0 06 17 2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2339	0		
2342 0 07 01 2351 0 11 43 2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2340			
2351			2341			
2354 0 07 47 2362 0 06 73 2360 0 01 38 2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2213 0 01 96		X	2342			
2362			2351			
2360		÷	2354			
2361 0 13 97 2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2362			
2359 0 02 55 2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21		÷.	2360	0		
2365 0 06 55 2369 0 20 82 2228 0 00 20 2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2361	0		
2369		1	2359	0		
2369			2365	0		
2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21		į	2369	0		
2370 0 04 19 2227 0 02 00 2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21		·	2228	0		
2371 0 05 90 2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2370	0		
2372 0 03 91 2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2227	0	02	
2373 0 10 23 2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21		•	2371	0		
2205 0 13 35 2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2372	0	03	
2213 0 01 96 2206 0 08 21			2373	0	1 0	23
2206 0 08 21		v. - Š:-	2205	0	1 3	3 5
			2213	0	0 1	96
			2206	0	08	21
2207			2207	0	00	20
2204 0 06 30		•		0	06	3 0

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राजर	थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	भाटुन्द (जारी)	2203	0	10	51
		2199	0	07	6 5
		2200	0	09	36
		2201	0	0 4	37
		2191	0	8 0	00
		२२९१ (स.रास्ता)	0	07	30
		2190	0	0 0	3 2
		२ १ ७ २ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 0	20
		2143	0	44	56
		2157	0	1 1	63
		2158	0	06	47
		२०३४(स.रास्ता)	0	0 2	10
		2021	0	25	3 1
		2020(स.भूमि)	0	10	49
		2022	0	00	20
		2019	` 0	14	40
		1939	0	03	60
		1 ७९३ (स.नाला)	0	02	30
		1 785(स.भूमि)	0	25	44
	#	1792	0	0 1	60
		1787	0	0 1	50
		1789	0	00	20
		1 7 8 6 (स.नाडी)	0	14	40
		१ ७८४(स.नाडी)	0	02	37
		1777	0	28	44
		१७३२(स.रास्ता)	0	02	16
		1 7 7 8 (स.नदी)	0	25	20
		1389	0	10	48
		1390	0	17	77
		1391	0	05	27
		1396	0	1 0	56
		1395	0	07	61
		। ३९७ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	80
		1398	0	07	88
		1412	0	15	19
		1411	0	09	46
	· ¿	1409	0	03	8 4
		1426	0	01	36
		1427	0	0.8	28

	तहरील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	व ः राजर	यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का गाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	भादुन्द (बारी)	1429	0	08	92
		1438	0	05	22
		1432	0	05	62
	•	1433	0	05	80
		१३७०(स.रास्ता)	0	01	41
		1344	0	07	94
	. *	1343	0	00	25
	•	1345	0	08	03
		1346	0	00	35
		1347	0	01	38
		१ ३ ५ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	29
		1352	0	13	05
		1 2 1 0 (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.स इक)	0	03	12
	•	1150	0	15	48
	•	1149	0	09	12
		1148	0	11	16
		1147	0	05	22
		1146	0	05	06
		1145	0	04	96
		1144	0	05	40
		1143	0	04	73
	*	1140	0	24	74
		1139	0	00	20
	•	1141	0	00	20
		1172	0	13	21
		1171	0 .	. 03	93
		1198	0 .	11	99
		1199	0	. 05	33
		1194	0 .	09	34
	•	१ । १० (स.माला)	0	08	41
		1191	0	13	14
8.	बीनापुर	201	0	06	14
٠.	41-41-34	200(स.रास्ता)	0	02	33
		178	0	02	3 1
		196	0	00	25
		180	0	13	51
		181	0	16	52
		188	0	13	30
	,	187	0	08	69
		186	0	1.5	97

٠	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज्	य ः राजर	यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
ੋਂ सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	बीजापुर (जारी)	248	0	18	84
		243	0	16	38
		244	0	0 0	20
		२६१ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 5	59
		275	0	10	26
		२७७ (स.भूमि)	0	03	83
		274	0	1 1	52
		278	0	0 1	98
		२९५(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	1 4
		305	0	10	44
		307	0	16	24
		308	0	18	1 1
		309	0	00	42
		३३६(स.रास्ता)	0	00	57
		364/2920(स.भूमि)	0	21	96
		364/2820			
		364	0	3 1	88
		363	0	06	04
		359	0	15	46
	•	360	0	00	40
		१०२६(स.रास्ता)	0	04	87
		1009	0	1 1	3 1
		1006	0	28	07
		1003	0	00	20
		1005	0	12	96
		1004	0	19	77
		7 1 8 (स.नाला)	0	8 0	82
		7 1 5 (स.भूमि)	0	21	5 1
		7.14	0	30	87
		7 1 9 (स.भूमि)	0	13	1 1
	•	७८६ (स.नाला)	0	00	20
		720/2933	0	04	93
		720	0	07	39
		721	e)	06	70
		७ २ ८ (स.रास्तः)	* *	0 1	80
		722	0	06	1 2
		723	0	09	20
		725	0	06	1 4
		727	0	00	22

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्याब
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	'
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	बीजापुर (जारी)	726	0	8 0	√, 08
		733	0	19	08
		732	0 .	2 1	26
		731	, 0	23	33
9.	पादरला	566	0	08	87
		563	0	10	40
		576	0	0 1	64
		575	0	06	68
		577	0	0 1	28
		579	0	0 5	28
		578	0	0 1	89
		580	0	15	52
		582	0 -	10	42
		590	0	0 1	12
		638/817	0	10	16
		591	0	09	87
		636	0	00	91
		635	0	16	56
		634	0	07	21
		633	0	08	84
	•	595	0	00	20
		632	0	16	70
	·	629	0	06	99
		630	0	8 0	99
		६२६(स.रास्ता)	0	00	75
		621	0	09	05
		620	0	13	29
		618	0	14	31
		५२८(स.रास्ता)	0	09	89
		377	0	24	11
	•	362(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	26
		71	0	04	63
		70	0	06.	15
		69	0	07	05
		81	0	1.7	69
		59	0	10	64
		60	0	11	82
		54	0	10	58

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	यः 'राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
10. सेव	गड़ी	244	0	21	20
		242	0	07	3 1
		241	0	12	31
		240	0	13	98
		261/3786	0	00	67
		३ १ ० (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	52
		348	0	14	98
		344	0	0 1	63
		349	0	07	26
		३ ५ १ (सिं.वि.नहर)	0	0 1	37
		355	0	00	20
		354	0	06	1 1
		356	0	02	15
		366	0	05	82
		365	0	07	82
		364	0	27	1 1
		३६२(स.रास्ता)	0	01	13
		159	0	09	33
		160	0	8 0	71
		162	0	04	23
		163	0	05	15
		164	0	07	0 5
		103	0	10	88
		101	0	10	77
		100	0	0 5	97
		99	0	0 5	77
		98	0	1 1	58
		457(स.रास्ता)	0	03	06
		554	0	15	89
		555	0	12	20
		541	0	13	12
		542	0	12	28
		537	0	10	67
		534	0	11	16
		527	0	10	18
		522	0	1 0	53
		521	Ü	10	17
		589	0	10	35
		587	0	09	37

तहसील : बाली		जिला : पाली	राज	राज्यः राजस्थान			
इस				क्षेत्रफल			
सं	ं गाँव का बाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्ट्रेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीट		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
10.	सेवाई। (जारी)	590	0	0.0	20		
•		585	Ô	10	64		
		603	Q	0.8	38		
		604	0	07 .	13		
		505	0	06	96		
	•	606	Ô	07	40		
		🗸 ६४१ (स.रास्ता)	Q	02	19		
		979	Ó	12	05		
		978	Ö	09	53		
		977	0	1 1	60		
		976	0	1 1	80		
	•	975	0	0.1	11		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	996	0	16	20		
		992	Ò	00	20		
		995	0	06	80		
		997	0	1 1	68		
1.	पातावा	44	0	1 5	92		
• •	,	43.	O	13	21		
		42	<u>0</u> 9	12	94		
	•	६२(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	03	60		
		63	0	04	99		
		73	ø	02	0 0		
		74	9	02	58		
		72	ø	07	37		
		71	ð	05	54		
		76	0	0.2	52		
		77	0	04	88		
		78	0	00	20		
		79	0	0 5	71		
		80	0	04	26		
	•	81	0	04	86		
		8 <u>4</u>	0	0 5	94		
		127	0	05	67		
		126	Õ	04	25		
			0	0.5	44		
		125	0	05	52		
		124	0	00	20		
		88	0	00	25		
		89	0	00	20		

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राजः	स्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा स	ें जेंद्रशक्त	े एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	पातावा (जारी)	92	0	00	20
•		94	0	00	95
		123	0	03	75
		122	0	02	0 5
		95	0	0 1	39
		97	0	02	3 1
		106	0	02	57
		104	0	00	94
		98	0	02	19
		103	0	06	3 5
	•	101	0	08	6 5
		112	0	44	72
12.	बारवा	5 3 6 (ग्रा.पं.)	0	28	8 0
		५३७ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	19
		5 4 4 (ग्रा.पं.)	0	29	29
		546	0	19	28
		5 5 0 (स.भूमि)	0	03	97
		548	0	0 1	04
		549	0	24	87
•		577	0	0 1	01
		5 8 0 (ग्रा. पं.)	0	06	94
		५ ३ ५ (पी.डब्ल्यू. डी.सड़क)	0	02	53
		5 3 4 (ग्रा.पं.)	0	05	17
		528	0	14	87
		527	0	02	85
		530	0	00	20
		526	0	03	08
		531	0	00	93
		532	0	05	08
		६३१ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	12
		€34	0	36	0 1
		635	0	1 5	00
		638	0	20	73
		1180	0	1 1	5 2
		1182	0	02	53
		1181	0	00	20
		1183	0	0 0	20
		! 184	0	16	39
		1135	Ų	00	82

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज्य	यः राजर	यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
12.	बारवा (जारी)	1186	0	. 12	92
		१ १ ७ ८ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	34
		1114	0	- 10	68
		1113	0	00	73
		1115	.0	1.1	54
		1116	0	0.0	32
		1134	0	22	38
		1142	0	01	97
		1143	0	12	80
		1144	0	00	80
		1145	0	09	85
		1146	0	05	54
		ा ०९३ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	. 11
		1062	Ο,	00	30
		1068	0	13	28
		1063	0 -	1.3	78
		1067	0	01	76
		1066	o Î	17	81
		1058	0	05	85
		1 0 5 7 (स.रास्ता)	, a O	0 1	16
		869	0	12	77
		872	0	00	20
		870	0	16	85
		881	0	00	20
		८८२(स.रास्ता)	0	03	06
		884	0	1 1	67
		883	0	04	36
		885	0	10	80
		889	0	8 0	74
		890	0	12	89
		893	0	22	16
		894	0	07	27
		895	0	15	00
		896	0	09	17
13	शुणावा	291	0	00	40
1.7	3	३०५(स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	94
		297	0	15	- 77
	·	264	0	17	11
		263	0	03	. 83
		203			

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	व : राजर	खान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
13.	लुणावा (जारी)	262	0	02	32
		258	0	0.0	95
		259	0	02	98
	•	261	0	0 1	78
		260	0	02	88
		२४५(स.रास्ता)	0	02	23
		244	0	0 1	42
		369(स.नदी)	0	45	36
		370	0	08	24
		३७१ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	03	08
		434	0	03	09
		438	0	02	58
		436	•0	10	66
		४३५(स.रास्ता)	0	02	74
		460	0	03	95
		463	0	03	63
		464	0	04	24
		467	0	03	30
		468	0	06	39
		470	0	03	60
		471	0	10	13
		474	0	09	71
		476	0	09	54
		475	0	00	20
		479	0	06	32
		535	0	02	27
		525	0	16	13
		526	0	03	80
		527	0	01	73
		521	0	07	10
		499	0	1.1	56
		500	0	03	38
		501	0	1 2	8 4
		502	0	02	49
14.	सेशली	1005	0	25	20
		952	0	10	50
		951	0	03	63
	•	943 (स.वाला)	0	05	78
		938	0	70	93

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम			1	क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीट
1	2	3	4	5	6
14.	सेसली (जारी)	866	0	00	90
		869	0	05	26
		८७० (स.नाला)	0	08	62
15.	पुनाडिया	5 <i>7</i> 9 (स.भूमि)	0	03	79
		5 8 0 (स.भूमि)	0	0 1	85
		581	0	18	92
		582	0	12	37
		598	0	1:1	28
		597	0	14	17
		596	0	02	33
		६ १ ० (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	24
		611	0	02	37
		6 1 3 (स.वाली)	0	8 0	20
		617	0	11	88
	,	616	0	1 1	26
		650	0	06	29
	·	649	0	10	85
		648	0	1 0	92
		646	0	1 1	39
		642	0	00	53
		639	0	09	16
		640	0	0.8	76
		636	0	0 1	80
		63३(स ाली)	0	0 1	90
		4 59	0	0 1	74
		451	0	42	49
		450/669	0	12	24
16. 7	नालराई	598/1364(स.भूमि)	0	00	33
		598	0	4.7	73 .
	·	593	0	27	36
		579/1358	0	08	63
		579	0	32	44
		546	0	17	45
		545	0	0 4	22
		547	0	00	20
		543	0	0 1	38
		542	0	03	2 1
		544	0	0 4	16
	•	541	0 _	13	91

सं. गाँव का नाम खसरा सं. हेक्टेयर एयर व 1 2 3 4 5 16. लालराई (जारी) 540 0 00 487 0 27 499 0 00 490 0 14 491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	र्ग मीटर 6 20 07 20 94 52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04 84 61
1 2 3 4 5 16. लालराई (जारी) 540 0 00 487 0 27 499 0 00 490 0 14 491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	6 20 07 20 94 52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04 84
16. लालराई (जारी) 540 0 00 487 0 27 499 0 00 490 0 14 491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	20 07 20 94 52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04 84
487 0 27 499 0 00 490 0 14 491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	07 20 94 52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04
499 0 00 490 0 14 491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	20 94 52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04 84
490 0 14 491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	94 52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04
491 0 08 483(स.नाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	52 46 47 30 81 99 03 04
483 (स.जाला) 0 01 481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457 (स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	46 47 30 81 99 03 04 84
481 0 07 478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	47 30 81 99 03 04 84
478 0 10 479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	30 81 99 03 04 84
479 0 04 477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	81 99 03 04 84
477 0 10 476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	99 03 04 84
476 0 01 461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	03 04 84
461 0 03 457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	04 84
457(स.भूमि) 0 00 462 0 15	84
462 0 15	
	0 1
+01(ci.cicii) 0 00	96
447 0 44	44
417(स.रास्ता) 0 01	96
36(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह) 0 37	35
395(स.रास्ता) 0 00	76
सर्वे नं. 395 और 394	
के बीच में	39
394 0 00	8 5
392 0 37	26
३७(स.रास्ता) ० ०१	07
62 0 08	02
73 0 00	70
71 0 04	71
72 0 10	52
74 0 00	20
1 98(स.नाला) 0 0 5	74
119 0 00	53
104 0 00 116 0 00	33
116 0 00 115 0 05	68 57
1122 0 05	5 / 9 1
121 0 03	36
123 0 11	72
	0 4
	0 9
	0 4

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
16.	लालराई (जारी)	131	0	31	42
		135	0	38	72
		150	0	16	05
		151	0	00	20
		147	0	06	20
•		152	0	8 0	30
		146	0	00	20
		154	0	05	04
		155	0	11	16
	4	1 5 6 (स.वाला)	0	10	08
17.	डूंगली	1 68 (स.नदी)	0	03	26
	A.c.	166	0	00	20
		167	0	07	28
		173	0	15	99
		172	0	23	42
		180	0	12	66
		184	0	12	98
		224(स.रास्ता)	0	00	91
		240	.0	01	80
		241	Ö	09	16
		257	Ö	03	60
		242	Ö	00	43
		256	Ö	09	64
		258	Ö	00	20
		255	Ö	02	21
		261	Ö	25	21
		264	Ö	24	60
		263	Ö	00	20
		265	Ö	15	39
		265 266(स.रास्ता)	Ö	03	17
		270	Ö	33	48
		272	0	01	13
		282	Ö	05	94
		281	Ö	29	21
		278	0	34	45
		1245	Ö	00	· 20
18.	मुडारा	1 2 4 6 (स.रास्ता)	Ö	00	64
		1248(41.4141)	0	34	02
		१ २ ४ ३ १ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क्र)	0	08	68
		1256	0	03	08
		१ २७ ९(स.रास्ता)	0	02	09
		1280	0	45	78
		1318	0	29	89
		1316	0	03	75

तहसील :	ਗਲੀ	जिला : पार्ल	হাজ	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम		(1000) 41C0	1	क्षेत्रफल	
	का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एथर	वर्ग ओटर
	2	3	4	5	6
18. मुंडारा (जारी)	د ۱۰ مهر مداخانی د منتشد مساله مخمله است.	1317	0	00	20
		1302	0	41	37
		1307	0	0 1	07
		1306/1808	0	00	91
		1303	0	16	39
		1304	0	18	50
		1 473(स.भूमि)	0	0 1	98
		1385	0	30	42
		1386 '	0	10	10
		१४७१ (स.रास्ता)	0	05	77
		1486	0	00	24
		1487	0	15	20
		1488	0	12	32
		1489	0	06	53
		1499	Q	00	. 52
•		1498	0	04	26
		1497	0	05	38
		1491	0	16	93
		1492	0	0 1	80
		१ ५ ५ ३ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	22
		1559	0	1 1	19
		1560	0	10	98
		1561	0	19	31
		1562	0	17	25
		1563	0	0 1	75
		१ ६८७ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सड़क)	0	06	19
		920	0	14	78
		919	0	0 1	08
		सर्वे नं. ९२० और			
		910/1832 के बीच में	0	0 4	0.6
		910/1832(स.भूमि)	0	02	07
		910	0	20	14
		909	0	17	40
		908	0	19	04
		906		1 5	32
		901	0	21	53
		9 0 2 (स.रास्ता)	0	00	66
		904	0	1.1	87
		७८६ (स.रास्ता)	0	09	22
Service and S. Constant of the Service Constant Constant		713	0	8 0	32

	तहसील : बाली	जिला : पाली	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
18.	मुडारा (जारी)	717	0	39	03
		718	0	22	35
		730	0	26	41
		723	0	26	22
		738(स.रा स्ता)	0	00	73
		743	0	12	23
		744/1844	0	11	55
	•	७२२(स.रास्ता)	0	04	24
		744	. 0	01	02
		656	0	59	97
		655/1848	0	0 1	00
		649	0	20	02
		650	0	15	12
		651	0	09	43
		647	0	07	64

[फा. सं. आर-31015/45/2004-ओ.आर-॥]

हरीश कुमार, अवर सम्बव

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2005

S.O. 2320.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 2978 dated the 11th November, 2004, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), published in the Gazette of India dated the 20th November, 2004, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Guiarat to Delhi by M/s Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited:

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the

public on the 27th December. 2005:

And whereas the competent authority has under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the

said Act submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user therein:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said At, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the

pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of the declaration, in M/s Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide this Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR- II dated 25-11-2004.

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : BALI	District: PALI	State: R	AJASTI	HAN
Sr.			Arca	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare		
1 2	3,,,	4	5'	6
1. AMLIA	3	0	13	32
¥ 1	6(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	94
	91	0	04	50
	90	0	29	19
	9	0	12	34
	8	0	00	48
	10	0	00	20
	16	0	01	00
	11	0	02	00
	22	0	06	54
	23	Q	06	12
	24	. 0	12	75
	25	0	11	15
	26	0	12	24
	27	0	24	,77
	33	0	46	09
	34	0	08	69
	35	0	19	51
	36	0	14	66
	36/461	0	04	97
	37	0	09	83
	39	0	41	40
	40	0	04	40
2. NANA	916(G/L River)	0	25	55
•	917(P.W.D Road)	. 0	07	25
	918(G/L)	0	72	75
	918/4250	0	62	92
	920(G/L)	0	17	36
	925(G/L Nala)	0	01	14
	922	0	97	42
	921(G/L Mac	0	17	21
	1166	Ò	17	33 .
	1161	0	26	25
	1160(G/L Nala)	0	04	24
	1154	0	14	51
	1155(G/L Abadi)	0	00	44
	1153	0	13	56

T	Tehsil: BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No		Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	NANA (Contd)	1150	0	29	52
		1147(G/L)	, 0	20	47
		1145	0	26	94
		1142(G/L Nala)	0	02	24
		1139	0	20	42
		1140(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	50
		2096	0	20	56
		2099	0	03	51
		2103)	0	17	88
	,	2102	0	00	20
		2115(G/L Nala)	0	01	50
		2186	0	05	48
		2185(G/L)	0	01	41
		2184(G/L)	0	05	55
		2183(G/L)	0	17	43
		2182(G/L)	0	01	93
		2181(G/L Cart Track)	0	10	36
		2154	0	00	20
		2193(G/L Magra)	0	.00	20
		2196(G/L Magra)	0	00	20
		2166	- O	06	21
		2165	0	00	20
		2167	0	06	81
		2168	0	05	68
	•	2169	0	05	76
		2170	0	01	02
		2197(G/L Magra)	0	00	20
		2198	0	00	56
	•	2199(G/L Magra)	0	01	49
		2200	0.	02	67
		2201(G/L Magra)	0	05	. 81
	,	2204/4161(G/L)	0	00	20
		2202(G/L)	0	12	43
		2203	0	09	59
		2418(P.W.D Road)	0	04	39
		2424(G/L Magri)	0	07	20
		2420/2	0	06	96
		2420/3	0	07	56
		2420Min	0	16	56

	Tehsil : BALI	District: PALI	State : R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	NANA (Contd)	2419(G/L Cart Track)	0	12	43
		2417(G/L Magri)	0	13	92
		2416(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	52
	•	2415(Forest Deptt.)	0	80	56
		2477(Forest Deptt.)	0	81	86
3.	KAGDARA	362(G/L Mines)	0	00	20
		360	0	47	33
		359	0	02	15
		354(G/L Naia)	0	07	04
		353	0	21	68
		349	0	40	92
		350	0	00	20
		343	0	05	41
		342	0	10	16
		339	0	04	40
		19(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	91
		18	0	05	70
		12(G/L)	0	02	32
		13	0	12	38
		8	0	10	68
		. 7	0	06	47
		5	0	80	49
		4(G/L)	0	07	82
		??(G/L River)	0	26	96
		72	0	09	69
		71	0	09	41
		76 77	0	00	30
		77 70	0	00	20
		79 60	0	00	20
		68	0	28	13
		64(G/L)	0	80	36
		66	0	02	14
		67	0	00	60 60
		63 65	0 0	02 03	60 4 6
		58	0	03 06	62
		85	0	00	50
		60	0	01	65
		57	0	10	38

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
3. K	AGDARA (Contd)	54(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	93
		33	0	04	92
		32	0	02	32
		31	0	10	69
		29	0	11	55
		25	0	07	49
		24	0	25	07
		41(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	10
4. B	HANDAR	3459(G/L Bhakhar)	0	01	95
		3461(G/L)	0	19	98
		3462(G/L Nala)	0	01	65
		3463(G/L)	0	32	06 66
		3473(G/L)	0	07	66 74
		3472(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	74
		3471(G/L)	0	1 4 30	33 47
		3470/4794(G/L)	0	0 7	
,		3512(G/L Cart Track)	0	06	38 18
		4038	0	08	16
		4035(G/L Bhakhar)	0	01	41
		4003((G/L Nala)	0 Q	10	26
		4001	Q Q	02	16
		4002(G/L Cart Track) 3988	Õ	07	09
		3987	0	00	26
		3990	0	11	40
		3986(G/L)	Ö	03	07
		3983	0	13	94
		3984	0	01	33
		3982	0	06	03
		3980	0	03	80
		3981	0	05	73
		3977	0	03	70
		39 76	Q	07	11
		397 3	Ö	22	33
		3961	0	05	36
		396 0	0	12	19
		3960/4756	0	06	84
		3932.	C)	Q1	86
		3910	, 0	00	6 6

Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	HAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
4. BHANDAR (Contd)	3911	0	25	43
	3907	0	26	81
	3898	0	14	31
	3899	0	06	52
	3895)	13	95
	3894	0	02	37
	3891	C	05	64
	3892	0	15	37
	3890	0	06	54
	3889	0	09	49
	3855(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	80
	3854	0	19	62
	3912	0	01	50
	3913	0	00	58
	3914	0	00	20
	.3853	0	05	45
	3840(G/L Nala)	0	12	53
	4268	0	05	71
	4270(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	61
	4271(G/L)	0	22	75
	4272	0	19	04
	3834	0	09	24
	3829(G/L Nala)	0	12	42
	3819	0	21	00
	3816	0	00	51
	3817	0	11	71
	3818	0	12	99
	3801(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	36
	3796	0	22	40
	3794	0	06	71
5. SENDALA	669	0	03	60
	666	0	11	98
	665	0	07	74
	662	0	00	20
	661	0	01	40
	660	0	07	88
	659	0	04	57
	658	0	26	98
the second secon	657(G/L)	0	49	08

T	Tehsil: BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	SENDALA (Contd)	673(G/L Cart Track)	0	19	29
		695(G/L)	0	01	95
		693	0	20	18
		686	0	21	94
		684	0	04	08
		683	0	00	63
		582(G/L River)	0	19	42
		580	0	02	05
		579	0	03	36
		578	0	00	20
		555	0	12	41
		556	0	05	88
		557	0	06	27
		558	0	06	30
		559	0	13	16
		562	0	08	93
		560	0	03	48
		561	0	00	90
		304(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	08
		471	0	13	26
		472	0	07	40
		469	0	05	85
		473	0	05	92
		468	0	13	61
		467	0	11	59
		464	0	07	66
		459	0	05	97
		458	0	02	05
		454	0	08	42
		455	0	05	68
		438	0	03	68
		445(G/L)	0	11	76
		439	0	02	16
		444	0	03	48
		401(G/L)	0	92	40
		491	0	01	12
6 .	BERA	2174(G/L Pahad)	0	93	60
		2177	0	18	00
		2178(G/L)	00	11	18

k mbm	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	HAN
Sr. T		1		Area	
10	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mt
1	2	3	4	5	6
6. B	ERA (Contd)	2176	0	00	36
		2285(G/L Magra)	0	77	20
		2300(G/L Bhakhar)	0	15	68
		2299	0	16	46
		2298	0	12	75
		2320	0	09	69
		2322	0	13	75
		2279(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	98
		2336	0	18	82
		2340	0	02	88
		2341	0	05	88
		2343	0	00	20
		2344	0	00	20
		2346(G/L Nala)	0	01	87
		2347	0	04	01
		2350	0	0.5	08
		2351	0	04	57
		2354	0	04	19
		2357	0	01	55
		2356	0	02	98
		2358	0	01	97
		2359	0	01	77
		2363	0	02	88
		2364	0	01	26
		2365	0	00	20
		2368	0	03	01
		2367	0	08	63
		2394(G/L Nala)	0	01	72
		2398	0	03	38
		2397	0	05	23
		2405	0	09	54
		2406(G/L Road)	0	07	02
		2420	0	05	93
		2421	0	05	84
		2422	0	04	79
		2423	o	04	19
		2426	0	04	09
		2484/4971	o	15	81
		2433	Ö	00	38

	Tehsil : BALI	Tehsil: BALI District: PALI State: RAJASTHAI			HAN
ŝr.				Area	
10	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mt
1	. 2	3	4	5	6
3.	BERA (Contd)	2434(G/L)	0	00	87
		2435	0	00	20
		2484(Ġ/L Nala)	0	14	32
		2630	0	01	65
		2613	0	12	38
		2514	0	11	88
		2616	0	80	72
		2624	0	14	27
		2620	0	14	12
	4	2590(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	66
		2801	0	11	10
		2802	0	03	62
		2803	0	09	73
		2777/1(G/L)	0	14	80
		2777/4	0	06	48
		2834	0	10	76
		2835	0	01	24
		2838(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	03
		2864	0	13	37
		2860	0	00	20
	•	2863	0	13	05
		2861	0	05	17
		2862	0	12	55
		2934(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	09
		3015	0	18	75
		3016	0	09	56
		3010	0	06	52
		3021	0	15	60
		3022	0	06	93
		3001	0	00	20
		3000	0	01	18
		3023	0	06	15
		2999	0	01	83
		2998	0	13	51
		2997	Ō	14	27
		3033	Ö	13	78
		3034(G/L Nala)	Õ	05	51
		3205(G/L)	0	02	26
		3204(G/L)	Ö	00	70

	Tehsil : BALI	District: PALI	State: RAJASTHAN		
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
6. E	SRA (Contd)	3203(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	39
2		3201	0	24	21
		3202/4966	0	11	22
		3202	0	49	89
		3419	0	17	45
		3418	0	00	23
		3443	0	28	78
		3444	0	03	41
		3442	0	04	47
		3433	0	00	25
		3434	0	80	68
		3441	0	00	64
		3435	0	07	15
r	¥ •	3436	0	04	99
		3438	0	00	20
		3437	0	96	96
		3472(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	77
		3521	0	18	13
		3520	0	01	60
		3519	0	19	19
		3518	0	05	72
		3517	0	10	95
		3510	0	07	07
		3508	0	15	22
		3507	0	15	01
		3501	0	16	76
		3499(G/L Cart Track)	0	80	60
		3498/4810	0	05	63
		3861(G/L Cart Track)	0	06	18
		3862(G/L)	0	04	41
		3865	0	05	97
		<u>୍ଟେଲ</u>	0	17	75
		387 1	0	09	00
		3874	0	80	69
		3878	0	09	64
		3383	0	08	11
		3882	0	08	66
		3892	0	15	32
		3893	0	23	11

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State: RAJASTHAN		
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	BERA (Contd)	3964	0	14	31
		3957	0	14	74
		3956	0	11	62
		3952	0	13 .	27
		3950	0	80	27
		3949	0	09	54
		3937(G/L Cart Track)	0	05	18
		3939	0	32	42
		3931(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	57
		3930/4814(G/L)	0	19	48
		3929	0	15	48
		3940(G/L Nala)	0	00	30
7.	BHATUND	2486	0	62	64
		2490	0	23	14
		2491	0	21	96
		2337	0	04	62
		2339	0	07	93
		2340	0	09	55
		2341	0	06	1.7
		2342	0	07	01
		2351	0	11	43
		2354	0	07	47
		2362	0	06	7.3
		2360	0	01	38
		2361	0	13	97
		2359	0	02	55
		2365	0	06	55
	7. C	2369	0	20	82
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2228	0	00	20
		2370	0	04	19
		2227	0	02	00
		2371	0	05	90
		2372	0	03	91
		2373	0	10	23
		2205	0	13	35
		2213	0	01	96
		2206	0	80	21
		2207	0	00	20
		2204	0	06	30

	Tehsil: BALI	District : PAL	State : R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	BHATUND (Contd)	2203	0	10	51
		2199	0	07	65
		2200	0	09	36
		2201	0	04	37
		2191	0	80	00
		2291(G/L Cart Track)	0	07	30
		2190	0	00	32
		2172(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	20
		2143	0	44	56
		2157	0	11	63
		2158	0	06	47
		2034(G/L Cart Track)	Q -	02	10
		2021	0	25	31
		2020(G/L)	0	10	49
		2022	0	00	20
		2019	0	14	40
		1939	0	03	60
		1793(G/L Nala)	0	02	30
		1785(G/L)	0	25	44
		1792	0	01	60
		1787	0	01	50
		1789	0	00	20
		1786(G/L Nadi)	0	14	40
		1784(G/L Nadi)	0	02	37
		1777	0	28	44
		1732(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	16
		1778(G/L River)	0	25	20
		1389	0	10	48
		1390	0	17	77
		1391	0	05	27
		1396	0	10	56
		1395	0	07	61
	•	1397(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	80
		1398	0	07	88
		1412	0	15	19
		1411	0 .	09	46
		1409	0	03	84
		1426	0	01	36
		1427	0	08	28

L	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJAST	AN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	BHATUND (Contd)	1429	0	80	92
		1438	0	05	22
		1432	0	05	62
		1433	0	05	80
		1370(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	41
		1344	0	07	94
		1343	0	00	25
		1345	0	08	03
		1346	0	00	35
		1347	0	01	38
		1351(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	29
		1352	0	13	05
		1210(P.W.D Road)	0	03	12
		1150	0	15	48
		1149	0	09	12
		1148	0	11	16
	•	1147	0	05	22
		1146	0	05	06
		1145	0	04	96
		1144	0	05	40
		1143	0	04	73
		1140	0	24	74
		1139	0	00	20
		1141	0	00	20
		1172	0	13	21
		1171	0	03	93
		1198	0	11	9 9
		1199	0	05	33
		1194	0	09	34
		1190(G/L Nala)	0	08	41
0	DEC IADUD	1191	0	13	14
8.	BEEJAPUR	201	0	06	14
		200(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	33
		178	0	02	31 25
		196	0	00	25 54
		180 181	0 0	13	51 52
		188		16	52
			C	13	30 60
		187	0	08 45	69 07
		186	0	15	97

Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	HAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
8. BEEJAPUR (Contd)	248	0	18	84
	243	0	16	38
·	244	0	00	20
	261(G/L Cart Track)	0	05	59
	275	0	10	26
	277(G/L)	0	03	83
	274	0	11	52
	278	0	01	98
•	295(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	14
	305	0	10	44
	307	0	16	24
	308	0	18	11
	309	0	00	42
	336(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	57
	364/2920(G/L) 364/2820	0	21	96
	364	0	31	88
	363	0	06	04
	359	0	15	46
	360	0	00	40
	1026(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	87
	1009	0	11	31
	1006	0	28	· 07
	1003	0	00	20
	1005	0	12	96
	1004	0	19	77
	718(G/LNala)	0	80	82
	715(G/L)	0	21	51
	714	0	30	87
	719(G/L)	0	13	11
	786(G/L Nala)	0	00	20
	720/2933	0	04	93
	720	0	07	39
	721	0	06	70
	728(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	80
	722	0	06	12
	723	0	09	20
	725	0	06	14
	727	0	00	22

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	BEEJAPUR (Contd)	726	0	80	80
		733	0	19	80
	***	732	0	21	26
		731	0	23	33
9.	PADARLA	566	0	80	87
		563	0	10	40
		576	0	01	64
		575	0	06	68
		577	0	01	28
		579	0	05	28
		578	0	01	89
		580	0	15	52
		582	0	10	42
		590	0	01	12
		638/817	0	10	16
		591	0	09	87
		636	0	00	91
		635	0	16	56
	•	634	0	07	21
	,	633	0	80	84
		595	0	00	20
		632	0	16	70
		629	0	06	99
		630	0	80	99
		626(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	75 05
		621	0	09	05
		620	0	13	29
		618	0	14	31
		528(G/L Cart Track)	0	09	89
		377	0	24	11
		362(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	26 63
		7 1	0	04	63 ·
		70	0	06 07	15 05
		69	0	07 17	05 60
		81	0	17	69
		59	0	10	64
		60	0	11	82 50
		54	0	10	58

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
10.	SEWARI	244	0	21	20
		242	0	07	31
		241	0	12	- 31
		240	0	13	98
		261/3786	0	00	67
		310(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	52
		348	0	14	98
		344	0	01	63
		349	0	07	26
		351(Irrig Deptt. Canal)		01	37
		355	0	00	20
		354	0	06	11
		356	0	02	15
		366	0	05	82
		365	0	07	82
		364	0	27	11
		362(G/L Cart Track)	0	01 00	13
		159	0	09	33 74
		160	0	08	71
		162 163	0	04 05	23
		163 164	0	05 07	15 05
		103	0 0	10	05 88
		101	0	10	77
		100	0	05	97
		99	0	05	77
		98	0	11	58
		457(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	06
		554	0	15	89
		555	Ö	12	20
		541	0	13	12
		542	Ö	12	28
		537	0	10	67
		534	0	11	16
		527	G	10	18
		522	Ö	10	53
		521	0	10	17
		589	0	10	35
		587	0	09	37

Yehsil: BALI	District : PALI	State: R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
10. SEWARI (Contd)	590	0	00	20
	585	0	10	64
	603	0	80	38
	604	0	07	13
	605	0	06	96
	<i>50</i> 6	0	07	40
	641(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	19
	979	0	12	05
	978	0	09	53 ⁻
•	977	0	11	60
	976	0	11	80
	975	0	01	11
	996	0	16	20
	992	0	00	20
	995	0	06	80
•	997	0	· 11	68
11. PATAWA	44	0	15	92
	43	0	13	21
	42	0.	12	94
	62(P.W.D Road)	0	03	60
	63	0	04	99
•	73	0	02	00
•	74	0	02	58
	72	0	07	37
	71	0 -	05	54
	76	0	02	52
	77	0	04	88
	78	0	00	20
	79	0	05	71
	80	0	04	26
•	.81	0	04	86
	84	0	05	94
	127	0	05	67
	126	0	04	25
	125	0	05	44
	124	0	05	52
	88	0	00	20
	89 .	0	00	25
	91.	0	00	20

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
11.	PATAWA (Contd)	92	0	00	20
		94	0	00	95
		123	0	03	75
		122	0	02	05
		95	0	01	39
		97	0	02	31
		106	0	02	57
		104	0	00	94
		98	0	02	19
		103	0	06	35
		101	0	08	65
		112	0	44	72
12. E	BARWA	536(G/P)	0	28	08
		537(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	19
		544(G/P)	0	29	29
		546	0	19	28
		550(G/L)	0	03	97
		548	0	01	04
		549	0	24	87
		577	0	01	01
		580(G/P)	0	06	94
		535(P.W.D Road)	0	02	53
		534(G/P)	0	05	17
		528	0	14	87
		527	0	02	85
•		530	0 .	00	20
		526	0	03	80
		531 532	0	00	93
		532	0	05	08
		631(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	12
		6 3 4	0	36	01
		635	9	15	00
		638	0	20	73
		1180	0	11	52
		1182	0	02	53
		11 31	0	00	20
		1183	0	00	20
		1184	0	16	39
		1185		00	82

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTI	IAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
12.	BARWA (Contd)	1186	0	12	92
		1178(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	34
		1114	0	10	68
	•	1113	0.	00	73
		1115	0	11	54
		1116	0	00	32
		1134	0	22	38
		1142	0	01	97
		1143	0	12	80
		1144	0	00	80
	·	1145	0	09	85
		1146	0	05	54
		1093(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	11
		1062	0	00	30
	•	1068	0	13	28
		1063	0	13	78
		1067	0	01	76
		1066	0	17	81
		1058	0	05	85
		1057(G/L'Cart Track)	0	01	16
		869	0	12	77
		872	0	00	20
		870	0	16	85
		881	0	00	_ 20
		882(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	06
		884	0	11	67
		883	0	04	36
		885	0	10	80
		889	0	08	74
		890	0	12	89
		893	0	22	16
		894	0	07	27
	¥	895	0	15	00
	•	896	0	09	17
1 *	LUNAWA	291	0	00	40
.ب.	LUNAWA	305(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	94
		297	0	15	77
		264	0	17	11
		263	0	03	83

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PA	State : R	AJASTI	IAN
Sr.		The state of the s		Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	. 2	3	4	5	6
13.	LUNAWA (Contd)	262	0	02	32
		258	0	00	95
		259	G	02	98
		261	0	01	73
		260	0	02	88
		245(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	23
		244	0	01	42
		369(G/L River)	0	45	36
		370	0	80	24
		371(P.W.D Road)	0	03	80
		434	0	03	09
		438	0	02	58
		436	0	10	66
		435(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	74
		460	0	03	95
		463	0	03	63
		464	0	04	24
		467	0	03	30
		468	0	06	39
		470	0	03	60
		471	0	10	13
		474	0	09	71
		476	0	09	54
		475	0	00	20
		479	- 0	06	32
	•	535	0	02	27
		525	0	16	13
		526	0	03	80
		527	0	01	73
		521	0	07	10
		499	0	11	56
		500	0	03	38
		501	0	12	84
. =		502	0	02	49
14.	SESLI	1005	0	25	20
		952	0	10	50
		951	0	03	63
		943(G/L Nala)	0	05	78
		938	0	70	93•

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	RAJASTI	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	· Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
14.	SESLI (Contd)	866	0	00	90
		869	0	05	26
		870(G/L Nala)	0	08	62
15.	PUNADIYA	579(G/L)	0	03	79
	•	580(G/L)	0	01	85
		581	0	18	92
		582	0	12	37
		598	0	11	28
		597	0	14	17
		596	0	02	33
		610(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	24
		611	0	02	37
		613(G/L Nala)	0	08	20
		617	0	11	88
		616	0	11	26
		650	0	06	29
		649	0	10	85
		648	0	10	92
		646	0	11	39
		642	0	00	53
		639	0	09	16 70
		640	0	08	76
		636	0	01	80
		633(G/L Nala)	0	01	90
		459	0	01	7 4
		451	0	42	49
16 1	AL DAL	450/669	0 0	12 00	24 33
10.	_ALRAI	598/1364(G/L)	0	4 7	73
		598 503	0	27	73 36
		593 570/4358	0	08	
		579/1358 570			63 44
		579 540	0	. 32 17	4 4 45
		546 545	0	04	22
		545 547	0	00	
		547 542	0 0	01	20 38
		543	0	03	36 21
		542	0	03	16
		544 544			
		541	0	13	91

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State: R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr				Area	
No		Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
16	. LALRAI (Contd)	540	0	00	20
		487	0	27	07
		499	0	00	20
		490	0	14	94
		491	0	08	52
		483(G/L Nala)	0	01	46
		481	0	07	47
		478	0	10	30
		479	0	04	81
		477	0	10	99
		476	0	01	03
		461	0	03	04
		457(G/L)	0	00	84
		462	0	15	61
		451(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	96
		447	0	44	44
		417(G/L Cart Track)	0	01 37	96 25
		36(G/P Pasture)	0	37 00	35 76
		395(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	76
		n Bet Svy No 395 & 394 394	0 0	00	39 85
		392	0	37	26
		37(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	07
		62	0	08	02
		73	0	00	70
•		71	Ö	04	71
		72	Ö	10	52
		74	0	00	20
		198(G/L Nala)	0	05	74
		119	0	00	53
		104	0	00	33
		116	0	00	68
		115	0	05	57
		122	0	05	91
		121	Ō.	03	36
		123	0	11	72
		113	0	01	04
		132	0	41	09
		128	0	01	04

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State: R	AJASTH	IAN
Sr.		J		Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	LALRAI (Contd)	131	0	31	42
	,	135	0	38	72
		150	0	16	05
		151	0	00	20
	•	147	0	06	20
		152	0	08	30
		146	0	00	20
		154	0	05	04
		.155	0	11	16 08
		156(G/L Nala)	0	10 03	26
17.	DUNGALI	168(G/L River)	0 0	00	20
	•	166 167	0	07	28
		167 173	0	15	99
		. 173	. 0	23	42
		180	ő	12	66
•		184	Ö	12	98
		224(G/L Cart Track)	Ö	00	91
		240	0	01	80
		241	0	09	16
	•	257	0	03	60
	,	242	0	00	43
		256	0	09	64
		• 258	0	00	20
		255	0	02	- 21
		261	0	25 24	21 60
		264	0	24 00	20
		263	0	15	39
	9	265	0 0	03	17
	•	266(G/L Cart Track).	0	33	48
		270 272	0	01	. 13
		. 282	0	05	94
		281	0	29	21
		278	Ö	34	45
10	MUNDARA	1245	Ö	00	20
10.	MONDANA	1246(C性 Cart Track)	ŋ	00	64
		1240(C & Carr 1930K) 1248	Ó	34	02
		1255(P.W.D Road)	0	80	68
		1256	0	03	80
		1279(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	09
		1280	0	45	78 ·
		1318	0	29	89
		1316	0	03_	75

į	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : R	AJASTH	IAN
Ţ	Sr.			Area	
	lo Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
	1 2	3	4	5	6
•	8. MUNDARA (Contd)	1317	0	00	20
		1302	0	41	37
		1307	0	01	07
		1306/1808	0	00	91
		1303	0	16	39
		1304	0	18	50
		1473(G/L)	0	01	98
		1385	0	30	42
		1386	0	10	10
		1471(G/L Cart Track)	0	05	77
		1486	0	00	24
		1487	0	15	20
		1488	0	12	32
		1489	0	06	53 53
		1499	0	00	52
		1498	0	04	26
		1497	0	05 16	38
		1491	0	16 01	93
		1492	0	01 02	80 22
		1553(G/L Cart Track) 1559	0 0	11	22 19
		1560	0	10	98
		1561	0	19	31
		1562	0	17	25
		1563	0	01	75
		1687(P.W.D Road)	0	06	19
		920	0	14	78
	•	919	0	01	08
		In Bet Svy No/ 920&	U	01	00
		910/1832	0	04	06
		910/1832(G/L)	0	02	07
		910	0	20	14
		909	0	17	40
		908	Õ	19	04
		906	Õ	15	32
		901	0	21	53
		902(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	66
		904	0	11	87
		786(G/L Cart Track)	0	09	22
		(= = ;			

	Tehsil : BALI	District : PALI	State : F	AJASTI	HAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1_	2	3	4	5	6
18.	MUNDARA (Contd)	713	0	80	32
		717	0	39	03
		718	0	22	35
		730	0	26	41
		723	0	26	22
		738(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	73
		743	0	12	23
		744/1844	0	11	55
		722(G/L Cart Track)	0	04	24
		744	0	01	02
		656	0	59	97
		655/1848	0	01	00
		649	0	20	02
		650	0	15	12
		651	0	09	43
		647	0	07	64

[No. R-31015/45/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2321. — केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 3145 तारीख 3 दिसम्बर, 2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीख 11 दिसम्बर, 2004, में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुन्द्रा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुन्द्रा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम से हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 03 फरवरी, 2005, को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है :

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख़ को केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमित एव सं. आर - 31015/7/03 ओ.आर-II दिनांक 25/11/2004 द्वारा लगाई गई शर्तों के अध्यधीन सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

तालूव	ग ः राधनपुर	जिला : पाट	ण		राज्य : गु	ु जरात	
क्रम	गॉव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप–खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल		
सं.			. ਦਾਂ.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	4	٠	6	7	
1	चलवाडा	102	1	0	00	20	
		112	1	0	43	92	
		103	2	0	24	93	
		1 1 1	पी 1	C	17	19	
		111	पी2	0	02	61	
		110	•	0	08	64	
		107	1	0	24	0 4	
		128	2	0	25	92	
		128 ,	1	0	14	40	
		126	•	0	51	84	
		152	•	0	26	64	
_	•	153		0	61	20	
2	बंधवड	228	2	0	17	97	
		218	पी2	0	00	20	
		219	1 /पी 2	0	1 1	52	
		228	3	0	00	20	
		219	1 /पी 1	0	18	0 0	
		220	1/1	0	08	0 0	
		219	2	0	00	20	
		220	1/2	0	17	0 0	
		221	٠	0	21	90	
		225	•	0	10	0 0	
		222	•	0	1 5	69	
	•	224	2	0	3 1	24	
		235	1 पी 1 - 2 -	0	42	48	
		्234 रास्ता खसरा संख्या	ची:	0	33	33	
		234/पी1और 2अ/पी2 के	}	0	02 .	98	
		बीत में					

	[भाग][—खण्ड उ(॥)]	मारत का राजपत्र : जुलाइ 2, 2005/जानावृ 11, 1727				
तालू	ने ः राधनपुर	जिला : पाटप	л 		राज्य : गुजरात	
क्रम	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप–खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	जाय पत्र जाम	અલલ લ.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	बंघवड(जारी)	2	अ/पी2	0	25	56
		2	ब	0	04	68
		. 3	1 /पी2	0	17	71
		3	4	0	14	76
		3	1 /पी 1	0	05	90
		7	3पी1	0	15	00
		7	2	0	14	00
		7	1	0	20	. 00
		25	1 पी	0	07	20
		6	•	0	22	50
		7,7	•	0	07	48
		76	•	0	27	93
		74	_ 1	0 -	28	80
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या ७४/१] .	•		50
	•	और 73 के बीच में	>	0	02	59
		73	ر	0 -	35	71
		64	1	0	43	68
		64	2	0	21	09
		63	•	0	18	86
		62		0	13	39
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या ६२ और		0	06	55
	,	40/पी2 के बीच में	ſ	U	00	33
		40	पी2	Э	16	20
		41	पी2	0	37	29
		43	पी2	0	10	08
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या 43/पी2		•		
		और 59/पी2 के बीच में	}	0	13	68
		59	पी2	0	00	89
		47	पी1	0	00	55
		46		0	40	60
		49	2पी 1	0	22	09
		49	1	0	16	38
		49	3	0	12	83
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या ४९/३				
		और सुल्तानपुरा ग्राम सीमा के	}	0	0 1	44
		बीच में	<u></u>			

तालू	ने : राधनपुर	जिला : पाट			राज्य : ग्	<u></u> जुजरात
कुम			उप-ख्रण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
ਲਂ.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	સં.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	सुल्तानपुरा	19	1	0	42	07
		20	पी	0	27	50
		21	1	0	22	09
		21	1/1	0	18	89
		13.	. 1	0	08	91
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या १३/१	_	0	01	08
		और 92/1 के बीच में	J			
		92	1	0	31	03
		92	2	0	26	36
		94	पी2	0	28	8 0
		85	पी 1	0	20	32
		8.5	पी2	0	41	34
		84	2	0	22	80
		57	1+2	0	22	80
		57	3पी2	0	13	54
		57	3पी1	0	09	64
		103	1पी3	0	10	69
		56		0	21	02
		104	2	0	01	84
		104	1 पी 1	0	05	28
		104	1पी2	0	07	84
		105	1	0	16	39
		105	2	0	21	5 2
4	सुबापुरा	169	1 पी 1	0	01	9 🛊
	5 "3"	170	•	0	11	40
		रात्ता उरसरा संख्या 170	<u></u>	٥	0.4	98
		और 171/1पी1के बीच में		0	04	90
		171	ापी।	0	19	24
		171	2/2पी2	0	15	68
		156	2/1	0	8 0	19
		156	2/2पी2	0	07	48
		1:56	1	0	07	48
		156	1/2	0	09	97
		148	पी2	0	14	25
		148	पी1	0	19	52
	•	147		0	26	71
		146	3	0	17	82

तार्दू	का ः राधनपुर	जिला : य	ाटण		राज्य : गुजरात		
क्रम			उप-खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल		
सं.	गॉव का नाम	खसरा सं.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1	2	3	,4	5	6	7	
4	सुबापुरा(जारी)	140	1	0	25	56	
	3-13-1(-11-11-11-1)	139	•	0	17	10	
		138	•	0	15	58	
		115	1	0	17	98	
		115	2/2	0	00	55	
		115	2/1	0	11	04	
, ,.	•	117+118	1/3	0	21	74	
		119	3	0	. 22	45	
		94	•	0	21	02	
		109		0	18	₹9	
		98	2/2पी2	0	13	18	
		98	2/1पी1	0	11	8 0	
		101	2	0	26	73	

[फा. सं. आर-31015/39/2004-ओ.आर-II] हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th June, 2005

S.O. 2321.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 3145 dated the 3rd December, 2004, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), published in the Gazette of India dated the 11th December, 2004, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedulappended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Gujarat to Delhi through Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 3rd February, 2005;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user therein:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule, appended to this notification, is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of declaration, in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide this Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR U dated 25-11-2004

SCHEDULE

T	aluk : RADHANPUR	District : PATAN		S	tate : GU	JARAT
C.			Sub-		Area	
Sr. No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Hectare Are	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	CHALWADA	102	1	0	00	20
		112	1	0 .	43	92
		103	2	0	24	93
		111	P1	0	17	19
		111	P2	0	02	61
		110	.	0	08	64
		107	1	0	24	04
		128	2	_ 0	25	92
	•	. 128	1	0	14	40
		126	-	0	51	84
		152	-	0	26	64
		153	•	0	61	20
2	BANDHWAD	228	2	0	17	97
		218	P2	0	00	20
		219	1/P2	0	11	52
		228	3	0	00	20
		219	1/P1	0	18	00
		220	1/1	0	08 ⊸	00
		219	2	0	00	20
		220	1/2	0	17	00
		221	-	0	21	90
		225	-	0	10	00
		222	-	0	15	69
		224	2	0	31	24
		235	1P1	0	42	48
		234	P1	0	33	33
		Cart track In between)	•	00	00
		ourvey number.234/P1 and	7	0	02	98
		2A/P2 2	A/P2	0	25	56
		2	B	0	04	68
		3	1/P2	0	9 4 17	71
		3 3	4	0	14	7 i 76
		3	1/P1	0	05	90
		7	3P1	0	15	00
			<u> </u>	_ _		

(5)	aluk : RADHANPUR	District : PATAN		S	tate : GU	JARAT
Sr.			Sub-		Area	
No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1	2	3	4	5	6	7_
2	BANDHWAD	7	2	0	14	00
	(Contd)	7	1	0	20	00
		25	1P	0	07	20
	•	6	-	0	22	50
		77	-	0	07	48
		76	-	0	27	93
		74	1	0	28	80
		Cart track in between	}	O	02	59
		Survey number.74/1 and 73 73	J ,	0	35	71
		73 64	1	0	43	68
		64	2	0	21	09
	,	63		0	18	86
		62	_	0	13	39
		Cart track In between)	U	10	33
		Survey number.62 and	·	0	06	55
		40/P2	J	-		
		40	P2	0	16	20
		41	P2	0	37	29
	•	43	P2	0	10	80
	,	Cart track In between)			
		Survey number.43/P2 and	} -	0	13	68
,		59/P2	J	_		
		59	P2	0	00	89
		47	P1	. 0	00	55
		46	• •	0	40	60
		49	2P1	0	22	09
	4	49	1	0	16	38
		49	3	0	12	83
	,	Cart track In between				
		Survey number.49/3 and	}	0	01	44
		Village Boundary of				
•	O. II = 1.1= := :	Sultanpura	J .	_		
3	SULTANPURA	19	1	0	42	07
		20	P	0	27	50
		.21	4	0	22	09
		. 21	1/1	0	18	89
		13	1	0	8	91

EX.	aluk : RADHANPUR	District : PATAN		S	state : GU	IARAT
		Sec. 200 Carrier Company of the Comp	Sub-	<u> </u>	Area	7.1.011
Sr. No.	Name of Village	€a⊭/sy no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	SULTANPURA (Contd)	Cart track In between Survey number.13/1 and 92/1	} -	0	01	08
		92	1	0	31	03
		92	2	0	26	36
		94	P2	0	28	08
		85	P1	0	20	32
		85	P2	0	41	34
		84	2	0	22	80
		57	1+2	0	22	80
		57	3P2	0	13	54
		57	3P1	0	09	64
		103	1P3	0	10	69
		56	-	0	21	° 02
		104	2	0	01	84
		104	1P1	0	05	28
		104	1P2	O	07	84
		105	1	٥	16	39
		105	2	0	21	52
4	SUBAPURA	169	1P1	0	01	96
		170	-	0	11	40
		Carttrack in # between Survey number 170 and 171/1P1		0	04	98
		171	1P1	0	19	24
	•	171	2/2P2	0	15	68
		156	2/1	0	08	19
		156	2/2P2	0	07	48
		156	1	0	07	48
		156	1/2	0	09	97
		148	P2	0	14	25
		148	P1	0	19	52
		147	-	0	26	71
		14 €	3	0	17	82
		140	1	0	25	56
		139	-	0	17	10
		138	-	0	15	58
		115	1	C	17	98
.~)		115	2/2	0	00	55

5	aluk : RacHANPUR	District : PATAN		S	tate : GU	JARAT
C.		17	Sub-		Area	
Sr. No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4	SUBAPURA	115	2/1	0	11	04
	(Contd)	117+118	1/3	0	21 .	74
		119	3	0	22	45
		94	-	0	21	02
		109	-	0	18	89
		98	2/2P2	0	13	18
		98	2/1P1	0	11	08
		101	2	0	26	73

[No. R-31015/39/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secv.

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2322. केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियन और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962का50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधिन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 563 तारीख 17 फरवरी ,2005 द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से सलंग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गैस ट्रान्स्पोर्टेशन एंड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्वर कंपनी लिमिटेड की संप्रवर्तक कंपनी रिलाएंस इन्डस्ट्रीज लिमिटेड के गोवा में उत्तरी/दक्षिणी अपतट में खोज ब्लाकों और आंध्रप्रदेश राज्य की संरचनाओं से महाराष्ट्र राज्य में पुणे जिले के विभिन्न उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए गैस ट्रान्स्पोर्टेशन एंड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कंपनी लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 15 अप्रैल 2005 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी; और पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में जनता की ओर से प्राप्त आक्षेपों पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा विचार कर लिया गया है और अनुनुज्ञात कर दिया गया;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्च्यात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन का विनिश्चय किया है ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन विछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन विछाने के संवंध में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोपणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केन्द्रीय सरकार मे निहित होने के बजाए सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, गैस ट्रान्स्पोर्टेशन एंड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कंपनी लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनूसूची

मंडल/तेहसिल/तालुक: शिरूर	जिला : पुणे	राज्य : महाराष	Z	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर/ब्लॉक नंबर		अर्जित करने	
		हेक्टर	आर	सि–आ
1	2	3	4	5
) इनामगाव	गाव के सीमा के पास की नदी	00	56	03
	सर्वे नंबर 57/4 में गाडी रास्ता	00	03	00
	सर्वे नंबर 57/4 में नाला	00	04	20
7	57/4	00	29	77
	57/2	00	28	26
	57/1	00	00	38
	57/3	00	36	70
	57/6	00	27	47
	58/2	00,	00	04
	सर्वे नंबर 58 में गाडी रास्ता	00	01	28
	41	00	05	40
	सर्वे नंबर 41 और 61/2 के बीच का रास्ता	00	07	76
	62/1	00	02	44
	62/2	00	02	35
	62/3	00	06	84
	62/4	00	21	25
	61/2	00	13	67
	61/1	00	22	22
	63/1	00	13	64
	63/2	00	15	10
•	सर्वे नंबर 63/2 में गाड़ी रास्ता	00	02	08
	64	00	15	46
	65/1	00	06	53
	65/2	00	21	55
	66/2अ	00	20	91
	66/1 _अ	00	00	16
	67/1 3 r	00	29	00
	67/1ब	00	14	00
	67/1ऋ	00	12	97
	68/2	00	26	85
	68/1	00	10	25
	68/3ब	00	00	04
	68/3э	00	. 27	56
	सर्वे कंस 58/3अ में गाड़ी सस्ता	00	01	01
	69 / :	00	18	49
	69/2	00	19	63
	70/3	90	34	55

1	2	3	4	5
1) इनामगाव (निरंतर)	70/2	00	13	91
,	347/1311	00	00	96
	10	00	55	92
	सर्वे नंबर 10 में नाला	. 00	07	30
	सर्वे नंबर 10 में गाडी रास्ता	00	00	26
	458/5	00	30	16
	457/1	00	29	86
	457/4	00	29	46
	457/3	00	00	25
	471/2	00	22	93
	472/2व	00	-11	64
	472/13(2	00	00	43
	472/2अ	00	0.5	27
	472/1अ	00	15	86
	473	00	40	90
	सर्वे नंबर 473 और 474 के बीच का रास्ता	00,	05	28
	474	00	13	30
	475	00	17	83
	476/1	00	14	90
	476/2	00	15	98
	476/3	00	02	27
	478/2	00	02	46
	478/3	00	57	17
	478/1	00	02	06
	479	00	10	38
	513/2/2	00	00	03
	512	00	23	79
	510	00	16	65
	506	00	24	10
	507	00	08	08
	508	00	12	40
**	519/1	00	29	98
	519/2	00	78	01
	520/2	00	02	12
	521/2	00	07	75
	सर्वे नंबर 521/2 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	02	17
	522/3	00	18	73
	522/2	00	45	56
	522/4	00	10	83
	523	00	16	12
	524/1	00	49	91
	525/1	00	09	62
	525/3/2	00	22	16
	525/7	00	43	13

1 \$2 3 4 5 1) इनानपाद (गिरंतर) 525/8ज 00 00 01 01 2) गिरंकजुरी 76/131 00 08 02 40/3 00 09 31 क्षा नंदर 76/131 और 40/3 के बीच का केंसल 00 06 25 40/5 09 13 42 42/1 08 32 57 42/3 06 42 26 76/2/2 00 04 06 75/1 00 06 92 75/1 00 06 92 75/2 00 07 09 75 76/2* 00 17 03 75/2*/1 00 18 83 74/2 00 17 03 75/2*/1 00 38 83 74/2 00 15 47 74/1 00 38 83 74/2 00 23 51 74/2 00 24 00 87 74/1 00 36 83 74/2 00 25 51 74/2 00 26 90 150 00 20 90 149 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 07 148 00 07 7 07 148 00 07 7 11 144 00 05 06 06 143 143 00 04 14 145/1 00 05 06 143 145/1 00 05 07 138/3/15 00 04 14 142/1 00 05 06 143 142/1 00 05 5 71 144 00 05 06 143 142/1 00 05 5 138/3/15 00 04 14 145/1 00 07 09 138/3/15 00 04 15 138/3/15 00 04 16 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 07 7 09 138/3/15 00 08 96 138/3/15 00 08 96 138/3/15 00 08 96 138/3/15 00 08 97 138/3/15 00 08 98 138/3/15 00 08					
7 76/1sr1	1	2	3	4	5
स्विनंदर 78/131 और 40/3 के बीच का कॅनल 00 08 25 40/5 67 13 42 42/1 00 42 26 76/2/2 00 04 06 92 75/1 00 07 17 03 75/24 00 17 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 75/24 00 15 47 74/2 00 23 51 150 00 15 47 74/2 00 23 51 150 00 15 60 150 00 150 149 150 00 15 74 148 00 07 11 07 148 00 07 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 144 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 145/1 00 05 77 11 15/5/1 38/14 00 04 06 11 138/3/14 00 04 06 11 138/3/14 00 04 06 11 138/3/14 00 05 77 11 185/1 38/3/14 00 05 78 11 185/1 38/3/14 00 07 08 11 185/1 38/3/14 00 07 08 11 185/1 38/3/14 00 07 08 11 185/1 38/3/14 00 07 09 18 185/1 38/3/14 00 07 09 18 185/1 38/3/14 00 07 09 18 18 185/1 00 07 09 18 18 185/1 00 07 09 18 18 18 19 00 15 68 19 00 15 68 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	1) इनामगाव (निरंतर)	5 2 5 / 6 अ	00	00	01
सर्वे संयह 75/131 जैसे 40/3 के सीम का रूँसल 00 09 31 स्था संयह 75/131 जैसे 40/5 99 13 32 57 42/1 00 32 57 42/3 00 42 28 75/2/2 00 04 08 75/1 00 19 75/5/2 10 00 19 75 75/2 10 00 19 75 75/2 10 00 19 75 75/2 10 00 15 47 75/2 11 00 38 83 75/2 11 00 38 83 75/2 11 00 34 08 75/2 11 00 35 71 11 07 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	2) पिंपळसुटी	76/1341	00	08	02
40/5.	, G	40/3	00	09	31
42/1 42/3 00 42 28 76/2/2 00 04 06 75/1 00 08 97 75/2** 75/2** 10 01 19 75 75/2** 175/2** 10 01 15 47 74/1 00 36 83 74/2 16 केंब्र 47 4 और 156/1 के बीच का गाजी रात्वा 145/1 145/1 144 00 05 06 143 144 142/1 00 05 06 143 144 142/1 00 05 06 143 144 142/1 00 05 06 143 143 144 142/1 00 05 06 138/d/1** 100 04 06 138/d/1** 138/d/1** 176 की 185/1 के बीच का गाजी रात्वा 00 04 06 138/d/1** 176 185/2 00 33 89 185/2 कीच का गाजी रात्वा 00 07 11 185/2 185/3 कीच का गाजी रात्वा 00 07 07 09 189 189 00 15 68 189/1 00 01 15 68 189/1 00 01 15 68 189/1 00 01 15 68 189/1 00 01 15 68 189/1 00 01 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 189/1 00 00 15 68 190/1 00 00 16 10 191/1 00 01 16 74 192/1** 192/1** 192/1** 192/2 00 15 67 251/1 00 13 65 250/2 00 16 74 255/1 185/1 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/2 185/1 185/2		सर्वे नंबर 76/131 और 40/3 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	06	25
42/3 76/2/2 75/1 00 04 06 75/1 00 06 92 75/2 श्र/1 00 17 03 15 17 74/1 00 36 83 74/2 00 23 15/6/1 156/1 00 34 09 150 00 20 90 149 00 11 150 00 07 148 00 07 148 00 07 148 00 07 144 143 00 05 143 144/1 00 05 15 168/1 138/3/1 143 00 04 143 142/1 00 05 138/3/1 176 00 03 185/1 185/1 185/1 185/1 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/2 185/1 185/1 185/1 185/2 185/1 18		40/5.	60	13	42
76/2/2 00 04 08 92 75/1 00 05 08 92 75/2 31/1 00 19 75 75/2 31/1 00 17 03 75/2 31/1 00 15 47 74/1 00 36 83 74/2 00 23 51 83 74/2 00 23 51 85/1 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00, 00 87 156/1 00 34 09 149 100 11 07 11 148 00 05 06 143 143 00 05 06 143 143 142/1 00 05 06 138/3/1 37 188/3/1 00 04 14 143 142/1 00 05 06 138/3/1 18 138/3/1 18 138/3/1 18 138/3/1 18 138/3/1 18 138/3/1 18 138/3/1 18 156/1 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 05 50 8 188/1 00 07 07 11 188/3/1 00 04 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14		42/1	9.0	32	57
75/1 00 06 92 75/2 ज/1 00 19 75 75/2 ज/1 00 17 03 75/2 ज/1 00 15 47 74/1 00 36 83 74/2 00 23 51 छर्च जंबर 74 और 156/1 के भीच का गाडी राख्ता 00, 00 87 156/1 00 00 11 07 156/1 00 00 20 90 149 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 144 00 05 71 144 00 05 71 144 00 05 71 144 00 05 05 06 143 00 04 14 143/1 00 02 98 138/ज/1ज 00 04 14 143/1 00 02 98 138/ज/1ज 00 04 33 155/1 के भीच का संख्या 00 07 17 176 और 185/1 के भीच का संख्या 00 07 54 185/2 00 38 96 185/2 00 38 96 185/2 00 38 96 190 00 16 19 191 192/1 00 00 16 19 192/1 00 01 17 70 192/1 191/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 38		42/3	00	42	26
75/2 ज्यां		76/2/2	00	04	06
75/2व		75/1	00	06	92
75/21/1 00 15 47 74/1 00 38 83 74/2 00 23 51 छाँ नंबर 74 और 156/1 के बीच का गाडी रासा 00, 00 34 09 156/1 00 00 20 90 149 00 11 07 148 00 05 71 1444 00 05 71 1444 00 05 05 08 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 08 138/1/13 00 04 08 138/1/13 00 31 7% 176 और 185/1 के बीच का संजाल 00 33 55 185/1 00 33 55 185/1 00 30 89 186/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 48 192/13 00 01 16 48 192/14 00 06 93 192/15 00 16 77 251 00 16 77 255 00 16 74 255 00 16 74 255 00 16 74		75/23/1	00	19	75
74/1 74/2 00 23 51 सर्वे अंबर 74 और 156/1 के बीच कर गाडी रास्ता 00, 00 34 09 150 00 20 90 149 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 145/1 00 05 71 144 00 05 06 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 96 133/1 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 96 133/1 00 04 14 134/1 00 05 52 138/3/1 37 00 31 78 176 8 00 33 55 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे अंबर 185/1 के बीच कर केंगाल 00 05 54 185/2 00 36 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 17 70 192/1 37 00 16 46 192/1 37 00 16 46 192/1 37 00 16 46 192/1 37 00 16 46 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 00 15 67 192/2 55 00 14 36		75/2₹	00	17	03
74/2 सर्वे नंबर 74 और 158/1 के बीच का गाडी सला 00, 00 87 156/1 150 00 20 90 149 00 11 07 148 00 05 71 144 00 05 08 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/л 1 00 04 08 138/л 1 00 04 08 138/л 1 00 07 11 176 00 05 08 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 07 185/1 के बीच का कंनाल 00 07 185/1 00 33 55 185/1 के बीच का कंनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 के बीच का सला 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 19 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1 3 00 16 46 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 38		75/2 a/ 1	00	15	47
सर्वे अंबर 74 और 156/1 के भीच का गाडी रास्ता 00, 00 34 09 156/1 150 00 34 09 150 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 07 148 00 05 08 144 144 00 05 08 143 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 96 139/1 00 04 06 138/3/1 00 04 06 138/3/1 00 04 06 138/3/1 00 04 33 155 00 07 176 और 185/1 के भीच का संस्ता 00 05 54 185/1 और 185/2 के भीच का रास्ता 00 07 09 18 189 00 15 68 190 190 191 191 192/1 00 16 16 10 192/1 00 06 93 192/1		74/1	00	36	83
156/1 00 34 09 150 149 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 07 148 00 07 11 145/1 00 05 71 144 00 05 71 144 00 05 06 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 96 139/14 00 04 06 138/14 170 00 33 55 00 33 55 00 34 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/1		74/2	00	23	51
150 00 20 90 149 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 148 00 07 11 145/1 00 05 71 144 00 05 08 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 06 138/अ/1ब 00 04 06 138/अ/1ब 00 00 52 138/अ/1अ 00 01 54 176 00 33 55 कर्ष्य 176 कीर 185/1 के बीच का संस्ता 00 05 54 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का संस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1अ 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/1व 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 15 67		सर्वे नंबर 74 और 156/1 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00,	00	87
149 00 11 07 148 00 07 11 148 00 05 71 144 00 05 71 144 00 05 08 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 96 139/1 00 04 06 138/अ/1ब 00 00 52 138/अ/1ब 00 01 31 7% 176 की 185/1 के बीच का संनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36		156/1	00	34	09
148 00 07 11 145/1 00 05 71 144 00 05 08 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 06 138/अ/1ब 00 00 52 138/अ/1ब 00 00 31 % 176 07 185/1 के बीच का कंगाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36		150	00	20	90
145/1 00 05 71 144 00 05 08 143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 06 138/ज/1व 00 00 52 138/ज/1व 00 00 52 138/ज/1व 00 31 7% 176 00 33 55 क्रि. कंक्ट्र 176 केट 185/1 के बीच का कंनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 10 11 70 192/1व 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 14 36 18 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18		149	00	11	07
144 00 05 08 14 14 14 143 00 04 14 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 08 138/अ/1व 00 00 52 138/अ/1व 00 00 52 138/अ/1व 00 31 है जिए ती 176 कीर 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का रस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 18 90 15 68 190 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1व 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 251 250/2 00 15 67 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 वें गाड़ी सस्ता 00 07 74 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 वें गाड़ी सस्ता 00 00 98		148	00	07	11
143 00 04 14 142/1 00 02 98 139/1 00 04 06 138/ज/1ब 00 00 52 138/ज/1ब 00 31 ॐ 176 00 33 55 ॐ 176 और 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 ○ 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 16 10 192/1□ 00 16 46 192/1□ 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36		145/1	00	05	71
142/1 00 02 96 139/1 00 04 06 138/3/1ब 00 00 52 138/3/1आ 00 31 7% 176 00 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1आ 00 16 46 192/1a 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36		144	00	05	0.6
139/1 00 04 06 138/अ/1ब 00 00 52 138/अ/1अ 00 31 75 176 और 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 18 189 00 15 68 190 11 70 192/1अ 00 16 10 192/1अ 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 में गाड़ी सस्ता 00 00 98		143	00	04	14
138/जा/1ज 138/जा/1ज 176 00 31 75 176 00 33 55 185/1 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255		142/1	00	02	96
138/अ/1अ 00 31 कि 176 कि 176 कि 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1अ 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 14 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 में गाड़ी रास्ता 00 00 98		139/1	00	04	06
176 00 33 55 176 और 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 15 68 190 00 15 68 190 10 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 14 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		138/3/1a	00	00	52
176 की 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 04 33 185/1 00 30 89 सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 में गाडी सस्ता 00 00 98		138/अ/1अ	00	31	75
185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 15 68 190 191 00 11 70 192/1अ 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		176	00	33	55
सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का सस्ता 00 05 54 185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंबर 258/1 में गाडी सस्ता 00 00 98		क्ले केंक्ट 176 और 185/1 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	04	33
185/2 00 38 96 188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्व नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		185/1	00	30	89
188/1 00 07 09 189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी सस्ता		सर्वे नंबर 185/1 और 185/2 के बीच का रास्ता	00	05	54
189 00 15 68 190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1अ 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		185/2	00	38	96
190 00 16 10 191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी सस्ता 00 00 98		188/1	00	07	09
191 00 11 70 192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		189	00	15	68
192/1ज 00 16 46 192/1व 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		190	00	16	10
192/1ब 00 06 93 192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		191	00	11	70
192/2 00 15 67 251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी सस्ता 00 00 98		192/1अ	00	16	46
251 00 13 85 250/2 00 16 74 255 00 14 36 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98		192/1व	00	06	93
250/2001674255001436सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी सस्ता000098			00		67
255 00 14 3 6 सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98					85
सर्वे नंदर 258/1 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 98			00	16	
258/1 60 21 87					
		258/1	90	21	87

4.4

1	2	3	4	5
2) पिंपळसुटी (निरंतर)	258/2	00	10	21
	259/1	00	13	64
	399	00	09	02
	261/3	00	00	50
	243/2/1	00	07	92
	243/1/2	00	10	00
	261/4	00	06	49
•	सर्वे नंबर 261/4 और 242 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	16	18
	242	00	12	42
	241	00	53	55
	240	00	27	71
	238/1	00	05	59
	238/2	00	35	32
	237/4	00	00	77
	237/2	00	02	36
	239	00	12	20
3) शिरसगांव काटा	गाव के सीमा के पास का गाडी रास्ता	00	00	89
	405	00	01	80
,	404/2	00	43	94
	404/1	00	27	61
	409	00	32	01
	400/1	00	00	15
	400/1/1फ	00	12	05
	सर्वे नंबर 400/1/1फ और 410 के बीच का नाला	00	02	95
	410	00	05	73
	413/1	00	18	81
	414/a/1	00	. 33	47
	414/ a /2	00	04	62
	417	00	01	09
·	418	00	00	50
	416	00	14	61
	415	00	15	85
	397	00	44	68
	396/2	00	30	22
	सर्वे नंबर 396/2 और 253/2 के बीच का गाडी गस्ता	00	01	18
	253/2	00	10	11
	253/3	00	04	11
	253/1	. 00	29	02
	252	0.6	2 5	21
	251/2+4	0.0	22	28
	249/1	90	37	2 9
	248/1क	១០	37	59
	248/19/1	90	38	37

1	2	3	4	5
3) शिरसगांव काटा (निरंतर)	245/2	00	04	66
,	245/1	00	04	77
	244	00	06	76
	241	00	08	00
	242	00	03	82
	240	00	01	75
	2 69/1	00	05	99
	269/2	00	17	00
	114/1	60	41	99
	115/1	90	19	86
	115/2	0.0	17	34
	115/3	00	05	42
	119/1	00	00	30
	119/2	00	27	99
	118	00	34	69
	120	00'	06	67
	सर्वे नंबर 120 और 121/1 के बीच का मुख्य जिला मार्ग-100 121/1	00	04 29	92 02
	121/2	00	17	71
	122	00	46	03
ir	123/2/1	00	11	73
	123/2/2	00	08	49
	123/14/2/1	00	10	95
	123/1 a /1	00	09	40
	124	00	44	98
	99 a	00	32	63
	9931	υō	06	14
	136	60	05	31
	137/1	00	09	98
	140	00	00	34
	138	00	15	72
	139/1	90	07	62
	139/2	00	13	58
	143	00	20	89
	144/3	00	14	70
	144/1	00	15	40
	. 144/2	0.0	15	10
	144/4/1/2	60	20	06
	सर्वे नंबर 144/4/1/2 और 167 के बीच का पाद रास्ता-117	00	04	00
	167	00	37	59
	168	00	13	38
	169/1	00	12	11
	70/13//1/1	00	12	41

3) किरचगांव काटर (निरंतर) 174/1 175/3 00 12 87 176/8 00 11 07 176/8 00 11 07 176/7 00 11 07 176/7 00 11 07 176/7 00 11 07 176/4 00 05 10 176/5 00 05 40 176/3 00 06 00 176/3 00 07 26 176/6 00 07 26 176/6 00 03 00 176/13 00 00 03 60 176/13 00 00 03 60 176/13 00 00 12 51 181 00 00 12 51 181 00 00 12 51 181 00 00 12 51 183 00 10 20 180 188/s/3 00 10 20 50 188/s/3 00 10 35 188/s/3 00 13 24 188/s/2 00 11 88/s/2 00 13 24 188/s/2 00 13 36 188/s/3 00 23 72 566/2 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 16 36 563/2 00 16 37 564 00 92 42 565/2 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 16 47 568/2 00 16 37 564 00 92 42 14 38àærira shært 00 04 22 566/2 10 30 60 10/s 10/s 00 11 39 9/2s 9/2s 9/2s 00 11 39 9/2	1	2	3	4	5
175/3 00 12 87 178/8 00 11 07 178/7 00 11 07 178/4 00 05 10 178/5 00 05 40 178/5 00 05 40 178/2 00 05 79 178/1 00 07 28 178/1 00 07 28 178/1 00 09 01 178/13 00 00 09 01 178/13 00 00 09 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 00 12 51 181 00 06 16 182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 03 52 185/2/1 00 03 52 185/2/2 00 28 70 185/2/2 00 28 70 185/2/2 00 28 70 185/2/2 00 28 70 185/2/3 00 35 48 लेखें ब्या 187/3 और 544 के बीच का पाड़ी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 34 553/1 00 13 35 564 563/1 00 10 33 564 563/1 00 10 23 565/2 00 33 64 563/1 00 10 23 565/2 00 33 64 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/1 00 06 22 665/2 00 33 64 565/2 00 35 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/1 00 06 22 665/2 00 30 30 60 10/8 00 00 11 30 80	3) शिरसगांव काटा (निरंतर)	174/1	00	11	9.
178/7 00 11 07 178/4 00 05 10 178/5 00 05 10 178/5 00 05 10 178/5 00 05 10 178/2 00 05 79 178/1 00 05 79 178/1 00 05 79 178/1 00 07 26 178/1 00 03 60 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 11 05 18 181 00 06 18 182 00 11 05 188/3/3 00 10 10 20 188/3/3 00 20 188/3/3 00 20 188/3/3 00 20 188/3/3 00 20 188/3/3 00 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 00 35 54 8 185/2/2 00 13 24 4 185/2/2 00 13 32 4 185/2/2 00 13 35 48 185/2/2 00 15 19 187/1 00 13 85 197/3 387 544 के बीच का पाड़ी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 543 387 544 के बीच का पाड़ी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 563/1 00 15 37 563/1 00 15 37 563/1 00 15 37 563/1 00 15 37 563/1 00 15 37 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15 74 563/2 00 15	,	175/3	00	12	87
178/4 00 05 10 178/5 00 05 40 178/5 00 05 40 178/3 00 06 00 05 79 178/1 00 05 79 178/1 00 07 28 178/6 00 07 28 178/6 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 00 12 51 181 00 06 18 182 00 11 05 183 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 10 20 50 188/3/3 00 10 20 50 188/3/3 00 20 50 188/3/3 00 20 50 188/3/3 00 20 50 188/3/3 00 20 50 188/3/3 00 20 50 188/3/3 00 20 50 188/3/1 00 05 51 189 185/2/2 00 28 70 188/3/2 00 51 189 185/2/2 00 28 70 188/3/2 00 51 189 187/3 30 00 35 48 83/3 00 32 37 2 4 185/3/3 00 35 48 83/3 00 23 37 2 4 185/3/3 00 35 48 83/3 00 23 37 2 56/3/2 00 16 38 56/3/2		178/8	00	11	07
178/5 00 05 40 178/3 00 06 00 178/3 00 06 00 178/2 00 05 728 178/6 00 09 01 178/13 00 03 60 178/9/2 00 03 00 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 00 12 51 181 00 06 18 182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 188/3/3 00 05 188/3/3 00 05 188/3/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 188/3/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 188/3/2 00 13 85 187/3 और 544 के बीच का पाडी रास्ता 00 33 55 48 को बंबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का पाडी रास्ता 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 47 563/3		178/7	00	11	07
178/3 00 06 00 178/2 00 05 79 178/1 00 05 79 178/1 00 07 28 178/6 00 09 01 178/1 00 03 60 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 181 181 00 06 18 183 00 10 20 18 184 00 03 50 184 185/2/1 00 03 30 186/2/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 00 23 72 186/2 00 33 64 185/2/2 00 15 187/3 00 23 72 186/2 00 33 64 185/2/2 00 15 172 186/2/2 00 15 172 186/2/2 00 15 172 186/2/2 00 15 172 186/2/2 00 15 187/3 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 187/3 00 35 48 187/3 187/		178/4	00	05	10
178/2		178/5	00	05	40
178/1 00 07 28 178/6 00 09 01 178/1 1 00 03 60 178/1 1 00 03 60 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 00 12 51 181 00 06 16 182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 188/3 00 20 50 188/3 00 03 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 24 185/2 00 13 32 186/3 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/1 00 13 85 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 30 544 के बीच का गाडी रसता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 563/1 00 16 38 563/1 00 16 38 563/1 00 16 38 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 664 30 565/2 के बीच का नमना 00 04 22 16 केंद र 564 30 565/2 के बीच का नमना 00 04 22 16 केंद र 565/2 1 00 26 00 27 12 00 40 29 11 00 10 10 32		178/3	00	06	00
178/6 00 09 01 178/1ज 00 03 60 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 00 12 51 181 00 06 18 182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 186/3/3 00 20 50 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 03 52 185/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 51 19 185/2/2 00 51 19 187/3 00 51 19 187/3 और 544 के भीव का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 18 वंबर 187/3 और 5543 के भीव का गाडी रास्ता 00 16 36 565/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 18 वंबर 187/3 और 555/2 के भीव का नाला 00 04 22 16 665/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 18 वंबर 187/3 और 555/2 के भीव का नाला 00 04 22 18 वंबर 187/3 और 555/2 के भीव का नाला 00 04 22 19 वंबर 18 65/2/1 00 05 74 19 कीकगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02		178/2	00	05	79
178/1ंज 00 03 60 178/9/2 00 03 00 180 00 181 181 00 06 18 182 00 11 95 183 00 00 10 20 50 184 00 03 52 185/2/1 00 03 52 185/2/2 00 28 70 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/4/2 00 51 19 187/3 00 35 48 लंब स्वर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 565/2 60 15 563/3 00 05 74 565/2 60 15 565/2/1 00 04 20 565/2/1 00 05 74 565		178/1	00	07	28
178/9/2 00 03 00 12 51 180 180 00 12 51 181 00 06 16 182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 50 184 00 03 52 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 03 52 185/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/a/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/1 00 13 85 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 8 कें बंद 187/3 और 544 कें बीच का गाडी रस्ता 00 10 32 544 543 00 23 72 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 565/2 665/2 00 06 2 22 186/2 00 06 2 22 10 07 10	•	178/6	00	09	01
180		178/13r	00	03	60
181 00 08 18 182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 186/sd/3 00 20 50 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/sd/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 लब अंबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 15 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 665/2 00 62 22 665/2 00 62 22 665/2 00 62 22 665/2 10 00 04 20 4) कीळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 10/s 00 10/s 00 14 45 10/s 00 11 39		178/9/2	00	03	00
182 00 11 95 183 00 10 20 186/s/3 00 20 50 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/s/2 00 51 19 187/3 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 सर्वे संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/2 00 33 64 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 सर्वे संबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का तत्ता 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 08 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कीळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 00 20 10/8 00 11 39		180	00	12	51
183 00 10 20 50 186/ss/3 00 20 50 186/ss/3 00 20 50 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/1 00 28 70 186/ss/2 00 51 19 186/ss/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 565/2 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 04 22 565/2/1 00 04 20 10 00 04 22 565/2/1 00 04 20 10 00 04 22 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 04 20 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		181	00	06	16
188/ss/3 00 20 50 184 00 03 52 185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/ss/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 स्वर्ध संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 563/3 00 23 72 563/3 00 15 47 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 सवें नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2/1 00 04 20 11 13 00 14 20 11 10/s 00 16 30 80 10/s 00 11 39 10/s 10/s 00 11 39 10/s 10/s 00 11 39 10/s 10/s 00 11 39		182	00	11	95
184 00 03 52 185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/4/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 स्त्रें संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 स्त्रें संबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 स्त्रें संबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) केोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 0/s 00 30 80 10/s 00 11 39		183	00	10	20
185/1 00 09 30 185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 186/ब/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 छन्ने संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 563/3 00 05 74 564 छन्ने संबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कीळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 10/इ 00 30 80 10/इ 00 11 39		186/s/3	00	20	50
185/2/1 00 13 24 185/2/2 00 28 70 188/ब/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 खर्षे संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 सर्व नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 00 20 40 29 11 00 30 80 10/इ 00 30 80 10/इ 00 11 39		184	00	03	52
185/2/2 00 28 70 18 6/a/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 क्वें संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 स्वें नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 0/इ 00 30 80 10/इ 00 11 39		185/1	00	09	30
18 8/बा/2 00 51 19 187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 सर्वे नंबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 और 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2/1 00 04 22 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 17 73 10/s 00 30 80 10/ब 00 11 39 10/ब 10/ब 00 11 39 10/ब		185/2/1	00	13	24
187/1 00 13 85 187/3 00 35 48 छर्चे संबर 187/3 और 544 के भीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 36 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 छर्चे संबर 564 और 565/2 के भीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/s 00 30 80 10/ब 00 11 39		185/2/2	00	28	70
187;3 00 35 48 सर्वे संबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/s 00 30 80 10/s 00 11 39 12		186/4/2	00	51	19
सर्वे नंबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 32 544 60 वीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 565/2/1 00 04 20 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/s 00 30 80 10/ब 00 11 39 10/s 00 11 39 10/s 10/s 00 11 39 10/s		187/1	00	13	85
544 00 93 42 543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 8वें नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/इ 00 11 39 10/ज 00 11 39		187/3	00	35	48
543 00 23 72 562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 6वें नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 08 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 00 20 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/5 00 30 80 10/6 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		सर्वे नंबर 187/3 और 544 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	10	32
562 00 33 64 563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/ब 00 14 45 10/ळ 00 19 92		544	00	93	42
563/1 00 16 38 563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 04 20 13 00 04 20 14 12 00 40 29 11 00 30 80 10/ब 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		543	00	23	72
563/2 00 15 47 563/3 00 05 74 564 00 05 74 564 00 062 22 खर्से नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 04 20 565/2/1 00 04 20 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/ब 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		562	00	33	64
563/3 00 05 74 564 00 62 22 सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/ब 00 14 45 10/क 00 19 92		563/1	0.0	16	36
564 00 62 22 सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/स 00 14 45 10/क 00 11 39 10/अ 00 19 92		563/2	00	15	47
सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला 00 04 22 565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/व 00 14 45 10/क 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		563/3	00	05	74
565/2 00 26 06 565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/द 00 14 45 10/व 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		564	00	62	22
565/2/1 00 04 20 4) कीळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/इ 00 30 80 10/व 00 14 45 10/क 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		सर्वे नंबर 564 और 565/2 के बीच का नाला	00	04	. 22
4) कोळगाव डोळस 13 00 19 02 12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/ड 00 30 80 10/व 00 14 45 10/व 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92		565/2	00	26	06
12 00 40 29 11 00 21 73 10/₹ 00 30 80 10/₹ 00 14 45 10/₹ 00 11 39 10/₹ 00 19 92	•	565/2/1	00	04	20
11 00 21 73 10/s 00 30 80 10/a 00 14 45 10/a 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92	4) कोळगाव डोळस				
10/ड 00 30 80 10/व 00 14 45 10/क 00 11 39 10/अ 00 19 92					
10/a 00 14 45 10/a 00 11 39 10/3 00 19 92					
10/क 00 11 39 10/अ 00 19 92					
10/37 00 19 92					
9/2 ₃ 00 17 57				19	
		9/231	00	17	57

0842 THE GAZET	TE OF INDIA. JOE 1 2, 2005/ASADITA 11,172		[LAKI II	
1	2	3	4	5
4) कोळगाव डोळस (निरंतर)	9/1	00	18	39
	8	00	01	70
	🏘 नंबर 9 और 157 बीच का रास्ता	00	21	63
	157/13/1	00	35	93
	158	00	21	05
	सर्वे नंबर 158 में जाला	00	03	93
	159/2	00	36	02
	159/1	00	30	91
	1 60/1 q 1	00	58	79
	सर्वे नंबर 160/1ब1 में रास्ता	00	04	79
	16 1/ a	00	16	11
	सर्वे नंबर 161/ब में नाला	00	07	79
	161/sq	00	32	14
	132/1/1	Đũ	20	73
	132/1/2	00	90	14
	131/13I/13I	00'	09	60
	131/1आ/1ਵ	00	08	66
	131/1জ/1क	00	14	32
	सर्वे नंबर 131/1आ/1 क और 95/4 के बीच का नाला	00	12	09
	95/4	00	09	73
	95/2	00	្តខ	01
	101/1/1	00	80	24
	सर्वे नंबर 101/1/1 में ड्रेन	00	01	96
	सर्वे नंबर 101/1/1 में गाडी रास्ता	00	01	35
	101/1/2	0.0	58	42
	102	00	16	99
	100	01	25	34
	109/1	00	03	11
	110	00	08	87
	111	00	10	66
	99	00	07	40
	112	01	31	27
5) आंधळगाव	336/2	00	43	17
<i>5)</i> 3114 6 114	336/1	00	46	56
	सर्वे नंबर 336 और 338 के बीच का रास्ता	00	05	17
	338	00	93	60
	342	- 00	37	51
	329	2.	00	85
	328	00	14	06
	327	00	18	98
	326/1	00	33	40
	325/2	00	88	86
	324	00	03	50
	V = 7			

1	2	3	4	5
5) आंधळगाव (निरंतर)	सर्वे नंबर 325/2 और 322 के बीच का नाला	00	. 11	23
, , , ,	322	00	33	92
6) काकडेवाडी	306	00	64	87
	307	00	23	39
	309	00	66	64
	310/2.	00	11	69
	312	00	96	21
	315	00	09	21
	313	00	16	22
	314	00	00	83
	363	01	31	78
	गाव सीमा के पास का रास्ता	00	07	83
	सर्वे नंबर 313 और 300/3 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	01	92
	300/3	00	21	16
•	297	00	34	96
	296	oó	21	68
	295	0.0	38	06
	294	00	13	00
	299	00	13	00
	293/1	00	01	16
•	सर्वे नंबर 293/2 और 361 के बीच का नाला	00	04	83
	361	00	38	08
	364/1	00	49	63
	सर्वे नंबर 364/1 और 364/2 के बीच का रास्ता	00	02	21
	364/2	00	29	25
7) आलेगांव पागा	गाव सीना के पास का रास्ता	00	04	44
,	568/1 ₃	00	55	82
	गाव सीमा के पास का गाडी रास्ता	00	02	50
8) न्हावरे	448/3	00	18	90
,	448/1	00	42	82
	447	00	75	79
	सर्वे नंबर 447 और 443 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन	00	68	91
	443	00	40	27
	सर्वे नंबर 443 और 649 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	00	71
	649	0.0.	69	17
	648	00	12	41
	647	00	17	20
	646	00	27	12
	645	00	03	14
	644	00	16	26
	643 %	00	20	00
	सर्वे नंबर 643 पै और 713 के बीच का रास्ता	00	04	66
	713	00	00	58

8) कारवरे (मिरंतर) 709 00 06 01 708 00 06 01 17 708 18 से नंबर 708 और 704 के बीच का सकता 00 03 88 18 से नंबर 708 और 704 के बीच का सकता 00 03 88 704 00 17 55 705 00 17 56 706 00 17 56 699 00 00 00 08 7707 00 11 84 699 707 707 00 11 84 699 36 से नंबर 699 और 735 के बीच का माडी सकता 00 08 736 737 00 76 52 737 737 00 76 52 755 00 38 51 753/1 01 14 88 18 से नंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का माडी सकता 00 17 777 777 777 777 777 71 01 36 95 784 संद 777 और 780 के बीच की सरकारी अर्पेत 00 04 89 780/2 00 04 89 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 795/1/13 00 01 11 54 807 99) उरखगाव 108 99 94/154/1 00 07 786 68 90 00 10 00 10 00 78 68 69 90 00 10 00 10 00 78 68 69 91 108 69 100 20 21 24 68 68 69 00 22 23 69 40 41 संवर 45 और 47 के बीच का माडी सकता 00 07 38 66 68 00 07 29 42 68 69 00 07 20 21 38 69 22 23 69 24 25 66 68 00 29 24 26 68 69 00 20 23 30 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 21 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	1	2	3	4	5
709 00 60 13 708 00 16 76 एक्टें निर्माण स्थाप 708 और 704 के सीम का गाडी राखा 00 05 58 एक्टें नेपार 704 के सीम का गाडी राखा 00 05 58 एक्टें नेपार 704 के सीम का गाडी राखा 00 05 17 56 705 00 17 56 706 00 19 68 706 00 11 84 699 00 00 01 18 84 699 00 00 00 08 72 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 10 11 48 88 एक्टें नेपार 733 और 735 के सीम का गाडी राखा 00 17 77 777 177 177 180 के सीम की सरकरों जरीन 00 17 77 777 177 177 180 के सीम की सरकरों जरीन 00 17 77 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 58 45 794/1 00 11 60 807 11 60 90 11 60	8) न्हावरे (निरंतर)	710	00	49	45
सर्वे नंबर 708 और 704 के सीय का गसरी रास्ता 00 05 88 89 88 704 00 01 77 56 89 705 705 00 17 56 88 707 00 17 56 88 707 00 11 84 89 707 00 11 84 89 88 89 89 80 707 00 11 84 89 89 80 707 00 11 84 89 89 80 736 80 736 80 736 80 736 80 736 80 736 80 736 80 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 737 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	, , ,	709	0.0	60	13
सर्वे नंबर 708 और 704 के सीय क्य गाडी राला 00 03 98 704 705 00 17 76 705 00 17 76 705 00 17 76 68 706 00 19 68 707 00 11 84 68 707 00 11 84 68 707 00 11 84 69 81 735 के सीय का गाडी रास्ता 00 04 00 08 सर्वे नंबर 699 और 735 के सीय का गाडी रास्ता 00 04 03 8 51 735 755 00 38 51 753/1 01 14 88 85 757 755/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 757 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 75 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 75 753/1 01 14 88 85 753/1 01 14 88 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85		708	0.0	16	75
704 00 21 79 705 00 17 58 706 00 19 18 707 00 11 84 699 00 00 00 08 80 72 736 00 38 72 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 753/1 01 14 88 81 41 412 753 और 777 के बीच का मान्य सकती त्यासा 00 17 77 777 01 36 99 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 35 01 780/1 00 35 01 780/1 00 35 01 8807 00 11 60 8807 780/1 00 11 60 8807 780/1 00 11 60 8807 780/1 00 11 60 8807 10 00 11 60 890 1		सर्वे नंबर 708 और 704 के बीच का रास्ता	00	06	59
705 00 17 56 68 68 69 और 735 के बीच का माडी रास्ता 00 04 03 736 737 00 38 72 737 00 76 52 755 00 38 753/1 01 14 88 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81		सर्वे नंबर 708 और 704 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	03	88
706 00 19 68 68 707 00 11 94 68 69 9 707 00 11 94 69 699 00 00 08 84 34 कर 699 और 735 के बीच कर गाडी गरता 00 04 03 736 55 735 10 00 38 51 737 00 76 52 755 00 38 51 753/1 01 14 88 753 और 777 के बीच कर नाला 00 17 77 77 77 01 36 95 753/1 01 14 88 753 और 777 के बीच कर नाला 00 17 77 77 77 01 36 95 750/2 00 04 99 780/2 00 04 99 780/2 00 04 99 780/1 00 35 01 780/1 00 11 54 794/1 00 11 54 794/1 00 11 54 795/1/1 00 11 60 795/1/13 00 07 74 93 37 796 के गांच कर नाला 00 07 74 93 37 796 के गांच कर नाला 00 07 74 95/1/13 00 04 60 795/1/13 00 04 60 795/1/13 00 04 60 795/1/13 00 04 60 796/2 01 03 30 99 94/13/1 00 01 04 60 796/2 01 03 30 99 94/13/1 00 02 31 48 34 47 94 और 91 के बीच कर गांची राजा 00 07 76 64 66 00 75 09 94/13/1 00 10 64 66 66 00 75 09 94/13/1 00 75 09 94/13		704	00	21	79
707 699 00 00 08 84 नंबर 699 और 735 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 04 03 736 00 08 72 737 00 76 52 755 00 38 51 753/1 01 14 88 84 84 नंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का नाला 00 17 777 777 01 36 95 84 नंबर 777 और 780 के बीच की सरकारी जर्गान 00 04 88 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 795/1/13 00 11 60 795/1/13 00 07 38 9) उरस्क्रगाव 108 00 07 38 9) उरस्क्रगाव 108 00 07 61 62 61 63 63 60 63 63 64 66 66 66 66 66 67 66 68 60 00 20 23 68 69 94 नंबर 69 और 2 % के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 66 67 68 69 94 नंबर 69 और 2 % के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 13 56 66 67 68 69 94 नंबर 69 और 2 % के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 13 00 01 01 03 03 04 05 06 07 06 07 07 06 07 07 08 07 08 07 08 07 08 08 08 09 08 09 09 09 09 09 00 07 07 00 07 08 07 08 07 08 07 08 08 08 09 09 09 09 00 07 07 08 09 09 00 07 07 00 07 08 07 08 09 09 00 07 07 08 09 00 07 07 08 09 09 09 00 07 07 08 09 00 07 08 09 00 07 08 07 08 08 08 09 09 00 07 08 08 08 08 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09		705	00	17	56
सर्वे नंबर 699 और 735 के बीच का गाडी सस्ता 00 04 03 736 736 00 08 72 737 00 76 52 737 00 76 52 755 00 38 51 753/1 01 14 88 81 84 753 और 777 के बीच का नाला 00 17 77 77 777 01 36 95 95 95 95 95 95 97 95 11 88 81 95 11 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81		706	00	19	68
सर्वे नंबर 699 और 735 के बीख का गाडी सस्ता 00 04 73 736 736 737 00 76 52 737 00 38 51 737 737 00 38 51 755 00 38 51 755 00 38 51 755 100 11 488 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 8		707	00	11	84
736 00 08 72 737 00 76 52 755 00 38 51 755/1 01 14 88 18 वंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का मना 00 17 77 777 01 36 95 184 वंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का मना 00 40 98 780/2 00 04 89 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 56 45 794/1 00 11 54 807 11 54 807 11 60 11 60 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 60 20 11 61 61 62 00 12 48 62 11 64 62 11 64 64 62 10 10 64 64 62 11 64 65 66 65 60 00 29 42 66 66 00 50 11 67 68 69 और 8 बीच का मादी रास्ता 00 07 55 09 66 69 00 25 00 11 68 69 और 8 बीच का मादी रास्ता 00 07 55 09 11 67 68 69 और 8 बीच का मादी रास्ता 00 07 55 09 11 63 68 00 20 23 11 68 69 30 20 23 12 68 69 30 20 23 13 69 69 30 25 00 13 55		699	00	00	08
737 755 755 753/1 753/1 01 14 88 753/1 01 14 88 753/1 01 14 88 753/1 01 17 77 777 777 01 36 95 753/1 757/ के बीच का माला 00 17 77 777 01 36 95 780/2 00 04 89 780/2 00 04 89 780/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 54 807 796/2 01 07 74 75 756 के पाछ का माला 07 74 75 756/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 93 94/13/1 00 04 80 95 94/13/1 00 07 76 91 00 07 76 91 00 07 76 63 63 00 02 31 64 65 63 00 07 50 91 65 66 67 68 00 20 23 16 67 68 68 00 20 25 00 47 66 68 69 00 25 00 47 85		सर्वे नंबर 699 और 735 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	04	03
755 753/1 101 14 88 18तें नंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का माला 100 17 77 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 777 7		736	00	08	72
753/1 01 14 88 सर्वे संदर 753 और 777 के बीच का मतना 00 17 77 77 77 77 77 01 36 95 95 सर्वे संदर 757 और 780 के बीच का मतना 00 40 98 780/2 00 04 89 780/2 00 35 01 780/1 00 56 45 794/1 00 11 54 807 794/1 00 11 60		737	00	76	52
सर्वे नंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का नाला 00 17 77 777 01 36 95 फर्में नंबर 777 और 780 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 00 40 98 780/2 00 04 89 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 11 54 807 00 11 54 807 00 11 60 माना और सर्में नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 सर्में नंबर 796 के पात का नाला 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 81 93 94/13/1 00 02 31 फर्में नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाड़ी रास्म 00 00 76 91 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 66 00 29 42 66 66 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्में नंबर 69 और 4" के बीच का गाड़ी रास्म 00 01 23 69 00 25 00 सर्में नंबर 69 और 4" के बीच का गाड़ी रास्म 00 01 35		755	00	38	51
पुर्वे नंबर 777 और 780 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 00 40 98 780/2 00 04 89 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 56 45 780/1 00 56 45 794/1 00 11 54 807 00 11 60 794/1 01 07 74 समें नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 समें नंबर 796 के पास का माना और सर्वे नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 61 93 94/13/1 00 02 31 स्वें नंबर 69 और 91 के बीच का गाड़ी सका 100 10 64 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 66 66 00 29 42 66 66 66 00 20 20 23 66 69 00 25 00 11 66 68 69 00 25 00 12 35 66 69 00 25 00 12 35 66 69 00 25 00 15 65 66 69 00 25 00 15 65 66 69 00 25 00 15 65 66 69 00 25 00 15 65 66 69 00 25 00 15 65 66 69 00 25 00 15 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 69 15 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 69 15 65 65 65 69 15 65 65 65 65 69 15 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65		753/1	01	14	88
सर्वे नंबर 777 और 780 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 00 40 89 780/2 00 04 89 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 56 45 780/1 00 56 45 794/1 00 11 54 807 00 11 60 11 60 नाना और सर्वे नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 सर्वे नंबर 796 के पास का नाला 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का पाड़ी राला 00 07 76 61 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 66 00 75 09 65 66 66 00 75 09 65 66 66 00 75 09 65 66 66 00 75 09 65 66 68 00 29 42 66 66 00 20 23 16 67 00 29 42 66 66 00 20 20 23 66 69 00 20 23 16 68 69 00 20 23 16 68 69 00 20 23 16 68 69 00 25 00 85 69 69 00 25 00 85 69 69 00 25 00 85 69 69 00 25 00 85 69 69 00 25 00 85 69 69 00 25 00 85 69 69 00 25 00 85 69		सर्वे नंबर 753 और 777 के बीच का नाला	00	17	77
780/2 00 04 89 780/3 00 35 01 780/1 00 56 45 794/1 00 11 54 807 00 11 60 नाला और सर्वे नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 मर्वे नंबर 796 के पास का नाला 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 61 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 मर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी राला 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 मर्वे नंबर 69 और 4" के बीच का गाडी राला 00 01 35		777	01	36	95
780/3 780/1 780/1 780/1 00 56 45 794/1 807 00 11 54 807 00 11 60 माला और सर्वे नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकरी जमीन 01 07 74 सर्वे नंबर 796 के पास का नास्ता 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 61 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 75 09 65 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और 4" के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35		सर्वे नंबर 777 और 780 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन	00	40	96
780/1 00 56 45 794/1 00 11 54 807 00 11 54 807 00 11 60 11		780/2	00	04	89
794/1 00 11 54 807 00 11 61 11 60 11 60 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 61 11 60 11 61 11 61 11 60 11 61		780/3	00	35	01
807 नाला और सर्वे नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 सर्वे नंबर 796 के पास का नाला 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 81 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रासग 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 68 00 20 23 68 69 00 20 23 69 सर्वे नंबर 69 और A** के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35		780/1	00	56	45
नला और सर्वे गंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन 01 07 74 सर्वे गंबर 796 के पास का नाला 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 81 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे गंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 66 00 75 09 65 66 00 50 11 67 00 29 42 66 68 00 50 11 67 68 68 69 00 20 23 69 69 00 25 00 सर्वे गंबर 69 और 47 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 68 69 00 47 85 68		794/1	00	11	54
सर्वे नंबर 796 के पास का जाला 00 07 36 795/1/13 00 04 80 795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 61 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और 4° के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 66 69 00 25 00 47 85 66		807	00	11	60
795/1/13 00 04 80 796/2 01 03 30 30 9) उरळगाव 10.8 00 47 61 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 62 31 64 00 75 09 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 65 00 29 42 66 00 29 42 66 65 00 29 42 66 66 00 50 11 67 66 68 00 50 11 67 69 और A के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 68 69 और A के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 68 69 और A के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 68 69 और A के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 65 65 65 69 8		नाला और सर्वे नंबर 870 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन	01	07	74
9) उरलगाव 108 00 47 61 93 94/131/1 00 95 09 94/131/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्प 00 00 76 62 31 62 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 66 00 29 42 66 66 00 50 11 67 66 68 00 20 23 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 69		सर्वे नंबर 796 के पास का नाला	00	07	36
9) उरळगाव 108 00 47 61 93 00 95 09 94/13/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और A के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 47 85		795/1/13	00	04	80
93 00 95 09 94/1आ/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35		796/2	01	03	30
94/1 अ/1 00 02 31 सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाड़ी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 31 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और के बीच का गाड़ी रास्ता 00 01 35 45	9) उरळगाव	108	00	47	61
सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 00 76 91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और ≛ें के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35		93	00	95	09
91 00 10 64 62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और के बीव का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45		94/13/1	00	02	31
62 00 12 48 63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 47 85		सर्वे नंबर 94 और 91 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	00	76
63 00 62 31 64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और ≜ें के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 47 85		91	00	10	64
64 00 75 09 65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और की बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 47 85		62	00	12	48
65 00 29 42 66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और ≜ें के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35		63	00	62	31
66 00 50 11 67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और की बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 47 85		64	00	75	09
67 00 24 56 68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और ≜ें के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 4? 85		65	00	29	42
68 00 20 23 69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और ^१ े के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 47 85		66	00	50	11
69 00 25 00 सर्वे नंबर 69 और ≜ें के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 4? 85		67	00	24	56
सर्वे नंबर 69 और [≜] ें के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 01 35 45 00 4? 85		68	00	20	23
45 00 47 85		69	00	25	00
		सर्वे नंबर 69 और 🌯 के बीच का गाड़ी रास्ता	00	01	35
सर्वे नंबर 45 और 43 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 93 01		45	00	47	85
		सर्वे नंबर 45 और 43 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	03	01

1	2	3	4	5
9) उरळगाव (निरंतर)	43	00	02	48
	44	00	37	32
	सर्वे नंबर 44 और 177 के बीच का नाला	00	25	37
	177	00	25	44
	178/1	00	17	57
	178/2	00	17	38
·	सर्वे नंबर 178 में रास्ता	00	05	57
	179	01	66	41
8	सर्वे नंबर 179 में रास्ता	00	06	2
	220	00	79	70
•	210	01	12	0
	. 211	01	07	2
	237	00	12	0:
	215	00	00	53
	216	00-	77	5
	214	00	02	2
	सर्वे नंबर 214 और 366 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	00	5
	366	00	08	8
	367	01	02	7
• (1)	361	00	75	8
	360	00	53	9
	359	00	36	3
	सर्वे नंबर 359 और 464 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	01	5
	464	00	04	7
	सर्वे नंबर 464 में नाला	00	08	2
	465	00	09	1
	466	. 00	12	2
	467	00	07	
	468	00	11	
	469	00	13	1
	470	00	25	9
	471	00	05	1
	476	00	22	1
	114/1	00	14	g
	114/2	00	33	1
	113	00	12	8
	112	00	04	4
	111			
		00	01	7
	सर्वे नंबर 111 और 107 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	03	. 7
	107/1		14	2
)) दहिवडी	गाव सीमा के पास का गाडी रास्ता	00	01	2
	305	00	28	9
	304	00	15	7

1	2	3	4	5
10) दहिवडी (निरंतर)	सर्वे नंबर 304 और 306 के बीच की नाला	00	05	71
	306	00	63	66
	सर्वे नंबर 306 में गाडी रास्ता	00	01	06
	सर्वे नंबर 315 में गाडी रास्ता	90	01	31
	315	90	41	25
	316	_0	41	11
	सर्वे नंबर 316 और 333 के बीच का नाला	0.5	0.8	51
	333	00	18	36
	346	00	00	77
	345	00	01	69
	344	00	01	29
	343	00	03	35
	342	00	02	80
	341	00	03.	29
	336	00	51	29
	337	og.	00	91
	437	00	01	00
	गाव सीमा के पास का नासा	00	07	07
11) पारोडी	40	00	21	95
	32	00	26	91
	37	00	19	81
	191	00	30	77
·	29	00	34	76
	188	00	28	7 1
	36	01	15	69
	35	00	47	8.7
	26	00	03	55
	28	00	01	51
	क्र क ्र 36 में नास	00	12	43
•	189/1	00	14	41
	189/2	00	30	13
•	34	00	20	36
	सर्वे नंबर 250 में रास्ता	00	01	31
	190	00	30	31
•	सर्वे नंबर 250 और 192 के बीच नाला	00	05	21
.*	सर्वे नंबर 188 और 43 के बीच का रास्ता	00	04	17
	33	00	12	14
	192	00	66	06
:	31	00	21	05
	43	00	64	04
	250	00	91	02
	25	00	06	50
	43	V	00	20

गाय वीत्मा के पात का नाला 73 72 54 53	00 01 00	29 07	78
72 54	00		78
54		00	
	00	00	21
53	00	42	70
	00	21	80
सर्वे नंबर 53 और 47 के बीच का रास्ता	00	04	83
47 -	00	09	76
46	00	34	62
38/1	00	39	18
सर्वे नंबर 46 और 42 के बीच का नाला	00	10	18
42	00	36	60
45	00	13	48
गाव सीमा के पास का गाडी रास्ता	00	00	30
797	00	98	72
796	01	24	40
792/4	00	22	0
792/3	00	18	6
789	00	11	41
791	00	04	2
790	00	89	4
सर्वे नंबर 790 में भाडी रास्ता	00	01	5
सर्वे नंबर 790 में गाडी रास्ता	00	00	8
सर्वे नंबर 790 में गाड़ी रास्ता	00	01	2
सर्वे नंबर 633 और 790 के बीच का गोडी रास्ता	00	70	5:
833	00	41	3-
सर्वे नेक्ट 633 वें पाला	00	03	8
632	0,0	24	4
सर्वे नंबर 632 और 621 के कीय का रास्ता	00	04	3
621	00	18	3
620		07	3
			7
•	•		4
			6
			1
		,	7
	•		9
			. 6
			1:
601			. 1
		,	8
604			5
598	00	04	8
	47 46 38/1 सर्वे नंबर 46 और 42 के बीच का नाला 42 45 गाव सीमा के पाल का गाडी रास्ता 797 796 792/4 792/3 789 791 790 सर्वे नंबर 790 में भाडी रास्ता सर्वे नंबर 633 और 790 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 833 सर्वे नंबर 632 और 62 में के बीच का रास्ता 621 620 619 618 617 616/2 615 614 613 5794 601 607 604	47 00 46 38/1 00 38/1 00 वर्ष नंबर 46 और 42 के बीच का नाला 00 42 00 45 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	47

6848

1	2	3	4	5
13) टाकळी मिमा (निरंतर)	595	00	10	42
,	588	00	02	69
	594	00	05	72
	589	00	15	65
	590	00	02	42
	591	00	03	33
·	सर्वे नंबर 591 और 518/1 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	00	78
	518/1	00	20	00 .
	518/2	00	21	15
	517/1	00	20	05
	515/1	00	20	22
	514	00	33	34
	501	00	14	76
	500	00	18	48
	493/2	00	12	15
	492	00	05	01
	491	00	16	90
	469	00	16	07
	467	00	17	47
	466	00	10	09
	465	00	10	43
	464	00	17	95
	463/1	00	06	75
	463/2	00	06	73
	448/2अ	00	16	90
	448/24	00	15	11
	448/1	00	50	06
	447	00	02	75
	446	00	04	16
	445	00	06	71
	416 h	00	23	00
	415/1	00	18	24
	415/2	00	19	43
	396/2व	00	15	73
	396/2अ	00	10	27
	396/1	00	12	89
	393	00	42	91
	सर्वे नंबर 393 में कॅनाल	00	06	69
	392	00	37	46
	391	0.0	38	91
	390	00	25	37
	इबें नंबर 390 हैं जाला	00	06	02
	389	00	15	26
	388	00	15	58

1	2	3	4	5
14) कासारी	596/1	00	33	22
	595/1	00	97	0.
	507	00	21	77
	606	00	16	04
	605	00	04	78
	604	00	51	71
	सर्वे नंबर 604 में कॅनाल	00	01	32
	627	00	18	92
	634	00	16	26
	633	00	09	63
	644	00	01	01
	636	00	22	30
	636	ÖO	04	55
	सर्वे नंबर 636 में गाडी रास्ता	00	01	51
	641	00	01	99
	642	oo'	06	66
	643	00	08	80
	686	00	08	59
	684	00	33	42
	687	00	08	62
	688	00	03	09
	689	00	03	17
	685	00	23	94
	631	00	11	84
	692	00	01	00
	683	00	10	82
	1080	00	09	94
	1081	00	07	97
	. 1082	00	20	93
	1084	00	07	27
	1085	00	09	90
	1102	00	08	34
	सर्वे नंबर 1102 और 1133 के बीच का नाला	00	09	79
	1133	00	41	46
	1134	00	. 08	. 09
	1135	00	08 -	79
	1136	00	.11	01
	1137/1	00	34	71
	1138/1	00	10	02
	1138/2	00	07	14
	1139	00	14	77
	1140/1	00	09	43
	1140/2	00	32	86

1	2	3	4	5
14) कासारी (निरंतर)	ः अदर 1140 और 1142 के बीव का कॅनाल	00	02	99
	13.42	00	09	98
	1143	00	08	01
	1144	00	14	09
	1145	00	17	21
	1146	00	17	84
	1197	00	16	42
	1199	00	16	67
	1200/2	00	28	93
	सर्वे नंबर 1200 और 1246 के बीच का इतर जिला मार्ग-67	0 ù	05	80
	1248	00	07	38
	1266	60	22	10
	1267	C.	23	50
	1268	€	21	00
	1269	2.4	19	00
	1270	00	24	50
	1271	00	26	10
	1272	00	18	40
15) तळेगाव दमदेरे	423	00	05	94
	435	00	04	53
	437	00	0.2	51
	438	00	63	0€
	440	00	03	48
	443	00	06	14
	444	90	00	97
	445/1	00	03	22
	446 [°]	00	04	01
	449	00	03	00
	452	00	02	90
	454	00	01	09
	455	00	01	52
	456	00	03	25
	457	00	01	13
	458	00	01	47
	461	00	07	45
	459	00	02	84
	460	00	01	24
	463	00	05	27
	472	<i>€*3</i>	03	78
	475	00	02	58
	477	00	02	67
	476	00	02	70
	479	00	03	07

1	2	3	4	5
15) तळेगाव डमर्डर (निरंतर)	483	00	04	31
	484	00	16	50
	गाव क्षेत्रा के पास का रास्ता	00	02	80
	रस्ता और सर्वे नंबर 1804 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन	00	62	34
	1804	01	21	39
	सर्वे सेवर 1804 में कॅनाल	00	05	23
	1802	. 00	08	63
•	सर्वे नंसर 1802 में रास्ता	00	08	11
	तर्वे नंबर 1802 हैं किह	00	11	66
	1186	00	15	88
	1184	00	08	00
	1183	00	15	55
	सर्वे नंबर 1183 और 329 के बीच का रास्ता	00	04	77
	329	00	03	96
	339	00	01	81
	331	00	04	16
	334	. 00	01	43
	335	00	01	17
	336	00	02	. 28
	337	00	10	33
	338	00	03	95
	339	00	02	99
	342	00	03	26
	343	00	01	98
	344	00	01	38
	345/1	00	02	57
• -	348 .	00	01	82
	347	00	07	56
	348	00	03	05
	353	00	02	61
	358	00	00	68
•	359	00	00	85
	360	00	00	91
	361	00	00	50
	362	00	00	72
	363	00	00	73
	364	00	01	18
	सर्वे नंबर 364 और 365 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	10	19
	365	00	00	29
	385	00	02	13
	386	00	04	10
	390	00	01	51
	391	00	01	78
·	392	00	01	42

-

1	2	3	4	5
15) तळेगाव दमदेरे (निरंतर)	395	00	02	14
, ,	399	00	01	67
	398	00	05	95
	सर्वे नंबर 398 और 417 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन	00	07	90
	417	00	05	56
	418	00	05	09
	421	00	09	39
	422	00	05	09
16) शिकापुर	1258	00	30	14
	1259	00	35	16
	सर्वे नंबर 1259 और 1260 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	07'	03
	1260	00	27	21
	1261	00	25	46
	1190/1	00	33	60
	1190/2	00	23	01
	1190/3	00	36	84
	1190/4	00	05	84
	1190/5	00	23	34
	सर्वे नंबर 1190 और 1751 के बीच की नदी	00	20	16
	1751	00	46	75
	1274/1	00	51	28
	1273	00	06	69
	1283	00	02	19
	1309	00	. 11	75
	1308	00	09	23
	1306	00	18	70
	. 1784	00	10	54
	1809	00	02	61
	1810	00	03	66
	1811	00	01	31
	1812	00	00	78
	सर्वे नंबर 1812 और 1303 के दीत का नामा	00	13	52
	1303	00	15	53
	1304	00	65	48
•	1367	00	29	71
	13ଟ6	00	11	51
	1365	00	10	39
	1374	00	10	21
	1376	00	11	48
	1377	00	38	49
	1380/1/1	00	22	16
	1381	00	16	24
	1845	00	06	49

16) शिकापुर (निरंतर) 1384/1 136 के संबंद 1384 और 1361 के स्विय का नामा 136 की सर्वे नाम 1381 और 1572 के सीम का रामाम 137 की 1572 के सीम का रामाम 1574/3	, 1	2	3	4	5
प्रश्ने जंबर 1384 और 1361 के बीच का जाजाता 00 10 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 3	16) शिकापुर (निरंतर)	1384/1	00	00	
सर्वे नंबार 1361 और 1572 के बीच का राज्यार्थ -55 1572 00 19 73 1574/ज 00 16 14 1584 00 23 40 1585 00 11 24 1586 00 11 24 1588 00 11 52 1591 1591 00 11 58 1593 00 01 14 33 1595 1596 00 14 33 1595 00 01 14 33 1595 00 01 14 33 1595 00 01 14 33 1596 00 17 1897/3 00 00 18 80 1597/3 00 00 18 80 1598/1 1598/1 1599/1 1599/1 1599/3 1599/1 1599/3 1599/1 1599/3 1599/1 1599/3 1599/1 1599/3 1599/1 1599/3 1599/1 1599/3 1590/2 1590/1 1590/1 1590/3 1590/1 1590/		सर्वे नंबर 1384 और 1361 के बीच का नाला	00	10	33
1572 00 19 73 1574/37 00 00 55 1574/37 00 00 55 1573 1574/37 00 16 14 1586 00 11 24 1586 00 11 22 1588 00 11 22 1588 00 11 22 1591 00 01 24 1596 00 01 24 1596 00 01 24 1596 00 04 29 1597/2 00 04 29 1597/2 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1590/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 10 26 16 16 16 16 16 16 16		1361	00	01	38
1572 00 19 73 1574/37 00 00 00 55 1573 00 16 14 1584 00 23 40 1585 00 11 24 1586 00 10 92 1588 00 11 92 1591 00 11 56 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 04 29 1596 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/4 00 19 80 1599/3 00 62 20 1599/4 1599 और 1902 के बीच का प्रकार्ग -55 00 07 75 1599/3 00 62 26 159 सर्वे संपर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का प्रकार्ग -65 00 07 77 1902/4 00 19 26 159 सर्वे संपर 1590 और 1902 के बीच का प्रकार गमन 00 07 10 42 2130 01 25 16 1550 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1650 के बीच का प्रकार गमन 00 07 77 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1658 00 07 77 1658 के बीच की प्रकारो गमन 00 28 32 1666 00 17 75 1656 00 07 77 1656 00 07 77 1656 00 07 77 1656 00 07 77 1657 00 38 25 1666 00 17 75 1658 00 07 77 1658 00 07 77 1658 00 07 77 1659 00 07 70 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 175 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 175 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 1659 00 07 77 175 1659 00 07 77 175 1666 00 07 35 98			00	14	23
1573 00 16 14 14 1584 00 23 40 1585 00 11 24 1585 00 11 24 1586 00 11 92 1588 00 11 92 1588 00 11 92 1591 00 11 58 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 14 33 1595 00 04 29 1587/2 00 18 80 1587/2 00 19 80 1587/2 00 19 80 1587/2 00 19 80 1587/2 00 19 80 1587/2 00 19 80 1587/2 1589/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1590/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1590/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1590/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1590/1 00 47 78 1599/3 1590/3 15			00	19	73
1584 00 23 40 1585 00 11 24 1586 00 10 92 1588 00 11 92 1588 00 11 92 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 14 33 1596 00 14 33 1596 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 66 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 158 जंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का गाडी गरता 00 08 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 16 77 1902/4 00 19 26 16 77 1952/4 00 19 26 16 77 1650 के बीच का गाडी गरता 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1656 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1656 1652 00 01 11 76 1653 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 07 77 1667 75 1668 00 09 63		1574/sr	00	00	55
1585 00 11 24 1586 00 10 92 1588 00 11 92 1591 00 11 58 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 14 33 1596 00 14 33 1597/3 00 20 58 1597/3 00 20 18 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 189 कंबर 1599 और 1599 के बीच का राज्यार्ग -55 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 86 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 28 15 कंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाजी रास्ता 00 08 36 1805/4 1903 और 1650 के बीच का नाजी रास्ता 00 01 10 42 2130 01 25 16 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 75 1651 00 09 33 1656 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 1661 00 07 07 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 75 1653 00 09 63 16661 00 07 75 1656 00 09 63 16661 00 07 75 1666 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 13 39		1573	00	16	14
1586 00 10 92 1588 00 11 92 1591 00 11 56 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 14 33 1595 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/2 00 19 80 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 164 77 88 1590/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 28 164 तंत्र ता 1902 और 1902 के बीच का गामी रास्ता 00 08 36 1902/1 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 28 16 तंत्र तंत्र 1593 और 1650 के बीच का नामा 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 26 49 16 तंत्र र 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 1652 00 11 76 1653 00 07 00 38 1666 00 07 77 16663 00 07 09 33 1666 00 07 77 1666 00 07 09 33 1666 00 07 77 1666 00 07 09 33		1584	00	23	40
1588 00 11 92 1591 00 11 56 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 14 33 1595 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 58 1597/3 00 20 58 1597/3 00 20 58 1597/3 00 19 80 छर्चे संदर 1597 और 1599 के बीम का राज्यमर्ग -55 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 छर्चे संदर 1599 और 1902 के बीम का राज्यमर्ग -50 00 62 26 छर्चे संदर 1599 और 1902 के बीम का राज्यमर्ग -00 88 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 28 छर्चे संदर 2130 और 2130 के बीम का नाज्य 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 छर्चे संदर 2130 और 1650 के बीम का नाज्य 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 00 26 49 छर्चे संदर 1455 और 1658 के बीम की सरकारी 00 38 25 जर्मान सर्चे संदर 1455 और 1658 के बीम की सरकारी 00 38 25 जर्मान सर्चे संदर 1455 और 1658 के बीम की सरकारी 00 38 25 जर्मान सर्चे संदर 1455 और 1658 के बीम की सरकारी 00 38 25 जर्मान सर्चे संदर 1455 और 1658 के बीम की सरकारी 00 38 25 जर्मान सर्चे संदर 1455 और 1658 के बीम की सरकारी 00 38 25 जर्मान 1658 00 26 33 1666 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39		1585	00	11	24
1591 00 11 58 1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 14 33 1595 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 58 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1598/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1599/3 00 62 26 1590/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 1590/1 00 48 16902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 28 159 के बीच का गावी रास्ता 00 18 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/4 00 19 28 159 के बीच का नाजी रास्ता 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 1565 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 00 26 49 1455 00 26 49 1455 00 26 49 1455 00 26 49 1456 00 07 71 1658 00 07 70 1666 00 07 70 1666 00 07 75 1666 00 09 33 1666 00 09 63 1666 00 09 63 1666 00 09 63		1586	00	10	92
1593 00 20 89 1592 00 01 24 1596 00 14 33 1595 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 58 1597/2 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 सर्वे संबर 1597 और 1599 के बील का राजधी ग्रह्मा 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 सर्वे संबर 1599 और 1902 के बील का राजधी ग्रह्मा 00 8 36 1902/1 00 38 81 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे संबर 1902 और 2130 के बील का नाला 00 03 10 1650 01 25 16 सर्वे संबर 2130 और 1650 के बील का नाला 00 03 10 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 %र 1658 के बील की सरकारो 00 38 25 उन्मीन सर्वे संबर 1455 और 1661 के बील की सरकारो 00 38 25 उन्मीन सर्वे संबर 1455 और 1661 के बील की सरकारो 00 38 25 उन्मीन सर्वे संबर 1455 और 1661 के बील की सरकारो 00 38 25 उन्मीन सर्वे संबर 1455 और 1661 के बील की सरकारो 00 38 25 उन्मीन सर्वे संबर 1455 और 1668 के बील की सरकारो 00 37 75 1668 00 07 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 13 39		1588	00	11	92
1592 00 01 24 1596 1597 00 14 33 15957/3 00 04 29 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1598/1 00 47 78 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 67 1599/3 00 62 26 67 1599/1 00 38 61 1590/1 00 38 61 1590/1 00 38 61 1590/1 00 38 61 1590/1 00 38 61 1590/1 00 26 67 1590/4 00 19 26 67 1590/4 00 19 26 67 1590/4 00 19 26 67 1590/4 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 65 1652 00 11 76 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1652 00 11 76 1652 00 11 76 1658 00 00 38 25 361 1658 00 07 75 1666 0		1591	00	11	56
1596 00 04 29 1597/3 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/2 00 19 80 सर्व संबर 1597 और 1599 के बीच का राज्यार्ग -55 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 सर्व संबर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का राज्यार्ग -00 08 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 28 सर्व संबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का राज्या रास्ता 00 08 61 सर्व संबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का राज्या 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्व संबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का राज्या 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीय सर्व संबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीय सर्व संबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 1666 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 13 39		1593	00	20	89
1595 00 04 29 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/3 00 20 56 1597/2 00 19 80 सर्व संबर 1597 और 1599 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 सर्व संबर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 08 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्व संबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्व संबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का गाडी 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 1652 00 11 76 1652 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्व संबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्व संबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 07 00 1662 00 07 75 1668 00 07 75 1		1592	OÓ	01	24
1597/3 00 20 58 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 19 80 1597/2 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 26 26 26 26 27 1599/3 10 00 62 26 27 1599/3 10 00 83 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 2130 27 1902/4 00 19 26 2130 27 1902/4 00 10 42 2130 27 1902/3 2130 27 1902/3 2130 01 25 16 25 2130 27 1902/3 2130 27		1596	00	14	33
1597/2 1599 के बीच का एजमार्ग -55 100 17 32 1599/1 1599/3 1599/3 1599/3 1599/3 1659 और 1599 और 1902 के बीच का गाड़ी गरता 1590 1662 1663 1666 1667 1668 1600 160		1595	00	04	29
सर्वे संबर 1597 और 1599 के बीच का राज्यार्ग -55 00 07 32 1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 28 सर्वे नंबर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 08 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 1651 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 16552 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 17 75 1661 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 13 39 1666		1597/3	00	20	56
1599/1 00 47 78 1599/3 00 62 26 सर्वे नंबर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 08 36 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन वर्षे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन 1658 00 07 70 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39		1597/2	00	19	80
1599/3 सर्वे नंबर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता 00 08 36 1902/1 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन 1658 00 07 70 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 35 96		सर्वे नंबर 1597 और 1599 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55	00	07	32
सर्वे नंबर 1599 और 1902 के बीख का गांधी रास्ता 00 08 36 61 1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाता 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाता 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारों 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1651 के बीच की सरकारों 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 11 62 63 1661 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1666 00 13 39 16667 00 35 96 16667 00 35 96		1599/1	00	47	78
1902/1 00 38 61 1902/2 00 26 67 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 78 1455 00 26 49 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 11 62 1661 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		1599/3	00	62	26
1902/2 1902/4 00 19 26 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		सर्वे नंबर 1599 और 1902 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	08	36
1902/4 सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 31र 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		1902/1	00	38	61
सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला 00 10 42 2130		1902/2	00	26	67
2130 01 25 16 सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीख का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 00 26 49 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीख की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		1902/4	00	19	26
सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला 00 03 10 1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 00 26 49 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 96		सर्वे नंबर 1902 और 2130 के बीच का नाला	00	10	42
1650 00 07 71 1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 00 26 49 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		2130	01	25	16
1651 00 09 33 1652 00 11 76 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		सर्वे नंबर 2130 और 1650 के बीच का नाला	00	03	10
1652 00 11 76 1455 00 26 49 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		1650	00	07	71
1455 00 26 49 सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40			00	09	33
सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1658 के बीच की सरकारी 00 38 25 जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96		1652	00	11	76
जमीन सर्वे नंबर 1455 और 1661 के बीच की सरकारी 00 11 62 जमीन 1658 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		1455	00	26	49
जमीन 1658			00	38	25
1661 00 07 00 1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		जमीन	00	11	62
1662 00 17 75 1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		1658	υņ	02	63
1663 00 09 63 1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		1661	00	07	00
1666 00 13 39 1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		1662	00	17	
1667 00 35 96 1668 00 35 40		1663	00	09	
1668 00 35 40		1666	00	13	
1669 00 09 66			DO	35	
		1669	00	09	66

1	2	3	4	5
16) श्रिकापुर (निरंतर)	1670/1	00	24	68
	1671/2	00	25	80
	1671/3	00	24	43
	1672	00	26	65
	1673	0.0	06	64
	1674	0.6	16	67
	1677	00	48	03
	1678	00	21	80
	1679	00	19	96
	1680	00	28	12
	1681/1	00	12	07
	1284	00	07	48
	1285/1	00	11	5 5
	1742	00	12	45
	1293	00	17	51
	1294	00	15	03
	सर्वे नंबर 1294 और 1313 के बीच का राजमार्ग	00	09	08
	-55	20	0.0	40
	1313/13r	00	02	19
	1312	00	05	78
	1311	00	08	65
	1310	00	03	39
17) जातेगाव खुर्द	22/1	00	22	7.7
	22/2	00	06	21
	25	00	13	01
	26	00	03	12
	27	00	22	59
	28	00	03	49
	58	00	05	21
	30	00	05	21
	હેઢા છે	00	02	42
	32/1	00	02	85
	32/2	00	03	31
	सर्वे नंबर 32/2 और 34 के बीच का रास्ता	00	04	55
	34	00	05	11
	35	00	05	84
	36	00	06	16
	37	00	06	49
	39	00	05	52
	41	00	10	82
	42	00	05	67
	44	00	15	66
	. 45	0.0	01	75
	46	00	01	14

1	2	3	4	5
	47	00	01	00
17) जातेगाव खुर्द (निरंतर)	48	00	02	35
	479	00	07	75
	49/1	00	07	64
**************************************	50	00	05	07
	सर्वे नंबर 50 और 51 के बीच का गाडी रास्ता	00	04	68
	51	00	06	16
	52	00	05	20
	53	00	04	36
	54	00	02	87
	55	00	02	60
	57/1	00	03	07
	57/2	00	03	40
	57/3	00	03	41
	58	00	11	27
·	60/1	oo [′]	08	26
	60/3	00	04	98
	63	00	08	31
	66	00	14	02
	68/2	00	02	72
	68/1	00	02	89
	69	00	06	58
	70	00	06	11
	83	00	07	+ a
	84	00	13	
	86	00	15	
	92/1	. 00	15	76
	92/4/1	00	28	88
	93/2	00	03	87
	93/1	00	03	87
	94	00	05	18
	95	00	04	24
	96	00	02	61
	102	00	05	38
	107	00	07	22
	110/1 s r	00	10	72
	110/23	00	11	09
	110/1 a	00	00	02
	110/24	00	02	02
	110/4	00	07	09
	110/3	00	04	78
18) करंदी	693	01	25	50
	सर्वे कंडर 693 वें केर	.00	03	50

18) करेंग्रे (निरंतर) 992 984 00 08 84 189 संवर 694 और 695 के बीच का गरता 00 02 19 895 00 04 18 18 मंतर 695 के बीच का गरता 00 05 97 890 690 685 00 05 686 685 00 37 77 684 00 776 684 00 776 00 28 84 10 776 00 20 19 10 10 1775 187 187 187 187 187 187 18	1	2	3	4	5
894 193 194 195 के शीच का रास्ता 195 के शीच का क्षेत्रात 195 के शीच का रास्ता 195 के शीच का रास्ता	18) करंदी (निरंतर)	692	00	97	74
695 910 914 के बीच का केंगान 900 04 18 915 917 911 915 917 912 910 910 910 911 915 917 912 910 911 915 917 915 917 915 917 915 917 915 917 915 917 915 917 915 917 915 917	(1)	694	00	08	84
सर्वे नंबर 895 और 691 के बीय का कंजान 00 06 24 691 690 00 55 97 690 00 05 00 685 00 05 00 685 00 05 00 685 00 07 77 684 11 00 07 28 84 68 689 00 07 28 84 68 689 00 07 28 84 68 689 00 07 28 84 68 689 00 07 28 84 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68		सर्वे नंबर 694 और 695 के बीच का रास्ता	00	02	19
691 00 55 97 690 00 23 24 689 00 05 00 23 24 689 00 05 00 05 00 05 685 684 00 07 77 77 684 00 01 00 776 00 28 84 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64		695	00	04	18
691 00 55 97 690 00 23 24 689 00 05 00 23 24 689 00 05 00 05 00 05 685 684 00 07 77 77 684 00 01 00 776 00 28 84 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64		सर्वे नंबर 695 और 691 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	06	24
689 00 05 00 05 00 685 685 00 37 77 684 00 01 00 01 00 00 880 00 0			00	55	97
685 00 37 77 684 00 01 00 776 00 28 84 84 776 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 20 19 85 नंबर 775 जैर गाडी रास्ता 00 00 61 21 85 नंबर 773 के बीच का गल गस्त-53 00 12 85 773 00 61 21 85 नंबर 73 में अंगल 00 05 31 85 नंबर 73 में गाडी रास्ता 00 02 29 772 00 73 04 770 00 36 25 767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/1ऽज 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 69 910 00 15 69 910 00 15 69 910 10 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 712 वीचा के पाव का मला 00 04 59 910 19 15/1 912 00 19 48 712 वीचा के पाव का मला 00 04 59 915/1 में मला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 85 नंबर 915/1 में मला 00 05 26 901 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 86 902 00 11 35 87 नंबर 915/1 में मला 00 05 26 899 00 01 14 36 899 00 00 33 14 899 00 00 33 24 899/1 00 03 24 899/1 00 03 24 899/1 00 03 37 884 887/2 387/2 और 884 के बीच का राजवर्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 00 56 55 880/1 885		690	00	23	24
684 00		689	00	05	00
1776 1776 1776 1776 1776 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1775 1777		685	00	37	77
सर्वे संबर 776 में गाडी रास्ता 00 00 10 80 775 00 20 19 सर्वे संबर 775 और 773 के सीच का मान रास्ता-53 00 12 85 773 को सीच का मान रास्ता-53 00 61 21 सर्वे संबर 73 में डॉनाल 00 05 31 सर्वे नंबर 73 में डॉनाल 00 05 31 सर्वे नंबर 73 में डॉनाल 00 05 31 772 00 73 04 770 00 36 25 767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/13 00 26 71 766/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/13 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 67 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 911 00 07 12 94 911 00 07 12 94 911 00 07 12 91 912 19 915/1 और रास्ता के सीच का नाला 00 01 21 91 94 91 915/1 और रास्ता के सीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और रास्ता के सीच का नाला 00 05 26 902 11 35 901 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 899 900 02 98 899 900 02 98 899 900 03 24 897/2 और 884 के सीच का राजवार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 897/2 और 884 के सीच का राजवार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 00 56 55 890/1		684	00	01	00
सर्वे नंबर 775 और 773 के बीच का गान सस्त-53 00 12 85 773 के बीच का गान सस्त-53 00 12 85 773 के बीच का गान सस्त-53 00 12 85 773 के बीच का गान सस्त-53 00 61 21 को बंबर 73 में कंगाल 00 05 31 को बंबर 73 में गाड़ी सस्ता 00 02 29 770 00 36 26 767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/3 00 26 71 765/1/3 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 69 910 90 15 69 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 911 00 01 21 94 911 10 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 915/1 और सहय के बीच का माना 00 04 59 को बंबर 915/1 में माना 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 900 03 26 992 901 13 35 901 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 899 900 03 24 897/2 और 884 के बीच का सज्मा -55 00 03 24 897/2 और 884 के बीच का सज्मा -55 00 03 14 76 94 884 884 के बीच का सज्मा -55 00 03 14 76 94 885 00 65 55 880/1 00 66 55		776	00	28	84
सर्वे नंबर 775 और 773 के बीच का गान सप्ता-53 00 12 85 773 00 61 21 सर्वे नंबर 73 में केनाल 00 05 31 सर्वे नंबर 73 में साढ़ी सप्ता 00 02 29 772 00 73 04 770 00 36 25 767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/13 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 69 910 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगरताप 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 10 00 03 21 904 909 00 15 69 902 00 17 62 889 00 02 98 899 00 02 98 899 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/1 07 884 के बीच का राज्यमां -55 00 03 47 884 00 15 76		सर्दे नंबर 776 में गाडी रास्ता	00	00	80
773 00 61 21 सर्वे नंबर 73 में बॅनाल 00 05 31 सर्वे नंबर 73 में बॉनाल 00 05 31 सर्वे नंबर 73 में बॉनाल 00 02 29 772 00 73 04 770 00 36 25 767 00 75 57 767 00 04 34 765/1/2 00 04 34 766/1/31 00 26 71 766 00 15 79 909 00 15 69 910 00 15 69 910 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 712 712 713 714 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715 715		775	00	20	19
सर्वे नंबर 73 में उर्जाल 00 05 31 सर्वे नंबर 73 में उर्जाल 00 02 29 772 00 73 04 765/1/2 00 75 57 765/1/3 00 04 34 765/1/3 00 05 75 76 765/1/3 00 05 75 79 909 00 15 69 910 910 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 1914 के पास का नाला 00 01 21 94 1914 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 12 94 1914 00 07 19 48 1914 00 07 19 48 1914 00 07 19 48 1914 00 07 19 48 1914 00 07 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		सर्वे नंबर 775 और 773 के बीच का गान रास्ता-53	00	12	85
सर्वे नंबर 73 में गाडी रास्ता 00 02 29 772 00 73 04 770 00 36 25 767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/31 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 69 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 114 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 114 00 37 62 184 वं नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 8व वं नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 902 00 11 35 902 00 11 35 904 00 03 11 900 03 11 900 03 26 899 00 02 98 899 00 02 98 899 00 02 98 899 00 02 98 899 00 03 24 897/2 84 वं नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजनार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 00 56 55		773	00	61	21
772 00 73 04 770 760 36 25 767 765 7770 00 36 25 767 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/137 00 26 71 765/1/137 00 15 79 909 00 15 79 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 712 19) स्पिरळे जगताप 915/1 00 37 62 सर्वे संबर 915/1 जैंग नाला 00 01 37 62 89 900 11 35 900 11 35 900 11 35 900 11 35 900 11 35 900 11 35 900 11 35 900 11 35 899 900 00 31 26 899 898 00 03 24 897/1 884 887/2 और 884 8े बीच का राज्यमर्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 56 55 55 890/1 00 56 55 55 890/1 00 56 55 55 890/1 00 56 55 55		सर्वे नंबर 73 में कॅनाल	00	05	31
770 00 36 25 767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/137 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 69 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव शीमा के पात का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्व नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 26 899 00 00 32 26 899 00 00 32 26 899 00 00 32 24 897/2 सर्व नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यमा -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55		सर्वे नंबर 73 में गाडी रास्ता	00	02	29
767 00 75 57 765/1/2 00 04 34 765/1/1ज 00 26 71 766 00 15 79 909 00 15 67 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 00 37 62 सर्व नंबर 915/1 में नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्व नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/2 सर्व नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55		772	00	73	04
765/1/2 765/1/1ज 7668 00 15 79 909 00 15 67 910 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 18 48 पाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपको जगताप 915/1 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 01 37 62 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 03 11 900 03 26 899 00 03 26 899 00 03 26 899 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यमर्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 00 56 55		770	00	36	25
765/1/1ज 00 26 71 768 00 15 79 909 00 15 63 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजवार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 56 55		767	00	75	57
768 00 15 79 909 00 15 63 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजवार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		765/1/2	00	04	34
909 00 15 63 94 910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव सीमा के पात का नाला 00 01 21 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 00 37 62 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 900 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 00 03 26 899 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 56 55		7 65/1/1 3 1	00	26	71
910 00 12 94 911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 11 10 00 27 11 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 और नाला के बीख का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीख का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 00 03 26 899 898 00 03 24 897/2 00 31 54 897/2 00 31 54 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55		768	00	15	79
911 00 29 77 912 00 19 48 गाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 00 37 62 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीख का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीख का राज्यार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		909	00	15	69
912 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 62 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		910	. 00	12	94
गाव सीमा के पास का नाला 00 01 21 19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 00 37 62 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 00 02 98 898 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55		911	00	29	77
19) पिंपळे जगताप 915/1 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला के बीच का नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/1 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		912	00	19	48
सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला 00 04 59 सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यपार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55		गाव सीमा के पास का नाला	00	01	21
सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला 00 05 26 902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55	19) पिंपळे जगताप	915/1	00	37	62
902 00 11 35 901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		सर्वे नंबर 915/1 और नाला के बीच का नाला	00	04	59
901 00 03 11 900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		सर्वे नंबर 915/1 में नाला	00	05	26
900 00 03 26 899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		902	00	11	35
899 00 02 98 898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राज्यमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		901	00	03	11
898 00 03 24 897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		900	00	03	26
897/1 00 14 66 897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		899	00	02	98
897/2 00 31 54 सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84			00	03	24
सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55 00 03 47 884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		897/1	00	14	66
884 01 76 94 885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84			00	31	54
885 00 56 55 890/1 00 19 84		सर्वे नंबर 897/2 और 884 के बीच का राजमार्ग -55	00	03	47
890/1 00 19 84		884	01	76	94
		885	00	56	55
सर्वे नंबर 890/1 और 890/2 के बीच का कॅनाल 00 05 66			00	19	84
		सर्वे नंबर 890/1 और 890/2 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	05	66

1	2	3	4	5
19) पिंपळे जगताप (निरंतर)	890/2	00	05	64
	882	00	79	32
	881	00	19	29
	गाव सीमा के पस्त का रास्ता	00	05	61
20) वाजेवाडी	414	00	48	5,2
·	सर्वे नंबर 418प में नाला	00	12	43
	418 t	00	29	39
	सर्वे नंतर 418 पै और 415 के बीच का नाला	00	04	17
	415	00	39	27
	416	00	70	18
	421	00	22	59
	411	00	26	00
	422	00	38	25
	सर्वे नंबर 411 और 403 के बीच का कॅनाल	00	80	00
	403/1	00	24	84
	399	00	07	90
	400	00	00	40
	398	00	04	19
	397	00	06	33
	396	00	10	09
	393	00	10	15
	389	00	04	13
	388	00	01	53
	387	00	01	51
	388	00	01	58
	385	00	05	49
	384	00	02	70
	383	00	03	05
	381	00	02	53
	380	00	03	92
	377	00	02	58
	376	00	04	28
	375	00	04	31
	374	00	03	06
	373	00	02	68
	372	00	80	76
	369	00	14	57
	368	00	04	53
	364	00	08	96
	363	00	01	00
	361	00	12	47
	357	00	12	33
	358	00	13	27

1	2	3	4	5
20) वाजेवाडी (निसंतर)	्रे क्टर 358 और 353 के तील का गाडो रान्ता	0.0	01	82
	353	00	04	50

[फा. सं. एल-14014/48/2004-जी.पी.] स्वामी सिंह, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 27th June, 2005

S. O. 2322.— Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, number S.O. 563 dated, 17th February, 2005, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land, specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of natural gas from the exploration blocks in the Northern / Southern Offshore of Goa and structures in Andhra Pradesh of Reliance Industries Limited, the promoter company of Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited to various consumers of District Pune in the State of Maharashtra by Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas the copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 15th April, 2005;

And whereas the objections received from the public to the laying of the pipeline have been considered and disallowed by the Competent Authority;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire the right of user therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired, for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on this date of publication of the declaration, in Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited, free from all encumbrances.

Schedule

Mandal/Thesil/Taluk : Shirur	District : Pune State : Maharashtra			
Name of Village	Survey No./Sub-Division No.	Area to b	e acquire	d for ROU
		Hectare	Are	C-Are
1	2	3	4	5
1) Inamgaon	River at Village Boundary	00	56	03
	Cart Track near Survey No. 57/4	00	03	00
	Nala in Survey No. 57/4	00	04	20
	57/4	00	29	77
	<i>57/</i> 2	00	28	26
	57/1	00	00	38
	<i>57/</i> 3	00	36	70
	<i>57/</i> 6	00	27	47
	58/2	00	00	04
	Cart Track in Survey No. 58	00	01	28
	41	00	05	40
	Road between Survey No. 41 and 61/2	00	07	76
	62/1	00	02	44
	62/2	00	02	35
	62/3	00	06	84
	62/4	00	21	25
	61/2	00	13	67
	61/1	00	22	22
	63/1	00	13	64
	63/2	00	15	10
	Cart Track in Survey No. 63/2	00	02	80
	64	00	15	46
	65/1	00	06	53
	65/2	00	21	55
	66/2A	00	20	91
	66/1A	00	00	16
	67/1A	00	29	00
	67/1B	00	14	00
	67/1C	00	12	97
	68/2	. 00	26	85
	68/1	00	10	25
	68/3B	00	00	04
	68/3A	00	27	56
	Cart Track in Survey No. 68/3A	00	01	01
	69/1	00	18	49
	69/2	00	19	63

1	2	3	4	5
1) Inamgaon (Contd)	70/3	00	34	55
	70/2	00	13	91
	347/1A1	00	00	96
	10	00	55	92
	Nala in Survey No. 10	00	07	30
	Cart Track in Survey No. 10	00	00	26
	458/5	00	30	16
	457/1	00	29	86
	457/4	00	29	46
	457/3	00	00	25
	471/2	00	22	93
	472/2B	00	11	64
	472/1A2	00	00	43
	472/2A	00	05	27
	472/1A	00	15	86
•	473	00	40	90
	Road between Survey No. 473 and	00	05	28
	474			
	474	00	13	30
	475	00	17	83
	476/1	00	14	90
	476/2	00	15	98
	476/3	00	02	27
	478/2	00	02	46
	478/3	00	57	17
	478/1	00	02	06
	479	00	10	38
	513/2/2	00	00	03
	512	00	23	79
	510	00	16	65
	506	00	24	10
	507	00	08	80
	508	00	12	40
	519/1	00	29	98
	519 / 2	00	78	01
	520/2	00	02	12
	521/2	00	07	75
	Cart Track in Survey No. 521/2	00	02	17
	522/3	00	18	73 *
	522/2 ·	00	45	56
	522/4	00	10	83
	523	00	16	12
	524/1	90	49	91
	525/1	00	09	62

1	2	3	4	5
1) Inamgaon (Contd)	525/3/2	00	22	16
	525/7	00	43	13
	525/6A	00	00	01
2) Pimpalsuti	76/1A1	00	08	02
.**	40/3	00	09	31
	Canal between Survey No. 76/1A1 and 40/3	00	06	25
	40/5	00	13	42
	42/1	00	32	57
	42/3	00	42	26
	76/2/2	00	04	06
	75/1	00	06	92
	75 / 2A/1	00	19	75
	75/2B	00	17	03
	75/2B/1	00	15	47
	74/1	00	36	83
	74/2	00	23	51
	Cart Track between Survey No. 74 and 156/1	00	00	87
	156/1	00	34	09
	150	00	20	90
	149	00	11	07
	148	00	07	11
	145/1	00	05	71
	144	00	05	06
	143	00	04	14
	142/1	00	02	96
	139/1	00	04	06
	138/A/1B	00	00	52
	138/A/1A	00	31	75
	176	00	33	55
	Canal between Survey No. 176 and 185/1 185/1	00	04 30	33 89
	Road between Survey No. 185/1 and 185/2 185/2	00	05 38	54 96
	188/1	00	07	99 09
	189	00	15	68
	190	00	16	10
	191	00	11	70
	192/1A	00	16	46
	192/1B	00	06	93
		00		93 67
	192/2	00	15 13	
and positive contract and a second a second and a second	251		13	85

1	2	3	4	5
2) Pimpalsuti (Contd)	250/2	00	16	74
	255	00	14	36
	Cart Track in Survey No. 258/1	00	00	98
	258/1	00	21	87
	258/2	00	10	21
	259/1	00	13	64
	399	00	99	02
	261/3	OG	30	50
	243/2/1	00	07	92
	243/1/2	00	10	00
	261/4	00	06	49
	Canal between Survey No. 261/4 and 242	00	16	18
	242	00	12	42
	241	00	53	55
	240	00	27	71
	238/1	00	05	59
	238/2	00	35	32
	237/4	00	00	77
	237/2	00	02	36
	239	00	12	20
3) Shirasgaon Kata	Cart Track at Village Boundary	00	00	89
	405	00	01	80
	404/2	00	43	94
	404/1	OC	27	61
	409	00	32	01
	400/1	00	00	15
	400/1/1f	00	12	05
	Nala between Survey No. 400/1/1F and 410	00	02	95
	410	00	05	73
	413/1	00	18	81
	414/B/1	00	33	47
	414/B/2	00	04	62
•	417	00	01	09
	4 33	00	00	50
	416	00	14	61
	415	00	15	85
	397	00	44	68
	396/2	00	30	22
	Cart Track between Survey No. 396/2 and 253/2	00	01	18
	253/2	00	10	11
	253/3	00	04	11
	253/1	00	29	02

1	2	3	4	5
3) Shirasgaon Kata (Contd)	252	00	25	21
	251/2+4	00	22	28
	249/1	00	37	29
	248/1B	00	37	59
	248/1A/1	00	38	37
	245/2	00	04	66
	245/1	00	04	77
	244	00	06	76
	241	00	08	00
	242	00	03	62
	240	00	01	75
	269/1	00	05	99
	269/2	00	17	00
	114/1	00	41	99
	115/1	.00	19	86
	115/2	00	17	30
	115/3	00	05	42
	119/1	00	00	30
	119/2	00	27	99
	118	00	34	69
	120	00	06	67
	Major District Road-100 between Survey No. 120 and 121/1	00	04	92
	121/1	00	29	02
	121/2	00	17	71
	122	00	46	03
	123/2/1	00	11	73
	123/2/2	00	80	49 ·
	123/18/2/1	00	10	95
	123/1B/1	00	09	40
	124	00	44	98
	99B	00	32	63
	99A	00	06	14
	136	00	05	31
	137/1	00	09	98
	140	00	00	34
	138	00	15	72
*	139/1	00	07	62
	139/2	00	13	58
	143	00	20	89
	144/3	00	14	70
	144/1	00	15	40
	144/2	00	15	10
	144/4/1/2	00	20	06

1	2	3	4	5
3) Shirasgaon Kata (Contd)	Village Road-117 between Survey No.	00	04	00
	144/4/1/2 and 167	20	07	50
	167	00	37	59
	168	00	13	38
	169/1	00	12	11
	170/1A/1/1/1	00	12	41
	174/1	00	. 11	91
	175/3	00	12	87
	178/8	00	11	07
	178/7	00	11	07
	178/4	00	05	10
	178/5	00	05	40
	178/3	00	06	00
	178/2	00	05	79
	178/1	00	07	26
	178/6	00	09	01
	178/1A	00	03	60
	178/9 <i>[</i> 2	00	03	00
	180	00	12	51
	181	00	06	16
	182	00	11	95
	183	00	10	20
	186/A/3	00	20	50
	184	00	03	52
	185/1	00	09	30
	185/2/1	00	13	24
	185/2/2	00	28	70
	186/B/2	00	51	19
	187/1	00	13	85
	187/3	00	35	48
	Cart Track between Survey No. 187/3 and 544	00	10	32
	544	00	93	42
	543	00	23	72
	562	00	33	64
	563/1	00	16	36
	563/2	00	15	47
	563/3	00	05	74
	564	00	62	22
	Nala between Survey No. 564 and 565/2	00	04	22
	565/2	00	26	06
	565/2/1	00	04	20
4) Kolagaon Dolas	13	00	19	02
	12	00	40	29

-1	2	3	4	5
4) Kolagaon Dolas (Contd)	11	00	21	73
	10/D	00	30	80
	10/B	00	14	45
	10/C	00	11	39
	10/A	00	19	92
	9/2A	00	17	57
	9/1 ⁻	00	18	39
	8	00	01	70
	Road between Survey No. 9 and 157	00	21	63
	157/1A/1	00	35	93
	158	00	21	05
	Nala in Survey No. 158	00	03	93
	159/2	00	36	02
	159/1	00	30	91
	160/1B1	00	58	79
	Road in Survey No. 160/1B1	00	04	79
	161/B	00	16	11
	Nala in Survey No. 161/B	00	07	79
	161/A	00	32	14
	132/1/1	00	20	73
	132/1/2	00	00	14
	131/1A/1A	00	09	60
	131/1A/1B	00	08	66
	131/1A/1C	00	14	32
	Nala between Survey No. 131/1A/1C and 95/4	00	12	09
	95/4	00	09	73
	95/2	00	. 00	01
	101/1/1	00	80	24
	Drain in Survey No. 101/1/1	00	01	96
	Cart Track in Survey No. 101/1/1	00	01	35
	101/1/2	00	58	42
	102	00	16	99
	100	01	25	34
	109/1	00	03	11
	110	00	80	87
4.	111	00	10	66
	99	00	07	40
	112	01	31	27
5) Andhaigaon	336/2	00	43	17
-	336/1	00	46	56
	Road between Survey No. 336 and 338	00	05	17
	338	00	93	60
	342	00	37	51

 $f_{r}^{j_{1}\dots j_{k}}$

	5 01 11001. 3051 6, 5007/10/10/10/11/11,1727		Livuer	
1	2.	3	4	5
5) Andhalgaon (Contd)	325	00	00	85
	328	00	14	06
	327	00	18	98
	326/1	00	33	40
	325/2	00	88	86
	324	00	03	50
	Nala between Survey No. 325/2 and	00	11	23
	322 322	00	33	92
S) Kakadewadi	306	00	64	87
	307	00	23	39
	309	00	66	64
	310/2	00	11	69
	312	00	96	21
	315	00	09	21
	313	00	16	22
	314	00	00	83
	363	01	31	78
	Road at Village Boundary	00	07	83
	Cart Track between Survey No. 313 and 300/3	00	01	92
	300/3	00	21	16
	297	00	34	96
	296	00	21	68
	295	00	38	06
	294	00	13	00
	299	00	13	ÖÖ
	293/1	00	01	16
	Nala between Survey No. 293/2 and 361	00	04	83
•	361	OG	38	80
	364/1	00	49	63
	Road between Survey No. 364/1 and 364/2	00	02	21
- Wrightings and an area of the control of the cont	364/2		29	25
') Aalegaon Paga	Road at Village Boundary	00	04	44
	568/1A	00	55	82
	Cart Track at Village Boundary	00	02	50
) Nhavare	448/3	00	18	90
	448/1	00	42	82
	447	00	75	79
	Government Land between Survey No. 447 and 443	00	68	91
	443	00	40	27
	Cart Track between Survey No. 443 and 649	00	00	71
	649	00	69	17

1	2	3	4	5
8) Nhavare (Contd)	648	00	12	41
	647	00	17	20
	646	00	27	12
	645	00	03	14
	644	00	16	26
	643P	00	20	00
	Road between Survey No. 643P and 713	00	04	66
	713	00	00	58
	710	00	49	45
	709	00	60	13
	708	00	16	75
	Road between Survey No. 708 and 704	00	06	59
	Cart Track between Survey No. 708 and 704	00	03	88
	704	00	21	79
	70a	00	17	56
	706	00	19	66
	707	00	11	84
	699	00	00	80
	Cart Track between Survey No. 699 and 735 736	00	04	03 72
	737	00	08	
	755	00	76 28	52
	755 753/1	00	38	51
		01	14	88
	Nala between Survey No. 753 and 777 777	00 01	17 36	77 95
	Government Land between Survey	00	40	96
	No. 777 and 780 780/2	00	04	89
	780/3	00	35	01
	780/1	00	56	45
	794/1	00	11	54
	807	00	11	60
	Government Land between Survey No. 870 and Nala	01	07	74
	Nala near Survey No. 796	00	07	36
	795/1/3	00	04	80
	796/2	01	03	30
) Uralgaon	108	00	47	61
·,	93	00	95	09
	94/1A/1	00	02	31
	Cart Track between Survey No. 94 and 91	00	00	76
	91	00	10	64

THE GAZET	TE OF MODIA: JOET 2,2003/13/13/13/13/14			
1	2	3	4	5
9) Uralgaon (Contd)	62	00	12	48
	63	00	62	31
	64	00	75	09
	65	00	29	42
	66	00	50	11
	67	00	24	56
	68	00	20 .	23
	69	00	25	00
	Cart Track between Survey No. 69 and 45	00	01	35
	45	00	47	85
	Cart Track between Survey No. 45 and 43 43	00	03	01
		00	02	49
	Note between Survey No. 44 and 477	00	37	32
	Nala between Survey No. 44 and 177	00	25	37
	177	00	25	44
	178/1	00	17	57
	178/2	00	17	38
	Road in Survey No. 178	00	05	57
	179	01	66	41
	Road in Survey No. 179	00	06	26
	220	00	79	76
	210	01	12	01
	211	01	07	24
	237	00	12	03
	215	00	00	53
	216	00	77	58
	214	00	02	27
	Cart Track between Survey No. 214 and 366	00	00	59
	366	00	08	88
	367	01	02	76
	361	00	75 50	88
	360	00	53	93
	359	00	36	37
	Cart Track between Survey No. 359 and 464 464	00 00	01 04	57 74
	Nala in Survey No. 464	00	08	21
	465	00	09	15
	466	00	12	25
	467			
	467 468	00	07	47 54
	469 469	ω) 00	11	54 15
		00	13	15
	470	00	25	92

1	2	3.	4	5
9) Uralgaon (Contd)	471	00	05	18
	476	00	22	10
	114/1	00	14	95
	114/2	00	33	15
	113	00	12	84
	112	00	04	47
	, 111	00	01	74
	Cart Track between Survey No. 111 and 107	00	03	77
	107/1	00	14	28
10) Dahiwadi	Cart Track at Village Boundary	00	01	23
	305	00	28	99
	304	00	15	79
	Nala between Survey No. 304 and 306	00	05	71
,	306	00	63	66
	Cart Track in Survey No. 306	00	01	06
	Cart Track in Survey No. 315	00	01	31
	315	00	41	25
	316	00	41	11
	Nala between Survey No. 316 and 333	00	06	51
	333	00	18	36
	346	00	00	77
	345	00	01	69
	344	00	01	29
	343	00	03	35
	342	00	02	08
	341	00	03	29
	336	00	51	29
	337	00	00	91
	437	00	01	00
	Nala at Village Boundary	00	07	07
11) Parodi	40	00	21	95
	32	00	26	91
	37	00	19	81
	191	00	30	77
	29	00	34	76
	188 _.	00	28	71
	36	01	15	69
	35 .	00	47	62
	28	00	03	55
	26	00	01	51
	Nala in Survey No. 36	00	12	43
	189/1	00	14	41

1		3	4	5
11) Parodi (Contd)	189/2	00	30	13
	34	00	20	36
	Road in Survey No. 250	00	01	31
	190	00	30	31
·	Nala between Survey No. 250 and 192	00	05	21
	Road between Survey No. 188 and 43	00	04	17
	33	00	12	14
	192	00	6€	09
	31	00	21	05
	43	00	64	04
	250	00	91	02
	25	00	06	50
12) Shiv takrar Mahalungi	Nala at Village Boundary	00	29	27
	73	91	07	78
	72	00	00	21
	54	00	42	70
	53	00	21	80
	Road between Survey No. 53 and 47	00	04	83
	47	00	09	76
	46	00	34	62
	38/1	00	39	19
	Nala between Survey No. 46 and 42	00	10	18
	42	00	36	60
	45	00	13	48
	Cart Track at Village Boundary	00	00	80
13) Takli Bhima	797	00	98	72
	796	01	24	46
	792/4	00	22	07
	792/3	00	18	66
	789	00	11	47
(8)	791	00	04	29
	790	00	89	46
	Cont Track in Survey No. 790	00	01	58
	Cart Track in Survey No. 790	00	00	89
	Cart Track in Survey No. 790	00	01	20
	Government Land between Survey. No. 633 and 790	00	70	52
	633	00	41	34
	Nala in Survey No. 633	00	03	86
	632	00	24	41
	Road between Survey No. 632 and 621	00	04	35
	621	00	18	33

1	2	3	4	5
13) Takli Bhima (Contd)	620	00	07	31
	619	00	06	70
	618	00	07	46
	617	00	09	66
	616/2	00	10	18
	615	00	09	76
	614	00	09	92
	613	00	08	63
	579P	00	10	12
	601	00	07	11
	607	00	00	86
	604	00	06	55
	598	00	04	89
	597	00	02	75
	595	00	10	42
	588	00	02	69
	594	00	05	72
	589	00	15	65
	590	00	02	42
	591	00	03	33
	Cart Track between Survey No. 591 and 518/1	00	00	78
	518/1	00	20	00
	518/2	00	21	15
	517/1	00	20	05
	515/1	00	20	22
	514	00	33	34
	501	00	14	761
	500	00	18	#8
	493/2	00	12 🦠	15
	492	00	05	01
	491	00	16	90
	469	00	16	07
	467	00	17	47
	466	00	10	09
	465	00	10	43
	464	00	17	95
	463/1	00	06	75
	463/2	00	06	73
	448/2A	00	16	90
	448/2B	00	15	11
	448/1	00	50	06
	447	00	02	75
	446	00	04	16

1	2	3	4	5
13) Takli Bhima (Contd)	445	00	06	71
·	416P	00	23	00
	415/1	00	18	24
	415/2	60	19	43
	396/2B	00	15	73
	396/2A	00	10	27
	396/1	00	12	89
	393	00	42	91
	Canal in Survey No. 393	00	06	69
	392	rg	37	46
	391		38	91
	390		25	37
	Nala in Survey No. 390	546.4	06	02
	389	20	15	26
	388	00	15	58
(4) Kasari	596/1	00	33	22
T, Taban	595/1	00	97	03
	607	00	21	77
	606	00	16	04
	605	00	04	78
	604	00	51	71
	Canal in Survey No. 604	00	01	32
	627	00	18	92
	634	00	16	26
	633	00	09	63
	644	00	01	01
	635	00	22	30
	636	00	04	55
	Cart Track in Survey No. 636	00	01	51
	641	00	01	99
	642	00	06	66
	643	Car.	08	80
	686	** (* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	08	59
	684		33	
	687	00 00	08	42 62
	688			
	689	00	03	09
		00	03	17
	685 691	00	23	94
		00	11	84
	692	00	01	00
	683	00	10	82
	1080	00	09	94
	1081	00	07	97
	1082	00	20	93

1	2	3	4	5
14) Kasari (Contd)	1084	00	07	27
	1085	00	09	90
	1102	00	08	34
	Nala between Survey No. 1102 and 1133	00	09	79
	1133	00	41	46
	1134	00	08	09
	1135	00	08	79
	1136	00	11	01
	1137/1	00	34	71
·	1138/1	00	10	02
	1138/2	00	07	14
,	1139	00	14	77
	1140/1	00	09	43
	1140/2	00	32	86
	Canal between Survey No. 1140 and 1142	00	02	09
	1142	00	09	96
	1143	00	08	. 01
	1144	00	14	09
	1145	00	17	21
	1146	00	17	84
	1197	00	16	42
	1199	00	16	67
	1200/2	00	28	93
	Other District Road-67 between Survey No. 1200 and 1248	00	05	80
	1248	00	07	36
	1266	00	22	10
	1267	00	23	50
	1268	00	21	00
	1269	00	19	00
	1270	00	24	50
	1271	00	26	10
	1272	00	18	40
15) Talegaon Dhamdhere	423	00	05	94
	435	00	04	53
	437	00	03	61
	438	00	03	06
	440	00	03	48
	443	00	06	14
	444	00	00	97
	445/1	00	03	22
	446	00	04	01
	449	00	03	00

1	2	3	4	5
15) Talegaon Dhamdhere (Contd)	452	00	02	90
	454	00	01	09
	455	00	01	52
	456	00	03	25
	457	00	01	13
	458	00	01	47
	461	00	07	45
	459	00	02	84
	460	00	01	24
	463	00	05	27
	472	00	03	78
	475	00	02	58
	477	00	02	67
·	476	00	02	70
	479	00	03	07
	483	00	04	31
	484	00	16	50
	Road at Village Boundary	00	02	80
	Government Land between Road and Survey No. 1804	00	62	34
	1804	01	21	39
	Canal in Survey No. 1804	00	05	23
	1802	00	08	63
	Road in Survey No. 1802	00	08	11
	Nala in Survey No. 1802	00	11	66
	1186	00	15	88
	1184	00	08	00
	1183	60	15	55
	Road between Survey No. 1183 and 329	00	04	77
	329 330	00	03	96 24
T.	331	00	01	81
	334	00 00	04 01	16
	335	00	01	43 17
	336	00	02	28
	337	00	10	33
	338	00	03	95
	339	00	02	99
	342	00	03	26
	343	00	01	98
	344	00	01	38
	345/1	00	02	57
•	346	00	01	82
	347	00	07	56

1	2	3	4	5
15) Talegaon Dhamdhere (Contd)	348	00	03	05
	353	00	02	61
	358	00	00	68
	359	00	00	85
	360	00	00	91
	361	. 00	00	50
	352	00	00	72
	363	00	00	73
	364	00	01	18
	Canal behite জিল্পছ No. 364 and 365	00	10	19
	36 5	00	00	29
	385	00	02	13
	386	00	04	10
	390	00	01	51
	391	00	01	78
	392	00	01	42
	395	.00	02	14
	399	00	01	67
	398	00	05	95
	Government Land between Survey No. 398 and 417	00	07	90
•	417	00	05	56
	418	00	05	09
	421	00	09	39
	422	00	05	09
16) Shikrapur	1258	00	30	14
	1259	00	35	16
	Cart Track between Survey No. 1259 and 1260	00	07	03
	1260	00	27	21
	1261	00	25	46
	1190/1	00	33	60
	1190/2	00	23	01
	1190/3	00	36	84
	1190/4	00	05	84
	1190/5	00	23	34
	River between Survey No. 1190 and 1751	00	20	16
	1751	00	46	75
	1274/1	00	51	28
	1273	00	06	69
	1283	00	02	19
	1309	00	11	75
	1308	00	09	23

1	2	3	4	5
16) Shikrapur (Contd)	1306	00	18	70
	1784	00	10	54
	1809	00	02	61
	1810	00	03	- 66
	1811	00	01	31
	1812	00	00	78
	Nala between Survey No. 1812 and 1303	00	13	52
	1303	00	15	53
	1304	00	65	48
	1367	00	29	71
	1366	00	11	51
	1365	00	10	39
	1374	00	10	21
	1376	00	11	48
	1377	00	38	49
	1380/1/1	00	22	16
	1381	00	16	24
	1845	00	06	49
	1384/1	00	00	53
	Nala between Survey No. 1384 and 1361	00	10	33
	1361	00	01	38
	State Highway-55 between Survey No. 1361 and 1572	00	14	23
	1572	00	19	73
	1574/A	00	00	55
	1573	00	16	14
	1584	00	23	40
	1585	00	11	24
	1586	00	10	92
	1588	00	11	92
	1591	00	11	56
•	1593	00	20	89
	1592	00	01	24
	1596	00	14	33
	1595	00	04	29
	1597/3	00	20	56
	1597/2	00	19	80
	State Highway-55 between Survey No. 1597 and 1599	00	07	32
	1599/1	00	47	78
	1599/3	00	62	26
	Cart Track between Survey No. 1599 and 1902	00	08	36
	1902/1	00	38	61

1	2	3	4	5
16) Shikrapur (Contd)	1902/2	00	26	67
	1902/4	00	19	26
	Nala between Survey No. 1902 and 2130	00	10	42
	2130	01	25	16
	Nala between Survey No. 2130 and 1650	00	03	10
	1650	00	07	71
	1651	00	09	33
	1652	00	11	76
	1455	00	. 26	49
	Government Land between Survey, No. 1455 and 1658	00	38	25
	Government Land between Survey. No. 1455 and 1661	00	11	62
	1658	00	02	63
	1661	00	07	00
	1662	00	17	75
	1663	00	09	63
	1666	00	13	39
	1667	00	35	96
	1668	00	35	40
	1669	00	09	66
	1670/1	00	24	68
	1671/2	00	25	80
	1671/3	00	24	43
	1672	00	26	65
	1673	00	06	64
	1674	00	16	67
	1677	00	48	03
	1678	00	21	80
	1679	00	19	96
	1680	00	28	12
	1681/1	00	12	07
	1284	00	07	48
	1285/1	00	11	55
	1742	00	12	45
	1293	00	17	51
	1294 ·	00	15	03
	State Highway-55 between Survey No. 1294 and 1313	00	09	08
	1313/1A	00	02	19
	1312	00	05	78
	1311	00	06	65
	1310	00	03	39

1		3	1 4	5 1
	3			
17) Jategaon Khurd	22/1	00	22	77
	22/2	00	06	21
	25	00 00	13 03	01 12
	26	00	22	59
	27	00	03	49
	28 29	00	05	21
	30	00	05	21
	32/3	00	33	42
	32/1	00	02	85
	32/2	99	03	31
	Road between Survey No. 32/2 and	00	04	55
	34	CO	•	33
	34	90	05	11
	35	00	05	84
	36	00	06	16
	37	00	06	49
	39	00	05	52
	41	00	10	82
	42	00	05	67
	44	00	15	66
	45	00	01	75
	46	00	01	14
	47	00	01	00
	48	00	G2	35
	47P	00	07	75
	49/1	00	07	64
	50	00	05	07
	Cart Track between Survey No. 50	00	04	68
	and 51 51	00	06	16
	52	00	05	20
	53	00	04	36
	54	00	02	87
	55	00	0.5 	60
	3.4	00	03	07
	57/2	00	03	40
	57/3	00	03	41
	58	00	11	27
	60/1	00	08	26
	60/3	00	04	98
·	63	00	08	31
	66	00	14	02
	68/2	00	02	72
	68/1	00	02	89

1	2	3	4	5
17) Jategaon Khurd (Contd)	69	00	06	58
	70	00	06	11
	83	00	07	81
	84	00	13	04
	86	00	15	05
	92/1	00	15	76
	92/4/1	00	28	88
	93/2	00	03	87
	93/1	00	03	87
	94	00	05	18
	95	00	04	24
	96	00	02	61
	102	00	05	38
	107	00	07	22
	110/1A	00	10	72
	110/2A	00	11	(55)
	110/1B	00	00	Sico
	110/2B	00	02	* 02
	110/4	00	07	09
	110/3	00	04	78
18) Karandi	693	01	25	50
	Drain in Survey. No. 693	00	03	50
	692	00	97	74
	694	00	80	84
	Road between Survey No. 694 and 695	00	02	19
	695	00	04	18
	Canal between Survey No. 695 and 691	00	06	24
	691	00	55	97
	690	00	23	24
	689	00	05	00
	685	00	37	77
	684	00	01	00
	776	00	28	84
	Cart Track in Survey No. 776	00	00	80
	775	00	20	19
	Village Road 53 between Survey No. 775 and 773	00	12	85
	773	00	61	21
	Canal in Survey No. 73	00	05	31
	Cart Track in Survey No. 73	00	02	29
	772	00	73	04
	770	00	36	25
	767	00	75	57

1	2	3	1 4	5
18) Karandi (Contd)	765/1/2	00	04	34
, o, raidia (oona)	765/1/1A	00	26	71
	766	00	15	79
	909	00	15	66
	910	00	12	94
	911	00	29	77
	912	00	19	48
	Nala at Village Boundary	00	01	21
19) Pimpale Jagtap	915/1	00	37	62
,	Nala between Survey No. 915/1 and Nalla	00	04	59
	Nala in Survey No. 915/1	00	05	26
	902	00	11	35
	901	00	03	11
	900	00	03	26
	899	00	02	98
	898	00	03	24
	897/1	00	14	66
	897/2	00	31	54
	State Highway-55 between Survey No. 897/2 and 884	00	03	47
	884	01	76	94
•	885	00	56	55
	890/1	00	19	84
	Canal between Survey No. 890/1 and 890/2	00	05	66
	890/2	00	05	64
	882	00	79	32
	881	00	19	29
20) Mainur di	Road at Village Boundary	00	05	61
20) Wajewadi	414	00	48	52
	Nala in Survey No. 418P	00	12	43
	418P	00	29	39
	Nala between Survey No. 418P and 415 415	00 00	04 39	17
	416	00	39 70	27 18
	421	00	22	59
	411	00		
	422		26 28	00 25
	Canal between Survey No. 411 and	00 00	38	25 00
	403 403/1	00	08 24	84
	390	ሰባ	07	on on
	399 400	00 00	07 00	90 40

1	2	3	4	5
20) Wajewadi (Contd)	397	00	06	33
•	396	00	10	09
·	393	00	10	15
	389	00	04	13
	388	00	01	53
	387	00	01	51
	386	00	01	58
	385	CO	05	49
	384	00	02	70
	383	00	03	05
	381	00	02	53
	380	00	03	92
	377	00	02	58
	376	00	04	28
	375	00	04	31
	374	00	03	06
	373	00	02	68
	372	00	08	76
	369	00	14	57
	368	00	04	53
	364	00	08	96
	363	00	01	00
	361	00	12	47
	357	00	12	33
	358	-00	13	27
	Cart Track between Survey No. 358 and 353	00	01	82
	353	00	04	50

[F. No. L-14014/48/2004-G.P.] SWAMI SINGH, DIRECTOR

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2323. केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेद्रोलियम और खांनेज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेद्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 478 तारीख 07 फरवरी, 2005, जामनगर - भोपाल और काकीनाडा - हैदराबाद - गोवा पाइपलाइन को आपस में जोड़ने के लिए गैस द्रान्सपोर्टेशन एंड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमीटेड ट्टारा एक पाइपलाइन विछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उक्त अधिसूचना के संलग्न अनुसूची में िरिविष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आश्रय की घोषणा की थी;

और, उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 30 भार्च , 2005 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी;

और जिन्हन विछाने के संबंध में जनता की ओर से प्राप्त आक्षेपों पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा विचार कर लिया गया है और अननुज्ञात कर दिया गया:

और, सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट **पर** किया करते के पश्चात् और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है; अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिकाम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोपणा करती है कि इस के संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिप्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन विछाने के लिए उपनोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है:

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन विछाने के संबंध में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोपणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख़ से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, गैस दान्सपोर्टेशन एंड इन्फ्रास्दक्वर कंपनी लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसुची

हसील ३ चोयॉसी	जिल्लाः सुरत		ाज्य ६ गुजरात	ţ
गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं	आर ओ यु र	प्रिंतित करने व	हे सिथे क्षेत्रफल
તાલ જા નાન	the fact / scheet	डेकटर	आरे	देकम
1	2	3	4	
इंडोली	84	00	01	23
	85	00	21	14
	86	00 00	02 22	38 72
	81	50	10	64
	111 78	00	06	45
	124	00	07	27
	112	00	24	20
	123	00	32	38
	141	00	21	72
	148	00	15	67
	140	00	05	02
	149	00	08	77
	150	00	01	21
	152	. 00	04	34
	151	00	49	61
	185	00	19	51
	168	00	00	02
	166	00	17	05
	167	00	12	63
	163	00	64	04
		00	10	44
	182		17	83
	184	00		43
	183	00	04	77
	245	00	21	71
	244	00	17	64
	270	00	31	
	418	00	11	72
	417	00	02	88
	416	00	22	81
	414	00	24	28
	413	00	29	25
	423	00	11	38
	486	QC	18	35
	490	. 00	32	49
	489	00	00	02
	491	00	10	43
•	495	00	09	68
	494	00	24	57
•	496	00	13	43
	502	00	22	88
	501	00	02	38
	503	00	1.7	97
	504	00	93	36
	562	00	17	48
	561	00	16	79
	560	. 00	18	07
	559	00	20	59
	658	00	19	86
	557	00	19	24
	556	00	19	13
	-554	00	05	63
•	553	00	38	19
	552	00	19	60

3 कहराना	382 364 353 365 366 380 379 384 35 36 37 32 44 46 346 349 390 39 39 30 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	4 18 12 89 36 23 25 36 33 42 30 14 10 18 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 39 26 37 14 30 15 16 17 18 29 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	5 32 00 65 12 52 36 99 63 58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 88 88 88 52 26 63
3 कहतान	382 364 353 366 380 379 384 35 37 32 44 46 348 349 39 39 30 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	16 12 69 36 23 25 38 33 42 30 14 10 18 26 20 7 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	32 00 65 18 52 36 99 53 58 09 08 10 91 48 50 84 -47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 99 78 88 99 78 88 99 78 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88
3 क्वतान	364 353 365 366 380 379 384 35 36 37 38 36 34 44 46 346 349 36 37 38 37 38 36 57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	12 89 36 23 25 36 33 42 30 14 10 16 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 39 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 16 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	00 85 12 52 36 99 63 58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 76 88 99 76 88 99 76 88 99 76 88 99 76 88 99 76 88 99 76 88 99 90 88 90 90 88 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90
	355 366 380 379 384 35 36 383 37 32 44 46 348 349 35 36 37 38 38 37 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 39 38 38 39 38 38 39 38 38 38 39 38 38 38 39 38 38 38 39 38 38 38 39 38 38 38 38 39 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	36 23 25 36 33 42 30 14 10 16 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	19 52 36 99 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	365 366 380 379 384 23 344 46 345 345 345 356 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 38 38 37 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 25 36 33 42 30 14 10 18 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 39 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 9 9 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	52 36 99 53 58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	380 379 384 35 32 44 46 345 345 345 35 36 37 38 37 38 37 38 30 57 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	25 36 33 42 30 14 10 15 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 18 21 18 21 18 21 18 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	36 99 53 58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	380 379 384 35 32 44 46 345 345 345 35 36 37 38 37 38 37 38 30 57 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	25 36 33 42 30 14 10 15 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 18 21 18 21 18 21 18 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	99 53 58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 - 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	379 384 35 37 32 44 46 348 349 396 39 38 37 38 37 38 35 67 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	33 42 30 14 10 15 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18	53 58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 76 88 88 88 98 52 26
	384 25 32 44 46 348 349 350 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 39 23 23 23 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 26 28 20 21 25 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 24 24 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	42 30 14 10 18 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18	58 09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	35 32 44 46 348 349 350 36 37 38 37 38 37 38 30 57 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 267 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	30 14 10 16 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 39 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	09 08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 88 98 52 26
	32 44 46 348 349 356 38 37 38 37 38 35 57 68 80 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	14 10 18 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 39 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	08 10 91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	44 46 348 349 350 38 37 38 35 57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	10 18 26 20 37 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 09 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	10 91 46 50 84 - 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 98 52 26
	46 346 349 349 356 36 38 37 38 37 38 36 67 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	16 26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18	91 46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52 26
	348 343 343 346 345 347 348 349 349 340 348 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 349	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	26 20 27 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18 06	46 50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
	349 350 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 37 38 30 57 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 281 257	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	20 14 00 29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18 06 33	50 84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 बोगर	256 26 38 37 36 35 57 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	14 .00 29 21 18 .21 .09 .26 .04 .20 .23 .14 .30 .15 .19 .09 .18 .09 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00	84 47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 बोगर	38 38 37 38 35 67 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	14 .00 .29 .21 .18 .21 .09 .26 .04 .20 .23 .14 .30 .15 .19 .09 .18 .09 .09 .09 .09 .09 .09 .09 .09	47 15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 बोगर	\$2 38 37 38 37 38 35 57 68 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18	15 21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 ग्रोणन्द	38 37 38 35 57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	29 21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18	21 20 86 33 49 15 62 23 85 99 76 88 98 52 26
4 बोणन्द	37 38 35 57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	21 18 21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18 06	20 86 33 49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 बोणन्द	38 36 57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	18 21 39 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	86 33 49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 ৰা ण-হ	35 57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	21 09 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	33 49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 থা ণক	57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	29 26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18 06	49 15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52
å बोणन्द	57 58 80 59 230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	26 04 20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	15 62 23 86 99 78 88 98 52
4 बोणर	80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18 06	62 23 85 99 76 88 98 52
4 बोणद ं	80 59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	04 20 23 14 30 15 19 08 18 06	62 23 85 99 76 88 98 52
4 बोण र	59 230 231 245 244 246 260 261 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	20 23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	23 85 99 76 88 98 52 26
4 ৰা তহ	230 231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 14 30 15 19 09 18 06	85 99 78 88 98 52 26
4 बोणद	231 245 244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	14 30 15 19 09 18 06	99 78 88 98 52 26
4 बोगर	245 244 246 280 281 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00 00	30 15 19 08 18 06	78 88 98 52 26
4 बोणर	244 246 280 261 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00	15 19 09 18 06	88 98 52 26
4 बोणन्द	246 280 281 257 185 188 189	00 00 00 00 00	19 08 18 06	98 52 26
4 बोणर	280 281 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00 00	08 18 06	52 26
4 बोणन्द	2 61 257 185 188 189 190	00 00 00	18 06 33	26
4 बोणन्द	257 185 188 189 190	00	33	
ś ৰাজহ	185 188 189 190	00	33	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 	188 189 190	00		22
	189 190		12	03
	190	00	30	10
		00	47	94
	191	00	28	22
	178	00	44	53
	177	00	07	85
	132	00	25	36
	133	90	14	19
	113	00	19	17
	119	00	39	74
	94			
		00	19	67 05
	95	00	29	
	97	00	57	33
5 गोजा	. 38	00	21	80
	40	00	59	69
	46	00	29	50
	48	00	22	76
	49	0.0	58	33
	50	00	24	79
	104	. 00	26	08
	105	0.0	00	15
	111	00	38	18
\$	116	00	41	42
	113	00	23	02
	115	00	09	12
	114	00	22	59
ह महोनी -	53	00	04 32	44
	54			10
	55	00	35	76
	78	00	00	3 8
	77	00	39	64
	64	00	27	92
	238	00	55	61
	182	00	30	18
	181	00	22	12
	183	00	03	43
	184	00	82	52
	189	00	10	38
		00	28	54
	190	VV		09
	190 191	00	62	
			62 33	27

ाहसील १ पलसाना	िल्ला ३ तुरत		ाज्य ៖ गुजरात	
गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं	आ र आने यु	अजित करने वे	लिये मेत्रफल
		हेकटर	अपूरे	चे।-मी
1	2	3	4	5
नीयोल	247	02	07	60
	246 232	60 90	0,8 16	01 91
	232 230 4	e 0	16	63
	230	64	36	84
	221	00	-86	68
	220	00	02	05
	211	00	37	27
	176 1789;	00 00	06 12	89 00
	210	. 00	04	52
	179	00	17	39
	180	00	23	23
	188	00	49	55
	192	00	44	14
	191	00	30	16
	134 127	00 00	39 31	15 57
	127	00	14	73
	106	00	01	00
	69	00	19	87
	64	00	00	01
	68/1	00	41	70
	803	00	37	81
	94/ 0 1 93/1	00 00	32 81	48 87
	284	00	36	35
- GIZIRII	286	00	12	97
	287	00	54	11
	289	00	00	01
	290	00	39	49
	299	00	26	23
तहसील ३ कामरेज	िल्ला ३ युरत		राज्य ६ गुजरा अस्तित करने :	
गांव का नाम	हिलला ह सुरत सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं	आर ओ यु	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर
				हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेा•मी 6
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लेस्क नं	आर ओ यु हेकटर	अजित करने व आरे	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेर-मी
गांव का नवम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3	अजित करने व आरे 4	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेा-मी 5
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00	अजित करने । आरे 4 22 13 30	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेा•मी 5 12 73 20
गांव का नाम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेर-मी 6 12 73 20 34
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेंा-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03	हो लिये क्षेत्रफर चेर-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03 28	हो। भी 6 12 73 20 34 34 70 68
गांव का नवम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03	हो लिये क्षेत्रफर चेर-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70
गांव का नवम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व अगरे 4 2 2 13 30 12 23 03 28 25	देश-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03 28 25 31 03 31	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चीर-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 9!
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽस 55	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर होत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64
गांव का नतम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लेग्ड नं 2 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 85 63 57/अ 55 51	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेंग-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70
गांव का नवम 1	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं 2 78 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽ 55 51 52/प 38	आर ओ यु हेक्टरर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49	हो लिये सेत्रफर होत-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50
गांव का नाम 1 केड्छ	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लेग्ड नं 2 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 85 63 57/अ 55 51	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेंग-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70
गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ऐ 38	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	अजित करने व	के लिये क्षेत्रफ का भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 82
गांव का नाम 1 केडल	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽ। 55 51 52/रि 38 37 234 228	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हो लिये क्षेत्रफर होत-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 82 01
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽ॥ 55 51 52/रि 38 37 234 228 226	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हो लिये क्षेत्रफर हो - भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ए 38 37 234- 228 228 226 225	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व आरे 4 22 13 30 12 23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10	हो लिये क्षेत्रफर होत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/व 38 37 234 228 226 225 224	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 82 01 91 41 91 91
गांव का नाम 1 केडल	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ऐ 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 281	आर ओ यु	अजित करने व	है लिये क्षेत्रफर ची-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 34 30 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 91 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 97 98 99 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 78 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/x 55 51 52/दि 38 37 234- 228 226 225 224 260 281 263	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	है लिये क्षेत्रफ ची-भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 13 92 01 91 91 91 92 93 94 95 97 97 97 98 99 90 90 91 91 91 91 91 92 93 94 95 96 96 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97
गांव का नाम 1 केडल	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ए 38 37 234 228 228 225 224 260 261 263 265	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हो लिये क्षेत्रफ हो भी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 91 92 93 93 93 94 95 97 97 97 98 99 90 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91
गांव का नाम 1 केडल	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽ 55 51 52/ऐ 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 263 265	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	होत्ये क्षेत्रफर होत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 9
गांव का नाम 1 केडल	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ए 38 37 234 228 228 225 224 260 261 263 265	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर चेत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 30 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 41 91 91 41 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 9
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 78 78 78 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽ 55 51 52/ऐ 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 281 263 265 271 370 343	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	होत्ये क्षेत्रफर होत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 82 01 91 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 82 01 91 91 91 91 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ए 38 37 234 228 228 226 226 226 226 226 22	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर होत-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 91 97 24 64 70 50 38 97 29 39 40 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/ऽ 55 51 52/९ 38 37 234 228 226 226 226 226 226 226 22	आर ओ यु हेकटर 3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफल चीर-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 34 30 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 97 97 97 98 99 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90
गांव का नाम 1 केंद्रक	सर्वे नंबर / क्लोक नं 2 78 76 75 72 74 73 71 64 65 63 57/अ 55 51 52/ए 38 37 234 228 228 226 226 226 226 226 22	आर ओ यु	अजित करने व	हे लिये क्षेत्रफर ची-मी 5 12 73 20 34 34 34 30 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 97 98 99 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90

	2	3	4 5
2. कोसनाठा (निरंतर)	356	00	14 20
	357	00	32 67
	345/₩	00	63 73
-	341	00	00 51
	341 4	00	34 85
	342	00	00 19
3 सहसद	88	00	69 48
- 			
	87	00	16 91
	110	00	36 20
	85	. 00	04 96
	86	00	60 95
	44	00	38 75
•	45	00	55 56
	46	00	35 20
	47	00	30 25
4 पसोदरा	86	00	30 50
	89	00	24 47
	90	00	40 14
	81	00	05 02
	92	00	17 44
	93	00	20 68
	96	00	26 68
	102	00	49 45
	106	00	12 73
	104	00	51 24
	105	00	05 41
5 लशकाना	. 10	00	02 51
	5	00	19 70
	5/9	02	22 77
			12 23
	12	00	
	166	00	08 62
6 वालक	59	00	39 87
	58	JO	32 85
	57	00	36 78
	54		
		00	37 60
	53	00	05 53
	52	00	52 46
	50	00	14 56
	49	00	25 81
	48	00	21 88
	47	00	18 46
7 भाषा	137	00	10 55
	138	00	23 27
	139	00	31 03
·	140	00	24 85
	145	00	28 80
	146		
		00	33 98
	108	00	01 20
	107	00	33 30
	106	00	18 74
	104	00	15 55
	105		14 98
		00	
	. 103	00	00 40
	100	00	20 16
	102	00	34 25
	80	00	24 20
	79	00	23 77
	77	00	00 79
	78	00	04 47
	52	00	36 45
	41	00	01 20
	42	00	29 93
	43	00	51 09
	44	00	33 50
= +	45	00	48 21
	14	00	41 11
	9	00	15 58
8 करोर	36	00	39 31
	. 37	00	04 65
	38	00	36 29
	41	00	54 69
	42	00	50 72
	43	00	
	44	00	25 09
	45	00	2300

1	2	2	,	1 4 1	5
क्ठोर (निरंतर)	46	""	99	30	05
	47		00	12	00
	50		00	28	53
	51		00	01	32
	53		00	23	84
	69	•	00	37	14
	68		00	26	25
उल्लाम	557/9		CO	24	24
0 वेलंगा	305		00	08	81
44/41	304		06		40
	303			05	
			00	59	38
	300		00	30	01
	294		00	05	14
	298		00	36	14
	297		00	30	24
	278		00	30	44
	285		00	30	38
	281		00	20	89
	280		00	23	90
	279		20	25	59
	259				
			50	37	44
	260		90	32	28
	264		90	14	37
	263		50	76	48
	242		J.O	52	29
	243		00	24	24
	2 0 5/3r		00	98	81
	297		00	01	54
	208/3		00	9.0	13
	211		00	53	78
	212		00	10	71
	215		90	48	71
	214				
			00	05	66
	†8 9		00	33	81
	359		00	21	12
	198 ሻ		00	02	20
	19€ च		00	35	2 '
	197		00	00	40
	171		00	00	40
	190		00	24	80
	189		00	31	68
	188		00	42	38
	183				
	182		00	41	48
			00	34	31
specific.	11		00	84	52
	13		00	32	35
	19		00	30	76
	20/s		00	43	03
	27		00	- 28	87
	26		00	35	37
	38		00	31	87
	39		00	12	59
	45				
			00	11	56
	46		00	40	56
	47		00	00	40
	53		00	23	39
	54		00	01	64
	58		00	37	64
	55		00	00	42
	86		00	00	46
	72		00	07	29
	67		00	05	65
	71		00	02	05
	70				
			00	07	86
	80		00	15	28
	85		00	12	64
	84		00	02	94
	82		00	07	96
	95		00	00	95
	119		00	85	50
	118				40
•	116 t		00 00	00 62	40

Bergy (Primer) 110	1 1	. 2	3	4	5
106 00	शेखपुर (निरंतर)	110			
Tries Trie					
प्रशं का क्या प्रशं केंद्र केंद्र के					
1 2 3 4 6 1 2 3 4 6 102 0 61 41 103 0 0 43 64 106 0 0 21 62 112 0 0 88 22 112 0 0 88 22 113 0 0 37 28 114 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 131 0 0 88 64 132 0 0 17 138 0 0 17 148 0 0 30 76 148 0 0 30 76 148 0 0 30 76 148 0 0 30 30 144 0 0 0 0 145 0 0 12 146 0 0 12 147 0 0 0 188 0 0 12 188 0 0 12 189 0 0 12 189 0 0 12 189 0 0 12 189 0 0 0 189 0 0 0 189 0 0 189 0 0 189 0 0 189 0 0 189 0 0 189 0 0 189 0 189 0 0 189 0 189 0 0 189 0 189 0 0 189 0 0 199 0	तहसास ३ ज्यासपाड	ामस्याः दुरव			
1 2 3 4 4 6	गांव का मान	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लेस्क नं	आर ओ बु	अभित करने व	हे सिये केंत्रफल
1 2 3 4 8 102			हेक्टर	आरे	चे।-गी
103		2			6
106	1 करेती		00	51	41
1112 00 88 22 113 00 37 29 1129 00 35 94 130 00 37 29 131 00 35 94 131 00 48 43 82 01 06 67 138 00 17 14 139 00 27 23 141 00 48 18 141 00 48 18 146 00 39 16 147 00 00 69 148 00 52 50 186 00 03 05 186 00 03 05 186 00 03 05 186 00 03 05 186 00 03 05 187 00 00 12 39 187 00 00 12 39 187 00 00 12 39 188 00 00 12 39 189 00 110 12 39 178 00 15 14 178 00 15 14 178 00 16 17 14 178 00 172 34 181 178 00 18 72 178 00 10 23 34 181 178 00 11 23 182 00 00 40 88 181 183 00 12 39 188 00 00 40 88 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 11 02 34 189 00 10 39 95 189 00 10 39 95 189 00 10 39 95 189 00 10 31 189 00 10 31 189 00 10 31 189 00 10 31 189 00 10 31 189 00 10 31 189 00 10 32 74 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199					
113					
129					
131					
82					
138	*				
139					
144					
145 00 30 76 146 00 30 16 147 00 00 68 148 00 52 50 185 00 00 30 05 184 00 40 86 181 00 12 34 183 00 12 39 176 00 68 72 177 00 48 72 176 00 00 50 288 00 00 40 84 281 00 10 22 34 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 40 84 281 00 11 02 34 281 00 40 84 281 00 13 32 280 00 11 02 34 280 00 11 32 280 00 10 30 85 277 00 44 38 277 00 46 87 278 00 39 95 277 00 64 87 278 00 39 85 288 00 05 85 288 00 05 85 288 00 05 85 288 00 12 53 289 00 38 39 290 00 98 33 2 vown1 130 00 89 22 114 00 00 14 29 116 100 00 14 29 116 100 00 14 29 117 100 00 14 29 118 100 00 00 40 119 100 00 14 29 1101 00 05 15 157 00 24 42 104 00 08 02 3 frams 180 00 02 40 101 00 00 41 20 101 101 00 25 74 110 00 02 45 42 1101 00 05 15 157 00 16 00 3 12 11 157 00 17 20 3 frams 180 00 42 30 157 00 64 35 158 00 08 27 128 00 08 29 44 45 4 45400 00 38 00 133 00 19 98					
146					
147					
185		147	00	00	
184					_
181 00 12 34 183 00 12 39 176 00 15 14 176 00 48 72 176 00 00 50 176 00 00 50 176 00 00 00 177 00 01 178 00 00 00 178 00 00 00 181 00 01 182 00 01 183 00 00 194 00 00 195 00 00 196 00 00 197 00 00 197 00 00 197 00 00 197 00 00 197 00 00 197 00 00 198 00 199 0					
183	•				
178					
176					
175					
286					
281 00 40 84 281 00 11 92 280/w 00 00 41 38 276 00 39 95 277 00 84 87 276 00 10 31 286 00 05 65 286 00 05 65 288 00 12 53 289 00 38 39 290 00 09 63 277 100 89 29 127 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 110 00 55 15 106 00 00 55 15 106 00 00 65 15 106 00 00 65 15 106 00 00 65 15 106 00 00 65 15 107 00 18 12 172 00 31 21 174 00 32 74 184 190 39 42 197/s 00 39 42 197/s 00 39 42 197/s 00 39 42 101 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 25 74 110 00 31 21 172 00 91 59 173 00 01 20 3 Reneg 163 00 28 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 156 00 08 27 157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 157 128 00 08 27 158 00 08 27 159 00 54 67 128 00 00 55 130 129/q 00 36 00 131 21 128 00 00 80 129/q 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08	•	258	00	00	40
281 00 11 92 280/w 00 00 40 270 00 41 38 278 00 39 95 277 00 64 87 276 00 10 31 286 00 05 65 288 00 12 53 289 00 38 39 290 00 09 63 277 00 89 29 1277 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 00 55 15 108 00 05 65 15 108 00 05 65 15 109 00 00 40 105 00 12 297 00 32 74 110 00 14 29 108 00 55 15 109 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/ss 00 31 21 107 2 00 31 21 72 00 31 21 107 2 00 31 21 108 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 25 74 1100 00 51 59 73 00 01 20 3 Newley 1 59 73 00 01 20 3 Newley 1 59 157 00 51 30 158 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 129/w 00 36 00 129/w 00 36 00 129/w 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 129/w 00 36 00 133 00 19 95		260	01	02	34
280/ब 00 00 40 40 270 00 41 38 39 95 277 00 64 87 277 00 64 87 276 00 10 31 286 00 05 65 288 00 12 53 289 00 38 39 290 00 99 63 290 00 99 63 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29					
270 00 41 38 278 00 39 95 277 00 64 87 277 00 64 87 276 00 10 31 286 00 05 65 288 00 12 53 289 00 36 39 29 290 00 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 108 109 27 3 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 39 42 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 39 42 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 39 42 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 39 42 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 39 42 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 39 42 101 101 00 25 74 1100 00 31 21 72 100 31 21 101 101 100 25 74 1100 00 31 21 101 101 100 25 74 1100 00 31 21 101 100 00 31 21 101 100 00 31 21 101 100 00 31 21 101 100 00 31 21 100 100 100 31 21 100 100 100 31 21 100 100 100 31 21 100 100 100 31 21 100 100 100 31 21 100 100 100 31 30 00 11 20 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1					
278 00 39 95 277 00 64 87 7276 00 64 87 276 00 10 31 286 00 05 65 65 288 00 12 53 289 00 38 39 29 00 38 39 29 127 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109					
277 00 64 87 276 00 10 31 286 00 05 55 288 00 012 53 289 00 38 39 290 00 09 63 2 प्याप्ता 130 00 89 29 127 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 00 65 15 106 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/31 00 39 42 101 00 03 42 101 00 03 31 21 72 00 97 59 73 00 01 20 3 किम्मनु 163 00 29 44 160 00 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 68 27 167 00 68 27 167 00 68 27 167 00 68 27 168 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 167 00 68 27 168 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 169 00 68 27 128 00 08 27 128 00 08 27 128 00 08 27 128 00 08 27 128 00 08 27 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08					
276 00 10 31 286 00 05 65 289 00 38 39 289 00 38 39 290 00 00 09 63 29 290 00 37 16 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 108 00 26 42 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109		•			
286 00 05 65 288 00 12 53 288 00 36 39 290 00 38 39 39 290 00 38 39 29 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 108 00 56 15 108 108 00 56 15 108 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109					
289 00 38 39 29 29 00 00 09 63 27 4 130 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 00 00 40 105 15 15 15 100 00 31 21 100 00 31 21 104 00 37 12 114 104 105 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108					
290 00 09 63 2 प्राप्तता 130 00 89 29 127 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 00 55 15 106 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/31 00 39 42 101 00 25 74 100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 शिमाला 163 00 29 44 160 0 42 30 159 0 24 35 157 0 51 30 156 0 08 27 167 0 16 04 126 0 57 31 127 0 54 67 128 0 0 36 129/31 0 36 00 133 0 19 95 <t< th=""><th></th><th>288</th><th>00</th><th>12</th><th>53</th></t<>		288	00	12	53
2 घनकता 130 00 89 29 1127 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 00 55 15 108 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/31 00 39 42 1101 00 00 31 21 100 00 31 21 101 00 00 31 21 102 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 हिनालु 163 00 29 44 160 00 02 3 1 20 3 हिनालु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 08 27 129 00 130 129 44 129 00 00 80 129 44 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129		289	00	38	39
127 00 32 74 114 00 37 16 110 00 14 29 108 00 55 15 100 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/अ 00 39 42 101 00 00 31 21 72 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 स्मिन्त 163 00 29 44 160 00 00 42 30 159 00 24 36 159 00 24 36 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 156 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 57 31 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 19 95					
1114 00 37 16 110 110 00 14 29 1108 00 55 15 15 108 00 00 00 40 1005 00 00 40 1005 00 00 00 40 1005 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 स्यादना				
110 00 14 29 108 00 55 15 100 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/आ 00 39 42 101 00 00 31 21 100 00 31 21 172 00 91 59 163 00 01 20 3 स्मिल्यु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 08 27 129/आ 00 32 99 129/आ 00 32 99 129/आ 00 32 99 129/आ 00 32 99 129/आ 00 36 00 129/आ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08					
108 00 55 15 108 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 87/अ 00 39 42 101 00 25 74 100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 स्मिन्यु 00 32 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 133 00 19 95					
106 00 00 40 105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 87/अ 00 39 42 101 00 25 74 100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 स्मिन्स्यु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 156 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08					
105 00 26 42 104 00 09 02 97/अ 00 39 42 101 00 25 74 100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 दिल्ला 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 133 00 19 95					
97/अ 101 00 39 42 101 00 25 74 100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 स्मिल्यु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08		105			
101 00 25 74 100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 स्मिल्यु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 32 99 129/ब 00 36 00 129/ब 00 36 00 129/ब 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08					
100 00 31 21 72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 सिमल्यु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08			_		
72 00 91 59 73 00 01 20 3 स्मिन्तु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 156 00 16 04 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 126 00 05 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/я 00 32 99 129/я 00 36 00 129/я 00 36 00 129/я 00 36 00 129/я 00 36 00 1329/я 00 18 95 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 16112					
73 00 01 20 3 स्मिन्तु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08					
3 सिमाल्यु 163 00 29 44 160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव					
160 00 42 30 159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 156 00 16 04 128 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 32 99 129/3 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव	3 सिमास्त				
159 00 24 35 157 00 51 30 158 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 128 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08					
157 00 51 30 156 00 08 27 167 00 16 04 126 00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/अ 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
167 00 16 04 128 .00 57 31 127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/4 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
126 .00 57 31 127 .00 54 67 128 .00 .00 80 129/अ .00 .32 .99 129/4 .00 .36 .00 133 .00 .19 .95 134 .00 .74 .08 4 बोलाव .00 .07 .48					
127 00 54 67 128 00 00 80 129/ज 00 32 99 129/व 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
128 00 00 80 129/अ 00 32 99 129/व 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
129/ज 00 32 99 129/द 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
129/व 00 36 00 133 00 19 95 134 00 74 06 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
133 00 19 95 134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48					
134 00 74 08 4 बोलाव 108 00 07 48	•				
4 ग्रोलाव 108 00 07 48					
	4 बोलाव				

1	2	3	4	5
चोलाव (निरंतर)	159/sı	00	16	70
वासाव (।नरतर)	119	00	30	60
	121	00	23	00
	118	00	01	88
	117			
		00	67	54
5 अनिसा	198	00	72	85
	197	00	24	86
	194	00	51	52
	241	06	01	75
	246	00	27	75
	247	00	26	67
	248	00	11	34
	249	00	14	68
	250	00	17	30
	251	00	00	64
	252	00	13	83
	253/3	00	24	71
	253/≰	00	26	73
	290	00	25	21
	296	00	72	61
	315	0.0	29	53
	312	00	11	75
	313	00	36	71
	336	00	05	27
	314 335	00	00	40
	334	00	13	23
	387	00	19	92
		00	57	32
	393	00	00	40
	394	00	16	60
	395	00	13	02
	396	00	17	64
	397	0.0	09	85
	409	00	00	40
	399	00	33	98
	408	00	14	57
	407	00	29	65
	403	00	35	18
	404	00	33	67
	355/ਬ	00	00	40
6 उमराधी	184	00	04	08
	185	00		
	175	00	00	40
	174		26	49
	176	00	19	39
		00	11	75
	177	00	03	75
	171	00	50	80
	169	00	13	46
	168	0.0	51	80
	102	00	17	36
	163/व	00	67	63
	98	00	0.7	50

िन्त. सं. एल-14014/37/2004-जी.पी.] स्वामी सिंह, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 27th June, 2005

S. O. 2323.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 478, dated the 07th February, 2005 issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land, specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of natural gas through an interconnection between Jamnagar - Bhopal and Kakinada - Hydrabad - Goa Pipeline by Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And, whereas the copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 30th March, 2005;

The objection received from the public to the laying of the Pipeline have been considered and dissolved by the Competent Authority;

And whereas the Competent Authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire the right of user therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And, further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on the date of publication of the declaration, in Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Choryasi	British State	St	State : Gujarat	
Name of the Village	St. Ney Se. Trock No.	Area to b	e acquirec	
		Hectare	Are 4	Sq.m
1 1. Kachholí		3	01	5 23
	85	00	21	14
	86	00	02	38
	81	00	22	72
	111	00	10	64
	79 124	00 00	06 07	45 27
	124 112	00	24	20
	123	00	32	38
	141	00	21	72
	148	00	15	67
	140	00	05	02
	149	00	80	77
	150 152	00 00	01 04	21 34
	152 151	00	49	61
	165	00	19	51
	168	00	00	02
	166	00	17	05
	167	00	12	63
	163	00	04	04
	182	0 0	10	44
	184	00 00	17 04	83
	183 245	00	21	43 77
	245 244	00	17	71
	270	00	31	64
	418	00	11	72
	417	00	02	88
	416	00	22	61
	414	CO	24	28
	413	00	29	25
	423 486	00 00	11 18	38 35
	490	00	32	49
	489	00	00	02
	491	00	10	43
	495	00	09	68
	494	00	24	57
	496	00	13	43
	502	00	22	88
	501 503	00 00	02 17	38 07
	504	00	03	86
	562	00	17	48
	561	00	16	79
	560	. 00	18	07
	559	00	20	58
	558	00	19	86
	557 556	96 00	19 19	24 13
	556 554	00 00	19 05	13 63
	553 -	00	38	19
	552	00	19	60
2.Bhatiya	352	00	16	32
	354	00	12	00
	353	00	69	65
	365	00	36	19
	366 380	00	23	52 36
	380 379	00 00	25 36	36 96
	364	00	33	53
	35	00	42	58
	33 33	00 00	30 14	09 08
	32			10
	44	00	10	10

P # 3 p 2 d 2 d	का नवन्त्र . जुलाइ ८, २००५ जानाव	11, 1747		
1	2	3	4	5
3. Westens	348	00	26	46
	348	00	20	50
•				
	350	00	37	84
	39	00	14	47
	40	00	00	15
	38	00	29	21
	37	00	21	20
	36	00	18	86
	35	00	21	33
	57	00	09	49
	58	00	26	15
	60	00	04	62
	59	00	20	23
	230	00	23	85
	231	00	14	99
	245	00	30	76
	244	00	15	88
	248	00	19	98
	260	00	09	52
	261	00	18	26
	257	00	06	30
4.00				
4. Bonand	185	00	33	22
	188	00	12	03
	189	00	30	10
	190	00	47	94
	191	00	28	22
	₹78	00	44	53
	:17	00	07	85
	132	00	25	36
	133	00	14	19
	113	00	19	17
	119	00	39	74
	94	00	19	67
	95	00	29	05
	97	00	57	33
5. Goja	38	00	21	80
	40	00	59	69
	46	00		50
			29	
	48	00	22	76
	49	00	58	33 (
	50	00	24	79
	104	00	26	09
	105	00	00	15
	111	00	38	18
	116	00	41	42 .
	, 113	00	23	02
	115	00	09	12
	114	00	22	59
6, Mahoni	53	00	04	44
	54	00	32	10
	55		25	70
	. 55	00	35	76
	. 55 78		35 00	76 68
		00		
	78 77	00 00 00	00 39	68 64
	78 77 64	00 00 00 00	00 39 27	68 64 92
	78 77 64 238	00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55	68 64 92 61
	78 77 64	00 00 00 00	00 39 27	68 64 92
	78 77 64 238 182	00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30	68 64 92 61 18
	78 77 64 238 182 181	00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22	68 64 92 61 18 12
	78 77 64 238 182 181	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63	68 64 92 61 18 12 43
	78 77 64 238 182 181 183	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82	68 64 92 61 18 12 43
	78 77 64 238 182 181	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63	68 64 92 61 18 12 43
	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52
	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38
	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54
	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38
² . Sahamam	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62 33	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09
?. Sabargam	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191 105/A	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62 33	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27
?. Sabargam Tehsil : Palsana	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62 33	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27
Tehsil : Palsana	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191 195/A 4 District : Surat	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82 10 28 62 33 01	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09
	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191 105/A	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82 10 28 62 33 01	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27
Tehsil : Palsana	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191 195/A 4 District : Surat	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate : Guja	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09
Tehsil : Palsana Name of the Village	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 190 191 195/A 4 District : Surst	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate: Guja e acquiree	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09
Name of the Village	78 77 64 238 182 181 193 184 189 190 190 191 105/A 4 District: Surat Survey No./Block No.	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate: Guja e acquiree	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09 rat d for ROU
Tehsil : Palsana Name of the Village	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 190 191 195/A 4 District : Surst	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 63 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate: Guja e acquiree	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09
Name of the Village	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 190 191 195/A 4 District: Surat Survey No./Block No.	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate: Guja e acquired 4 07	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09 rat 1 for ROU
Name of the Village	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 191 195/A 4 District: Surat Survey No./Block No.	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 St Area to b Hectare 3 02 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate: Guja e acquired 4 07 00	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09 rat d for ROU Sq.m 5
Name of the Village	78 77 64 238 182 181 183 184 189 190 190 191 195/A 4 District: Surat Survey No./Block No.	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 39 27 55 30 22 03 82 10 28 62 33 01 ate: Guja e acquired 4 07	68 64 92 61 18 12 43 52 38 54 09 27 09 rat 1 for ROU

1	2	3	4	5
Niyol (Cont'd)	230P	00	12	63
	230	00	32	64
	221	00	55	68
	220	00	02	05
	211	00	37	27
	176	00	06	89
	176P	00	12	00
	210	00	04	52
	179	00	17	39
	180	00	23	23
		00		55
	188		49	
	192	00	44	14
	191	00	30	16
	134	00	39	15
	127	00	31	57
	105	00	14	73
	106	00	01	00
	69	00	19	87
	64	00	00	01
	68/1	00	41	70
	80A	00	37	61
	94/P1	00	32	48
	93/1	00	81	87
2.Antroli	284	00	36	35
s.raiu VII	286	00		97
			12	
	287	00	54	11
	289	00	00	01
	290	00	39	49
	299	00	26	23
Tehsil : Kamrej	District : Surat		ate : Guja	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Name of the Village	Suprey No /Block No	Area to b	e acquire	d for ROU
Manie Of the Village	Survey No./Block No.			
		Hectare	Are	Sq.m
1	2	3	4	5
1.Chhedachha	78	00	22	12
	76	00	13	73
	75	00	30	20
	, -		12	34
	72	00		
	72 74	00		
	74	00	23	34
	74 73	00 00	23 03	34 70
	74	00	23	34
	74 73	00 00	23 03	34 70
	74 73 71	00 00 00	23 03 28	34 70 68
	74 73 71 64 65	00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31	34 70 68 01 91
	74 73 71 64 65 63	00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03	34 70 68 01 91 97
	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A	00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31	34 70 68 01 91 97 24
	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55	00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64
	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A	00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31	34 70 68 01 91 97 24
	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64
	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70
	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 09	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 09 42	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 763	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 263 265	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 09 42 23 38	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 783 265 266	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 09 42 23 38 10	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 363 265 266 371	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 783 265 266	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 09 42 23 38 10	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 783 265 266 371 370	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 763 265 266 371 370 363	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 783 265 266 371 370 363 352	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 41	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 763 265 266 371 370 363 363 362 352	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 41 19	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 261 263 265 266 371 370 363 352 353	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 19 10	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85 20
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 263 265 266 371 370 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 36	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 19 10 00	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85 20 08
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 263 265 266 371 370 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 36	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 19 10 00	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85 20 08
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 763 265 266 371 370 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 37 37 375	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 41 19 10 00 11	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85 20 20 86 87 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 763 265 266 371 370 363 353 3539 3* 3556	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 11 19 10 00 11	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
2.Kosmada	74 73 71 64 65 63 57/A 55 51 52/P 38 37 234 228 226 225 224 260 261 763 265 266 371 370 363 363 363 363 363 363 363 37 37 375	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	23 03 28 25 31 03 31 23 57 00 49 60 00 46 42 10 10 09 42 23 38 10 04 34 31 41 19 10 00 11	34 70 68 01 91 97 24 64 70 50 13 92 01 91 41 91 94 57 92 89 35 69 73 50 74 29 85 20 20 86 87 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88

1	2	3		5
Kosmada (Cont'd)	341	00	00	51
	341P	00	34	85
	342	00	00	19
3. Khadsad	88	00	69	48
	87	00	16	91
	110	00	36	20
	85	00	04	96
	86	00	60	95
	44	00	38	75
	45	00	55	56
	46	00	35	20
	47	00	30	25
4. Pasodara	86	00	30	50
	89	00	24	47
	90	00	40	14
	81	00	05	02
	92	00	17	44
	93	00	20	68
	96	00	26	68
	102	00	49	45
	106	00	12	73
•	106	00	51	73 24
	105	00	05	41
5. Lashkana	105	00	02	51
J. LASIIKANA				
	5	0	19	70 77
	5/P	02	22	77
	12	00	12	23
	166	00	08	62
6. Valak	59	00	39	87
	58	00	32	85
	57	00	36	78
	54	00	37	60
	53	00	05	53
	52	00	52	46
	50	00	14	56
	49	00	25	81
	48	00	21	88
	47	00	_18	46
7. Bhada	137	00	10	55
	138	00	23	27
	139	00	31	03
	140	00	24	85
•	145	00	28	80
	146	00	33	98
	108	00 .	01	20
	107 .	00	33	30
	106	00	18	74
,	104	00	15	55
	105	00	14	98
	103	00	00	40
	100	00	20	16
	102	00	34	25
	80	00	24	20
	79	00	23	77
	77	00	00	79
	78	00	04	47
	52	00	36	45
	41	00	01	20
	42	00	29	93
	43	00	51	09
	44	00	33	50
	45	00	48	21
	14	00	41	11
	9	00	15	58
8. Kathor	36	00	39	31
	37	00	04	65
	38	00	36	29
	41	00	54	69
	42	00	50	72
	43	00	22	23
	44	00	25	09
	45		23	00
		00	23	

1	2	: 3	1 4	5
Kathor (Cont'd)	46	. 00	30	05
	47	00	12	00
	50	00	28	53
	51	00	01	32
	53	00	23	84
	69	00	37	14
	68	00	26_	25
9. Abrama	557/B	00	24	24
10. Velanja	305	00	08	61
	304	00	35	40
	303	00	56	33
	300	00	30	Q1
	294	00	05	14
	298	00	36	14
	297	00	30	24
	278	00	30	44
	285	00	30	38
	281	00	20	89
	280	00	23	90
	279	00	25	59
	259			
	260	00	37	44
	264	00	32	28
		00	14	37
	263	00	76	48
	242	00	52	29
	243	00	- 24	24
	205/A	00	98	81
	207	00	01	54
	208/A	00	08	13
	211	00	53	78
	212	00	10	71
	215	00	48	71
	214	00	05	66
	199	00	33	81
	200	60	21	12
	198 P	Ó0	02	20
	198 P	90	35	21
	197	00	00	40
	171	00	00	40
	190	90	24	80
	189	00	31	68
	188	00	42	38
	183	00	41	48
	182	00	34	31
11. Shekhpur	11	00	84	52
•	13	00	32	35
	19	00	30	76
	20/A	00	43	03
	27	00	28	87
	28	90	36	37
	38			
	39	00	31	87
		00	12	59
	45	00	11	56
	46	00	40	56
	47	00	00	40
	53	00	23	39
	54	00	01	64
	56	00	37	64
	55	00	00	42
	66	00	00	46
	72	00	07	29
	67	00	05	65
	71	00	02	05
	70	00	07	86
	80	00	15	28
	85	00	12	64
	84	00	02	94
	82	00	07	96
	95	60	90	₽5
	119	00	65	¥3 50
	118	00 00	90	40 40
	110	LX)	ŪŪ	ΨÜ
	116 P 111	00	62 17	46 16

1 1		-		-
	2	3	4_	5
Shekhpur (Cont'd)	110	00	54	78
	106	00	44	01
	105	00	05	69
Teheil : Oiped	District : Surat	St	nte : Guja	rat
		A 40 &		4.40 - DOU
Name of the Village	Survey No./Block No.	Area to D	e ecdnise	d for ROU
		Hectare	Are	80.00
	2			\$q.m
1		3	4	5
1. Kareli	102	00	51	41
	103	00	43	64
	106	00	21	52
	112	00	58	22
	113	00	37	29
	129	00	35	94
	130	00	24	19
	131	00	48	43
	82	01	06	67
	138	00	17	14
	139	00	27	23
		00	46	
	141			18
	145	00	30	75 .
	148	00	39	16
	147	00	00	69
	148	00	52	50
	185	00	03	05
	184	00	40	86
	181	00	12	34
	183	00	12	39
	178	00	15	14
	176	00	48	72
	175	00	00	50
	258	00	00	40
	260	01	02	34
	281	00	40	84
	261	00		92
			11	
	280/B	00	00	40
	270	00	41	36
	278	00	39	95
	277	00	64	87
	276	00	10	31
	286	00	05	65
•				
	288	00	12	53
	289	00	36	39
		00	09	63
2.Syadia	130	00	89	29
	127	00	32	74
	114	00	37	16
	110	00	14	29
	108	00	55	15
	•			
	108	00	00	40
	105	00	26	42
	104	00	09	02
	97/A	00	39	42
	101 -	00	25	74
	100	00	31	21
	72	00	91	59
	73	00	01	20
3.Simaithu	163	00	29	44
a.amaiuiu				
	160	00	42	30
	159	00	24	35
	157	00	51	30
	156	00	08	27
	167	00	16	04
	126	00	57	31
	127	00	54	67
	128	00	00	80
	129/A	00	32	99
	129/B	00	36	90
0	133	00	19	95
	134	00	74	06
4 Bolev	108	- 00	07	48
4.Bolav				
	107	00 .	86	65
	7		Co	ntd8

11	2	3	4	5
Bolav (Cont'd)	159/A	00	16	70
	119	00	30	60
	121	00	23	00
	118	00	01	88
	117	00	67	54
5.Anita	198	00	72	85
	197	00	24	86
	194	00	51	52
	241	00	01	75
	246	00	27	75
	247	00	26	67
	248	ÓO	11	34
	249	00	14	68
	250	. 00	17	30
	251	00	00	64
	252	00	13	83
	253/A	00	24	71
	253/B	00	26	73
	290	00	25	21
	296	00	72	61
	315	00	29	53
	312	00 00	11	75
	313 336	00	36 05	71 27
	314	00	00	40
	335	00	13	23
	334	00	19	92
	387	00	57	32
	393	00	00	40
	394	00	16	60
	395	00	13	02
	396	00	17	64
	397	00	09	85
	409	00	00	40
	399	00	33	98
	408	00	14	96 57
	408	00	14 29	57 65
	407			
		00	35	18
	404	00	33	67
	355/B	00	00	40
5.Umarachhi	184	00	04	08
	185	00	00	40.
	175	00	26	49
•	174	00	19	39
	176	00	11	75
	177	00	03	75
	171	00	50	80
	169	00	13	46
	168	00	01	80
	102	00	17	36
	163/B	00	67	63
	96	00	07	50

[F. No. L-14014/37/2004-G.P.] SWAMI SINGH, DIRECTOR

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2324.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मुन्द्रा (गुजरात) से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में, जो इस से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस्त भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, को उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से किसकी इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन विछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री शिवदत्त गौड़, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, मुद्धा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन परियोजना, हिंदुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, डी-7, लालबहादुर नगर (पूर्व), क्लावर्स आमेर होटल के सामने, जवाहरलाल मेहरू मार्ग, मालवीय नगर, जयपुर-302017(राजस्थान) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

	तहसील । आबू रोड	तहसील : आबू रोड जिला : सिरोही		राज्य : राजस्यान			
न्म	Park / Link Decree (1984) William State (1984) William State (1984)			क्षेत्रफल	•		
ਲਂ.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1.	आवल	657	0	02	31		
• •	entropers	664	0.	01	36		
		677	0	00	46		
		712	0	00	60		
		713	0	00	28		
		717	0	00	51		
		718	0	00	19		
		421	0	00	20		
		423	0	02	33		
		400	. 0	00	39		
		384	0	05	44		
		373	0	00	31		
		371	0	00	29		
		372	0	00	27		
		370	0	0 1	48		
		365	0	00	43		
		1 7 8 (ग्रा.पं.स ङ्क)	0	01	23		
		123	0	00	22		
		135	0	00	68		
		137	0	02	79		
		142	0	01	42		
		149	0	00	70		
2.	मूंगयला	519	0	00	51		
च्चा र	55 !	570	0	00	56		
		57 ्(सिं.विभाग)	0	00	40		
		573(सि.विभाव)	0	0.0	46		
		596	0	0.1	69		
		594	0	00	20		
		5 9 7 (स.नाला)	. 0	00	54		
		1679/1	0	00	61		
		1678	0	04	34		
		1500	0	0 1	44		
		1498	0	03_	63		

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : धिरोही	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम	AND	The second secon		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एंयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4 .	5	6
2.	म्गयला (जारी)	1497	0	01	35
		1472	0	02	12
		1404	0	01	43
		1405	0	00	49
		1413	0	01	30
		1414	0	01	96
		141 İ	0	.00	71
		1349	0	00	60
		1280	0	00	92
		1281	0	00	49 40
_	-0	1266	0	00	
3.	मीरगढ	94	0	01 07	71 37
		86	0	04	67
		76	0 0	00	54
		75 35	0	01	54
		32	0	00	22
4.	तलवारों का नाका	372	0	02	02
4.	तलवास का जाका	372 369(स.नाला)	0	00	25
		347	0	00	* 3
		354	0	00	4.4
		358	0	07	01
		318	ō	01	09
		299	0	02	34
		296	0	01	85
		295/532	0	02	67
		295/1 295मिन01	} 0	00	66
		281	~ 0	01	82
		283	0	01	44
	•	278(सिं.वि. नहर)	0	00	17
		277/521	0	0 1	41
5.	गणका	12	0	01	18
		443	0	02	63
		444	0	02	62
		429	0	01	09
		415	0	01	04
		68	0	00	94
		116	#	3 1	37
		117	0	02	10
		121	0	02	85
6.	अस्वी	68	0	00	32
		64	0	00	36
		53	0	00	95
		<u> 49(स.गला)</u>	0	04	11

	तहरील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज्यः राजस्यान		
क्रम				्रक्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं. 3	हेक्टेयर 4	एयर 5	वर्ग मीट
6.	2 उमरची (जर्रः-)			01	44
6.	Guran (man)	186 239	0	01	95
		244	0	00	95 06
		४४४ 196मिन 01	0	00	86
		197	0	03	42
		43891801	0	01	68
7 .	दानवाव	205	ŏ	ŏö	64
		206	0	00	64
		219	0	00	81
		236	0	01	21
		245	0	01	27
		244	0	00	83
		237	0	00	30
		243	0	0 1	44
		272/252	0	00	96
		255	0	01	07
8.	कारोली	406	0	00	37
		410 (स.मगरी)	0	00	61
		409	0	00	68
		387(स.नाला) 2067	0	00	42
		396/2 393	0 0	00	16
			0	00 00	24 67
		233/2 232	0	01	06
		250	0	00	60
		121	0	01	79
9.	आमथला	1'081	0	00	76
,	511514CII	ા કાર્યા 1/083	0	02	5 5
		1093	0	03	23
		1082	Ö	00	96
		992मिन01 (स.भूमि)	0	00	31
		993	0	00	96
		981	0	02	16
		986	0	04	45
		987	0	01	14
		834/2	0	00	38
		686	0	00	61
		719	0	00	33
		720	0	00	41
		726	0	02	08
		743	0	01	09
		७४४(स.भूमि)	0	00	36
		७४५(स.रास्ता)	0	00	17
		752	0	00	61

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राज	स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
સં.	गाँव का नाम	. खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एंयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	आमथला (जारी)	७५० मिन०। (स.भूमि)			
		750/1175	} 0	00	38
		750/1211			
		750/1212	J		
		142/1210	0	01	55
		1 4 0 मिन 0 1 (स.भू मि)	0	00	24
		126/1191	0	00	74
		1 2 6 मिन 0 1 (स.भूमि)			~ ^
		126/1163	} 0	00	72
	•	126/1162	J		
		122	0	00	20
		124	0	00	38
10.	मुदरला	409	0	0 1	0 1
		404	0	0 1	40
		394	0	0 1	74
		339/763	0	0 1	37
	•	341	0	00	82
		354	0	00	80
		358मिन	0	02	27
		74	0	02	59
		86	0	00	65
		90	0 H 301-3101	00	90

[फा. सं. आर-31015/44/2004-ओ.आर-॥]

हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th June, 2005

public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Mundra (Gujarat) to Delhi, a pipeline should be laid by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby decrees its intention to acquire the right of user therein:

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Shivdutt Gaur, Competent Authority, Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline, Hindustae Petroleum Corporation Limited, D-7, Lal Bahadur Nagar (East), Opp. Clarks Amer Hotel, January arial Nehru Marg, Malviya Nagar, Jaipur – 302017 (Rajasthan).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.			Area	*
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
1. AVAL	657	0	02	31
	664	0	01	36
	677	0	00	46
	712	0	00	60
·	713	0	00	28
	. 717	0	00	51
	718	0	00	19
•	421	0	00	20
	423	0	02	33
	400	0	00	39
	384	0	05	44
	373	0	00	31
	371	0	00	29
	372	0	00	27
	370	0	01	48
	365	0	00	43
	178(G.P. Road)	0	01	23 22
	123	0 0	00 00	68
	135 137	0	02	79
	142	0	01	42
	142	0	00	70
O BRUNGTHALA	519	0	00	51
2. MUNGTHALA	570	Ö	00	56
	573(Irrigation deptt.)	Ö	00	40
	578(Irrigation deptt.)	Ö	00	46
	596	Ö	01	69
	594	Ö	00	20
	597(G/L Nala)	Ō	00	54
	1679/1	0	00	61
	1678	0	04	34
	1500	0	01	44
·	1498	0	03	63
	1497	0	01	35
	1472	0	02	12
	1404	0	01	43
	1405	0	00	49
	1413	. 0	01	30
	1414	0	01	96
	1411	0	00	71
	1349	0	00	<u>60</u>

	Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District: SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				. Агеа	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	MUNGTHALA (Contd)	1280	0	00	92
		1281	0	00	49
		1266	0	00	40
3.	MIRGARH	94	0	91	71
		86	0	07	37
		76	0	04	67
	·	75 25	0	00	,54
		35	0	01	54
	TALIMADON KA MAKA	32	0	00	22
4.	TALWARON KA NAKA	372	0	02	02
		369(G/L Nala)	0	00	25
		347	0	00	33
		354 359	0	00	49
		358 348	0	07	01
		318	0	01	09
		299 296	0 0	02 01	34 85
		295/532	0	02	67
		295/1 295/1	1	02	07
		295Min01	} 0	00	66
		281	0	01	82
		283	Ö	01	44
		278(Irrig. Deptt. Canal)	Ö	00	17
		277/521	Ŏ	01	41
5.	GANKA	12	Ō	01	18
		443	Ō	02	63
		444	0	02	62
		429	0	01	09
		415	0	01	04
		68	0	00	94
		116	0	01	37
		.117	0	02	10
		121	0	02	85
6.	UMARNI	68	0	00	32
		64	0	00	36
		53	0	00	95
		49(G/L Nala)	0	04	11
		186	0	01	44
		239	0	01	95
		244	0	00	06
		196Min01	0	00	86
		197	0	03	42
		433Min01	0	01	68

	Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Àre	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	DANWAV	205	0	00	64
		206	0	00	64
		219	0	00	81
		236	0	01	21
		245	0	01	27
		244	0	00	83
		237	0	00	39
		243	0	01	44
		272/252 255	0	00	96 [°]
8.	KAROLI	406	0 . 0	01 00	- 07 37
0.	MAROLI	410 (G/L Magari)	0	00	61
		409	0	00	68
		387(G/L Nala)	0	00	42
		396/2	0	00	16
		393	Ö	00	24
		233/2	Õ	00	67
		232	Ö	01	06
		250	Ō	00	60
		121	0	01	79
9.	AMTHALA	1081	0	00	76
		1083	0	02	55
		1093	0	03	23
		1082	0	00	9 6
		992Min01(G/L)	0	00	31
		993	0	00	96
		981	0	02	16
		986	0	04	45
		987	0	01	14
		834/2	0	00	38
		686 710	0	00	61 22
		719 720	0 0	00 00	33 41
		726	0	02	08
		728 743	0	02	09
		743 744(G/L)	0	00	36
		745(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	17
		752	0	00	61
		750Min01 (G/L))		٠.
		750/1175		00	66
		750/1211	} 0	00	38
		750/1212	J		
		142/1210	0	01	55

	Tehsil: ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJA	STHAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1_	2	3	4	5	6
9.	AMTHALA (Contd)	140Min01(G/L)	0	00	24
		126/1191	0	00	74
		126Min01(G/L))		
		126/1163	} 0	00	72
		126/1162	J		
		122	0	00	20
		124	0	00	38
10.	MUDARLA	409	0	01	01
		404	0	01	40
		394	0	01	74
		339/763	0	01	37
		341	0	00	82
		354	0	00	80
		358Min	0	02	27
		74	0	02	59
		86	0	00	65
		90	0	00	90

[No. R-31015/44/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2325.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 3098 तारीख 23 नवम्बर, 2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीख 04 दिसम्बर, 2004, में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुन्दा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुन्दा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम से हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारी**ख 24 फरवरी, 2005, को उपलब्ध** करा दी गई थीं :

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है :

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है:

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा क । है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्देष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपचोज के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है:

और केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख की केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमति पुत्र मं, आर – 31015/7/03 ओ.आर-॥ दिनांक 25/11/2004 द्वारा लगाई गई शर्तो के सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा। अनुसूची

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज्यः राजस्यान				
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल			
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर		
1	2	. 3	4	5	6		
1.	आवल	654(स.रास्ता)	0	02	14		
		६५५(स.रास्ता)	0	00	25		
		६५३(स.रास्ता)	0	00	5 <i>7</i>		
		656	0	04	99		
		657	0	04	27		
	•	658	0.	00	20		
		662	0	80	58		
		663	0	80	56		
		664	0	00	20		
		688	0	06	26		
		691	. 0	00	29		
		687	0	02	52		
		685	0	09	44		
		682	0	04	72		
		681	0	02	40		
		676	0	03	96		
		677	0	03	50		
		675	0	06	12		
		712	0	06	96		
		713	0	07	10		
		714	0	00	20		
		714/1463	0	09	36		
		715	0	12	78		
		716	0	1 1	77		
		448	0	00	25		
		447	0	01	23		
		717	0	05	97		
		718	0	05	93		
		418	0	09	90		
		420/1	0	04	48		
		420मिन	0	01	65		
		421	0	00	20		
		428	0	03	20		

-	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : िरोही	राज्य : राजस्थान		
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल		
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एंबर	वर्ग मीटः
1	2	3	4	5	. 6
1. आत	ल (जारी)	423	0	06	11
		427	0	00	96
		425	0	09	36
		426	0	00	20
		४०८ (ग्रा.पं.रास्ता)	0	01	44
		400	0	16	36
		399	0	06	7.5
		398	0	01	26
		397	0	12	65
		384	0	03	45
		386	0	13	14
		373	0	80	15
		371	0	08	26
		372	0	80	28
		370	0	15	53
		369(स.नाला)	0	03	24
		३६८(स.खालखद्दर)	O	02	29
		३३५(ग्रा.पं.आबादी)	0	00	66
		347 348	0	02	52 01
		३ <i>६७ (</i> ग्रा.पं.रास्ता)	0	01	08
		366	0	09	54
		365	G	08	21
		१ ७ ८ (ब्रा.पं.सड्क)	0	03	09
		112/1	0	01	20
		123 124	0	19	04 64
		126	0	08	55
		135	0	06	52
		137	0	00	73
		134	0	09	80
		142	0	15	32
		133/1	10	00	20
		133)	- 4	
		1 4 3 (स.नाला)	0	03	42
		150	O	1 8	00
		147	0	04	20
		149	0	01	70
		148 162	0	07	40 92
		164	0	25	00
2. मूंगर	লো	474(ग्रा.पं.चारागाह)	0	11	00
0		475	0	18	53

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	अ सरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	मूंगयला (जारी)	<i>477 (</i> स.गला)	0	01	01
		478	0	00	32
•		479	0	10	55
		480(स.गला)	0	02	15
		519	0	12	45
	·	520	0	80	55
		527	0	11	40
		526	0	21	11
		547	0	12	47
		५४९(स.नाला)	0	03	70
		552	0	30	57
٠		५५८(स.नाला)	0	03	25
		557	0	21	25
		564	0	22	09
		566	0	10	84
		570	0	17	60
		569	0	02	15
		५७२ (स.नाला)	0	03	92
		5 <i>7</i> ३ (सिं.विभाग)	0	04	46
		5 78(सिं.विभा ग)	0	04	94
		574	0	22	27
		596	0	21	61
		594	0	00	20
	2	५९ <i>७ (</i> स.नाला)	0	02	34
		1704	0	00	69
		1708	0	15	52
		1707	0	03	75
		१६८७ (स.रास्ता)	0	02	11
		1679/1	0	09	0 1
		1675	0	04	84
		1678	0	20	96
		1670	0	00	20
		१ ५ २ ८ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.सङ्क)	0	04	47
		1500	0	26	00
		1499	0	00	96
		1498	0	07	78
		1497	0	01	85
		१४९६ (स.रास्ता)	0	01	15
	,	1471	0	07	10

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य : राज	स्थान
क्रम			1	क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	म्गयला (जारी)	1472	0	00	40
		1470	0	09	51
		1469	0	10	33
		1468	0	10	11
		1474	0	00	20
		1475	0	10	15
		1476	0	07	17
		1404	0	15	94
		1405	0	12	11
		1406 (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	25
		1407	0	07	84
		1413	O	12	25
		1409	Э	02	20
		1414	0	02	95
		1410	0	00	20
		1412	0	09	35
		1411	C	02	71
		1435	£,	10	87
		1428	0	23	87
		1426	0	12	91
		1350	0	11	34
		1349	0	09	20
		1299	0	8 0	66
		1299/1	0	05	06
		1 3 0 0 मिन	0	04	10
		1300/1	0	07	18
		1301	0	00	20
		1280	0	26	58
		1281	0	14	12
		1263	0	20	49
		1266	0	05	45
		267	0	00	20
3.	मीरगढ	१ (स.नाला)	ð	04	32
		94	0	06	59
		93	0	10	07
		84	9	14	40
		77	0	02	14
		86	0	02	68
		76	O	1.1	88

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राज	 स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	•
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एंयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
3.	मीरगढ (जारी)	74	0	01	44
		75	0	00	20
		2 7 मिन ० १	0	06	25
		27 (स.नदी)	0	05	96
		35	0	16	46
		33	0	11	88
		31	0	00	20
		32	0	02	86
4.	तलवारों का नाका	371	0	11	42
		372	0 .	00	20
		३६९(स.नाला)	0	01	91
		347	0	00	57
		354	0	19	51
		353	0	02	97
		358	0	00	20
		३३८(स.नाला)	0	02	00
		337	0	00	20
		335	0	10	27
		334/1	0	02	27
		334मिन	0	13	63
		323	0	07	74
		322	0	00	73
		318	0	24	41
		317	.0	00	30
		- 303	0	17	10
		302	0	02	87
		३००(स.नाला)	0	07	20
		299	0	04	16
		296	0	29	99
		297	0	00	59
		295/532	0	03	56
		295/533	0	14	04
		295/1 295मिन01	} 0	16	62
		294/531) 0	04	60
		293/523	0	05	04
		293/522	0	23	51
		293	0	05	71
		286(स.रास्ता)	0	01	23

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : ि	ਹਾਲ	य : राजर	 थान
क्रम	1	A Section 19		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेवटेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
4.	तलवारों का नाका (जारी)	281	0	13	30
		282	0	19	62
		283	С	26	40
		278(सिं.वि. नहर)	0	10	87
		277/521	0	18	03
		277	\ 0	1 1	52
		277मिन0 1			
5.	गणका	14	0	02	88
		१ ५ (स. रास्ता)	0	00	20
		1 3 (स.नाला)	0	03	04
		12	0	35	24
		३ १ (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	15
		442	0	00	20
		443	0	10	96
		441	0	06	85
		440	0	20	43
		444	0	00	61
		439	C	11	75
		432(स.रास्ता)	0	03	82
		429	0	12	77
		428/2	0	06	96
		428/1	0	06	02
		427/1	0	03	21
		427/2	0	0 1	29
		425/2	0	02	94
		425/1	0	03	47
		417	0	10	05
		416	0	00	80
		415	0	14	18
		414	0	19	03
		51	0	00	20
		68	0	00	20
		413	0	18	00
		71	0	10	02
		१ १ ४(पी.डब्ल्यू.डी.चारागाह)	0	39	47
		72	0	00	26
		१ १ ५ (स.नाला)	0	04	53
		116	0	04	74
	2-3400/	117	0	07	80

` 	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज	य ः राज	 स्थान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खुसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एंयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	गणका (जारी)	118	0	12	47
		121	0	16	86
		122	0	00	60
		१ २३ (स.रास्ता)	0	00	97
		124	0	06	77
6.	उमरनी	76 ⁻	0	11	88
		75	0	00	20
		68	0	20	92
	*	67	0	10	5 <i>7</i>
		64	0	17	7.0
		59	0	12	23
		55	0	05	39
		56/1	0	06	49
		54	0	09	04
		53	0	04	09
		51	0	80	96
		49(स.नाला)	0	04	53
		186	0	06	48
		१ ८२ (पी.डब्ल्यू.डी सड़क)	0	02	94
		232	0	07	20
		237	0	14	7 1
		238	0	13	48
		239	0	00	25
		242	0	13	68
		244	0	18	11
		209	0	00	73
		196मिन 01	0	15	52
		196/1	0	03	48
		197	0	03	63
		199	0	03	60
		198	0	18	1 2
		202	0	05	19
		208(सिं.वि. नहर)	O	10	98
	· ·	427	0	41	88
		434	0	03	77
		433मिन01	0	26	37
		433/1	0	12	00
		435	0	06	72

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला ः सिरोही	राज	य ः राजर	स्यान
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	. 2	3	4	5	6
7.	दानवाव	205	0	07	20
		204	0	11	00
		207	0	08	55
		209	0	06	48
		210	0	00	20
		2 1 7 (स .रास्ता)	0	01	1 '7
		219	0	20	07
		223(स.ना लः)	0	04	50
		236	0	31	91
		245	0	0 1	13
		244	0	12	37
		237	0	00	41
		243	0	09	00
		251 (पी. डब्ल्यू.डी सड़क)	0	04	77
		252मिन01	0	35	19
		272/252	0	10	8 0
		257मिन	0	02	74
		256/5	0	03	96
		256/4	0	03	42
		256/3	0	04	23
		256/2	0	03	96
		256/1	0	04	23
		255	0	17	10
		254(स.मग री)	0	05	40
8.	कारोली	412(स.मगरी)	0	09	27
		406	0	06	05
		411	0	13	87
		410 (स.मग री)	0	00	65
		409	0	10	42
		408	0	00	20
		38 7 (स.ना ला)	0	03	48
		390	0	05	85
		391	0	16	56
		396/2	0	0 1	41
		393	0	20	55
		394	0	8 0	64
		३९८(स. रास्ता)	0	0 1	57
		240	0	22	40
		239/1	0	02	66

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला : सिरोही	राज्य ः राजस्याम		
क्रम				्रक्षेत्रफल	
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एंयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	कारोली (जारी)	233/1	0	03	70
		233/2	0	02	08
		247/1			
		247/2			
		522/247	} 0	46	72
		523/247			
		524/247)		
	•	232	0	02	16
		231	0	02	12
		230	0	00	53
		250	0	58	20
		२५ ३ मिन० १ (स.भूमि))		
		528/251/1	\ 0	29	06
		529/251/2			
		530/251/3	J		
		255	0	17	52
		256	0	12	49
		257	0	17	10
		258	0	01	01
		_. 259	0	01	35
		1 2 5 (स.रास्ता)	0	00	97
		121	0	28	86
9.	आमयृला	1042	0	02	16
		१ ० ३ ७ (स.रास्ता)	0	01	44
		1036	0	2.5	30
		1081	. 0	14	72
		1083	0	17	87
		1092	0	09	27
		1093	0	0 1	57
		992मिन01 (स.भूमि)	0	02	5 <i>7</i>
		993	0	10	20
		992/1168(स.खालखद्दर)	0	06	43
		988	0	12	36
		986	0	04	05
		987	0	0 1	20
		9 <i>77</i> (स.रास्ता)	0	0 1	36
		. 976	0	03	24
		975	0	13	68
		969 (स.नाला)	0	00	95

	तहसील : आबू रोड	जिला सिरोही	राज्य : राजस्यान		
क्रम				क्षेत्रफल	
ਲਂ.	गाँव का नाम	असरा सं.	हेवंदेगर	एवर	वर्ग संद
1	2	3	4	5	6
9. 2ha	गयला (जारी)	868(स. भूमि)	0	01	72
		866मिन	0	07	87
		865	0	12	95
		863	D.	00	20
		834/2	Ů.	02	19
		833/1	C	14	81
		772(पी.डबल्यू.डी सङ्क)	0	05	36
		707	0	12	96
		708	0	31	45
		७ १ । (स.रास्ता)	0	00	72
		697	U	09	64
		686	0	00	44
		720/1147	0	00	50
		719	0	0.5	97
		720	0	06	09
		722	0	03	37
		723	0	03	12
		725	0	04	88
		726	0	15	50
		727	0	00	60
		743	0	09	35
		742	0	00	20
		7 4 4 (स.भूमि)	0	01	80
		745(स.रास्ता)	0	01	27
		752	0	12	53
		७५१ मिन. ०१ (स.नाला)	7		
		751/1190	} 0	03	24
		750 मिन01 (स.भूमि)			
		750/1175			
		750/1211	0	03	76
		750/1212			
		142/1219	0	08	52
		142/1210	0	13	47
		142/1213	0	03	26
		142/1266	0	19	08
		१ ४० मिन ० १ (स.भू	0	05	52
		1 28(स.नाता)	0	0.1	20
		126/1191			88
-		120/1151	0	36	0.5

- उन्हर्भन : आबू सेड	जिला : सिरोही	JI.	राज्य ः राजस्थान		
कम	Total - rader		क्षेत्रफल	.414	
सं. गाँव का नाम	रुखरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1 2	3	4	5	6	
9. आनथ्ला (जारी)	1 2 6 मिन 0 1 (स.भूमि)	Ì	100		
	126/1163	} 0	05	76	
	126/1162	J			
	124	0	12	58	
१०. मुदरला	658	0	06	65	
	657	0	11	58	
	612 (त.रास्ता)	0	02	52	
	587	0	12	47	
	469/1 (स.नाला)	} o	24	87	
	469मिन. (स.नाला)	J			
	418	0	03	27	
	419 मिन.01	o	10	17	
	419/760	J			
	424	0	21	74	
	428	0	18	53	
	429	0	13	18	
	410	0	10	45	
	411	0	00	20	
	408	0	01	80	
	409	0	06	27	
	405	0	02	16	
	404	0	02	91	
	392/1 392मिन	0	15	76	
	394	0 0	18 01	06 58	
	3 <i>37</i> (स.सड़क)	0	01	27	
	339/763	0	06	55	
	341	0	09	26	
	354	0	16	48	
	358मिन	ō	08	53	
	375	0	14	41	
	374 मिन	0	00	56	
	376	0	02	16	
	373	0	00	96	
	372	0	10	09	
	३७१ (स.खालखद्दर)	0	00	92	
	112 (वनविभाग)	0	02	16	
	.74	0	07	13	
	86	0	21	67	

तहसील : आबू रोड जिला : सिरोही		राज्य : राजस्थान			
क्रम			क्षेत्रफल		
सं.	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	- 6
१०. मुदरला (जारी)		85	0	11	14
		90	0	04	89
•		84	0	03	20

[फा. सं. आर-31015/44/2004-ओ.आर-II] हरीश कमार. अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th June, 2005

S.O. 2325.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 3098 dated the 23rd November, 2004, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), published in the Gazette of India dated the 4th December, 2004, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Gujarat to Delhi through Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 24th February, 2005;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being said and that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user merein:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule, appended to this notification, is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of declaration, in Hindustan Petroleum Comporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR- II dated 25-11-2004.

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.			Area	*
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
1. AVAL	654(G/L Cart Track)	0	02	14
	655(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	25
	653(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	57
	656	0	04	99
	657	0	04	27
	658	0	00	20
	662	0	80	58
•	663	0	80	56
	664	0	00	20
	688	0	06	26
	691	0	00	29
	687	0	02	52
	685	0	09	44
	682	0	04	72
	681	0	02	40
	676	0	03	96
	677	0	03	50
	675	0	06	12
	712	0	. 06	96
	713	0	07	10
	714	0	00	20
	714/1463	0	09	36
	715	0	12	78
	716	0	11	77
	448	0	00	25 ,
	447	0	01	23
	717	0	05	97
	718	0	05	93
	418	0	09	90
	420/1	0	04	48
	420Min	0-	01	65
	421	0	00	20
	428	0	03	20
	423	0	06	11
*	427	0	00	96
	425	0	09	36
	426	0	00	20

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District:	State :	RAJAS	MAHT
Sr.	The second secon		Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Àre	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
1. AVAL (Contd)	408 (G.P.Cart track)	0	01	44
	400	0	16	36
	399	0	06	75
	398	0	01	26
	397	0	12	65
	384	0	03	45
	386	0	13	14
	373	0	80	15
	371	0	08	26
	372	0	80	28
	370	0	15	53
	369(G/L Nala)	0	03	24
	368(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	02	29
	335(GP Abadi)	0	00	66
	347	0	02	52
	348	0	80	01
	367(G.P.Cart Track)	0	01	08
	366	0	09	54
	365	0	80	21
	178(G.P. Road)	0	03	09
	112/1	0	01	20
	123	0	19	04
	124	0	80	64
	126	0	80	55
	1.35	0	06	52
	137	0	00	73
	134	0	09	80
	142	0	15	32
	133/1 133	}	00	20
	143(G/L Nala)	0	03	42
	150	0	18	00
	147	0	04	20
	149	0	01	70
	148	Ö	07	40
	162	0	16	92
	164	0	25	00
2. MUNGTHALA	474(G.P.Pasture)	0	11	00
	475	0	18	5 3

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State : RAJASTHAN		THAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3_	4	5	6
2. MUNGTHALA (Contd)	477(G/L Nala)	0	01	01
	478	0	00	32
	479	0	10	55
•	480(G/L Nala)	0	02	15
	519	0	12	45
	520	0	80	5 5
	527	0	11	40
	526	0	21	11
	547	0	12	47
	549(G/L Nala)	0	03	70
	552	0	30	57
	558(G/L Nala)	0	03	25
	557	0	21	25
	. 564	0	22	09
	566	0	10	84
	570	0	17	60
	569	0	02	. 15
	572(G/L Nala)	0	03	92
	573(Irrigation deptt.)	0	04	46
	578(Irrigation deptt.)	0	04	94
	574	0	22	27
	596	0	21	61
	594	0	00	20
	597(G/L Nala)	0	02	34
	1704	0	00	69
	1708	0	15	52
	1707	0	03	75
	1687 (G/L Cart Track)	0	02	11
	1679/1	0	09	01
	1675	0	04	84
	1678	0	20	96
	1670	0	00	20
	1528(P.W.D. Road)	0	04	47
	1500	0	26	00
	1499	0	00	96
	1498	0	07	78
	1497	0	01	85
	1496 (G/L Cart Track)	0	01	15
	1471	0	07	10

Tehsil : ABU RO	AD District : SIROHI	State	: RAJA	STHAN
Sr.			Area	2
No Name of the V	llage Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5 .	6
2. MUNGTHALA (Con	td) 1472	0	00	40
	1470	0	09	51
	1469	0	10	33
	1468	0	10	11
	1474	0	00	20
	1475	0	10	15
	1476	0	07	17
	1404	0	15	94
	1405	O	12	11
	1406 (G/L Cart Trac	ck) o	01	25
	1407	0	07	84
	1413	9	12	25
	1409	0	02	20
	1414	0	02	95
	1410	0	00	20
	1412	0	09	35
	1411	0	02	71
	1435	Q	10	87
	1428	0	23	87
	1426	0	12	91
	1350	0	11	34
	1349	0	09	20
-	1299	O	80	66
	1299/1	0	05	0 6
	1300Min	Q	04	10
	1300/1	Q	07	18
	1301	0	00	20
	1280	0	26	58
	1281	0	14	12
	1263	0	20	49
	1266	0	05	45
	1267	0	00	20
3. MIRGARH	1(G/L Nala)	0	04	32
	94	0	06	59
	93	0	10	07
	84	0	14	40
	. 77	0	02	14
	86	0	02	68
	76	0	11	88

	Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Äre	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	. 6
3.	MIRGARH (Contd)	74	0	- 01	44
		75	0	00	20
		27Min01	0	06	25
		27(G/L River)	0	05	96
		35	0	16	46
	•	33	0	11	88
		31	0	00	20
		32	0	02	86
4.	TALWARON KA NAKA	371	0	11	42
		372	0	00	20
		369(G/L Nala)	0	01	91
		347	0	00	57
		354	0	19	51
		353	0	02	97
		358	0	00	20
		338(G/L Nala)	0	02	00
		337	0	00	20
	•	335	0	10	27
		334/1	0	02	27
		334Min	0	13	63
		323	0	07	74
		322	0	00	73
		318	0	24	41
	•	317	0	00	30
		303	0	17	10
		302	. 0	02	87
		300(G/L Nala)	0	07	20
		299	0	04	16
		296	0	29	99
		297	0	00	59
		295/532	0	03	56
		295/533	0 '	14	04
		295/1)		
		295M. #01	0	16	62
		294/531	0	04	60
	•	293/523	0	05	04
		293/522	0	23	51
		293	0	05	71
		286(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	23

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	RAJAS	STHAN
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
4. TALWARON KA NAKA	281	0	13	30
(contd)	282	0	19	62
	283	0	26	40
	278(Irrig. Deptt. Canal)	0	10	87
	277/521	0	18	03
	277 277Min01	} 0	11	52
5. GANKA	14	0	02	88
	15(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	20
	13(G/L Nala)	0	03	04
	12	0	35	24
	31(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	15
_	442	0	00	20
_	443	0	10	96
	441	0	06	85
	440	0	20	43
	444	0	00	61
	439	0	11	75
	432(G/L Cart Track)	0	03	8 2
	429	0	12	77
	428/2	0	06	96
	428/1	0	06	02
	427/1	0	03	21
	427/2	0	01	29
	425/2	0	02	94
	425/1	0	03	47
	417	0	10	05
	416	0	00	80
	415	0	14	18
	414	0	19	03
	51	0	00	20
•	68	0	00	20
	413	0	18	00
	71	0	10	02
	114(P.W.D.Pasture)	0	30	47
	72	0	00	26
	115(G/L Nala)	0	04	53
	116	0	04	74
	117	0	07	80

	Tensil : ABU ROAL	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.		-		Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	GANKA (Contd)	118	0	12	47
		121	0	16	86
		122	0	00	60
		123(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	97
		124	0	06	77
6.	UMARNI	76	0	11	88
		75	0	00	20
		68	0	20	92
		67	0	10	57
		64	0	17	10
		59	0	12	23
		55	0	05	39
		56/1	0	06	49
		54	0	09	04
		53	0	04	09
		51	0	80	96
		49(G/L Nala)	0	04	53
		186	0	06	48
		182 (PWD Road)	0	02	94
		232	0	07	20
		237	0	14	74
		238	0	13	48
		239	0	00	25
•		242	0	13	68
		244	0	18	11
		209	0	00	73
		196Min01	0	15	52
	•	196/1	0	03	48
		197	0	03	63
		199	0	03	60
		198	0	18	12
		202	0	05	19
		208 (Irrig. Deptt. Canal)	0	10	98
		427	0	41	88
		434	0	03	77
	•	433Min01	0	26	37
		433/1	0	12	00
		435	0	06	72

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State : RAJASTHA		
Sr.			Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
7. DANWAV	205	0	07	20
	204	0	11	00
	207	0	80	55
	209	0	06	48
	210	0	00	20
	217(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	17
	219	0	20	07
	223 (G/L Nala)	0	04	50
	236	0	31	91
	245	0	01	13
	244	0	12	37
	237	0	00	41
	243	0	09	00
	251(P.W.D.Road)	0	04	77
	252Min01	0	35	19
	272/252	0	10	08
	257 M in	0	02	74
	256/5	0	03	96
	256/4	0	03	42
	256/3	0	04	23
	256/2	0	03	96
	256/1	0	04	23
	255	0	17	10
	254(G/L Magari)	0	05	40
8. KAROLI	412(G/L Magari)	0	09	27
	406	0	06	05
	411	0	13	87
	410 (G/L Magari)	0	00	65
	409	0	10	42
	400	0	00	20
	387(G/L Nala)	0	03	48
	() () () () () () () () () ()	0	05	85
	391	9	16	56
	396/2	O	01	41
	393	0	20	55
	394	0	08	64
	398(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	57
	240	0	22	40
	₹3.71	0	02	. 66

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.		T	Area	
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
8. KAROLI (Contd)	233/1	0	03	70
	233/2	0	02	80
	247/1)		
	247/2			
•	522/247	} o	46	72
	523/247			
	524/247)		
·	232	0 .	02	16
	231	0	02	12
	230	0	00	53
	250	0	58	20
	251Min01(G/L))		
	528/251/1	} o	20	0e
	529/251/2	(29	06
	530/251/3	J		
	255	0	17	52
	256	0	12	49
	257	0	17	10
	258	0	01	01
·	259	0	01	35
	125(G/L Cart Track)	0	00	97
-	121	0	28	86
9. AMTHALA	1042	0	02	16
	1037(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	44
	1036	0	25	30
•	1081	0	14	72
	1083	0	17	87
	1092	0	09	27
	1093	0	01	57
	992Min01(G/L)	0	02	57
	993	0	10	20
	992/1168(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	06	43
	988	0	12	36
	986	0	04	05
	987	0	01	20
	977(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	36
	976	0	03	24
	975	0	13	68
	969 (G/L Nala)	0	00	95_

	Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : Sing Hi	State	RAJAS	THAN
Sr.				Area	
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Äre	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	AMTHALA (Contd)	868(G/L)	0	01	72
		866Min	0	07	87
		865	0	12	95
		863	0	⊍0	20
		834/2	0	02	19
		833/1	0	14	81
		772(P.W.D.Road)	0	05	36
		707	0	12	96
		708	0	31	45
		711(G/L Cart Trank)	0	00	72
		697	0	09	- 64
		686	0	00	44
		720/1147	0	00	50
		719	0	05	97
		720	0	06	09
		722	0	03	37
		723	0	03	12
		725	0	04	88
		726	0	15	50
		727	0	00	60
		743	0	09	35
		742	0	00	20
		744(G/L)	• 0	01	80
		745(G/L Cart Track)	0	01	27
		752	0	12	53
		751Min01(G/L Nala)) ,	03	24
		751/1190	} 0	US	24
		750Min01 (G/L))		
		750/1175	} o	03	76
		750/1211		03	
		750/1212	J		
		142/1219	0	80	52
		142/1210	0	13	47
		142/1213	0	03	26
		142/1266	0	19	80
		140Min01(G/L)	0	05	52
		128(G/L Nala)	0	01	29
		126/1191	0	06	88

Tehsil : ABU ROAD	District : SIROHI	State	: RAJAS	THAN
Sr.			. Area	E
No Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6
9. AMTHALA (Contd)	126Min01(G/L)	}		
	126/1163	} 0	05	76
	126/1162	J		
	124	0	12	, 5 8
10. MUDARLA	658	0	06	65
	657	0	11	58
	612 (G/L Cart Track)	0	02	52
	587	0	12	47
•	469/1 (G/L Nala)	} o	24	87
•	469Min (G/L Nala)	J		
	418	_ 0	03	27
	419Min01	\ 0	10	17
	419/760	J		
	424	0	21	74
	428	0	18	53
	429	0	13	18
	410	Ó	10	45
	411	0	00	20
	408	0.	01	80 27
	409 405	0	06 02	27 16
	. 405	.0	02	91
	404	0	15	76 ·
	392/1 392Min	0 0	18	06
(¥)	394	0	01	58 ·
		0	01	27
	337(G/L Road) 339/763	0	06	55
	341	0	09	26
	354	0	16	48
	358Min	0	08	53
	375	0	14	41
	374Min	0	00	56
	376	0	02	16
	373	0	00	96
	372	0	10	09
	371(G/L Khalkhaddar)	0	00	92
	112 (Forest deptt)	. 0	02	16
	74	Ō	07	13
	86	0	21	67

Tehsil: ABU ROAD District: SIROHI State: RA				RAJA	STHAN
Sr.			Area		
No	Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
10. M	UDARLA (Contd)	85	0	11	14
		90	0	04	89
		84	0	03	20

[No. R-31015/44/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2326.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 2913 तारीख 3 नवम्बर, 2004, जो भारत के राजपत्र तारीख 13 नवम्बर, 2004, में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गुजरात राज्य में मुन्द्रा से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए मुन्द्रा-दिल्ली पेट्रोलियम उत्पाद पाइपलाइन के माध्यम से हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉपरिशन लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 24 दिसम्बर, 2004, को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं :

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन, केन्द्रीय सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चय किया है :

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

और केब्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस कोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केब्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने के बजाए, इस मंत्रालय के सहमित पर सं आर – 31015/7/03 ओ.आर-॥ दिनांक 25/11/2004 द्वारा लगाई गई शर्तों के अध्यधीन सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन कि निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

	तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांदा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
क्रम	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	णाप का जान	ં અંતરા ત.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	मेरडला	140	पी 1	0	29	93
		139	पी4	0	11	58
		139	पी3	0	21	38
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या 139/पी3]	0	0,2	85
		और 123/पी3 के बीच मे	ſ	·	0,2	00
		123	पी3	0	09	25
		125	_	0	29	58
		127	पी 1	0	23	52
		1 2 8	पी4	0	24	05
		129	-	0	10	33
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या 129	J	0	0 1	78
		और 19/1 के बीच मे	ſ	v	0.	7.0
		19	1	0	22	21
		18,	पी 1	0	02	58
		19	् 2पी1	0	0 1	56
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या 19/2/पी2	ļ	0	05	70
		और 30/पी2 के वीच मे	J		•	, •
		30	पी 1	0	00	96
		29	1पी2	0	13	54
		29	2	0	15	95
		28	_	0	43	69
		22	पी।	0	01	96
		23	-	0	32	24
		14	्पी।	0	33	67
		रास्ता खसरा] -	0	01	42
		संख्या 14/पी1 मे	J			
		590	-	0.	12	12
		593	पी2	0	0 1	76
		593	पी 1	0	10	53
		593	पी3	0	06	24
		594	पी।	0	29	72
		582	पी2	1	28	55
		581	पी2	0	29	40
		580	पी3	0	18	99
		580	पी 1	0	16	75
		579	पी 1	0	34	56
2.	जोटाडा	66	_	0	41	34
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या ६६	ļ	0	01	06
		और 68 के बीच मे	1	J	.	0.0

नारी. 😘

तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बगसलंत्र		राज्य :	गुजरात	
कस ।	A STATE OF THE STA	उप खण्ड		<u>अ</u> क्षेत्रफल	
्राँव का नाम सं.	खसरा सं.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
2. जोयडा (जारी)	68	-	0	40	21
	84	पी।	0	40	57
	72	-	0	10	87
	73	-	0	31	86
3. जाखेल	188	पी 1	0	61	47
	188	पी9	0	18	53
	188	पी5	0	00	20
4. नेकारिया	62	पी 1	0	3 1	35
	64	1पी2	0	20	31
•	65	1	0	00	12
	79	-0.	0	52	68
	78	पी 1	0	C 1	98
	80		.0	34	20
	81	पी2 पी3	0	24	20
	82	पाउ पी2	0	08	19
	82 98	412	0 0	11 28	93
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 98	_ ا			51
	और 101 के बीच मे	}	0	0 1	40
	101	_	0	80	55
	102	अ/पी १	0	16	Q3
	102	अ/पी2	0	07	84
	102	अ/पी3	0	07	84
	104		0	26	73.
	117	पी 1	0	63	50
	131	-	0	24	23
	. 130	-	0	06	60
•	132	-	0	21	20
	133	-	0	09	80
	134	_	0	8 0	55
	135	पी2	0	09	44
	135	पी।	0	03	52
	135	पी3	0	0.5	88
	136	2	0	12	98
	136	1	0	08	50
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 136/1 और 161 के बीच ने	}	0	01	42
	161	-	0	27	44
	160	् पी2	0	09	89
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 160/पी2 और 159 के बीच मे	}	٥	04	63
	159	–	0	30	11
	158	_	0	08	55_

	तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
क्रम	गाँव का नाम		उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	जाव का बा भ	खसरा सं.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5.	रणकपुर	251	-	0	34	92
	,	253	1 पी 1	0	17	64
		255	पी 1	0	31	57
		246	1 पी 1	0	23	52
		246	् 1पी2	0	15	14
	•	रास्ता खुसरा संख्या 246/1/पी2	ļ	0	05	48
		और 266 😂 दीच मे	J			
		266	-	0	00	60
		156		0	15	03
		299	-	0	06	84
	•	300	-	0	18	53
		308	_	0	16	81
		303	पी 1	0	00	47
		304	-	0	11	66
		307		0	34	21
		306	1	0	11	25
		315	_	. 0	08	19
		34 33	_ पी 1	0 0	1 <i>7</i> 18	46 53
		32	2	0	23	29
		32	1	0	04	50
		28	1	0	20	31
		27	्। पी 1	0	11	84
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या 27/पी।	າ ້ີ່			
		और 66/1 के बीच मे	}	0	01	78
		66	1	0	17	00
		65	-	0	09	77
		64	-	0	10	80
	•	68	पी2	0	23	51
		68	पी 1	0	18	88
	•	74.	٦ -	0	16	80
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या 74 और 73/1 के वीच मे	}	0	0 1	40
		73	1	0	35	64
		71	1 पी 1	0	06	05
		92	पी 1	0	32	40
		93	3	0	22	30
6. 3	सदुजीवास	113	पी2	0	29	93
		116		0	09	44
		117	1	0	80	55
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या ११७ और ५४ के बीच मे	}	0	00	89

तालूका ः कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा			wana	
कम		उप खण्ड	राज्य :	गुजरात क्षेत्रफ ल	
गाँव का नाम सं.	खसरा सं.	सं.	हेक्टेंबर	एवर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
6. सदुजीवास (जारी)	54		0	31	18
3	55		0	00	42
	59		0	17	28
	63	पी2	0	1.1	40
	63	पी 1	0	08	91
	62		0	08	58
	64	1	0	00	30
	65		0	10	33
	66	2	0	13	18
	71	1	0	03	76
	67	•	0	16	03
• *	69	पी2	0	16	39
७. यरा	165	_	0	32	07
	164	3	0	08	19
	163	-	0	18	88
	161	3	0	20	49
	41	2पी1	0	13	18
	43	1	0	25	83
	44	– पी 1	0	14	96
	46	чн	0	09 18	26 50
	47 46	_ पी2	0 0	07	63
	48	1	0	02	35
	50	, 2पी2	0	08	19
	50	2पी 1	0	08	37
	62	पी 1	0	13	89
	61	_	0	16	03
	60	_	0	25	66
	59	_	0	12	11
	58	2	0	09	97
	58	3	0	8 0	55
	72	1	0	09	25
	72	2	0	1.1	40
८. ताना	16	_	0	09	23
	रास्ता नाना जामपुर ग्राम				
	सीमा और खसरा संख्या	}	0	0 1	56
	. 223/1 के बीच मे	i)	-		
	223	1	0	14	25
	228	पी 1	0	19	3 5
	230	1	0	02	35
	227	_	0	20	15
	231	2	0	12	83

तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
क्रम . गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	ુ અંતરા ત.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
८. ताना (जारी)	231	1	0	16	75
	217	1	0	12	58
	217	2	0	14	24
	214	-	0	14	07
	211	_	0	35	31
	212	1	0	0 1	56
	200	-	0	77	76
९. नाना जामपुर	100	अ/पी1	0	09	97
	100	0	0	23	52
	102	पी2	0	18	71
	102	पी 1	0	25	48
•	101	1	0	05	33
	113	-	0	37	77
	117	– पी1	0	34	92
	118 118	पा। पी2	0	17	11
	११६ रास्ता खसरा संख्या ११८/पी2) 412	0	08	19
	और 146/पी4 के बीच मे	}	0	01	42
	146) पी4	0	01	76
	140	-	0	27	08
	139	1 पी 2	0	16	75
•	132	-	0	14	96
	131	_	0	18	53
	रास्ता ताना ग्राम सीमा)	·	, 0	33
	और खसरा संख्या 131	}	0	0 1	60
	के बीच मे		•		
10. आंबलुन	195) पी2	1	53	76
	187	_	0	24	94
	189	_	0	17	37
	188		0	05	40
	178	पी2	0	09	36
	178	पी 1	0	10	44
	नाला खसरा संख्या 178/पी1)	0	08	
	और 167 के बीच मे	}	0	08	01
	167		0	13	59
	168		0	28	08
	170		0	14	94
	141	1	0	12	60
	141	2पी1	0	13	32
	140	_	0	24	59
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या १४०	}	0	03	22
	और 120/3 के बीच मे	<u> </u>			

तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांटा	The section of the se	राज्य :	गुजरात	
क्रम . गॉव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	બુલલ લ.	સં.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
10. आंबलुन (जारी)	120	3	e	01	41
	121		0	10	69
	123	पी 1	Q	20	67
	123	पी2	U	05	01
	122	_	U	05	0.1
१ १ . थुली (जंगापुर)	7	पी 1	0	18	17
	7	पी3	0	13	86
	6	-	0	29	75
	8	1	ö	16	50
	8	2	0	00	20
	1 4	पी '	0	16	75
	2	₩.₩	0	00	50
	13	_	0	16	50
•	1	पी 1	2	20	22
१२. आंगनवाडा	57	-	0	23	52
	82	_	0	24	22
	81	-	0	09	84
	83	_	0	12	65
	80	1	0	12	40
	80	2	0	07	12
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 80/2 और 85 के बीच मे	}	0	03	50
	85	_	0	17	72
	86	1	0	14	25
	86	2	0	01	72
	106	1	0	00	30
	106	2	0	14	31
	105	- ·	0	10	40
	110	-	0	17	28
	111	-	0	06	59
	114	-	0	33	32
	125	2	0	05	95
	124	-	0	03	18
	116	-	0	08	20
	123	2	0	10	33
	1 2 3	1	0	00	30
	1 2 1	1	0	0 5	68
	1 2 1	2	0	07	28
	122	-	0	21	65
	120	पी1	0	08	83
	120	पी2	0	16	21
	141	1पी1	0	07	12

तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
कम		उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
गाँव का नाम सं.	खसरा सं.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
१२. आंगनवाडा (जारी)	141	1पी2	0	07	30
	141	2	0	15	00
	145	-	0	06	52
	146	-	0	20	92
	165	-	0	17	18
	181	2	0	21	74
	182	-	0	11	58
	184	-	0	21	74
	209	-	0	22	23
	210	पी2	0	07	12
	208	-	0	24	41
	207	'1	0	11	44
	207	2पी 1	0	22	63
	206	-	0	12	83
	238	् पी 1	0	09	54
13. दुदासण	रास्ता सापरा ग्राम सीमा		_		
	और खसरा संख्या	} -	0	09	40
	171 के बीच मे	}			
	171	-	0	14	96
	170	1	0	.07	84
	170	2	0	07	30
	170	3पी1	0	09	05
	168	पी 1	0	13	36
	1 5 3	-	0	23	54
	152	पी2	0	12	29
	1 5 1	-	0	12	47
	150	2	0	10	69
	149	-	0	07	84
	146	-	0	17	10
	145	-	0	08	26
	140	2	0	07	91
	141	1पी1	0	14	23
	121	_	0	19	60
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 121 और 116/2 के बीच मे	}	0	00	50
	116	2	0	10	58
	116	1 पी 2	0	12	47
	60	पी2	0	16	37
	60	पी 1	0	15	68
	59	पी 1	0	01	88
	24	-	0	14	96
•	58	1 पी 1	0	05	70
		1411	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	10

	तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
क्रम	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
सं.	णाय का जाम	બુલલ લ.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13.	दुदासण (जारी)	58	2पी 1	O	07	66
		57		0	13	54
		46		0	14	43
		47	-	0	15	96
		39	-	0	09	62
		38	-	0	09	97
		37	1	0	17	03
		36	~	0	03	38
		रास्ता खसरा संख्या ३६	}	0	03	38
		और 279 के बीच मे	}			
		279	-	0	04	81
		278	-	0	0 1	06
		281	पी3	0	13	89
		281	पी2	0	1 2	71
		281	पी4	0	0 0	47
		302	पी 1	0	21	38
		302	पी2	0	19	24
		303	1	0	12	11
		304	पी 1	0	09	26
		305	पी2	0	17	10
14.	लक्ष्मीपुरा	97	2	0	03	86
		97	1	0	0.0	20
		123	पी3	0	01	10
		98	1	0 0	09 08	97 91
	,	98 99	2	0	09	32
		100	1	0	01	37
		102	·-	0	31	36
		115		0	12	48
		146	1	0	10	87
		145	_	0	01	43
		210	पी 1	0	19	06
		206		0	21	16
		209	पी2	0	15	68
		209	पी 1	0	19	95
		207	-	0	00	50
15	कम्बोइ	103	_	0	17	10
	W14	116	_	0	12	11
		147	पी 1	0	12	83
		रास्ता लक्ष्मीपुरा ग्राम के खसरा))	-		
		संख्या १४५ और खसरा	-	0	01	42
		संख्या 219/पी1 के बीच मे	J			
		4.0 ₁ .01 2.101 00 014 01	-	,		

तालूका ः कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात		Ī
क्रम गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल		
सं.	બુલલ લ.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	
1 2	3	4	5	6	7	
१ ५. कम्बोइ (जारी)	219.	पी 1	0	11	40	
	219	े पी2	0	1.1	0 0	
	219	पी3	0	12	83	
	217	-	0	08	73	
	220	1	0	18	71	
	216	<u>-</u>	0	11	76	
	208	पी1 -0 -	0	53	91	
	820	पी 1	0	12	47	
	833	-	0	17	45	
	836	– पी2	0	18	17	
	837 837	पा2 पी1	0 0	06 15	68 90	
	845	पी 1	0	11	53	
	839	1	0	18	06	
	839	2	0	00	25	
	842	-	0	09	50	
	843	3	0	04	75	
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या ८४३/३ और ९१३ के बीच मे	}	0	03	56	
	913		0	21	38	
	1643	3	0	17	82	
	1646		0	13	36	
	1647	_	0	14	61	
	1648	-	0	12	11	
	1651		٥٠	0 1	68	
	1650	पी 1	0	18	27	
	1650	पी2	0	12	83	
	1676	-	0	11	04	
	1675	पी2	0	14	96	
	1675	पी 1	0	17	46	
	1674	2	0	07	30	
	1674	1	0	8 0	89	
	1673		0	00	32	
	1693	1	0	13	54	
	1693	2	Q	12	83	
	1695	पी2 -0 -4	0	11	30	
	1695	पी 1	0	17	95	
	1696	-	0	07	84	
	1700	-	0	05	70	
	1690	une	0	15	32	
	1691	0	0	25	66	
	1749	1 पी 2	0	14	85	

तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
कम		उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
्रां सं.	खसरा सं.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
१५. कम्बोइ (जारी)	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 1749/1/पी2 और 1667/पी2 के बीच मे	}	0	07	28
•	. 1667	्र पी2	0	22	81
	1766	पी 1	0	31	36
	1762	पी 1	0	04	60
	1764	_	0	06	41
	1763	-	0	14	25
१६. रानेर	1452	_	0	14	46
	1411	_	0	10	75
	1412	_	0	09	67
	1408	2	0	04	27
	1407	1	0	06	34
	1407	2	0	06	00
	1413	_	0	04	63
	1406	-	0	09	19
	1405	2	0	00	94
	1405	1	0	13	00
	1404	-	0	0 1	37
	1397	_	0	26	01
	1385	_	0	03	30
₹	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 1385 और 1398 के बीच मे	} -	0	03	76
	1398	_	0	11	26
	रास्ता खसरा संख्या 1398 और 1349/पी3 के बीच मे	} -	0	03	75
	1349	पी3	0	18	53
	1345	पी 1	0	15	68
	1346	पी 1	0	18	54
	1312	पी 1	0	13	54
	1311	-	0	20	31
	1302	-	0	04	26
	1300	1	0	04	29
	1301	-	0	13	55
	1181	-	0	13	54
	1182	पी 1	0	17	53
	1155	पी 1	0	15	00
	1154	पी 1	0	15	68
	1153	-	0	06	77
	1150	-	0	10	33
	1149	-	0	04	30
	1135 1134	_	0 0	19 00	95 60

	तालूका : कांकरेज	जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य :	गुजरात	
क्रम	गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	उप खण्ड		क्षेत्रफल	
ਲਂ.	जाप का जाज	બુલલ લ.	सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	. 3	4	5	6	7
16. र	ाबेर (जारी)	1121	-	0	11	40
		1119	-	0	0 1	64
		1110	-	0	00	20
	•	1111	-	0	00	20
		1112	-	0	07	12
		1078	-	0	00	30
		1076	पी ।	0	00	60

[फा. सं. आर-31015/38/2004-ओ.आर-II] हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 28th June. 2005

S. O. 2326.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 2913 dated the 3rd November, 2004, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), published in the Gazette of India dated the 13th November, 2004, the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of petroleum products from Mundra in the State of Gujarat to Delhi through Mundra-Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 24th December, 2004;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire right of user therein:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule, appended to this notification, is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of declaration, in Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances, subject to the conditions imposed vide this Ministry's consent letter no. R-31015/7/03 OR- II dated 25-11-2004.

SCHEDULE

Ţ	aluk : KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State :	GUJA	ARAT
Sr.	Name of Village	Survoy no	Sub- Division		Area	
No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	MANDLA	140	P1	0	29	93
		139	P4	0	11	58
		139	P3	0	21	38
		Cart track In between Survey	Ì	0	02	85
		Number.139/P3 & 123/P3	j	U	UZ.	00
		123	P3	0	09	25
		125	-	U	29	58
		127	P1	0	23	52
		128	P4	0-	24	05
		129		0	10	33
		Cart track In between		0	01	78
		Survey Number 129 & 19/1	ſ	U	UI	70
		19	1	0	22	21
	~ ,	18	P1	0	02	58
		19	2P1	0	01	56
		Cart track In between Survey	ļ	0	05	70
		Number. 19/2/P2&30/P2]	U	00	, ,
		30	P1	0	00	96
		29	1P2	0	13	54
	•	29	2	0	15	95
		28	-	0	43	69
		22	P1	0	01	96
		23	-	0	32	24
		14	P1	0	33	67
		Cart track In	l	0	01	42
		Survey Number. 14/P1	ſ	0	01	72
		590	-	0	12	12
		593	P2	0	01	76
		593	P1	0	10	53
		593	P3	0	06	24
		594	P1	0	29	72
	yan.	582	P2	1	28	55
		581	P2	0	29	40
		580	P3	0	18	99
		580	P1	0	16	75
		579	P1	0	34	56
2.	JOTADA	66	-	0	41	34
	•	Cart track In between	l	0	01	06
	•	Survey Number.66 & 68	<u></u>			

Taluk: KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State	: GUJ/	ARAT
Sr. Name of Village		Sub-		Area	
No. Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
2. JOTADA (Contd)	68	-	0	40	21
	84	P1	0	40	57
•	72	-	0	10	87
	73	-	0	31	86
3. JAKHEL	. 188	P1	0	61	47
	188	P9	0	18	53
	188	P5	, O	00	20
4. NEKRIYA	62	P1	0	31	35
	64	1P2	0	20	31
	65	1	0	00	12
	79	-	0	52	68
•	78	P1	0	01	98
	80	-	0	34	20
	81	P2	0	24	20
	82	P3	0	80	19
	82	P2	0	11	93
	98	_	0	28	51
	Cart track In between	}	0	01	40
	Survey Number.98 & 101	j			
	101	-	0 .	80	55
•	102	AP1	0	16	03
	102	AP2	0	07	84
	102	AP3	0	07	84
	104	-	0	26	73
	117	P1	0	63	50
	131	-	0	24	23
	130	-	0	06	60
	132	-	0	21	20
	133	-	0	09	80
	134	-	0	08	55
	135	P2	0	09	44
	135	P1	0	03	52
	135	P3	0	05	88
	136	2	0	12	98
	136	1	0	80	50
	Cart track In between	}	0	01	42
	Surtvey Number. 136/1 & 161	J	0	07	1.4
	161	-	0	27	44
	160	P2	0	09	89
,	Cart track In between Survey Number.160/P2 & 159	}	0	04	63
	159	J -	0	30	11
	158	-	0	08	55

T	aluk : KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State	: GUJA	ARAT
Sr.	Name of Village	8	Sub-		Area	
No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5.	RANAKPUR	251	-	0	34	92
		253	1P1	0	17	64
		255	P1	0	31	57
		246	1P1	0	23	52
		246	1P2	0	15	14
		Cart track In between Survey]	0	05	48
		Number246/1/P2 &266	ſ	U	US	40
		266	-	0	00	60
		156		0	15	03
		299	-	0	06	٤4
		300	-	0	18	53
		308	-	0	16	81
		303	P1	0	00	47
		304	-	0	11	66
		307	_	0	34	21
		306	1	0	11	25
		315	_	0	80	19
		34	-	0	17	46
		. 33	P1	0	18	53
		32	2	0	23	29
		32	1	0	04	50
		28	1	0	20	31
		27	P1	0	11	84
		Cart track In between Survey]			
		Number.27/P1 & 66/1	}	0	01	78
		66	1	0	17	00
		65	· -	0	09	77
		64	_	0	10	80
		68	P2	0	23	51
		68	P1	0	18	88
		74	•	0	16	80
		Cart track In between]	•		
	•	Survey Number.74 & 73/1	}	0	01	40
		73	1	0	35	64
		71	1P1	0	06	05
		92	P1	0	32	40
		93	3	0	22	30
6.	SADUJIVAS	113	P2	0	29	93
٠.		116		0	09	44
		117		0.	08	55
		Cart track in between)			
		Survey Number.117 & 54	}	0	00	89

Taluk: KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State	: GUJ	ARAT
Sr. Name of Village	Sunyayaa	Sub- Division		Area	
No. Name of Village	Survey no.	No. 4	Hectare	Are.	Sq.mtř.
1 2		4	5	6	7
6. SADUJIVAS (Contd)	54	,	Õ	31	18
	55		0	00	42
	59		0	17	28
	63	P2	0	11	40
	63	P1	0	80	91
	62		0	80	58
	64	1	0	00	30
	65		0	10	33
	66°	2	Ō;	43	18
	71	1	0	03	76
	67		0	16	03
	69	P2	0	16	39
7. THARA	165	-	0	32	07
	164	3	0	80	19
	163	+	0	18	88
	161 [†]	3	0	20	49
	41 ·	2P1	0	13	18.
	43	1	0	25	83
	44	-	0	14	96
	46	P1	0	09	26
	47	-	0	18	50
	46	P2	0	07	63
	48	1	0	02	35
	50 ¹	2P2	0	08	19
	⁻⁰ 50°	2P1	0	80	37
	62	P1	0	13	89
	61 5	-	0	16	03
	60	-	0	25	66
	59	-	0	12	11
	58 ⁵	2	0	09	97
	58 ⁻	3	0	80	55
	72	1	0	09	25
	72	2	0	11	40
8. TANA	16 [°]	-	0	09	23 .
	Cart track in between village)			
	boundary of Nana Jampur &	}	0	01	56
	Survey Number 223/1				
	223	1	0	14	25
	228	P1	0	19	35
	230	1	0	02	35
	22 7 °	-	0	20	15
	231	2	0 .	12	83

Taluk: KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State	: GUJ/	ARAT
Sr. Name of Village	S	Sub-		Area	
No. Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	_5	6	7
8. TANA (Contd)	231	1	0	16	75
	217	1	0	12	58
	217	2	0	14	24
	214	-	0	14	07
	211	-	0	35	31
	212	1	0	01	56
	200	-	0	77	76
9. NANA JAMPUR	100	AP1	0	09	97
	100		0	23	52
	102	P2	0	18	71
	102	P1	0	25	48
	101	1	0	05	33
	113		0	37	77
	117	-	0	34	92
	118	P1	0	17	11
	118	P2	0	08	19
	Cart track In between Survey		0	01	42
	Number.118/P2&146/P4		U	O I	44
	146	P4	0	01	76
	140	-	0	27	80
	139	1P2	0	16	75
	132	-	0	14	96
	131	-	0	18	53
	Cart track In between Survey) -			
	Number.131 Village boundary	}	0	01	60
	of Tana	J			
10. AMBLUN	195	P2	1	53	76
	187	-	0	24	94
	189	•	0	17	37
	188		0	05	40
	178	P2	0	09	36
	178	P1	0	10	44
	Nala In between Survey	}	0	08	01
	Number.178/P1 & 167	J	•	40	50
	167		0	13	59
	168		0	28	08
	170	,	0	14	94
	141	1	0	12	60
	141	2P1	0	13	32
	140	-	0	24	59
	Cart track In between Survey Number 140 & 120/3	}	0	03	22

Taluk : KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State	: GUJ	RAT
Sr. Name of Village	Survey no	Sub- Division		Area	
No. Name of Village	Survey no.	No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1 2	3	4	5	6	7
10. AMBLUN (Contd)	120	3	0	01	41
	121	-	0	10	69
	123	P1	0	20	67
	123	P2	0	05	01
	122	•	0	05	01
11. THALI (GANGAPUR)	7	P1	0	18	17
	7	P3	0	13	86
	6	•	0	29	75
	8	1	0	16	50
	8	2	0	00	20
	14	P1	0	16	75
	2	-	0	00	50
	· 13	- D4	0	16	50
42 41/04/104/4/24	1 = +	P1	2	20	22
12. ANGANWADA	57	-	0	23	52
	82	•	0 0	24 09	22 84
	81 83	•	0	12	65
	80	-	0	12	40
	80	1 2	-		12
		ነ -	0	07	12
	Cart track In between Survey Number 80/2 & 85~	}	0	03	50
	85	J	0	17	72
	86	1	0	14	25
	86	2	0	01	72
	106	1	0	00	30
	106	2	Ö	14	31
	105	-	.0	10	40
	110	•	0	17	28
	111	-	Ö	06	59
	114	-	Ö	33	32
	125	2	Ö	05	95
	124	-	0	03	18
	116	-	Ō	08	20
	123	2	Ŏ	10	33
	123	1	0	00	30
	121	1	0	05	68
	121	2	0	07	28
	122	-	0	21	65
	120	- P1	0	08 ⁽⁾	83
	120	P2	0	16	21
	141	1P1	0	07	12

Taluk: KA	NKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State :		
Sr. Name	of Village	Survey no.	Sub- Division		Area	
No.	or village	Survey no.	No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mt
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. ANGANY	VADA (Contd)	141	1P2	0	07	30
		141	3	0	15	00
		145	~	0	06	52
		146	-	0	20	92
		165	-	0	17	18
		181	2	0	21	74
		182		0	11	58
		184	_	0	21	74
		209	-	0	22	23
		210	P2	0	07	12
		208	-	0	24	41
		207	1	0	11	44
		207	2P1	0	22	63
		206		0	12	83
		238	P1	0	09	54
3. DUDASAN	Cart track In between Village	1				
		boundary of Sapara &		0	09	40
	Survey Number 171					
		171		0	14	96
		17.0	1	0	07	84
		170	2	0	07	30
		170	3P1	0	05	05
		168	P1	0	13	36
		153	-	0	23	54
		152	P2	0	12	29
		151	-	0	12	47
			2	o	10	69
		150	4	0	07	84
		149				10
		146	-	0	17	
		145	-	0	08	26
		140	2	0	07	91
		141	1P1	0	14	23
		121	-	0	19	60
		Cart track In between Survey	-	0	00	50
		Number.121 & 116/2				
		116	2	0	10	58
		116	1P2	0	12	47
		60	P2	0	16	37
		60	P1	0	15	68
		59	P1	0	01	88
		24	•	0	14	96
		58	1P1	0	05	70

Taluk : KANKREJ		District : BANASKANTHA		State : GUJARAT Area		
Sr. Name of Village		Survey no.	Sub- Division			
No.			No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13. 1	DUDASAN (Contd)	58	2P1	0	07	66
		57		0	13	54
		46	-	0	14	43
		47		0	15	96
		39		0	09	62
		38	-	0	09	97
		37	1	0	17	03
		36	- 1	0	03	38
		Cart track In between Survey No.36 & 279	} .	0	03	38
		279		0	04	81
		278	-	0	01	06
		281	P3	0	13	89
		281	P2	0	12	71
		281	P4	0	00	47
		302	P1	0	21	38
		302	P2	0	19	24
		303	1	0	12	11
		304	P1	0	09	26
		305	P2	0	17	10
14. LAXMIPURA	LAXMIPURA	97	2	0	03	86
		97	1	0	00	20
		123	P3	0	01	10
		98	1	0	09	97
		98	2	0	08	91
		99	-	0	09	32
		100	1	0	01	37
		102	-	0	31	36
		115		0	12	48
		146	1	0	10	87
		145	2	0	01	43
		210	P1	0	19	06
		206		0	21	16
		209	P2	0	15	68
		209	P1	0	19	95
		207		o	00	50
15 1	KAMBOI	103.	-	0	17	10
· ·	CARDO!	116		0	12	11
		147	P1	0	12	83
		Cart track In between Survey)	U	12	03
		Number. 145 of village laxmipura and 219/P1	-	0	01	42

T	Taluk: KANKREJ District: BANASKANTHA			State	: GUJ/	ARAT	
Sr.	Name of MD	6	Sub-	Area			
No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	
15.	KAMBOI (Contd)	219	P1	0	11	40	
		219	P2	0	11	00	
		219	P3	0	12	83	
		217	-	0	80	73	
		220	1	0	18	71	
		216	-	0	11	76	
		208	P1	0	53	91	
		820	P1	0	12	47	
		833	-	0	17	46	
		836	-	0	18	17	
		837	P2	0	06	80	
		837	P1	0	15	68	
		845	P1	0	11	53	
		839	1	0	18	06	
		839	2	0	00	25	
		842	_	0	09	50 75	
		843	3	0	04	75	
		Cart track In between Survey Number.843/3 and 913	}	0	03	56	
		913	-	0	21	38	
		1643	3	0	17	82	
		1646	-	0	13	36	
		1647	-	0	14	61	
		1648	-	0	12	11	
		1651	-	0	01	68	
		1650	P1	0	18	27	
		1650	P2	0	12	83	
		1676	-	0	11	04	
		1675	P2	0	.14	96	
		1675	P1	0	17	46	
		1674	2	0	07	30	
		1674	1	0	80	89	
		1673	-	0	00	32 54	
		1693	1	0	13 12	83	
		1693	2 P2	0 . 0	11	30	
		1695 1605	P1	0	17	95	
		1695 1606	ГІ		07	95 84	
		1696	-	0			
		1700	-	0	05 15	70	
		1690	-	0	15 25	32 66	
		1691	400	0	25	66 ee	
		1749	1P2	0	14_	85	

T	aluk: KANKREJ	District : BANASKANTHA		State	: GUJA	ARAT
Sr.			Sub-		Area	
No.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Division No.	Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15.	KAMBOI (Contd)	Cart track In between Survey		0	07	28
		Number.1749/1/P2 and 1667/P2	: ∫	U	O1	20
		1667	P2	0	22	81
		1766	P1	0	31	36
		1762	P1	0	04	60
		1764	-	0	06	41
		1763	-	0	14	25
16.	RANER	1452	-	0	14	46
		1411	-	Ò	10	75
		1412	-	0	09	67
		1408	2	0	04	27
		1407	1	0	06	34
		1407	2	0	06	00
		1413	-	0	04	63
		1406	-	0	09	19
	•	1405	2	0	00	94
		1405	1	0.	13	00
		1404	-	0	01	37
		1397	-	0	26	01
		1385	•	0	03	30
		Cart track in between Survey]	0	03	76
		Number.1385 and 1398	} -	0	03	76
		1398	<u>.</u>	0	11	26
		Cart track In between Survey	-	0 .	03	75
		Number.1398 and 1349/P3	ſ	U	03	75
		1349	P3	0	18	53
		1345	P1	0	15	68
		1346	P1	0	18	-54
		1312	P1	0	13	54
		,1311	•	0	20	31
		1302	-	0	04	26
		1300	1	0	04	29
		1301	-	0	13	55
		1181	-	0	13	54
		1182	P1	0	17	53
		1155	P1	0	15	00
		1154	P1	0	15	68
		1153	-	0	06	7 7
		1150	-	0	10	33
		1149	•	0	04	30
		1135	-	0	19	95
		1134		0	00	60

Taluk: KANKREJ		District: BANASKANTHA S		State	State : GUJARAT		
Sr.	Name of Village	Survey no.	Sub- Division No.	Area			
No.	3-			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
16. RANER(Contd)		1121	-	0	11	: 40	
		1119	-	0	01	64	
		1110	-	0	00	20	
		1111	-	0	00	20	
		1112	-	0	07	12	
		1078	-	0	00	30	
		1076	P1	0	00	60	

[No. R-31015/38/2004-O.R.-II] HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 30 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2327.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में, नीचे दी गई अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (1) में उल्लिखित व्यक्ति को, मध्य प्रदेश राज्य में, उक्त अनुसूची के स्तम्भ (2) में उल्लिखित क्षेत्र की बाबत उस राज्य में अवस्थित विभिन्न उपभोक्ताओं को प्राकृतिक गैस वितरण के लिए मैसर्स गेल (इण्डिया) लिमिटेड द्वारा गैस पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी के कृत्यों का पालन करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

अनुसूची

व्यक्ति का नाम और पता		अधिकारिता का क्षेत्र
(1)	<i>t</i>	(2)
·	(i)	

श्री पी.एस. कर्मा, उप कलेक्टर, मैसर्स गेल (इण्डिया) लिभिटेड में मानदेय आधार पर गेल कम्प्रेसर स्टेशन, गेयलर कलां, जिला - झाबुआ

(मध्य प्रदेश) पिन : 457661 मध्य प्रदेश राज्य का झाबुआ जिला New Delhi, the 30th June, 2005

S.O. 2327.—In pursuance of clause (a) of section 2 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipeline (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby authorize the person mentioned in column (1) of the Schedule below to perform the functions of the Competent Authority under the said Act for laying of the gas pipeline by M/s. GAIL (India) Limited in the State of Madhya Pradesh for distribution of natural gas to various consumers located in that State in respect of the areas mentioned in column (2) of the said Schedule.

Schedule

Name and Address of the person	Area of Jurisdiction		
(1)	(2)		
Shri P.S. Karma, Dy. Collector, on honorarium basis to M/s. GAIL (India) Limited, GAIL Compressor Station, Gaylor Kalan, Distt. Jhabua, (Madhya Pradesh) Pin: 457661	District Jhabua of Madhya Pradesh State		

[F. No. L-14014/15/05-G.P.]. SWAMI SINGH, DIRECTOR

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2328. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, लखनऊ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई.डी. सं. 1/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/307/2000-आई आर (बी-I)]

अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2328.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (I.D. No. 1/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Lucknow now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-12012/307/2000-IR (B-I)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

PRESENT:

SHRIKANT SHUKLA, PRESIDING OFFICER

I. D. No. 1/2001

Ref. No. L-12012/307/2000-IR (B-I) dated: 29-12-2000

BETWEEN:

Shri Krishan Gopal Mishra S/o Late Kanhiya Lal Mishra, H. No. 9/498, Mahadev Vali Gali, Moti Katra, Agra (U.P.)

And

- The Asstt. General Manager.
 State Bank of India, Chipitola, Agra (U.P.) 282001
- The Dy. General Manager, State Bank of India, Zonal Office Suniay Place, Agra (U.P.) 282001

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide its order No. L-12012/307/2000-IR (B-I) dated: 29-12-2000 referred the following dispute for adjudication to the President Officer, CGIT-cum-Labour Court, Lucknow:

"WHETHER THE ACTION OF THE MANAGE-MENT OF STATE BANK OF INDIA. AGRA IN TERMINATING THE SERVICES OF SRI KRISHAN GOPAL MISHRA w.e.f. 9-7-99 IS LEGAL AND JUS-TIFIED? IF NOT, TO WHAT RELIEF THE WORK-MAN IS ENTITLED?"

Worker's case in brief is that he was employed in the Chipitola Branch of State Bank of India on 1-5-99 and continued to be employed till 9-7-99 & was paid on daily wage basis at the end of the month. His work include cleaning of the table & chairs, providing water, carrying register from one table to another, taking out the files, delivering letters to other brances besides other works of the peon. Worker brought the case for regularisation on 21-6-99. through the trade union. Case was subsequently withdrawn as trade union told him that because of the termination, the case cannot proceed for regularisation, but shall proceed for reinstatement. Accordingly this case was initiated. As the worker continuously worked for 240 days in every year. his services were protected under section 25 F, G, H & N read with section 25 B. Worker has therefore prayed that the court should hold that the termination dt. 9-7-99 is illegal & unjstified & he should be reinstated with continuity of service with back wages.

Opposite party has denied the worker's claim. Opposite party has alleged that the person Krishan Gopal Mishra is not a worker under the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, nor the dispute is an Industrial Dispute. There are prescribed rules for recruitment of employees. Among the rules are that the vacancies are advertised in the newspaers. Employees registered at the employment exchange are considered. Selection committee is formed. The said committee conducts the recruitment proceedings. Those qualified for the post are called for the inteview & the selection committee prepares the list of selected candidates. The bank management issues appointment letters according to the need. Appointed employee is kept on probation for 6 months and after the successful completion of the term the employee is confirmed. But Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra is not the selected employee & therefore the plea that he was terminated is imaginary. It is also alleged that the claim is not maintainable. It is further alleged that Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra alongwith his associates Sri Adarash Vastmai, Satish Kumar & Mahavir used to supply water on contract basis. All above persons have been paid for the contract as per following schedule;

Krishan Gopal	Adarash Vastmai	Mahavir	Satish
Feb. 98	April 99	Dec. 97	April 98
Mrach 98	Jan. 99	Jan. 98	May 98
Sept. 98		July 98	
Oct. 98		August 98	
Nov. 98		Jan. 99	
April 99			

It is admitted by the management that the case was filed before Asst. Labour Commissioner (C), Kanpur for regularisation & the same was withdrawn subsequently. It is alleged that the worker was not the employee of the bank nor was entitled for benefits admissible to the bank employees. It is also denied that the worker's attendence was taken. Worker has consealed to spell the rates on which he was paid. It has also been specifically denied that the bank management has taken the work of IVth class employees or peon.

The bank management has denied that the Sanjay, Surendra & Sheo Ram were made permanent & thereafter transferred. It is submitted that the said persons were appointed under prescribed recruitment procedure which shall be evident from their appointment letters.

Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra has filed rejoinder. It is not stated in the rejoinder that he was recruited/appointed after observing the prescribed procedure of the bank. Sri Mishra has reiterated the plea taken in the statement of claim.

Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra has filed following photo copies of documents:

- 1. The extract of advertisement published in news papers.
- The extract of advertisement published in news papers.
- Application of Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra contractor dt. 2-3-98 together with the banker's cheque for the amount of Rs. 3600/-
- 4. Application of Mahavir claiming for labour charges of Rs. 8/- dt. 16-8-97.
- Statement from 1st Jan. 95 to Dec., 98 showing receipt for amount & showing the purpose of this payment.
- 6. Bill of Krishan Gopal Mishra dt. 3-10-97 for Rs. 10/-
- Receipt of labour charges of Rs. 20/- purproted to be signed by Krishan Goal Mishra dt. 12-3-97, 14-3-97, 8-5-97.
- 8. Paper showing payment of Krishan Gopal on 12-6-97.
- Receipt of Rs. 30/- dt. 14-6-97 of Krishan Gopal Mishra.
- Application for payment of Rs. 70/- dt. 8-10-97.
- 11. Application for payment of Rs. 20/- dt. 2-12-97
- 12. Application for payment of Rs. 12/- dt. 30-1-99.

- 13. Application of Krishan Gopal contractor dt. 3-4-99 for payment of Rs. 3600/- for providing water in the month of March 99.
- 14. Unsigned statement of providing water.
- 15. Cash memo for charcoal & rikshaw fare dt. 14-1-99.
- 16. Application for providing water for the month of June 99 amounting to Rs. 3600/-
- 17. Copy of Joint application addressed to Asstt. Labour commissioner, Agra undated.
- Copy of Joint application 3-2-99 addressed to Dy. General Manager, SBI Agra.
- 19. Copy of letter of AINTUC, Agra dt. 25-6-99 addressed to ALC(C) kanpur dt. 21-6-99.
- 20. Bill of Satish Kumar for Rs. 30/- dt. 5-5-97.
- 21. Certificate for permission to go home undated.

The Opposite party has filed following documents photo copies):

- 1. Bill dt. 2-3-98 of Krishan Gopal Mishra for Rs. 3600/-
- 2. Banker's cheque dt. 2-3-98.

On demand by the Presiding Officer the Branch Manager (Barhan Branch of SBI) Sri Raj Kumar filed following documents;

- 1. Statement of account of staff canteenmanaged by local Implementation Committee from 1-1-95 to 31-12-99.
- Statement of Water & Farrash Job contract Jan. 95 to June 99.
- 3. Statement of contract for cleaning of furniture & throwing out garbage.

Opposite party has filed following documents in original:

- 1. B.C. No. 23537 for Rs. 3600/- in the name of Krishan Gopal Mishra contractor dt. 2-3-98 with slip & bill of the Krishna Gopal Contractor dt. 2-3-98.
- 2. B.C. No. 399762 dt. 3-10-98 for Rs. 2400/- in the name of Manmohan contractor alongwith the slip & bill of Manohar.
- 3. Slip dt. 1-10-97 along with the bill amounting to Rs. 1800/- for collecting & throwing garbage for the month of Sept. 97 of Man Mohan

Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra besides filing his own affidavit & also filed the affidavits of Tejpal Singh. Mahavir Singh & they have been cross examined, Opposite party has filed the affidavit of Branch Manager Sh. Raj Kumar & he has been crossexamined.

Parties have filed the written arguments. Perused arguments & evidence on record carefully.

Worker has no where in his statement of claim has stated that he was recruited as per prescribed procedure of the bank. On the contrary the other hand Krishan Gopal Mishra has admitted in the cross examination that his name was not forwarded by the employment exchange. He has stated in his cross examination that he was getting Rs. 600/2 - per month as wages & he has denied that he was daily wage earner. He has also admitted that his attendance was not marked in register.

It is noteworthy that the worker did not disclose his monthly salary in his statement of claim.

Worker has filed photo copy of his own application addressed to Asstt. General Manager SBI, Chhipitola, Agra dt. 2-3-98 that he be paid Rs. 3600/- for supply of water. Applications has been signed by Krishan Gopal Misra. Thekadar. The documents shows his status as contractor. The document shows that he supplied water from outside the bank in the month of Feb. Sri Krishna Gopal received the amount as well discharging himself as thekadar.

I have perused the statement of payment. The photo copy of which have been filed by the Krishan Gopal Mishra. The payment to the said person has been made on the following dates:

Period	Dates	Amount
July 97	1-8-97	3600
August	1-9-97	3600
Sept. 97	1-10-97	3600
Oct. 97	28-10-97	3600
Nov. 97	1-12-97	3600
Dec. 97	1-1-98	3600
Jan. 98	2-2-98	3600
Feb. 98	2-3-98	3600
August	Sept. 98	3600
Sept. 98	2-11-98	3600
Dec. 98	1-1-99	3600

From other photo copies of the documents following facts also reveal:

	 Sri Krishna Gopal Mishra has been p Rs. 10/- as labour charge displacing cooler & cleaning there of. 	oaid 3-10- 97
:	 Sri Krishna Gopal demanded Rs. 20/- shifting Almirah from one place to another 	- for 10-3-9 7
-	Bill of Krishna Gopal for shifting	14-3-97

Almirah amounting to Rs. 20/-

4.	Bill of Krishan Gopal for shifting Almirah amounting to Rs. 20/-	8-5-97
5.	Payment of Rs. 18 for the bill dt. 11-6-97	12-6-97
6.	Bill for opening the petty amounting to Rs. 30/-	14-6-97
7.	Bill for cleaning the tank for Rs. 50/-	23-6-97
8.	Bill for Rs. 70/- for labour charges & rikshaw fare for shifting furniture to the residence of Sri R.D. Sharma.	18-10-97
9.	Bill for shifting ledger labour charges Rs. 20/-	2-12-97
10.	Bill for going to telegraph office	20-1-99
11.	Bill for providing water by Krishan Gopal amounting to Rs. 3600/- for the month of March, 1999	3-4-99
12.	Bill for the supply of water for the month of June, 1999 to Krishan Gopal Thekadar	1-7-99

Documents speaks of its nature and contrary to contents can not be believed. The last bill is dated 1-7-99 which pertains to the year month of June, 1999

From the documents of the opposite party it is also clear that Sri Krishan Gopal also supplied water in the month of March 1999, April 1999, May 1999.

There is not a single document which can show that Krishan Gopal Mishra was ever paid Rs 600/- per month as the worker has tried to say in the cross examination.

In case the worker was discharging the duties of peon, he should not have billed the opposite party for carrying ledger from one place to another or for shifting tables etc. Charging labour charges for misclanious work for a few days shows that he was not at all discharging the duties of peon as claimed by Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra.

Photo copy of the advertisement has been filed on behalf of Sri Krishan Gopal Misra. The said document is not authentic, However, on bare reading it is regarding providing opportunity to the temporary & daily wager who were employed as such between 1-7-95 to 31-7-88 & who were between the age group of 18 to 26 year at the time of initial appointment. Provided further such workers have put in 240 working days in one calander year or 270 days continuous working in 36 calander month. By second notice those employed between 1-7-75 to 14-8-91 were to be considered.

In the para 12 of he statement of claim the Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra has stated that he worked in the bank from 1-5-85 to 9-7-99. The said statement of claim is accompained by the affidavit in which the worker first declared his age & subsequently changed to 29 years. Subsequently worker filed another affidavit on 12-4-2002 stating that he was initially duly selected & appointed as regular peon/messanger on 9-7-99 by the

management of State Bank of India. In subsequent para 6 it is stated that appointment letter was not supplied to him

Although the worker has tried to say that his wages were Rs. 600/- per month but in another application dt. 1-8-2001 he has stated his salary as Rs. 900/- Consolidated. This application is also accompanied by affidavit.

This shown that the worker has come to court with imaginary allegations of salary & the date of his initial engagement. Opposite party Bank is nationalised bank of the country, no one can ever believe that a regular appointed person will not be provided the appointment letter. The photo copy of advertisement filed by Krishan Gopal Mishra is of no help to him.

Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra has to prove the allegation of his statement of claim that he was employed as workman. Although in para 2 of the affidavit dt. 12-4-2002, he has stated that he was initially duly selected appointed/engaged as regular peon/messanger on 9-7-99 by the management of State Bank of India, Chhipitola Agra for performing regular perenial work, but this can not be taken to be true in absence of any appointment letter. Had he been appointed as alleged by him, why the trade union shall file a case for regularisation. Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra's own documents make it clear that he was not the regularly appointed employee of the Bank. More over he has himself admitted that he was neither interviewed or not his name was forwarded by the employment Exchange.

The Bank's representative has argued that whenever Sri Mishra rendered any service as a contractor payment for the same was made to him & at the same time whenever the work of casual or temporary nature is taken, wages for the day or the work are paid on the basis of the volume of work. Accordingly on a few days the worker was paid for casual work as is evident from the documents the details for which have been given at page no 5 & 6.

Management representative has also argued that Sri Tejpal & Mahavir Singh have filed their affidavits in which they have twisted the facts and also stated false events otherwise they have made such statements only with a view to favour Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra although they cannot be said to be competent witness to state these facts which cannot by any imagination be in their knowledge. Sri Tejpal Singh who was in subordinate cadre cannot derive knowledge about the nature of appointment or engagement of concerned person Sri Krishan gopal Mishra. As far as Sri Mahabit Singh's evidence is concerned the representative of the management has argued, that even he was never appointed engaged as regular peon/messanger nor he never performed regular

or perennial work. Regarding Experience Certificate, representative has argued that it is undated in as much as it appears that the said annexure relates too curfew pass for the issuance of which alleged Branch Manager has or had no authority. Accordingly the said letter is fabricated document. Sri Mahabir Singh was never a permanent employee.

In the present case the volumes of the documents filed by the parties explains the nature of job/work to executed by Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra and it does not need explanation. The documents do not show that Sri Krishan Gopal Mishra was a regular peon/messanger of the State Bank of India. He was even not a regular or casual worker. There existed no relationship of employer and employee between the parties. The industry has to resort to such petty contractors in the interest of the industry, such as water supply, collecting and disposing garbage etc.

In the circumstances I come to the conclusion that Sri Krishan Gopa! Mishra was not the employee of the Bank and therefore there arise no question of termination of his services. Issue answered in favour of the management. Worker therefore is not entitled for any relief.

26-5-2005

Lucknow

SHRIKANT SHUKLA, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2329 .— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का. 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नार्दन रेलवे के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण-! चंडीगढ, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई.डी. सं. 183/1991) को प्रकाशित करतीरहै, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-41012/22/91-आई आर (बी-I)] अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2329.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (I.D. No. 183/1991) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court-1 Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Northern Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-41012/22/91-IR (B-I)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-1, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D.-183/1991

Shri Madan Lal C/o General Secretary, Northern Railway Karamchari Union, T4A, Railway Quarter Bhagtawala, Amritsar

Applicant

Versus

- (1) General Manager, Northern Railway, Baroda House, New Delhi.
- (2) The Deputy Chief Machanical Engineer, Mechanical Workshop, Uttar Rly. Amritsar.
- (3) Divisionl Railway Manager, Uttar Rly. Ferozepur Cantt. (Punjab)

Respondents

APPEARANCES

For the workman

: None for workman or Lrs. or

AR of the workman

For the management: Shri P.P. Khurana Advocate

AWARD

Passed on 16-5-2005

Central Govt. vide notification No. L-41012/22/91/IR (D.U.) dated 5-12-1991 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of Northern Railway in imposing upon Shri Madan Lal, the penality of withholding increment temporarily for one year is justified as a result of final orders passed vide letter No. 727-E/D-38(Ei) POT. I dated 11-2-1985? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to and from what date?"

- 2. One AD card received back duly served and received by Subhash Talwar, General Secretary who is appearing for the workman he did not appear. Management advocate Sh. Khurana submit that as none is appearing for the workman since 1-10-03 thereafter 1-4-04, 12-5-04, 28-10-04, 5-1-05, 21-3-05, 11-04-05 and today and it appears that workman's LRs are not interested nor the representative who has been duly served for today is present. Workman also expired and it is a petty matter of witholding one increment for one year and there is no fresh ground of relief has been made by the workman or his LRs for the consideration for the relief as the workman is dead.
- 3. In view of the above submission of Sh. P.P. Khurana Id. Advocate of the management and my persual of record

I found that reference is for imposing of penality of witholding increments temporarily for one year and there is not much monetary gain to the LRs of the workman his AR is also not appearing and similar is the case of his LRs. I have also verified that on earlier dates also his AR not appeared and has also not appeared today. It appears that no one is interested to persue the present reference for copy anymore therefore, the present reference is returned to the Central Govt. for want of prosecution Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned to the Record Room. Announed.

16-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुन, 2005

का. आ. 2330. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक आफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. I, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 74 का 1997) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/62/95-आई आर (बी-I)]

अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2330.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 74 of 1997) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No.-I Dhanbad now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-12011/62/95-IR (B-I)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference U/s. 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act.

Reference No. 74 of 1997

Parties: Employers in relation to the management of A.G.M. State Bank of India, Ranchi.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT

Shri S. Prasad, Presiding Officer

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers

: Shri R.N. Ganguly, Advocate.

For the Workman

: None

State: Jharkhand.

Industry: Bank

Dated, the 16th May, 2005

AWARD

By Order No. L-12011/62/95-IR (BI) dated 11-3-1997 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of State Bank of India management in not paying the regular scale of that cadre to the concerned workmen (S/Shri R.P. Dubey, H.N. Bharti, Ali Mohammad, R. Singh and S. Mahli) and not regularising them in Bank's service even after putting continuous 10 years of service is proper and justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled to?"

- 2. This reference was received by this Tribunal on 1-4-97 and written statement was filed on behalf of the workmen on 27-8-97. Thereafter none is appearing on behalf of the workmen, though several opportunities were given to them. Despite notice none had appeared even on 10-5-2005. It therefore appears that neither the concerned workmen nor the sponsoring union is interested to proceed with the case.
- 3. In such circumstances, I render a 'No Dispute' Award in the present reference case.

S. PRASAD, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, ७ जून, २००५

का. आ. 2331. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक आफ इंदौर के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, कानपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या का 38 आफ 2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/14/2004-आई आर (बी-I)] अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2331.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (ID No. 38 of 2004) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Kanpur now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Indore and their

workman, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-12012/14/2004-IR (B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SRI SURESH CHANDRA PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LOK ADALAT, SARVODAYA NAGAR, KANPUR

Industrial Dispute No. 38 of 2004

In the matter of dispute between:

Sri Vinod Kumar Gaur, S/o Sri Gorakhnath Gaur, 105/272 Sisamau, Kanpur.

And

The Manager, State Bank of Indore, Branch Gumti No. 5, Kanpur.

AWARD

1. Central Government, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, vide its notification No. L-12012/14/2004/IR (B-I) dt. 13-5-2004 has referred the following dispute for adjudication to this tribunal:

Kya prabandhan State Bank of Indore, Kanpur dwara Sri Vinod Kumar Gaur Atmaj Sri Gorkhnath ko dinank 6-11-2002 se sewa samapta kiyajana nyayochit hai? Yadi nahi to sambandhit karmkar kis anutosh ka haqdar hai?

- 2. In the instant dispute after receipt of reference from the Manutry repeated notices through registered post were sent to the concerned workman for filing of his statement of chaim in support of his case. But neither the workman turned up nor filed his statement of claim. Management put their appearance in the case by way of filing letter of authority on behalf of Sri Neeraj Sharma. It therefore appears that the workman is not interested in prosecuting his case any more. Therefore, the tribunal is left with no other option but to hold that the concerned workman is not entitled for any relief for want of pleading and proof.
- 3. Reference is therefore decided against the workman and in favour of the management.

SURESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली, 8 जुन, 2005

का. आ. 2332. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, सी.आई.पी.ई.टी. प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट

औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, लखनऊ (संदर्भ संख्या 118/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/17/2000-आई आर (सी-Ⅱ)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2005

S.O. 2332.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 118/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Lucknow now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Institute of Plastic Engineering and Technology and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 08-06-2005.

[No. L-42012/17/2000-IR(C-II)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

Present:

Shrikant Shukla, Presiding Officer

I. D. No. 118/2001

Ref. No. L-42012/17/2000-IR(C-II) dt. 6-7-2001

Between:

Rakesh Kumar Sahu S/o Pal Sahu through Om Narayan Sahu, Ex-General Secretary, Lok Mazdoor Sabha, UP F-3351, Rajaji Puram, Lucknow

And

The Dy. Director, CIPE & Technology B-27, Amasoi Industrial Area, Kanpur Road, Lucknow

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour referred the following dispute to Presiding Officer, CGIT-cum-Labour Court, Lucknow for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the Central Institute of Plastic Engineering & Technology, Lucknow in terminating the services of Sh. Rakesh Kumar Sahu w.e.f. 7-5-99 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief Sh. Sahu is entitled to?"

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Sahu has alleged in his statement of claim that he was employed in piece rate wages maintenance work since March 1994 and thereafter since 1-5-98 he was employed on monthly wages at

the rate of Rs. 2500 from till 7-5-98, although wages were paid only upto 30-4-99 work included that of Mason, plumbering, maintenance repairing, gardening, etc. The organisation includes workshop, hospital, garden, etc. It is also alleged that the employer used to collect attendance card every month the worker was not provided with appointment letter, pay slip, leave book, etc. therefore, the evidences are not in the possession of the employee and these are in the possession of employer. The employer terminated the employee's services in the A.N. of 7-5-99 without notice, notice pay or compensation. Worker has therefore prayed for reinstatement with all service benefits together with the wages for the period of 1-5-99 to 7-5-99

The worker Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu has in his rejoinder alleged that the employer without any reason stopped taking work since 1-5-99, by oral orders and that too without any notice, notice pay compensation although he did put in more than 240 days work.

The opposite party has denied the claim and has alleged that the Central Institute of Plastic Engineering & Technology, Lucknow is a Government Body registered under Societies Registration Act and is autonomous body inparding education in the filed of Plastic Engineering & Technology. It has been submitted that the service of mason, plumbers, labourers are required from time to time for the maintenance of the Institute Building for which persons are engaged either or daily rates, piece rate or on contract basis. Institute has been engaging the services of Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu who is basically a mason and plumber from time to time either on daily wage basis or piece rate basis depending upon the nature of work and its duration. He was working as labour in Jan. and Feb. 1997 on daily wages. The area behind the building of the Institute starting from the hostel side and behind the service block was lying in a haphazard and aneven state with an outgrowth of dense jungle weeds. So it was felt that for developing this area contract be given to some person for carrying out the work. Since Raketh Kumar Sahu who is a mason and a plumber and general contractor as would appear from his letterhead gave his offer on 26-2-97 for carrying out the above work on fixed rates and also stated that the work would be completed in five months. The offer was accepted vide letter dt. 1-3-97. He was awarded the contract for carrying out gardening work within 5 months. The work was got done by Pakesh Kumar Sahu by employing minimum 5 labours. After completion of the above work Rakesh Kumar Sahu was given the work of planting 450 trees and irrigating the same for 15 days Rs. 7000 was paid to him against his bill dt. 1-9-97. It was also felt that the maintenance and repairs as well as fresh work be given to him on a fixed charge of Rs. 2500 per month for year starting from 1st May, 1998 subject to renewal from time to time if required. He was required to provide manpower & other things for the purpose of carrying out the work as required. The contract expired on 30-4-98 and it

was not renewed. As such he ceased to be the contractor for maintenance work after 30-4-98. It is also submitted that the entry in the institute is restricted. For this purpose he was issued entry card which was required to be punched at the gate for entry in the institute and also for the purpose of service charges. It is submitted that there is no post of mason in the institute. As and when mason is required they are brought from the market on daily rate basis or the work is done through contractor depending upon the nature of work. Opposite party has alleged that it is false to say that Rakesh Kumar Sahu worked till 7-5-99. There is no question of issuing appointment letter or pay slip. Entry card too is not a proof of employment. Claim statement and alleged to be false, frivolous and misconceived and the worker is not entitled to any releif.

The opposite party has filed following photostat copies of documents with their written statement.

- 1. Letter of acceptance of the contract dt. 26-12-97. On the letterhead specifying the nature of work and the amount, engagement of labour (Ann. I to Written Statement).
- 2. Letter of acceptance of the contract dt. 1-3-97 by opposite party (Ann. I to Written Statement).
- Bill No. 43 of Rakesh Kumar Sahu dt. 1-8-97 (Ann. III of WS).
- 4. Extract of file note sheet of the opposite party dt. 2-9-97 (Ann. IV to WS).
- 5. Extract of file note sheet of the opposite party dt. 23-4-98 (Ann. V to written statement).
- Extract of attendance register July 1998 to May 1999
- 7. Gate pass issued to the workman from Jan. 1999 to April 99.
- Payment voucher no. 1805, 1803 dt. 31-12-98 for Rs. 2500.
- Statement of daily rated labours of Rakesh Kumar Sahu.
- 10. Extract of note sheet dt. 31-12-98.
- 11. Statement of labour detailed.
- 12. Payment voucher no. 1639 dt. 2-12-98 for Rs. 2500. 1640 dt. 2-12-98 for Rs. 2822, 1426 dt. 2-11-98 for Rs. 2500 1432 dt. 2-11-95 for Rs. 2264, 1281 dt. 30-9-98 for Rs. 2500, 1000 dt. 5-10-95 for Rs. 3210 alongwith the labour maintenance details.

Rakesh Kumar Sahu for the worker and Dr. Vijay Kumar for the opposite party have filed the affidavits and they have been cross examined by each other.

Employer has filed the written argument, worker has also filed the written arguments.

Worker was given an opportunity to put forward his oral submission, but he denied and pressed his written argument should be taken into account, on the other hand opposite party's representative submitted his oral submissions.

I have carefully gone through the written arguments of parties and oral submissions of opposite party perused evidence on record.

Worker has admitted in his cross examination that given a letter on 24-2-97 that the labour rate for levelling long lying on the back is 70 paisa per ft and also 5 labours will be required and the work shall require Rs. 7000 per month and the work shall be completed within 5 months, the work involved levelling, planting, extracting of hard substance as stones and kanker etc. The worker has also admitted that paper no. 28/6 is photo copy of his letter. The letter is reproduced below:

राकेश कुमार साहू राज मिस्त्री एवं प्लम्बर

म. नं. ईएसडब्ल्यू 614, सेक्टर आई, एल.डी.ए. कालोनी, कानपुर रोड, लखनऊ

सिपेट लखनऊ

दिनांक 26-2-97

महोदय,

- (1) पीछे के लान बराबर करने के लेबर की मजदूरी 70 पैसे प्रति फिट देने की कृपा कीजिये।
- (2) 5 लेबर प्रतिदिन लगायेंगे 7000/- प्रति माहं दर से होगा।
 - (1) लेबिल करना।
 - (2) घास की सफाई करना।
 - (3) पौधे लगाना।
 - (4) कंकड पत्थर भूमि से निकलवाना।
 - (5) वृक्ष की सफाई, गुडाई।

ह०

राकेश कुमार साहू २६-२-५७

Dr. Vijay Kumar has stated in the allidavit that Rakesh Kumar Sahu is a mason and plumber and general contractor as would appear from his letter head, gave offer on 26-2-97 for carrying out the work on fixed rates and also stated that the work would be completed in 5 months. The true copy of which has been filed by the opposite party as Ann. I of his affidavit. Dr. Vijay Kumar, Dy. Director Central Institute of Plastic Engineering and Technology. Lucknow (hereinafter referred to as the Institute) has stated in para 6 of his affidavit that the offer of Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu was accepted for the said work and vide letter dt. 1-3-97 he was awarded the contract for carrying out the gardening work for five months. The work was done by Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu by employing minimum of 5

labourers. The ture copy of letter has been annexed to the affidavit as Ann. II which reads as follows:

सेन्ट्रल इन्स्टीट्यूट आफ प्लास्टिक्स इन्जीनियरिंग एंड टेक्नालाजी

(रसायन एवं उर्वरक मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

Central Institute of Plastics Engineering and Technology

(Ministry of Chemical and Fertilizer)

बी-27 अमौसी इन्डस्ट्रियल एरिया, लखनऊ-226008

सिपेट: बागवानी/96-97/

1-3-97

श्री राजेश कुमार साह नं. 664, सेक्टर आई एल.डी.ए. कालोनी, कानपुर रोड, लखनऊ

विषय : कैम्पस में बागवानी कार्य

आपको CIPET कैम्पस में हास्टल ब्लाक के सामने बाउन्डी वाल से लेकर सर्विस ब्लाक के सामने बाउन्डी वाल तक पीछे के हिस्से की जमीन को समतल/बागवानी के लायक बनाने का कार्य निम्न शर्ती पर 1-3-97 से 31-7-97 तक 5 माह के लिये दिया जाता है।

- (1) जमीन की 1 फीट की गहराई तक खदाई करना।
- (2) खुदाई के बाद कंकड, पत्थर, घास निकालना एवं एक जगह इकट्ठा करना।
- (3) जमीन में गुडाई कर पौधे लायक बनाना।
- (4) जमीन समतल कर क्यारी बनाना।
- (5) जमीन में पहले से लगाये पेड़ों का रख-रखाव करना।
- (6) प्रत्येक माह में पर्याप्त मात्रा में मजदूर लगाकर कम से कम 150 दिवस प्रतिमाह कार्य होना चाहिये।
- (7) सम्पूर्ण कार्य 5 माह में 5-7-97 तक सन्तोपजनक ढंग से पूर्ण करना होगा।
- (8) आपको प्रत्येक माह की अन्तिम तिथि को माह के मजदूरों की उपस्थिति का विवरण तथा माह में किये गये कार्य का कुल एरिया कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत करना होगा।
- (9) आपके द्वारा प्रस्तुत बिल का मौलिक सत्यापन के उपरान्त आपको 7000/- (सात हजार रुपये) प्रतिमाह भुगतान किया जायेगा।
- (10) माह जुलाई 97 को भुगतान सम्पूर्ण कार्य सन्तोपजनक ढंग से पूर्ण करने पर ही किया जायेगा।
- (11) यदि किसी माह में मजदूरों की उपस्थित कम रहती है या कुल किये गये कार्य का एरिया कम पाया जायेगा तो उसी अनुपात में मासिक भुगतान से कटौती की जायेगी।
- (12) मासिक भगतान से 2% इनकम टैक्स की कटौती की जायेगी।

10 to 100

(13) एक बार तैयार किये गये एरिया में पुन: घास उगने पर उसकी भी समय-समय पर साफ करना होगा। यदि आप उपरोक्त नियम एवं शर्तों से सहमत हैं तो इस पत्र के द्वितीय प्रति पर हस्ताक्षर कर उस कार्यालय को वापस करे।

हः/-

सभी शर्ती से सहमत हूं।

डा. विजय कुमार

ह०/-

उपनिदेशक

आर. के. साह

Dr. Vijay Kumar has also stated in para 7 of the affidavit that Rakesh Kumar Sahu was further given work of planting 450 trees and irrigating the same for 15 days for Rs. 7000/-. The said work was completed and payment of Rs. 7000/- was made to him against his bill dt. 1-9-97. True copy of office note and bill dt. 1-9-97 have been filed by Dr. Vijav Kumar as Ann. 3 of the affidavit.

Worker has stated in his cross examination at para 5 that he was awarded contract to planting 450 trees and he planted then and this work was for Rs. 7000/- He alleges that he carried out the job but the payment was not made.

The bill of Rakesh Kumar Sahu Ann. 3 is dt. 2-9-97 for Rs. 7,000/- the extract of note sheet dt. 2-9-97 of Admn. Asstt. signed by Sr. Accounts Officer and Dy. Director as reproduced below:

श्री राकेश कुमार साहू को सिपेट कैम्पस में हास्टल ब्लाक से लेकर सर्विस व्लाक तक की जमीन को समतल कर पेड पौधे लगाने बनाने हेत् माह मार्च, 1997 से 31-7-97 तक 5 माह के लिये रु. 7000/- प्रतिमाह की दर से दिया गया था। श्री राकेश कुमार साह को जो एरिया दिया गया था। उस एरिया को निर्धारित समय में पूरा कर लिया गया है जिसका अन्तिम भुगतान श्री राकेश को कर दिया गया है।

उक्त कार्य के अतिरिक्त सकेश साहू को गेस्ट हाउस हेतु प्रस्तावित जमीन की सफाई तथा उनके द्वारा तैयार की गई जमीन में पेड लगाने का कार्य भी माह अगस्त में अलग से दिया गया, जिसमें 450 पेड़ों के गढ़ढे खोदकर उसमें वालू खाद मिलाकर डालना तथा 450 पेड़ लगाकर उनकी 15 दिन तक नियमित सिंचाई की गई जिस कार्य हेतु श्री राकेश साहू ने रु. 7000/- का बिल प्रस्तुत किया है। माह अगस्त में लिये गये कार्य का निरीक्षण किया गया तथा श्री राकेश कुमार साहू द्वारा प्रस्तुत दैनिक मजदूरी बिल में अंकित कुल मानव दिवस 160 में श्री राकेश साहू की उपस्थित के दिन घटाकर (160-29) 131 दिन का भुगतान रु. 40/-प्रति दिन की दर से कुल भुगतान 5240/- (पांच हजार दो सौ चालीस रुपये) के भुगतान की प्रस्तृति की जाती है। आवश्यक स्वीकृति हेतु प्रस्तुत ।

ह०

ह०

लेखाधिकारी

उपनिदेशक

प्रशासनिक सहायक

Dr. Vijav Kumar has stated in para 8 of the affidavit that it was felt that the maintenance service contract for maintenance repair as well as fresh work be given to him on a fixed service charge of Rs. 2500/- per month for one year starting from 1st May, 1998 subject to renewal from time to time if required. It is relevant to mention here that the maintenance work was not to be carried out by Rakesh Kumar Sahu. He was required to provide man power and other things for the purpose of carrying out the work as required. A true copy of dt. 23-4-98 has filed as Ann. 5 of the affidavit which is reproduced below:

Ann. No. 5 CIPET CENTRE LUCKNOW

23-4-98

NOTE

For the maintenance and repairs of all plumbering and brick masonry related works, the Centre has been engaging the services of Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu since the last five years or to. He functions as a mason (i.e.) semi skilled type of worker for both nature of jobs and has proved good and sincere services. The service charges were being paid either on daily wages basis or piece rate basis depending on the type of work. Besides the individual has also supplied labour for jungle clearance beautification, tree planting and maintenance of the backside of the premises.

It is felt that it would be more economical and in the overall increases of the Centre if the maintenance service contract for maintenance, repair as well as fresh work be given to Rakesh Kumar Sahu on a maintenance service charges of Rs. 2500/- p.m. The labour charges for labour engaged would be payable separately on daily wages basis. As no separate charges for each individual work will be payable to Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu, the return to the Institute in terms of his service would be available for brick masonary, plumbering related works as well as other misc. type of works which would be assigned to him now and then. The service contract can be for a period initially of one year w.e.f. 1st May, 1998 subject to renewal from time to time if required.

The proposal may please be approved.

Sd/-ADMN. OFFICER

Sd/-MANAGER PROCESSING

> Sd/-MANAGER ACCOUNTS

Sd/-DY. DIRECTOR

In the present case followings sections of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 are relevant which are reproduced below:

2(s) "workman" means any person (including an apprentice employed in any industry to do any manual, unskilled, skilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward, whether the terms of

employment be express or implied, and for the purposes of any proceeding under this Act in relation to an industrial dispute, includes any such person who has been dismissed, discharged or retrenched in connection with, or as a consequence of that dispute, or whose dismissal discharge or retrenchment has led to that dispute, but does not include any such person—

- (i) who is subject the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), or the Army Act, 1950 (46 to 1950) or the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957); or
- (ii) who is employed in the police service as an officer or other employee of a prison; or
- (iii) who is employed mainly in a management of administrative capacity; or
- (iv) who being employed in a supervisory capacity, draws wages exceeding one thousand six hundred rupees per mensum or exercises, either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, function mainly of a managemental nature.

2(00)

"Retrenchment" means the termination by the employer of the service of a workman for any reason whatsoever, otherwise than as a punishment inflicted by way of disciplinary action but does not include;

- (a) Voluntary retrenchment of the workman; or
- (b) retrenchment of the workman on reaching the age of superannuation if the contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned contains a stipulation in that behalf; or
- (bb) termination of the service of the workman as a result of the non-renewal of the contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned on its expiry or of such contract being terminated under a stipulation on that behalf contained therein; or
- (c) termination of the service of a workman on the ground of continued ill-health."

lst question is whether their exists the relationship of employer & employee amongst the parties.

It is admitted fact that Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu presented the quotation on 26-2-97 to the opposite party specifying the labour rates for levelling the lawn with the clear operation to be under taken. The said quotation is submitted on the printed letter head disclosing his identity as "Raj Mistri & Plumber" & home address. The said quotation was accepted by the opposite party as is evident from the letter No. Bagwani/96-97 dated 1-3-97 of the opposite party. From the documentary evidence it is proved that the said contract was acted upon. This clearly shows that their is not relationship of employer & employees between the parties.

There is another contract between the parties. Under office note dt. 23-4-98 the opposite party further issued job order to Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu for maintenance, repair as fresh work at the service charge of Rs. 2500/- p.m. It was also provied that the labour charges for labour engaged would be paid seperately on daily wage basis as no separate charges for each individual work will be payable to Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu. Service contract was stipulated for one year i.e. from 1st May, 98 subject to renewal from time to time. The management witness has proved by affidavit that maintennance work was to be carried out by Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu alone. He was required to provide man power & other things for the purpose of carrying out the work as required. The contract expired on 30-4-99 and it was not renewed as such he ceased to be contractor after 30-4-99.

The issue referred whether the action of the opposite party in terminating the services of Rakesh Kumar Sahu w.c.f. 7-5-99 is legal & justified. Rakesh Kumar Sahu was to prove that he was employee & the opposite party was employer.

Worker has indicated his unawareness about service contract and has denied that he was not given any contract as such @ 2500/- per month and that to for a period of one year. However, he was admitted that since 1-5-1998 he started working in the Institute and for that purpose he used to engage labourers and whatever labour he used to be engaged were paid separately. He has also stated in crossexamination on his verification the labours were paid by the opposite party. He has also stated the names of labours engaged by him. The names of labours he engaged were Jhabu. Raju. Rajesh and Anand and others. He has also admitted that the attendance used to be marked of his labourers. He has also stated that in Dec. 98 he employed Shridhar, Rajesh & Jhabu and others and in Dec. 98 he was paid Rs. 3069/- towards labour charges. He has also admitted in Dec., 98 Rs. 2500/- were paid to him as service charges and likewise in every month he used to be paid service charges of Rs. 2500/- and the labour charges. He has denied that his contract was till 30-4-99 and thereafter he was not extended any contract.

From the note sheet dt. 23-4-98 copuled with the affidavit of Dr. Vijay Kumar. It is proved that a service contract was given to him w.e.f. 1-5-98 and the service charges were paid to him Rs. 2500/-p.m. The denial by Rakesh Kumar Sahu is not trustworthy. It is proved by the management of the opposite party that the said service contract was for the period for upto 30-4-99 and thereafter there was no service contract nor the contract was extended. Rakesh Kumar Sahu has tried to prove that he was a salaried person w.e.f. 1-5-98 and the wages were Rs. 2500/- per month. This fact is not trustworthy as payment of Rs. 2500/- were service charges admitted by him, therefore no relationship of employer and employee between the parties.

Rakesh Kumar Sahu has in his statement of claim has tried to show that he worked with the opposite party as employee upto 7-5-99 but he was paid for the period upto 30-4-99. However, he could not conceal this fact that he remained in service upto 1-5-99 in the rejoinder. The relevant extract of his rejoinder is reproduced below:

''सेवायोजक के उत्तर के पैरा 1, 2 तथा 3 में जिस प्रकार से कथन किया गया है, वह स्वीकार नहीं है क्योंकि बाद से संबंधित श्रमिक सेवायोजक के प्रतिष्ठान में दि. 1-5-98 से रु. 2500/- प्रतिमाह की वेतन दर पर नियोजित कर लिया गया था और उसे दि. 1-5-99 से अकारण मौखिक रूप से कार्य पर लेना बन्द कर दिया गया तथा औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 के 25एफ के अन्तर्गत बाद से संबंधित श्रमिक को कोई नोटिस अथवा नोटिस के बदले एक माह का वेतन तथा छटनी के मुआवजे का भुगतान भी नहीं किया गया जबिक, श्रमिक से अखिन्डत सेवा करते हुये एक वर्ष की सेवा में 240 दिनों से अधिक की सेवा पूरी की है।''

Thus he has admitted that the opposite party did not took any work from Rakesh Kumar Sahu from 1-5-99. In the circumtances it is not correct to say that he was engaged after 1-5-99 for work.

Opposite party has argued that—

"Accordingly a service contract for maintenance work was given to Rakesh Kumar Sahu for one year on a fixed monthly service charge of Rs. 2500/- for year. The contract period expired on 30-4-99 and it was not renewed. As such he ceased to be the contract for maintenance work after 30-4-99. Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu as contractor was required to be present in the institute on every working day for carrying out the repair or maintenance work as and when required. It is submitted that the entry in the Institute is required. For this purpose he was issued an entry card, which was required to be punched at the gate for entry in the Institute and also for the purposes of paying his service charges. It is further submitted that there is no post of Mason in the Institute. As and when a Mason is required he is brought from the market either on daily rate basis or on piece rate basis or the work is done through contractor depending upon the nature of work. In is submitted that the entry card does not give any right of employment to a person. As such its relevance is not important for the purposes of the present dispute. It is further submitted that Rakesh Kumar Sahu used to perform such work in the Institute which was required for the proper maintenance of the Institute from time to time for which he was given the service contract for one year. It is further submitted that at time he used to deploy more than one labour for carrying out the repairs etc.

It is submitted that Rakesh Kumar Sahu has admitted in his cross-examination that he used to deploy several labolurers in the Institute for carrying out the work for which he used to get payment over and above his fixed service charge of Rs. 2500/- per month. It is further submitted that the aboveamounts used to be paid to him after deducting Income Tax at source as is required in the case of a contractor.

Payment vouchers and bills for the months of Sept. October and Nov. 1998 have been filed as Ann. 1 to 3 alongwith the objections to show that several labours were provided by Rakesh Kumar Sahu during these months for which payment was made to him over and above the usual service charges for these months. It is submitted that 2% tax was deducted at source as is required for payment to contractors. Thus, it would be absolutely clear that Rakesh Kumar Sahu was working only as a maintenance contractor in the Institute and not as an employee.

It is further submitted that the entry card was only for purposes of ensuring entry and presence in the Institute for carrying out maintenance work in pursuance of the contract and cannot be read as a proof of employment and does not confer any right for being an employee of the Institute. It is categorically admitted that the entry card or the attendance register cannot be read as a proof of employment in the Institute. As such the same are not relevant for the adjudcation of the present dispute.

It is submitted that in order to carry out the maintenance work under the service contract. Rakesh Kumar Sahu was required to be present in the Institute every day. The entry and attendance of the workman was only for the purposes of ensuring his presence in the institute every day for the purposes of carrying out the maintenance work, if any, and also for the purposes of paying his service charges for the number of days he undertook maintenance work in the institute and cannot be read as a proof of employment in the institute.

It is submitted that Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu was working only as a contractor, he was not a workman as defined under the I.D. Act and the present proceedings at this behest are not maintainable.

It is further submitted that as Rakesh Kumar Sahu is not a workman, working for more than 240 days in a calander year is not relavant for the present dispute and the provisions of Section 25F of I.D. Act are not applicable.

On the contrary the opposite party has argued that Rakesh Kumar Sahu was the worker of the opposite party and was in the employment and his services were terminated in afternoon 1-7-99. The argument of representative of the Rakesh Kumar Sahu is not true looking into the various service contract between the parties and since Rakesh Kumar Sahu was not in the employment and there was no obligation on the opposite to tender any notice to the worker.

From the letter head and quotation of the Rakesh Kumar Sahu it is proved that he was not a worker but was a plumber and Raj Mistry etc. who was carrying out the various jobs on contract basis. The opposite party is a educational institute engage in imparting technical education in plastic engineering and its aim and object is

to impart education in plastic engineering. It is not a public work deptt. which is engaging maintenance of building or garden etc. For petty work such institute have option either to employ and engage labour themselves and to carry out the job in alternative of they can engage petty contractor for the purpose to avoid to bring the labours from market and supervising the work. It is in these circumstances it is proved that the opposite party has engaged Rakesh Kumar Sahu for such petty works. Rakesh Kumar Sahu has in disperitably pleaded to get the employment with the opposite party and has tried to manipulate the fact and has not stated truth in the cross-examination. The representative of Rakesh Kumar Sahu has filed the case laws which is not relevant to the present case.

Rakesh Kumar Sahu has tried to say in affidavit that Randhir Kumar Patel has been kept engaged who was junior to him in service where as his services were terminated. The denying the allegation of the Dy. Director of the opposite party has stated in his affidavit that Randhir Kumar Patel was appointed as a Technician on Project work for one year vide appointment order dt. 31-7-98. He worked till 31-7-99 and thereafter his contract was also not renewed and lapsed. He is no more in the employment of the Institute. Moreover Sri Patel was working against a post under a Project for which Diploma in Electronics was a prerequisite. Sri Rakesh Kumar Sahu cannot claim parity with Sri Randhir Kumar Patel, the opposite party has also filed the appointment letter of Randhir Kumar Patel which is annx. no. 6 of the affidavit.

Rakesh Kumar Sahu in his affidavit stated that the work for which he was engaged has been got executed by Jhabu and he was posted as Mali and thereafter the opposite party engaged other outsiders. Dr. Vijay Kumar Dy. Director denying the allegation has submitted that Jhabu initially a labour of Rakesh Kumar Sahu and used to work with him. He was given the contract for cleaning the institute for 11 months w.e.f. 1-4-2002. His duties and work is different from that of Rakesh Kumar Sahu. As such it cannot be said that he was appointed in place of Rakesh Kumar Sahu. True copy of the contract of Jhabu is also been filed as annexure no. 7 of the affidavit.

From the discussions above I come to the conclusion that Rakesh Kumar Sahu was on service contract upto 30-4-99 and that contract was not further renewed and therefore there is no question of termination of his services and there is no requirement in law to give him any notice, notice pay or compensation under the provision of I.D. Act. The issue is therefore awarded accordingly. I also come to the conclusion that Rakesh Kumar Sahu is not entitled any relief whatsoever.

LUCKNOW

17-5-2005

SHRIKANT SHUKLA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जन, 2005

का.आ. 2333 — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार डब्ल्यू. सी. एल. प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (संदर्भ संख्या सी.जी.आई.टी./एल.सी./आर./ 164/93) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/185/93-आई आर (सी-II)] एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2005

S.O. 2333.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.CGIT/LC/R/164/93) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jabalpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of WCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

[No. L-22012/185/93-IR (C-II)] N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR-COURT, JABALPUR

No. CGIT/LC/R/164/93

Shri C. M. SINGH. Presiding Officer

The General Secretary, M.P. K.K.M.P. (HMS), Post Junnardeo, Distt. Chhindwara

...Workman/Union

Versus

The Manager, Ghorawari Colliery, P.O. Ghorawari, Distt. Chhindwara

... Management

AWARD

Passed on this 12th May, 2005

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour *vide* its Notification No. L-22012/185/93-IR (C-II) dated 23-8-93 has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:—

"Whether the action of the management of Ghorawari Colliery of WCL, Kanhan Area, PO Ghorawari, Distt. Chhindwara in dismissing Shri Maroti S/o Ratti, General Mazdoor from services w.e.f. 20-3-91 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. The Case of the workman in brief is as follows:—The workman Shri Maroti was a permanent worker having 15 years of neat and clean service for the industry (Ghorawari Colliery), Western Coalfields Ltd., Distt. Chhindwara. He was served with chargesheet No. 226 dated 29-6-90 stating therein that he was served with a chargesheet No. 828/88 dated 4-7-88 regarding which the enquiry will be held on 7-7-90 i.e. after a gap of 2 years. He

went to attend the enquiry proceedings but no enquiry was conducted. Thereafter two times he received letters to face the enquiry on 14-7-90 and 12-8-90. On both the dates, he went to attend the enquiry proceedings but the management failed to conduct the enquiry. Thereafter again he was served with a chargesheet No. 741/90 dated 11-6-90 mentioned therein that he was absenting from duty from 16-3-90. But no enquiry was conducted. He was called at the office along with Shri Lazbar Khan and his thumb impressions were taken on various papers with an assurance that he will be allowed to continue on duty, on 20-3-91, he was dismissed without any charge as he was stopped verbally to continue on duty. The management with the view to retrench the surplus manpower adopted the above illegal procedure. The further case of the workman is that he never absented himself from duty. actually he was a TB patient and was under treatment of Company's hospital after giving due information to he management. The management to escape itself from granting leave of 6 months to him for treatment as per rules, passed the illegal order of his dismissal. That he never remained absent from duty unauthorisely and his short attendance shown by the management is false. It is prayed by the workman that the illegal and improper order of dismissal passed by the management be set aside and he be reinstated in service with full back wages, benefits and costs.

3. The case of the management in brief is as follows: - The workman Shri Maroti was working as a General Mazdoor in Ghorawari Colliery. He was appointed on 22-3-1974. Ever since his apointment, he was in the habit of absenting himself from duty continuously without informing the management and without taking prior approval from the Competent Authority. He was absent from 11-5-88 without any permission or intimation to the management. For the aforesaid act, he was issued with a chargesheet dated 4-7-88 which was duly recieved by him. he submitted his reply to the chargesheet and the same was not found satisfactory. Vide order dated 9-7-88, Shri S.K. Srivastava, Sr. Personnel Manager was appointed Enquiry Officer to conduct enquiry into the chargesheet. The enquiry officer conducted a proper enquiry in which full opportunity was given to the workman. The workman participated in the enquiry and the Enquiry Officer held the workman guilty of charges levelled against him. The workman had a bad record of service. his past performance was also reviewed and it was observed that he was a habitual absentee. On several occasions, he was issued with chargesheet. His yearwise attendance particulars from the year 1984 onwards are as under:-

1984	1861/
1985	95
1986	149
1987	100
1988	147
1989	49
1990	7 7

- 4. The management in order to prove that a proper and legal enquiry was conducted, examined Shri S.K. Srivastava Retd. Personnel Manager. This witness was cross-examined by the learned counsel for the workman.
- 5. The parties filed certain papers in support of their respective contentions. Those documents shall be referred in the body of this award at appropriate places where their reference is needed, it is worthwhile to mention here that the management also filed the department enquiry papers.
- 6. My Learned predecessor in office having heard Shri G.N. Jha the then Chief General Secretary, MPKKMP (HMS), Junnardeo for workman and Shri A.K. Shashi Advocate for management and after having considered the evidence on record on 12-4-96 recorded the finding that DE is held proper and legal and answered the preliminary issue on enquiry in favour of the management. The finding on this preliminary issue shall form the part of this award.
- 7. I could not have an opportunity of hearing the workman in person or the authorized representative of the Union as nobody was present on behalf of workman on the date of hearing argument. I have heard Shri A.K. Shashidaram, Advocate for management and perused the record.
- 8. The learned counsel for the management submitted that the Disciplinary Authority after having considered the report of Enquiry Officer and an examining the entire enquiry proceedings being fully satisfied that the charges stood proved accepted the enquiry report. That he after considering all the aspects of the case, specially the extreme gravity of charges that the workman remained absent from duty unauthorisely without any intimation or sanctioned leave and was a habitual absentee since he joined the services, imposed upon him the penalty of dismissal from service. The learned counsel further submitted that under the facts and circumstances of this case, the tribunal is requested not to exercise its jurisdication under Sec-11A of the Industrial Dispute Act 1947 to award lesser punishment than the dismissal from service. In this respect, he placed reliance on 1998(I) LLJ-431 in the case of Union of India and ors. versus Srivastava B.K. I have very carefully gone through the law cited above. It has been held therein that if the DE conducted is fair and legal and there has been lawful exercise of power by the Disciplinary and Appellate Authority, the tribunal, should stay its hand. That it is no part of function of the tribunal to substitute its own decision when enquiry is held in accordance with rules and the punishment is imposed by the authorities considering all the relevant circumstances and which it is entitled to impose. The learned counsel for the management also placed reliance on 1995(I)-LLJ-1065 in the case of A.M. Ishwar Char versus Executive Engineer Electrical wherein the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka held that under the guise of sympathy, there can be no compromise in cases of gross indiscipline. That sympathy will be shows in appropriate cases and the courts in this country have frequently observed that misplaced sympathy results in miscarriage of justice.

In the case at hand, no prayer has been made on behalf of workman that the lesser penalty be imposed on him as punishment. Under the circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that it is not a fit case in which lesser punishment than dismissal from service be awarded.

- 9. In view of the above, it is concluded that the action of the management of Ghorawari Colliery of WCL. Kanhan Area, PO Ghorawari, Distt. Chhindwara in dismissing Shri Maroti, S/O Ratti, General Mazdoor from services w.e.f. 20/3/91 is justified.
- 10. The reference is accordingly answered in favour of the management and against the workman. The cost shall remain easy.
- 11. The copy of the award be sent to the Government of India, Ministry of labour as per rules.

C. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer नई दिल्ली, 8 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2334—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बेंक आफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, सं.II नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई. डी.-116/97) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/110/96-आई आर (बी-I)] अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2005

S.O. 2334.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. I.D.-116/97) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. II, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

[No. L-12012/110/96-IR (B-I)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL-TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, RAJENDRA BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, RAJENDRA PLACE, NEW DELHI

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer:

I.D. No. 116/97

In The Matter Of:

Sh. Bhuvnesh Dutt Sharma S/o Sh. N.D. Sharma, 18, Gandhi Nagar, Gali No. 3, Garh Road, Meerut.

Versus

The Asstt. General Manager, State Bank of India, Region IV, Zonal Office, Garh Road, Meerut (U.P.)

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-12012/110/96-IR (B-I) Central Government Dt. 6-8-1997 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:-

"Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India in dismissing the service of Bhuvnesh Dutt Sharma w.e.f. 23-9-1994 is just, fair & Legal? If not, what relief he is entitled to and from what date."

The workman has filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, it has been stated that the workman joined the service of State Bank of India, Subhash Bazar, Market. Meerut, as Guard on 28-5-1970 and was confirmed on 28-11-1970. That his work and conduct was very satisfactory, therefore, he was promoted as Godown Keepr w.e.f. 1-9-1983 and posted at Meerut City Branch. He was transferred by the Bank to Main Market Muzaffarnagar Branch where he joined duty on 10th June, 1991.

That the workman was issued a charge sheet vide Memo No. MRT/DPS/RIV/PCF/497 dt. 11-6-1992 by the Disciplinary Authority on false and flimsy grounds. The copy of the said charge sheet is enclosed and marked Annexure 'A'. That Shri R.K. Sharma was appointed as Equiry Officer. That the workman attended the enquiry on 30-11-1993. That the workman was coerced, pressurized and induced by the Enquiry Officer and the Bank Representative that the workman should not get himself defended by Shri J.N. Kapoor, the then General Secretry of the Union and in turn they assured the workman that they would help him. They further threatened that if the workman insists and brings Shri J.N. Kapoor then the management would not be able to help him. They further assured that they would look after his interest and if the workman follows their instructions and signs the proceedings as recorded by the Enquiry Officer, then no action would be taken against the workman. In the circumstances, the workman attended the proceedings mutely and signed the minutes blindly. The enquiry was a sham show and was done in a most irregular manner just to complete the formalities. The Enquiry Officer had been repeatedly assuring the workman that the enquiry proceedings are being done to close the case against the workman. The workman could never imagine that the Enquiry Officer and Bank Representative had been duping him and they are getting his signatures under coercion and false inducement, on his death warrants. The enquiry was a sham show and merely a formality. The principles of Natural Justice were flouted.

That the workman blindly relied on the false assurances of the Enquiry Officer and was very much

hopeful and as assured by the Enquiry Officer and the Bank Representative no action would be taken against him. That the workman was shocked to receive show-cause notice from the Disciplinary Authority vide his letter dt. 20-7-1994, tentatively deciding to inflict the punishment of dismissal on the workman. The decision of the Disciplinary Authority was illegal, arbitrary and amounts to victimization. The workman submitted his detailed reply to the show-cause notice vide his letter dt. 26-8-1994, the copy of which is enclosed, marked Annexure 'B', and the contents of the said letter be treated as part of my statement of claim. That the Disciplinary Authority did not consider workman's reply and acted recklessly and arbitrarily as he was a biased authority. He had pre-decided to dismiss the workman and show cause/tentative decision was only a formality and sham show.

That the workman was illegally dismissed from the Bank's service on 27-9-1994 by the Disciplinary Authority. The workman also submitted his Appeal against the above order to the Appellate authority vide his letter dt. 7-11-1994. The Disciplinary Authority without going through the facts and merits of the case, summarily dismissed the Appeal. The copy of the said Appeal is enclosed—marked Annexure 'C'; and the contents of the same may kindly be treated as part of his statement of claim.

The findings of the Enquiry Officer in this case are biased and perverse. It is the duty of the Enquiry Officer conducting a domestic enquiry to apply his mind to the evidence tendered and draw conclusions supported by reasons. Termination order based on a report containing conclusions without reasons is unsustainable.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been stated that it is, however, wrong and emphatically denied that the chargesheet was issued on false and flimsy grounds. The workman was misusing his official position and was involved in certain illegal activities which caused financial loss to the bank. He negotiated certain cheques and withdrawals on his accounts, which bounced. He issued certain cheques and withdrawals also on his accounts without keeping sufficient balance. He was absenting unauthorisedly from his duties from 10-7-1991. These misconducts of the workman were pejudicial to the nature of the business of the bank and, therefore, he was charge sheeted.

Sh. R.K. Sharma was appointed as Enquiry Officer and the workman attended the enquiry on 30-11-1993. Rest of the contents of this para are wrong and denied. It is emphatically denied that the workman was coerced, pressurized and induced by the Enquiry Officer and the Bank Representative. It is submitted that the workman attended the Enquiry Proceeding for the first time on 30-11-1993 and requested for adjournment so that he could present his defence properly and could bring a defence representative. On the next date of hearing i.e. on 14-12-1993, the workman did not bring any defence

representative. He was afforded one more opportunity by the Enquiry Officer to bring his defence representative. He gave the name of Shri J.N. Kapoor as his defence representative. However, Shri J.N. Kapoor never appeared in the enquiry proceedings. It is wrong and denied that the enquiry was a sham show and was a mere formality. It is stated that the workman, time and again tried to vitiate the enquiry proceedings. The chargesheet was sent to the workman by Regd. Post which was acknowledged by him on AD Card. However, as no reply of the chargesheet was received despite repeated reminders, an enquiry was ordered by the Disciplinary Authority. The notice of enquiry was sent to the workman at his last recorded addresses by two Regd. letters on 13-5-1993 but both were received back with the endorsements "House locked; packet returned to Sender". The workman was again intimated by Regd. letter and also by the UPC on 4-6-1993, but these letters were also received back. On 13-11-1993, notice to this effect was publihsed in the "Amar Uiala" a local newspaper. It is only then that the workman appeared in the enquiry proceedings on 30-11-1993 for the first time. It is wrong and denied that the enquiry was done in irregular manner and principles of natural justice were flouted. It is stated that the fullest opportunity to cross-examine the management witneses was given to the workman. He was also afforded the opportunity to present his defence before the enquiry officer. In view of these circumstances, it is stated that the principles of natural justice were fully followed during the enquiry proceedings.

It is stated that after the conclusion of the enquiry proceedings, the Disciplinary Authority considered the whole matter and tentatively decided to dismiss the workman, consequently a show-cause notice was issued by the Disciplinary Authority on 20-7-1994. It is wrong and denied that the decision of the Disciplinary Authority was illegal, arbitrary and amounted to victimization. It is admitted that on 26-8-1994 the workman submitted his reply to the show-cause notice and personally appeared before the Disciplinary Authority. The final orders of dismissal of the workman was passed by the Disciplinary Authority only after considering all the pleas and points raised by the workman in his reply during the personal hearing. It is emphatically denied that the Disciplinary Authority acted recklessly and arbitrarily and was a biased authority. It is wrong and denied that the Disciplinary Authority had predecided to dismiss the workman and the show cause and tentative decision was only a formality and sham show. As stated earlier, the final orders of dismisal were passed after following proper procedures and only after the conclusion of enquiry proceedings.

It is, however, wrong and denied that the appellate authority dismissed and appeal without going through the facts and merits of the case. The appellate authority rejected the appeal of the wrokman by pasing a reasoned

order. It is wrong and denied that the charges against the workman were false and baseless. It is wrong and denied that the charges do not fall under the clauses of major misconduct. As the charges stood proved during a fair and legal enquiry in which all principles of natural justice were complied with and the workman was given due opportunity to defend himself, the workman was proportionately punished with the capital punishment of dismissal. It is admitted that other punishments have been imposed on other people but the facts and circumstances of those cases are entirely different from the case in hand. As the wrokman has made vague and baseless allegations the same cannot be replied. It is wrong and denied that any disporportionate punishment was awarded to the workman. As no prejudice has been caused to the workman during the fair and legal enquiry the workman was imposed the punishment of dismissal commensurating with the gravity of the charges upheld. It is wrong and denied that the work and conduct of the workman had been very good. The factum of the workman having large family is denied for want of knowledge. As the punishment has been imposed after a fair and legal enquiry the same cannot be interfered by the Hon'ble Court.

It is again wrong and denied that the enquiry was a sham show. The entire documentary evidence was placed in the enquiry proceedings and witnesses were examined by the management. The workman had an opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses of the Management and. therefore, the allegation made by the workman are wrong and denied. All the principles of natural justice were complied by the Enquiry Officer, the workman was given full opportunity to defend himself.

The workman applicant has filed rejoinder. In his rejoinder he has reiterated the averments of his claim statement and has denied most of the paras of the written statmeent. The management has also denied most of the paras of the statement of claim.

Heard arguments from both the sides and perused the papers on the record.

It was submitted from the side of the workman applicant that Shri R. K. Sharma was appointed as inquiry officer. The workman attended inquiry on 30-11-1993. The workman was coerced, pressurized and enduced by the inquiry officer and the Bank representative that the workman should not get himself defended by Shri J. N. Kapoor the then General Secretary of the Union and in term they assured the workman that they would help him.

It was further submitted from the side of the workman that they further threatened that if the workman insists and brings his J.N. Kapoor then the management would not be able to help him. He was assured that they would look after his interest in case the workman follows their instructions and signs the proceedings as recorded by the inquiry officer. In that case no action against the

workman would be taken. In the circumstances the workman attended the proceedings and signed the minutes blindly. The inquiry was sham show and was done in the most irregular manner just to complete the formalities. The workman did not imagine that the inquiry officer and Bank representative are duping and they should get his signatures out of coercion and false assurances. The workman applicant signed the minutes of the proceedings. The inquiry officer gave report against him. He was dismissed from service. The appellate authority also did not apply his mind and held the dismissal valid.

It was further submitted that the inquiry officer was biased and his report is perverse. He was dismissed for *malafide* reasons. The inquiry was not held properly. The principles of natural justice were violated. The workman was victimized for *malafide* reasons. Disproportionate and harsh punishment of dismissal was awarded to the workman. The workman had put in 24 years unblemished service. The management has not given any cognizance or considration of such long service with good records of the workman. The workman from the date of his dismissal has been trying to get remunerative job but he has failed. He has a large family to support.

It was submitted from the side of the management that the workman was charge sheeted by the inquiry officer and the charges are that he purchased DD and issued cheques and with no money and thus caused loss to the Bank. He held account No. 427 at Meerut City Branch and on 23-05-1991 purchased DD for Rs. 1200/-. From the main market Muzaffarnagar Branch he purchased DD of Rs. 3,250/- from the same branch. He purchased DD of Rs. 5.160/- and Rs. 500/-. He issued cheques on his Saving Account 427 at Meerut City Branch without keeping sufficient balance in his account in contravention of his Service Rules and Conduct Rules. He issued cheque on 17-09-1990 for Rs. 5,000/- in the name of Sri Hari Om Agarwal. Cheque No. 746998 issued in favour of M/s. Mahavir Traders for Rs.10,000/- was returned unpaid. Cheque No. 876270 for Rs. 4,120/- was returned unpaid on 07-04-1990 issued in favour of M/s. Rajdhani Finance Company. His debit and credit transaction in his SB Account No. 427 at Meerut City Branch. He has credited Rs. 10,000/- on 06-09-1990. Rs. 10,000/- on 26-09-1990 and Rs. 10-,000/- on 07-01-1991 and Rs.7,923/- on 18-01-1991. He has opened Saving Bank Accounts No.1138 with Zila Sahkari Bank Limited in contravention of the Conduct Rules.

He further received advance money from Smt. Prem Lata Singhal for sale of his land of Rs. 10,000/- and Shri Hari Om Agarwal of Rs. 5,000/-. He was unauthorisedly absent from duty w.e.f.; 10-07-1991. It was further submitted by the Bank that even charges amount to grave to gross misconduct.

It was submitted from the side of the workman applicant that he joined Bank service in 1970 and he was

confirmed in 1970. He was appointed as Godown Keeper w.e.f.; 01-09-1993. He was charged on 11-06-1992 as such after 20 years of long service he has been charge sheeted. Shri J.N. Kapoor has not signed the proceedings of the inquiry. The case of the workman applicant is that he was assured that no action will be taken against him so he signed the proceedings of the bank and did not produce evidence in his defence in view of the same assurances. Shri J.N. Kapoor was given by the management as his defence representative but no step was taken to relieve Shri Kapoor thus the Bank did not provide the person to crossexamination the witness. The employee of the Bank has got right under para 521(10)(a) of Shastri Award to get employee for his defence and that employee should be relieved on special leave with full pay and allowances. In this case Shri J.N. Kapoor was not relieved to cross-examine the management witness. It was submitted from the side of the workman applicant that due to this act of the management para 521(10(a) of Shastri Award has been violated.

From the side of the workman applicant my attention was drawn to 18 1989 SCC Pg. 180 and 2000 SCC Pg. 830. These citation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court are not relevant in the present facts and circumstances of the case. I have perused the inquiry report on witness has been cross-examined. It has been mentioned in the inquiry that the EPA pleaded his case himself and gave letter dated 26-04-1994 in respect of the clarification on charges against and requested the disciplinary authority to take lenient view and reduce the punishment awarded to him keeping in view the 20 years unblemished service put in by him. It transpires from the perusal of the order passed above by the disciplinary authority that the workman applicant pleaded his case himself and he gave a short defence statement. This indicates that he signed the proceedings under inducement of the inquiry officer and the Presenting Officer that no action will be taken against him. I have perused the entire charges, from the charges levelled against him there is no grave misconduct in case the principle of natural justice are supposed to be followed and it is supposed that sufficient opportunity has been given to him. In the facts and circumstances of the case a proper inquiry has not been held. The workman applicant has not been given opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses. Shri J.N. Kapoor the defence representative has not been relieved to cross-examine the witnesses produced during the inquiry as such there is infringement of the right given to the workman applicant in para 521 referred to above of the Shastri Award. In case for argument's shake if it is supposed that the principles of natural justice have been followed and he has been given sufficient opportunity to afford evidence in defence, the charge sheet did not disclose anything from which it can be said that the workman applicant has committed grave mis-conduct. The Bank Award under Rule 4 of the said award gross-misconduct has been defined. It is regarding engagement in Trade or Business, unauthorized disclosure of information, dampening etc. Damage caused to the property of the Bank wilful insubordination habitual doing of any act, which amount to major misconduct. From the charge sheet itself it is clear that he has credited Rs. 10,000/- on 06-09-1990 again Rs. 10,000/- on 26-09-1990, Rs. 10,000/- on 06-09-1991 and Rs. 7,913/- on 18-01-1991. The DD has been purchased on 23-05-1991, 12-06-1991 and 01-10-1991 and the cheques have been issued on 17-09-1990. There was sufficient amount in the account of the workman applicant according to the charge sheet itself as such there was no misappropriation, there is no embezzlement in case he has deposited Rs. 10,000/- on 06-09-1990 as per the charge sheet. He has deposited Rs. 10,000/- on 26-09-1990. The cheque was returned on 17-08-1990 as there was no balance. In case the workman applicant has issued a cheque and there is no balance the cheque is to be returned but subsequently he has deposited the money for encashment of that cheque and even according to the negotiable instrument act money may be deposited within one month from the date of issue of the cheque. The money has been so deposited.

He has a shop and he wanted to sell the shop so he could take advance money from others and permission of the Bank is not required. From the perusal of the inquiry report and the charge sheet submitted to the workman applicant even if the proceedings of the inquiry are taken to be fair, the workman applicant has not committed any grave misconduct and as such he could not be given punishment of grave mis-conduct.

The workman applicant has committed minor misconduct so he cannot be awarded punishment of dismissal. He has rendered 20 years of service so in view of his long unblemished services for minor mis-conduct only increments are to be withheld and he should not have been awarded the punishment of dismissal for gross misconduct. The gross mis-conduct is not found proved on the basis of the inquiry and charge sheet. The inquiry is not absolutely fair but there are certain transactions of the workman applicant, which constitute minor misconduct, and so he can be punished for he minor misconduct. No loss to the Bank has been established.

In this case both the parties have adduced evidence and the fairness of the inquiry has not been agitated as preliminary issue. Both the parties have adduced evidence so the award is being given on the merits of the case. The inquiry is not absolutely fair but still the workman applicant according to his own admissions has committed minor misconduct as such his three increments with cumulative effect should be withheld and he should be given 50% back wages in view of his minor mis-conduct. The

punishment of dismissal without any notice is not proportionate to the minor misconduct committed by the workman applicant.

The reference is replied thus:—

The action of the management of the State Bank of India in dismissing the service of Bhubnesh Dutt Sharma w.e.f. 23-09-1994 is neither absolutely just nor fair nor absolutely legal. The workman applicant deserves to be reinstated withholding his three increments with cumulative effect and 50% back wages w.e.f. 23-09-1994. It is further clarified that the workman applicant will receive only 50% back wages and his three increments will be withheld with cumulative effect from 23-09-1994. The management is directed to reinstate the workman applicant in the light of the above order within one month from the publication of the Award. In case of default the workman applicant will be entitled to get 12% interest per annum on the back wages that become due.

The Award is given accordingly.

Dated: 09-02-2005

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2335.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नार्दन रेलवे प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, सं. II, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई.डी. 31/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-41011/40/99-आई आर (बी-I)]

अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2005

S.O. 2335.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (ID-31/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. II, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Northern Railway and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

[No. L-41011/40/99-IR(B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR. Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, RAJENDRA BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, RAJENDRA PLACE, NEWDELHI

Presiding Officer: R. N. RAI

I.D. No. 31/2000

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sh. Ram Lal and Others, C/o The President, Delhi Labour Union, Agraval Bhawan, G. T. Road, Tis Hazari, Delhi.

Versus

The General Manager, Northern Railway, Baroda House, New Delhi-110001.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-41011/40/99-IR (B-I) Central Government Dt. 17-02-2000 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:-

"Whether workman Shri Ram Lal, Babu Lal and Hari engaged as casual Workers at Railway's Karnail Singh Stadium by Northern Railways Sports Association are entitled for temporary status under Railway Estt. After completion of 120 days' continuous working."

The Union has filed statement of claim on behalf of the workmen. In the statement of claim, it has been stated that the workman Sh. Ram Lal has been in the employment of the Northern Railway and is posted at Railway Karnail Singh Stadium w.e.f. 01-08-1994 as a Gym Attendant. Shri Babu Lal has been working as a peon w.e.f. 01-05-1990 and Sh. Hari has been working as a Safai Karamchari w.e.f. 01-07-1995. Shri Ram Lal is getting Rs. 1,600 p.m., Shri Babu Lal is getting Rs. 1900 p.m. and Sh. Hari is being paid Rs. 1650 p.m. which are less than the wages fixed under the minimum wages Act by the appropriate Government. They are being treated as monthly paid

muster roll workers although they are working continuously from the initial date of their joining into the employment and are performing full normal duties to the entire satisfaction of their superiors. They have unblemished and uninterrupted record of service to their credit. Their counterparts, doing the identical work but being treated as regular employees, are being paid their salaries in proper pay scale and allowances. They are also enjoying other benefits and facilities such as Uniform, E.L., C.L., Gazetted/Restricted/Festival Holidays and Medical Leave which are completely denied to the aforesaid workmen.

That they should have been granted temporary status in service from the initial date of their joining into the employment after completing 120 days of continuous employment. But no action has been taken by the Railways administration to regularise their services in proper pay scale and allowances. That the workmen aforesaid have been meted out with hostile discrimination as juniors to them have been regularised in service in proper pay scale and allowances. Shri Kayam Ullah S/o Sh. Karam Ullah was taken into the employment on 01-08-1993. His services have been regularised and he has been transferred to Calcutta. The workmen aforesaid have represented their case to the Railway Board on various occasions but no reply has been given to them. They are made to perform duties from 6 a.m. to 8 p.m. daily, but no overtime wages are paid to them.

That the action of the management amounts to unfair labour practice as provided in Section 2(ra) read with Item No. 10 of the 5th Schedule of the I.D. Act, 1947. That the job against which the workmen aforesaid are working is of a permanent nature of job. That a demand notice was served upon the General Manager of the Northern Railway by regd. A/D post vide communication dt. 28-04-1999, duly received in his office, but no reply has been received and it is presumed that the demand has been rejected. Thereafter an Industrial Dispute was raised by filing a statement of claim before the conciliation officer and the conciliation proceedings were initiated but the conciliation proceedings resulted in failure because of the adamant and non-cooperative attitude of the management and hence this reference.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been stated that the Northern Railway Sports Association (N. R. S. A.) is an Association for promoting sports among Railway employees. Its office bearers are all honorary members and they do not receive any remuneration for carrying out their duties in the field

of sports from the Railway Administration. Some persons are engaged by the Association purely on part time basis to provide services for the maintenance and upkeep of the stadium. There is, however, no post at the stadium level either temporary or permanent for such sports activities, as the power to engage anybody on temporary or permanent basis is vested in none other than the General Manager, Northern Railway, New Delhi. That the claims of applicants are not covered under the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, therefore, their claim petition is liable to be rejected by this Hon'ble court on this ground alone.

That the applicants/claimants are working as daily wage part time workers depending on the job requirement and they are not holding any specific job of a peon or safaiwala and they are paid by the Sports Association from the earnings of stadium and partly from grants received through Staff Benefit Funds. So, they can in no way be considered to have been engaged by the Northern Railway. That the part time workers engaged on purely contract basis by the Northern Railway Sports Association cannot be treated as on the rolls of Northern Railway nor are they eligible for the grant of pay scales of Northern Railway. So, they are not entitled to the relief prayed for, and their claim petition is liable to be rejected by this Hon'ble Tribunal on this ground also.

That the claim petition is liable to be dismissed on the ground that the case is irrelevant to the facts and circumstances of the case and it does not merit consideration by this Hon'ble Tribunal. That grant of temporary status to those engaged on contract basis is extended only if they are in turn for such appointment on the basis of their position in select lists and/or are selected in the approved manner for appointment to regular Railway posts. N.R.S.A. neither have any such recruitment power nor the same has ever been extended to them in the past.

It is denied that Shri Ram Lal has been in the employment of Northern Railway, It is stated that the workman, Ram Lal, is a part time worker and employed by the Sports Association of Northern Railway and is paid by the said Association, and the said Association, it is pointed out, is not a government organisation. He is working as part time worker depending upon the job requirement with no specific job as peon or safaiwala. Another worker, Babu Lal is also a part time worker just like the one stated above and the third one, Hari Ram is also a part time worker just like the above said two workers, It is stated that Ram Lal is being paid Rs. 1900 per month (lump sum); Babu Lal is being paid Rs. 2100 per month

lump sum and Hari Ram is being paid Rs. 1900/- per month lump sum. They are all employees/workmen engaged by the Sports Association of Northern Railway and they are part time workers of the said Association and not of Northern Railway as alleged/claimed by the abovesaid workmen in their claim petition. It is denied that the counterparts of the said workmen are being treated as regular employees or are being paid proper pay scales and allowances. It is stated that there are no counterparts of the abovesaid workmen engaged by the Sports Association and it is a false and baseless statement made by the workmen in question that their counterparts are being treated as regular employees or are being paid their salaries in proper/prescribed pay scales. The above statement of the workmen in question is completely wrong and is denied. It is further stated that since the above said workmen are not Railway servants, they are not entitled to any benefits which are available or enjoyed by the Railway employees, as per rules applicable to Railway employees.

It is denied that there is any such system of temporary status in the Sports Association of Northern Railway which is being run by the honorary members of the said Association who are neither drawing any remuneration nor any other benefits for the services rendered by them in promoting sports among the Northern Railway employees. It is stated that the workmen in question are not on the rolls of Northern Railway, and, therefore, the granting of temporary status and pay scales to them does not arise. It is denied that the workmen in question being employees of Sports Association of Northern Railway have been meted out hostile discrimination or that their juniors have been regularised in service or given proper pay scales as alleged in the para under reply. Even otherwise, the contents of the para under reply can be commented upon by the Sports Association and not by the Northern Railway as such. It is further stated that the workmen in question not being Railway employees, their grievances, if any, can be looked into by the said Sports Association and not by the Railway Board as such. It is denied that the workmen in question have been performing daily duty from 6.00 a.m. to 8.00 p.m. as alleged. It is stated that the workmen being part time workers of N.R.S.A. are performing duty from 6.30 a.m. to 9.00 a.m. and thereafter from 4.00 p.m. to 9.30 p.m. as per the duty hours (roster) fixed by the said Association. It is further stated that since the applicants/workmen are not Railway employees as such, their claim is not covered under the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 as quoted in the subparas of the para under reply.

It is reiterated once again that the workmen/applicants are working purely on part time/contractual basis for maintenance and upkeep of the sports stadium of Northern Railway and this job is not at all of permanent nature involving employing staff on permanent basis. With regard to Shri Kayam Ullah, he left the part time job of Sports Association and Northern Railway is not aware of his present job. That para 3 of the claim petition is wrong and denied.

The applicants in question have been engaged as part time workers for maintenance and upkeep of the stadium and to provide services as and when required by the Northern Railway Sports Association. There is, however, no post at the stadium either temporary or permanent for sports activities. If that were so, such posts would have been filled up by the competent authority viz. General Manager, Northrn Railway, New Delhi, and not by any lesser authority, as all such powers are vested in the General Manager, Northern Railway, New Delhi only.

The workmen have filed rejoinder. In their rejoinder they have reiterated the averments of claim statement and have denied most of the paras of the written statement. The management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard arguments from both the sides and perused the papers on the record.

It was submitted from the side of the workmen applicants that they have been working with Staff Sports Association for a long time but they have not been conferred temporary status. They have been getting fixed emoluments. NRSA, Baroda House has issued Identity Cards to them. Most of the workmen are working from 77, 88, 90, 93, 95 respectively but they have not been given temporary status. The Incharge of the Sports Stadium has written letter to the Northern Railway for regularization of the services of the Casual Labour employed in the Stadium, Badminton Hall, Gymnasim Hall, D.K.Z. Akhara. This letter is WW1. This letter is admitted to the management. It indicates that the workmen concerned are working with the Sports Association. Letter dated 20-8-1993 is also regarding regularization from Ex. Director, Establishment, Railway Board.

It was further submitted that the workmen applicants have been working with the Sports Association but they have not been conferred temporary status and they are not paid "Equal Pay for Equal Work".

It was admitted from the side of the management that the worker are part time workmen and they have been appointed by the Sports Association. They have not been appointed by the General Manager, Northern Railway and they have not been appointed according to the recruitment process. They are part time workers and they are working on contract basis for doing the job of cleaning etc. They are not the employees of the Railway Government. From the perusal of the record it transpires that the workmen applicants are engaged in the stadium only and they are performing their duties in the Railway Stadium. It has been held in AIR 2003 SC 2353 that Railway Employees who are not appointed pursuant to any rules of recruitment or orders issued by the Railways but they are engaged on part time basis they cannot claim regularization and "Equal Pav for Equal Work". In the instant case also the workmen applicants have not been recruited by orders issued by the Railways. In 117 (2005) Delhi Law Times DRJ 145 it has held that the workmen should perform duties identical to those of regular employees. In the instant case the workmen are not performing the duties of regular employee. In 2002(7) SC 518, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that Daily Wagers and Casual Wagers cannot be regularized.

It was submitted from the side of the management that it is the duty of the workmen to prove that they have been recruited by the Railway Board or by the General Manager, Northern Railway but they have failed to prove it. Sports Association runs the stadium and the workmen have been appointed by the Sports Association so they are not direct recruit of the Railway Government. The question of giving them "Equal Pay for Equal Work" does not arise. They are not even entitled to regularization in view of the law discuss above. They are not entitled to get any relief as prayed for.

The reference is replied thus:-

The workmen Sh. Ram Lal, Babu Lal and Sh. Hari engaged as Casual workers at Railway Karnail Singh Stadium vide Northern Railway Sports Association are not entitled for temporary status under Railway establishment after completion of 120 days continuous working. The workmen applicants are not entitled to get any relief as prayed for.

The Award is given accordingly.

Dated: 1-6-2005 R.N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2336.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार रेलवे बोर्ड, रेलवे मंत्रालय, नई दिल्ली के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं.—II, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई.डी. 123/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-41011/13/2000-आई आर (बी-I)]

अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th June, 2005

S.O. 2336.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (I.D. 123/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court No. II, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Railway Board, Ministry of Railway, New Delhi and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

[No. L-41011/13/2000-IR (B-1)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II,
RAJENDRA BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR,
RAJENDRA PLACE, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R.N. RAI

I. D. No. 123/2000

INTHE MATTER OF:-

The Secretary General, All India Station Masters's Association, New Delhi, Regd. No. 1359, 26. Badra Gardens, 8 Venugopal Street, Guduvanchery, Tamil Nadu-603202.

VERSUS

The Chairman, Railway Board, Ministry of Railways, Government of India, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.

AWARD

The Minstry of Labour by its letter No. L-41011/13/2000/IR (B-I) CENTRAL GOVERNMENT DT. 7-11-2000 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:—

"Whether the action of the Chairman, Railway Board, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi in not granting pay element of running allowance at par with running staff to the Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters is justified, reasonable and valid? If not, what benefit and relief Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters are entitled to"

The Union has filed statement of claim on behalf of the workmen. In the statement of claim, it has been stated that the Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters are appointed in Railways mainly for the purpose of performing train passing duties. The All India Station Masters' Association, Regd. No. 1359 has been espousing the cause of the Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters at various levels to find solutions to genuine rights/grievances since 1951. On the demand of Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters, the All India Station Masters' Association (Association for short) issued a Strike Notice on the management in July 97 on following demands:

- Supervisors (Station Masters) to be placed above the supervised.
- (2) Grant of Pay-Element of Running Allowance.
- (3) Abolition of Essentially Intermittent Classification, etc.,

The Labour Minstry initiated conciliatory proceedings. However, the conciliatory proceedings had failed. This Association continued its persuasive measures to find redressal to the issue raised in the Strike Notice and discussed the same in subsequent conciliatory proceedings. Having failed in all its efforts, this Association issued a fresh strike notice dt. 4th December, 1999 on the same issues. Again, a fresh round of conciliatory proceedings was initiated by the Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) New Delhi. Some progress on the demand was made and in respect of revision of pay scales, the management agreed. Whereas, in respect of schedule under reference the management rejected, hence this statement.

The petitioner humbly submits that the rights of Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters to Running Allowance is inherent in them under the rules and in relation to the object sought to be achieved by the rules. An

analysis of the definition for Running Allowance which is mentioned as :

"Running Allowance is an Allowance ordinarily granted to running staff for the performance of duty directly connected to the charge of moving trains and includes Kilometerage and Allowance in lieu of Kilometerage" in Rule 1507 of the Indian Railways Establishment Code.

Excluding - Ordinarily to running staff —remains as an allowance granted for performance of duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains. Now, for better understanding what are the duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains, the following may be pertinent to ponder over. The system of Railways is based on an enactment *i.e.* Railways Act, the latest is the Railways Act, 1989, formulated and passed by both the Houses of Parliament. Section 60 (2) (g) under this Act prescribe for Rules related to working of Railways, establishment of Railways, etc., by the Central Government and for placing them before both the Houses of Parliament for approval. The General Rules, 1986 is the latest rules made in connection with the working of Railways by the Government of India and approved by the Union Parliament.

According to General Rules, working of Railways means basically movement of trains from one destination to another. The entire stretch between such destinations is divided into block sections by situating stations as operationally convenient spots. Thus, a train running between two destinations has to negotiate a member of stations and block stations. Thus running of trains between destinations requires certain duties to be discharged. Such duties, without which there cannot be running of trains, shall be construed to be directly connected to the charge of moving trains.

Moving trains which are called as running trains in General Rules, is defined to be a train, which has commenced its journey with an authority to proceed but has not completed its journey, Vide GR 1.02(48) (Annexure I). According to this definition for a train to become a moving train, the essential requirement is that Station Masters/ Assistant Station Masters shall not give permission to start the train until train is fit to the effect that it is fit to proceed and has the prescribed brake power (G.R. 4.31) and it shall be in possession on an authority to proceed i.e. without an authority to proceed, a train is a stable stock i.e. a train cannot said to be a moving train. This fact shall be understood from General Rules GR. 1.02(6) (Annexure II) which defines an authority to proceed as an authority given to the driver of a train under the system of working to enter into a block section and GR 14.08 (Annexure III) which stipulates that the Driver shall not take his train into a block station unless he has been given an authority to proceed. Thus every duty performed in connection with an authority to proceed is a duty directly connected to the charge of moving trains.

Kinds of authority to proceed are contained in GR 14.08 and according to this provision every tangible authority which are extracted utilizing block instruments. paper line clear tickets signed by Station Masters and 'taking off' or 'Clearing' the last stop signal - are the authorities to proceed without which no train can commence its journey. Thus, duties performed in connection with extraction of the tangible authorities and the duties performed on the block instruments, preparation of paper line clear tickets and clearance of last stop signals and conveying such authority to proceed to the drivers are duties which are directly connected to the charge of moving trains. Further, GR 1.02(10) (Annexure IV) defines block section as that portion of the running line between two block stations into which no running train may enter until line clear has been received from the block station at the other end and vide GR 1.02(37) (Annexure V) line clear means a permission given from a block station to block station in near for a train to leave the latter and approach the former or permission obtained by a block station from a block station in advance for a train to leave the former and proceed towards the latter. From these two definitions in GR. 1.02(10) & GR 1.02(37) one can understand that for a train to enter into the Section between two block stations, it must possess line clear i.e. permission to approach transacted between block stations. With reference to GR 5.01 (4) (Annexure VI) and GR 14.03 (Annexure VII) Station Masters are the one and the only railway servants who can obtain or grant line clear and who can transmit an authority to proceed and can have free access to the block instruments. Thus every duty performed with the block instruments by the Station Masters is that directly connected to the charge of moving trains.

Further a train running between two destinations has to negotiate Stations which are defined to be places at which traffic is dealt with or any authority to proceed is given under the system of working vide GR 1.02(51) (Annexure VIII). In order to control reception and despatch of trains at Stations, a system of signal working is stipulate Vide Chapter III of the General Rules, 1986. According to this chapter in normal circumstances movement of trains is controlled by clearing or taking off of relevant signals and such clearance of signals within Station-limits as per GR 3.36 (Annexure IX) shall not be done without necessary permission from Station Masters i.e. if a Station Masters does not either arrange to clear a signal or permit to a clear a signal, there cannot be any movement of trains. During failures of signal working the General Rules stipulate for issuing of paper authority fulfilling certain condition procedents. According to the mandatory General Rules, the above condition precedents are to be fulfilled by the Station Masters and the paper authorities shall be issued by the Station Masters only.

The duties leading to, clearing relevant signals, permission to clear signals are duties directly connected to

the charge of moving trains since without the above duties there will not be any movement of trains. Similarly Chapter-3(H) (Annexure X) prescribes for duties to be performed whenever signals and points governing movement of trains. are defective and these duties have equal force to that under normal working of signals. As such the duties performed in connection with issuing authorities in place of defective signals, duties performed in receiving trains from the defective signals and duties connected to the correct setting and locking of points leading to reception and despatch of trains are duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains. In order to ensure safe and punctual running of trains, procedures have been laid in the General Rules, such as issuance of caution orders vide GR 4.09 (Annexure XI) and exchange of all right signals vide GR 4.42 (Annexure XII), etc.

Caution orders are necessitated during emergencies and/or departmental maintenance works. All rights signals are exchanged as an important task to ensure safe and smooth running of train from one destination to another. This signal is exchanged between the Guard and the Driver of a moving train with the Station Staff. Any failure in exchanging all right signals either by the crew or the Station Staff will lead to temporary termination running of trains. Therefore, duties related to, the issuance of caution orders, conveying the caution order and exchange of all right signals are those directly connected to the charge of moving trains. The General Rules, 1986, are the rules, which provide for working of railways and according to these rules, traffic, i.e. movement of trains etc. are directly controlled by the Station Masters. Further, vide General Rules 4.37 and 4.39 (Annexure XIII) the driver and the guard who work trains are working under the orders from the Station Masters and as such but for orders from the Station Masters there will not be running of trains and hence station Masters shall be the principal recipient of pay element of Running Allowance.

If the duties of the driver of a train in starting his train from a Station which can take place only when the driver is permitted so by the guard of the train, are the duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains. then the duties performed by the Station Masters in relation to permission given to the guard to permit his driver to start his train vide 4.35 (Annexure XIV) are equally placed to that by the Driver and the guard and as such are duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains. If the duties performed by the driver and the guard of a train in protecting such train in mid-section during emergencies such as accidents, fire etc., are duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains, then the duties performed by Station Masters in ensuring the complete arrival of train which negotiate a section and in arranging to secure the train by sending search parties etc., in the event of such train does not reach the station in advance within a reasonable time vide GR 6.04 (Annexure XV) and arranging to protect the trains *vide* GR 6.03 (Annexure XVI) at stations or within station limits are duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains.

Also, "Charge of" mentioned in the definition does not necessarily mean 'Driving' or 'Attempt to drive' but means and includes a duty directly connected to the control of moiving trains, according to law settled in CHRITCHTON Vs. BURREL 1915 SLT 3365 which has been emphasized by Justice Shankar Saran in his award in 1961. "That train passing duty itself is a duty of great responsibility and heavy mental strain, so much so, that a slight mistake may lead to disastrous consequences involving loss of thousand of lives". Also, once an engine enters into the traffic yard and until it is sent back to the loco shed it is under the charge and control of Station Master. With reference to GR 4.37 which postulates subordination of guards to Station Masters and GR 4.39 insists drivers to obey all orders given to him by Station Masters. Thus whole movements are controlled by Station Masters.

Then again, assuming not conceding, for moment that driving is a condition necessary to bring the duty within the expression Charge of Then, what is driving? No where defined in the Railway Rules. The term when used with reference to a moving vehicle has two fundamental aspects or ingredients. One is the process of keeping the vehicle in motion and regulating its speed, the second is controlling the direction of the vehicle i.e. steering. A person as the driver of a moving vehicle should possess both the above requirements. One without the other does not make him full driver of moving vehicle as well vehicle cannot also move. These functions need not be vested in a singal person as seen in the motor boats, where generally these functions are vested in two different persons. Even in motor vehicles these two functions are not inter connected. One could be performed without connection with the other. The question of steering arises only when the vehicle is in motion. It is in this context Section 2(5) of the Motor Vehicle Act, defines a drives as where a separate person acts as a steerman of motor vehicle that person as well as any other person engaged in the driving of the motor vehicle. It is therefore submitted that changing the direction of moving train even from a stationary point is part of driving and further any duty directly connected to the control of moving trains by way of signalling, line clear, issue of direction for the drivers etc., are duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains.

Further, in so far as, the duties connected with line clear, authority to proceed, clearance of signals, etc., which have a direct nexus with safe and punctual working of trains are duties without which there cannot be any movements, the same are running duties in the light of law settled in the case between Indian Railway Ticket Checking Staff Association and Union of India and Others (Annexure XVII). As such Station Masters are drivers too.

The Apex Court of India in Workman Vs. Calcutta Port Commissioner 1973 La IC/92 (Annexure XVIII) and Workmen Vs. Bombay Port Trust (1970) 20 FLR 7 (Annexure XIX) held that the Running Allowance is given for the performance of duties connected to the safe and punctual running of trains i.e. train passing duties. Indeed, the Supreme Court has gone to the extent of calling running Allowance as incentive to ensure safety and efficiency in the working of Railways. It is pertinent, that running allowance comprises of two components, namely TA elements, which includes kilometerage and allowance in lieu of kilometerage and pay element, contributing for national pay for payment of DA, retiral benefits etc. The payment of TA element is connected to all physical running of train, and pay element is related in ensuring safe and punctual working of trains. It is therefore, submitted that the Running Allowance perse is unconnected and unrelated to the duties performed in Running Train and it is granted exclusively as an incentive for the safe and punctual running of trains as held by the Supreme Court, Commissions and Tribunals.

This is why other staff performing duties in running trains are declared disentitled to the running allowances, though they are compensated with the travelling allowance. What disqualifies them is the qualification for Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters to the benefit of running allowance. Once it is settled that running allowance is an allowance granted as an incentive, it is a recompense and consideration for safe and punctual working of trains. the only question is whether such benefit could be denied to Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters alone when the rule itself accommodates their case. A incentive is a motivation granted for a purpose. The object of the incentive here is performance of duty directly connected to the safe and punctual running of trains. The basis for the grant is therefore direct involvement and performance is the object sought to be achieved. It is needless, here, to narrate the quantum of involvement of Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters in the safe and punctual working of trains. They are considered the biggest among the BIG THREE in safe working. They are eligible to the Accident Free Service incentive when the same is not extended to some of the running staff viz. Guard. In recent grant of Awards for Accident Free Service made in accordance with the announcement of the Hon'ble Minister for Railways to grant incentives for safe working, the Station Masters/ Assistant Station Masters as a single category rank top among beneficiaries. "An important part of the Machine is Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters" (Para 7 of Hand Book 1966).

Now on Station Masters' performance, a cursory glance at the report of the latest Railway Accident Enquiry Committee will show how Station Masters perform and contribute to the object. The figures in the various charts in the Report is an unequivocal testimony to the fact that

in the category-wise break up to responsibility to accident, SMs have shown remarkable improvements in reducing accident compared to any other category similarly situated, hence Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters deserve consideration. Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters submit that with circumstances for the reasons stated above, Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters are similarly placed in pairty of circumstances with any other category of Railwaymen to draw running allowance and consequential pay element benefits. Further, the Doctrine of reasonable classification recognises that the Legislature may clarify for the purpose of legislation but requies that the classification must be reasonable. It should ensure that person or thing similarly situated are similarly treated.

Ref : (i) Mohammad Shijath Ali *Vs.* Union of India 1974 SC 163

(ii) 1976 Lab IC 395 (AIR 1976 SC 490)

Hence, Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters come within the meaning of the expression Running Staff also if that is a requirement for the grant of Running Allowance. Article 14 and 16 of the constitution of India assures equal opportunity in matters relating to employment. Thus, for the purpose of the grant of any benefit for the safe and punctual working of trains or for the performance of duties connected to the charge of moving trains the Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters are similarly situated along with the so called Running Staff and they are entitled to be treated similarly. The denial of Running Allowance to Station Masters/ Assistant Station Masters is thus violative of the Constitutional guarantee of equal opportunity and equality before law as enshrined in Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. The Loco Inspectors who have been categorically denied their claim for Running Allowance by the V Central Pay Commission have been extended the benefit of Running Allowance vide Railway Board's Order No. E (P&A) II-97/RS--2 dt. 02-04-1988 (Annexure XX) for discharging the following duties comparably on equal footing with that by the Station Masters/Assistant Station Masters.

In the system of working that is prevailing in the Railways the end product of "TRANSPORT" revolves around the three important categories of Station Masters. Drivers and Guards. Though it is so, the role played by the each category in the system is different to the other. The role of Station Masters and Drivers though seems to be on the same place in reality, it vastly differs for the reasons the absolute responsibility in train passing vested with the category of Station Masters. In regard to the Drivers the responsibility shifts from primary to secondary, according to the circumstances, whereas in the case of Guards he is nowhere in the picture. This is time and again established at the instance of an accident in the Railways. The Indian Railways in order to improve the safety standards in Railways and safety consciousness among the train

passing staff, have devised various means to instill a source of confidence. One among those step is reward the Safety category personnel by distributing "Safety Awards" for Accident free service. In this, the omission of Guards' category is a glaring example. This amply proves our point that their importance is relegated to no place. Our averment gets fortified when the same is embedded in General Rules which provide for running of "Pilot Trains" without Guards as per S.R. 4.25 (ii) (a) (b) (c) (Annexure XXI).

The role of Station Masters in Indian Railways have become pivotal for the reasons after the introduction of sophisticated electronic gadgets. The introduction of these gadgets are with an eye to improve the safety standard and the category of Station Masters is the only category working on these gadgets. It is not only the duty and responsibility increased manifold but also it has become more strenuous. The dependability of train passing in the system is fully devolved upon the Station Masters working in 7000 odd Stations. The Station Masters is the sole independent authority in the system of manage and maneouver the train operation without any immediate assistance at his place of work, whereas in the case of Drivers he is guided in all respects during the run by the Station Masters and in case any eventuality in the mid section he has an Assistant Driver to assist him in his discharge of duties.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been stated that the application has not been made, instituted, signed and filed by any properly authorised person on behalf of the petitioner, hence, deserves dismissal. That the applicant has no cause of action against the management/opposite party hence, deserves dismissal. That the applicant/petitioner has not come to this Hon'ble Court with clean hands and has suppressed rather misrepresented the facts which are very material for the purpose of proper adjudication of the matter in controversy pending before this Hon'ble Court and is guilty of "SUPPRESSOVERI" and on the face of the submissions contained in the Written Statement on merits the applicant is not entitled to any relief as claimed in the application, hence, deserves dismissal. That the present application is nothing but only an exercise in verbosity without any substance and only an abuse of the process of law, hence, deserves no consideration.

That the present application is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed as the claim of the applicant is false, frivolous, vexatious, devoid of any merit and untenable in law. That the alleged claim is vague, imaginary, hypothetical after thought and outside the ambit and scope of Industrial Dispute as defined under Section 2(k) of the I.D. Act, and the instant reference is incompetent. That this reference is not competent and this Hon'ble Court has no jurisdiction in view of the Section 3(q) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 to adjudicate upon the

subject matter pending between the parties. That the applicant has no cause of action against the management/ opposite party as the claim of the applicant based on same facts as contained in the present application has already been finally decided in O.A. No. 1455/92 by CAT/Madras. After a through examination of the issues involved, the Tribunal did not find any merit in the application and dismissed the same *vide* their judgement dt. 30-11-1994, hence the present claim of the applicant is barred by principles of "RESJUDICATA" is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed. The present claim of the applicant is meritless and misconceived and the same be dismissed with compensatory costs.

Hon'ble Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, Delhi, in O.A. 1267/87 dt. 7-01-1993 in case Railway Ticket Checking Staff Checking Association V/s. Union of India and Others while dismissing an identical claim by the Indian Railway Ticket Checking Staff held—

"The claim is based on Article 14 on the ground that they are entitled to equal pay for equal work. So far as the principle of law is concerned, it is settled that equal pay for equal work is a principle which flow from Article 14 of Constitution. It is equally well settled that the principle of equal pay for equal work can be accepted only when it is established that the duties, functions and responsibilities, the Courts are not well equipped and it is a function which can more satisfactorily be performed by experts in the field. Pay Commission have been regarded and accepted as experts in this behalf and the Supreme Court has pointed out that when such an expert body evaluates the duties and functions, the court should not normally interfere with such evaluation by such a body."

That the demand of the applicant was considered by the IVth Central Pay Commission for inclusion of SMs/ASMs in the category of running staff but the commission were unable to agree to the same, as may be see from para 10.445 of their report. Even the Vth Central Pay Commission did not agree to the demand for classification of SMs/ASMs as running staff and grant of running allowance as may be observed from para 83.127 of their report. In this view of the matter the demand for classification of SMs/ASMs as running staff and grant of running allowance/pay element in running allowance to them has been examined by various flora but has not been found acceptable, as the same being devoid of merit.

The post of Station Masters/ASMs is a stationary post and they perform stationary duties only. They are involved only in working of traffic within the station limits. The SMs/ASMs do not move trains from the station. Also, they do not perform running duties; they do not perform their duties while employed on moving trains or engines/shunting engines. The meaning of 'moving trains' and to

'move the train in the operation of train movements' is different and distinct. There are a number of categories of staff on the Railways such as Switchman, Cabinman, Pointsman, Signal Inspectors, Train Examiners, Train Controllers etc. who are connected with discharging duties of receiving/examining trains in a station and dispatching the same. In fact, all railway employees in some way or the other are connected with the movement of trains. This, however, does not entitle them to be classified as "Running Staff". The SMs/ASMs have been given certain powers to control the movement of trains within their jurisdiction with a view to ensure safety. In fact, the scope of the duties of SMs/ASMs has been very precisely mentioned by the Association itself in their instant case that "The Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters are appointed in Railways mainly for the purpose of performing train passing duties. It is the running staff, i.e. the drivers and guards who have to move the train and ensure that there is no obstruction on the track like, breach, washaway, sabotage, level crossing obstruction etc. The SMs/ASMs job is over after giving line clear, but it is the running staff who being directly Incharge of moving trains, who have to be vigilant and alert.

It is thus evident that the duties performed by SMs/ ASMs do not fall within ambit of "running duties" and that they are stationary staff performing train passing duties which is distinct from being Incharge of moving trains. The contention that running allowance is granted exclusively as an incentive for the safe and punctual running of trains is wholly incorrect. Due to their nature of duties, the running staff have to stay away from their headquarters for a number of days in a month. Whereas Traveling Allowance/Daily Allowance is admissible to the employees in general on staying away from their Headquarters on fulfilment of the prescribed conditions, this benefit of Traveling Allowance/Daily Allowance is not admissible to the categories of running staff. Further, the scales of pay of the running staff are deemed to be notionally depressed and they earn a part of their pay through the instrument of running allowance.

Running Allowance, therefore, comprises mainly of two element, viz...

- (a) an element of pay, and
- (b) an element towards out of pocket expenses representing the TA/DA element.

Running Allowance is payable to the running staff at the specified rates per 100 kms. The amount of running allowance carned is dependent upon the kilometreage worked by them and increases with the distance covered by them. In fact, performance above the average

kilometreage results in earnings higher than the more pay element and out of pocket expenses. This induces the running staff to take to running duties willingly and is a reflector of their efficiency and dedication to the Organisation. Thus, a portion of emoluments of the Running Staff is dependent upon the nature of duties and the quantum of work put in by them during the month. SMs/ASMs are entitled to TA/DA and the concept of notionally depressed scales of pay is not applicable in their case.

Loco Inspectors are drawn from amongst the categories of loco running staff viz., Goods Drivers, Passenger Drivers and Mail/Express Drivers. They are entitled to running allowance due to performance of their duties directly connected with the training and monitoring of the loco running staff on the foot-plate of the locomotives cab of the moving trains, which are akin to the duties of the Drivers. A copy of the duty-list of Loco Inspector is annexed as Annexure R3. It may be seen there from that there is vast difference between the duties performed by the Loco Inspectors on the one hand and the SMs/ASMs on the other. The SMs/ASMs are stationary staff and perform stationary duties only. The Loco Inspectors are credited with actual foot plates duties and paid running allowance accordingly at the rates prescribed from time to time. The Loco Inspectors are given an allowance in lieu of kilometreage for other than foot plate duties performed by them outside their headquarters beyond a radius of 8 kilometres. No TA/DA is admissible to Loco Inspectors, as is the case with running staff also. In the case of SMs/ ASMs, TA/DA is admissible to them on fulfilment of the prescribed conditions.

In the instant case, it may be pertinent to mention that similar issue had been raised by a Section of Station Masters as O.A. No. 1455/92 before CAT/Madras. After a thorough examination of the issues involved the Tribunal did not find any ment in the application and dismissed the same *vide* their judgment dt. 30-11-1994. Further the IV Central Pay Commission also considered the demand for inclusion of SMs/ASMs in the category of running staff. The Commission considered the demand but were unable to agree to the same, as may be seen from para 10.445 of their report. Even the V Central Pay Commission did not agree to the demand for classification of SMs/ASMs as running staff and grant of running allowance as may be observed from para 83.127 of their report.

The General Secretary on behalf of All India Station Masters Association. New Delhi has filed rejoinder. In his rejoinder the averments of the claim statement have been reiterated and the contents of the paras of the written statement have been denied. The management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement in written statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard arguments from both the sides and perused the paper on the record.

It was submitted from the side of the petitioner that running allowance is a allowance ordinarily to the running staff for the performance of duty directly connected with the charge of moving trains and includes kilometerage and allowance. In view of the kilometerage as per rule 1507 of the Indian Railways Establishment Court running allowance has been defined as above.

It was submitted from the side of the petitioner that running allowances granted for performances of duties directly connected to the charge of moving trains. It implies that running allowances should be granted only to those employees who are directly connected with the charge of moving trains. It implies otherwise that an employee who is not directly connected to the charge of moving trains should not get running allowance.

It was further submitted that the SMs and ASMs are also directly connected to the charge of moving trains so they should also get running allowance. The substantial question to be decided is whether the SMs and ASMs are directly connected to the charge of moving trains or not. In case they are directly connected to the charge of moving trains they should be granted running allowance.

It was further submitted that the moving trains are called as running trains in the General Rules. The SMs are essential requirements for a train to become a moving train. Permission for moving a train is not giving until it is certified that train is fit to the effect that it may proceed. Without any authority to proceed, the train is stable stock. The train cannot be said to be a moving train until it moves. The SMs and ASMs give a authority for the train to move so this duty is directly connected to the charge of moving trains. The train cannot move until authority to move is given by SMs or ASMs.

It was further submitted that the authority for taking off or clearing the last stop signal which is given by the SMs and ASMs and without such authority the train cannot commence its journey. Thus the duty of the SMs and ASMs is to issue authority for a train to move so they should be considered directly connected to the charge of moving trains. The SMs and ASMs are the only Railway Employees who can obtain or grant line clear and who can transmit authority to proceed and can have free access to the block instruments thus every duty performed by the SMs and ASMs is directly connected to the commencement

of journey or moving of the train so according to the definition running allowance should be given to the SMs and ASMs.

It was further submitted that duties relating to clearing relevant signals, permission to clear signals are directly connected to the moving trains. In order to ensure safe and punctual running of trains procedure have to be laid down in General Rules such as issuance of order. The failure in exchanging all right signals either by the train crew or the station staff will lead to temporary termination of running of trains therefore, such duties as issuance of caution order conveying the caution order and exchange of all right signals are directly connected to the charge of moving trains. The SMs and ASMs perform their duties so they are directly related to the charge of moving trains. Charges mentioned in the definition does not necessarily mean driving or time to drive means includes the duties directly connected to the control of moving trains according to the law settled in 1915 SLT 1915. In 3365 it has been specified in award of 1961.

It was further submitted that changing of direction of moving trains even from a stationary point is part of driving and control and moving by way of signaling line clear issue of direction for the drivers or duties are directly connected to the charge of moving trains. The duties of SMs and ASMs have a direct nexus with safe and punctual working of trains and these are running duties in the light of law settled. The SMs are drivers too.

It was further submitted that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 1970 (20) FLR 7 held that running allowance is given for the performance of duties connected to the safe and punctual running of trains i.e. train passing. It has been held as an incentive for smooth and efficient and safe running of the trains. It is granted exclusively as an incentive for the safe and punctual running of the trains. Running allowances is an allowance granted as incentive for safe and punctual working of trains. The SMs and ASMs play an important role. In case clearance signals are not given a train cannot be run. My attention was drawn to AIR 1970 SC 490. The SMs and ASMs should be treated as running staff and they should be granted equal pay for equal work. They are entitled to get running allowance. The denial of running allowance is violative of Constitutional Guarantee of equal opportunity and quality before law as enshrined in Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution. The running of the trains depends upon the SMs and ASMs so the SMs and ASMs are an integral part of the running trains without performance of their duties a train cannot run.

It was submitted from the side of the management that equal pay for equal work can be given in case the duties functions and responsibilities are the same. The drivers are skilled workers and their duty is operational one such duties are not performed by SMs and ASMs they are not experts so they cannot get running allowance of running staff.

It was further submitted that the case of SMs and ASMs was considered by the IVth Pay Commission and they were not found deserving for running allowance. In case there is Pay Commission and the right of the employees are decided by the Pay Commission after representation of both the parties, the Court ceases to have jurisdiction. The SMs filed OA No. 1455/92 before CAT/Madras and the case was decided on 13-11-1994 and it was held that the IVth Pay Commission has decided that point after considering the representation of both the parties and even the Vth Pay Commission also did not agree to the demands for classifications of SMs and ASMs as running staff and did not grant them running allowance.

Running allowance is given to a class of employee who are skilled and whose duties are operational for efficient and smooth running of the trains. It depends on the nature of the duties and the quantum of work put in by them during a month. The running staff is directly connected with the duties of running trains in case the SMs and ASMs are considered running staff. The SMs and ASMs are stationary staff and perform stationary duties only. They remain on the station and they control the running of the trains sitting at one place so they perform trains passing duties and it is distinct from the charge of moving trains. The running staff stays away from his quarter for a number of days in a month and this allowance is given for staying away from their HQs on fulfilment of the prescribed conditions. The SMs do not leave/stay away from their houses for a number of days after fulfilment of the prescribed conditions. It is true that the train moves after a signal is given to the running staff and only SMs and ASMs do not perform such duties if considered broadly. The Cabinman, Pointman, Signal Inspector, Train Examiner or a Train Controller are also incidential for moving of the trains. The SMs and ASMs give direction to the Switchman, Cabinman, Pointman, Signal Inspectors, Train Examiner, Train Controller to let the train move in case these employees do not perform their duties the train cannot move. On this analogy it can be said that the Switchman, Cabinman, Pointman, Signal Inspectors. Train Examiner, Train Controller are directly connected to the charge of trains because without their operational duty the train cannot move so they should be also directly related to the charge of the trains. The Switchman, Cabinman, Pointman, Signal Inspectors, Train Examiner, Train Controller are the integral part of the stationary staff stationed at platform for the safe and smooth running of the trains. They also discharge similar duties of the SMs and ASMs. There is no logic why they should not be paid running allowance in case the SMs and ASMs are found fit for running allowance.

To sumup the SMs and ASMs are not the running staff and they do not perform the nature of duties as performed by the running staff, the running staff is to leave away from his house for a number of days. They remain on the trains for number of hours and they work at a strategy for hours together. These duties are very tedious and hard and they have to discharge duties whereas the duties of the SMs and ASMs are for fixed hours and they work in shifts and the operation of the running trains are not so onerous in comparison to the running staff so it becomes quite obvious that the SMs and ASMs perform a different type of duty. Their duties are rather supervisory one.

The SMs and ASMs are Supervisors. They have control over the Switchman, Cabinman, Pointman, Signal Inspectors, Train Examiner, Train Controller etc. and their duties are managerial and administrative in view of this fact also the duties performed by the SMs and ASMs cannot be said to be operational and the duties of running staff. They are supervisors and in view of the definition of the I.D. Act they not the workman as they get more than Rs. 1,600 pay and they discharge supervisory duty. On this count also the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In view of the facts discussed above it is held that the SMs and ASMs do not perform the duties of running staff so they are not entitled to get equal pay for equal work as has been held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. They discharge supervisory duties and they are not connected to the charge of moving trains so they are not entitled to get running allowance. They are not entitled to get running allowance in view of the decision of the IV and Vth Pay Commission. I have perused the law cited by the petitioners but the same are not applicable in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

The reference is replied thus:---

The action of the Chairman, Railway Board. Rail Bhawan. New Delhi in not granting pay element of running allowance at par with running staff to the Station Masters/ Assistant Station Masters is justified, reasonable and valid. The Station Masters and Assistant Station Masters are not entitled to get running allowance as prayed for.

The Award is given accordingly.

Date: 03-06-2005. R.N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2337.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं. 2, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 99/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/105/2000-आई आर (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2337.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 99/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal cum-Labour Court No II, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Punjab National Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

[No. L-12011/105/2000-IR (B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secv.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, RAJENDRA BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, RAJENDRA PLACE, NEW DELHI

Presiding Officer: R.N. RAI

I. D. No. 99/2000

In the matter of:-

Shri Joginder Singh, Through General Secretary, PNB Worker's Union, C/o. PNB, "L" Block, Cannaught Circus, New Delhi.

Versus

Pubjab National Bank
The Chief P. S. & L. B. Division,
Punjab National Bank,
5, Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-110 001.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-12011/105/2000/IR (B-II), Central Government Dt. 29-08-2000 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder: —

"Whether the action of the Chief, PS & LB Divison, 5, Sansad Marg, Punjab National Bank, Hd. Office, New Delhi in imposing the punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect vide order dated 20-10-1998 upon Shri Joginder Singh, Peon, PS & LB Division, Punjab National Bank is justified and reasonable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?".

The Union has filed statement of claim on behalf of the workman. In the statement of claim it has been stated that Shri Joginder Singh was served with cooked up charge sheet dated 23-07-1996 by the said disciplinary authority, the respondents, alleging, inter alia that he did not distribute the dak on 25-06-1996 and 26-06-1996 through Peon Book despite having been so advised and also refused to take files on 26-06-1996 to the chamber of DGM, then so asked by Shri RKB Singh, Manager of the said division.

That the said charge sheet was not issued by the competent authority as per service conditions of the workman. That no comments were sought from the concerned workman on the report of inquiry by the competent disciplinary authority before issue of show cause notice, thereby proposing punishment, which is against the 'principles of natural justice' and provisions of law pertaining to disciplinary action. That the Presenting Officer did not submit any brief of inquiry to the Inquiry Officer before conclusion of inquiry findings. As such, the inquiry officer did not decide the case on merits but on the basis of his own misconceptions and surmises vide entire inquiry report.

That the inquiry officer did not give any credence to the judgements of apex court, in defence of workman. That the disciplinary authority did not provide any list of management witnesses and other documentary evidence to the inquiry officer for further investigations rather, the Presenting Officer did this duty himself, by overriding the powers of the disciplinary authority, which is illegal, and the entire inquiry proceedings stands vitiated on this count alone.

That the Presenting Officer and the disciplinary authority both were of high ranking officials of the Bank, having easy access to the disciplinary authority. The workman, having been only a Peon, was kept at a very low ebb, and the scales of justice remained tiltled against the said workman. No credence was given to the legal

pronouncements, as quoted by the defence side. That the inquiry report was not provided to the workman for his formal comments on the inquiry report, before service of show cause notice dated 19-09-1998, proposing punishment.

That no personal hearing was granted to the workman by the disciplinary authority against the proposed punishment, as against the provisions of BPS, as well as against the provisions of law, and also against the principles of 'natural justice' and the disciplinary authority passed the said order of punishment arbitrarily and the Appellate Authority also confirmed the said punishment more arbitrarily, without applying his mind.

That the disciplinary authority, Presenting Officer and the inquiry officer have colluded in framing the charges against the said workman and confirmed the inquiry on him in an arbitrary fashion, without having any regard to the principles of natural justice and provisions, of law. That the said punishment is inflicted upon the said workman in a most unfair and arbitrary fashion.

In reply to the claim the management has stated that the service conditions of the workmen staff in the bank including those of Shri Joginder Singh are governed by the provisions of the Sastry Award, Desai Award and various BPS. Shri Joginder Singh was served with the charge sheet dated 23-07-1996 by the disciplinary authority under the provisions of the BPS whereby, inter alia, it was alleged that he did not distribute the dak on 25-06-1996 through Peon Book despite having been so advised and also refused to take files on 26-06-1996 to the chamber of DGM when so asked by Shri R.K.B. Singh, Manager of the Division. It was alleged that the acts committed on the part of Shri Joginder Singh constituted acts of insubordination within the meaning of para 19.5 (e) of BPS. Shri Joginder Singh, however, did not submit reply to the charge sheet. The disciplinay authority vide order dated 30-10-1996 set up departmental inquiry to find out the truthfulness of the allegations made vide charge sheet dated 23-07-1996 served upon him. It was submitted that the inquiry officer conducted the inquiry in accordance with the provisions of the BPS during which all reasonable opportunity was afforded to Shri Joginder Singh to present his case. The inquiry officer submitted his report dated 11-06-1998 to the disciplinary authority. The disciplinary authority thereafter vide show cause notice dated 19-09-1998 proposed punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect upon Shri Joginder Singh and fixed 28-09-1998 for the personal hearing but same was postponed twice on his written request. The inquiry report was provided to Shri Singh along with the said show cause notice. Shri Singh, however, did not appear for the personal hearing before the disciplinary authority. Thereafter the disciplinary authority vide his order dated 20-10-1998 confirmed the punishment of "stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect" upon Shri Singh. Against the order dated 20-10-1998 of the disciplinary authority Shri Joginder Singh preferred and appeal dated 30-11-1998 and having regard to the material on the record, the same was rejected by the Appellate Authority vide his order dated 26-03-1999.

In view of the aforesaid it is submitted that the departmental action has been taken against Shri Joginder Singh in accordance with the provisions of the BPS and the punishment inflicted is commensurate with the gravity of the allegations established against him. Since the claimant in his claim has not specified any provision of the BPS which has been contravened by the bank, the claim of the union is liable to be rejected on this ground alone. It is the submission of the bank that the departmental inquiry was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the BPS and the same is fair and proper. However, in case this Hon'ble Tribunal comes to the conclusion for any reason whatsoever that the inquiry conducted is not fair and proper while deciding the preliminary issue of fairness of inquiry, in that eventuality. the bank would crave leave to lead evidence to establish the allegations made against the workman vide charge sheet dated 23-07-1996.

The workman applicant has not filed rejoinder. From the perusal of the order sheet it transpires that the workman applicant has been absent since 27-08-2002. He has not filed rejoinder to rebut the written statement of the management. Notice has been sent to him on 20-07-2004 still he did not turn up. The management also not turned up. In brief the case of the petitioner is that a proper inquiry has not been held against him and two increments with cumulative effect have been withheld.

The workman applicant has not filed rejoinder. He has not given any affidavit. The burden is on the workman to prove that the inquiry was unfair. He has not discharged his burden.

The reference is replied thus —

The action of the Chief, PS & LB Division, 5, Sansad Marg. Punjab National Bank. Hd. Office, New Delhi in imposing the punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect vide order dated 20-10-1998 upon Shri Joginder Singh, Peon, PS & LB Division, Punjab National Bank is justified and reasonable. The workman applicant is not entitled to get any relief as prayed for.

The Award is given accordingly.

Date 02-06-2005.

R.N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, १ जून, 2005

का.आ. 2338.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेंट्रल बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.-2, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 97/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/175/2001-आई आर (बी-II)] सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2338.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 97/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 2, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of Central Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

[No. L-12012/175/2001-IR (B-II)] C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUMLABOUR COURT-II,

RAJENDRA BHAWAN, GROUND FLOOR, RAJENDRA PLACE, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 97/2001

Presiding Officer: R. N. Rai.

In the Matter of :—

in the matter of .

Shri Ajay Kumar,

Pocket C-11,

House No. 73,

Sector-5, Rohini.

New Delhi

Versus

The Regional Manager. Central Bank of India, Regional Office, B(North), 1st Floor. Chandni Chowk. Delhi-110 006.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-12012/175/2001 (IR(B-II) Central Government Dt. 14-12-2001 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:—

"Whether the action of Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Regional Office, B(North), Ist Floor, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6 in removing from services to Shri Ajay Kumar, Ex. Peon, Central Bank of India, Regional Office w.e.f. 29-04-2000 is justified, valid and legal? If not, what relief and benifits the concerned workman is entitled to?"

2. The workman applicant has filed statement of claim in the statement of claim, it has been stated that the workman concerned in the present dispute was appointed as a peon in the services of Central Bank of India in the year 1986 and his service conditions had been, at all times relevant to the present dispute, governed by the provisions of the Sastry Award, as modified in the Desai Award and a further modified in the subsequent bipartite settlements reached between the managements of various banks including Central Bank of India and their workmen, from time to time.

That Central Bank of India is a statutory corporation, created by the banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer or Undertaking) Act, 1970 and is, therefore, an 'other authority' within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India and as such, is required to act fairly towards its employees in matters relating to their service conditions.

That in August, 1999, when the workman was posted at the Bank's Regional Office-B, Delhi, he was served upon a charge-sheet dated 18-08-1999 issued by the Bank's Regional Manager at its Regional Office-B, Delhi thereby also simultaneously instituting a departmental inquiry against him, without giving to him even an opportunity to give his explanation as to the charge framed against him, as provided in clause 19.1 of the bipartite settlement dated 19-10-1966 and also appointing an inquiry officer for holding the inquiry, by orders in the chargesheet itself.

That the above charge-sheet dated 18-08-1999 was issued to the workman three months after he was placed under suspension on 24-05-1999. That the inquiry officer commenced the departmental inquiry against the workman on 13-09-1999 and concluded the same on 22-11-1999. Where after the Presenting Officer of Management and the workman's representatives submitted to the inquiry officer their respective written briefs. That thereafter, the Regional Manager/Disciplinary Authority forwarded to the workman with his letter dated 06-01-2000 a copy of the Report/Findings of the inquiry officer dated 03-01-2000 and, as desired thereby, the workman submitted to the disciplinary authority his representation dated 24-01-2000 against the said Report/Findings of the inquiry officer. A copy of the said representation of the workman dated 24-01-2000 is enclosed as Annexure-W/2 hereto.

That this was followed by a show cause memorandum dated 03-04-2000 issued to the workman by the disciplinary authority, thereby proposing the punishment of REMOVAL OF THE WORKMAN FROM SERVICE against the workman together with the further punishment that the workman would not get the increment which became due to him during the period of his suspension. That, as directed by the disciplinary authority in the above show cause memorandum dated 03-04-2000, the workman appeared before him for a hearing on 17-04-2000 and showed cause against the orders of the proposed punishments. A copy of the minutes the said

hearing is enclosed a Annexure-W/3 hereto. That after the hearing dated 17-04-2000, the disciplinary authority proceeded to pass a final order dated 29-04-2000, thereby confirming the orders of the proposed punishments, as made in the show cause memorandum dated 03-04-2000. A copy of the said final order is enclosed as Annexure-W/4 hereto.

That aggrieved by the orders of the disciplinary authority, the workman submitted an appeal dated 05-06-2000 to the Bank's Asstt. General Manager at its Zonal Office, New Delhi. The original copy of the appeal is with the Bank as part of enq. Record. That the appeal of the workman was heard by the aforesaid appellate authority on 27-06-2000, but was rejected by him vide his orders dated 07-08-2000. That the workman then sent a notice dated 12-09-2000 to the management, thereby demanding the withdrawal of the punishment order dated 29-04-2000 and his reinstatement in service with all consequential benefits, but the workman did not hear anything from the management in reply to his above demand notice and so, he raised an industrial dispute before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C), New Delhi over the illegal and unjustified action of the management in removing him from service from 29-04-2000. A copy of the aforesaid demand notice of the workman dated 12-09-2000 is enclosed as Annexure-W/5 hereto.

The very initiation of disciplinary proceedings against the workman vide charge-sheet dated 18-08-1999 was unfair and illegal for the reason that the departmental inquiry was instituted against the workman by an order in the charge sheet itself and even the appointment of the inquiry officer was made at the same stage without /before giving to the workman the prescribed opportunity to give his explanation as to the charge against him, which was, for the first time, framed in the charge-sheet dated 18-08-1999, which was in contravention of the following provisions of clause 19.1 of the bipartite settlement dated 19-10-1966 as well as in violation of the principles of natural justice:—

19.1. In supersession of paragraphs 18.20, 18.24 and 18.28 of the Desai Award, a person against whom disciplinary action is proposed or likely to be taken, shall in the first instance, be informed of the charge against him, and he shall have a proper opportunity to give his explanation as to such particulars."

The disciplinary authority had acted arbitrarily and in violation of the principles of natural justice by rejecting the workman's reply dated 10-06-1999 to the preliminary memo of the Bank dated 05-06-1999, with just a cryptic remark in the charge-sheet that "it was not found satisfactory" without adducing any reasons for his such dissatisfaction with the said reply of the workman.

The disciplinary authority had also acted illegally and in violation to the principles of natural justice by not furnishing to the workman with the charge-sheet any list of documents and witnesses in support of the charge framed by him against the workman, which showed that he had framed the charge without considering any evidence in its support and which, therefore, was a fatal infirmity in

the initiation of disciplinary action by him against the workman. In fact in not supplying to the workman any such list of documents and witnesses with the charge-sheet, the disciplinary authority had even disregarded the government directions. as conveyed to all nationalized banks by a letter dated 03-07-1984 which was circulated by the Bank's Central Office to all senior executives of the Bank under cover of a circular dated 23-08-1984.

The inquiry was also conducted in an illegal manner in as much as that the inquiry was allowed to proceed entirely on the basis of evidence which was decided by a person other than the disciplinary authority after the commencement of the inquiry. It is submitted that the framing of charge by disciplinary authority without himself considering/deciding any evidence in the support thereof and the deciding of entire evidence in the support of the charge after the commencement of the inquiry, by another person, i.e. the Presenting Officer appointed by the disciplinary authority to present the Management's case in the inquiry was like putting the cart before the horse, which was utterly illegal and which vitiated the inquiry held against the workman.

The inquiry held suffered from another grave illegality for the reason that the inquiry officer had admitted into evidence all the documents filed by the Presenting Officer of the Management including the previous statement of a proposed witness of Management obtained behind the back of the workman without/before having the said documents first proved through the relevant/ concerned witnesses as per the basic and essential rule governing the conducting of departmental inquiries. Because the inquiry held was also unfair for the reason that although the Presenting Officer of Management had listed five witnesses to prove the charge against the workman, but he withheld from the inquiry two of these listed witnesses, namely Sh. Rakesh Khurana and Shri Ram Chander, who both were material and essential witnesses of the case, in as much as that while Shri Ram Chander had statedly checked the entire cash to trace the purported difference of Rs. 50,000/- in the cash, Shri Rakesh Khurana had statedly searched for the missing cash of Rs. 50,000 in the Branch. While the above two listed witnesses were withheld from the inquiry without giving any reason for their non-production, thereby depriving the workman of an opportunity to cross examine them, another material witness who was not produced/ examined in the inquiry was one Shri G. S. Mishra, the officiating chief cashier-incharge of the cash department on the concerned date. Thus the management had acted in colourable use of its power by withholding the above three material and essential witnesses of the case, thereby preventing the full facts and the truth from coming to surface in the inquiry.

The inquiry conducted against the workman was unfair also for the reason that although the Presenting Officer of Management had filed in the inquiry a letter dated 20-05-1999 or one Shri Adish Kumar Jain, the Asstt. Cashier, in whose cash, the shortage of Rs. 50,000/- had been reported on 20-05-1999 after the workman had handed over to him the cash given to the the workman for being stitched into packets

to the said Mr. Jain but the letter originally written by the said Mr. Adish Kumar Jain on 20-05-1999 was not produced in the inquiry, even though he disclosed in cross examination in the inquiry that the said letter dated 20-05-1999 which was produced in the inquiry was the one obtained from him on 21-05-1999 by the Manager and Dy. Manager of the branch by altering the version of the incident as was originally written by him in his letter of 20-05-1999 by telling him that if he did not change the version as given by him in his originally written letter of 20-05-1999 and did not give a fresh letter, as desired by them, he would himself get involved for the loss of Rs. 50,000. It is submitted that by withholding the letter originally written by Shri Adish Kumar Jain giving the version of the incident of the shortage of Rs. 50,000 reported in his cash on 20-05-1999, the workman had been deprived of the opportunity of knowing as to what was his original version of the said incident of 20-05-1999. It is stated that the withholding of the said material document and producing in its place another letter with altered version obtained from Mr. Jain by the authorities of the branch, amounted to tampering with evidence with the malafide intention of making the workman scapegoat to save Mr. Adish Kumar Jain, who had received the stitched cash handed over to him by the workman, without having pointed out any shortage in the said stitched cash at the time of receiving the said stitched cash from the workman on 20-05-1999.

The findings of the inquiry officer, on which the disciplinary authority had based his orders of proposed punishment against the workman in the show cause memorandum dated 03-04-2000 were completely perverse and unsustainable. That the Assistant Cashier, Mr. Adish Kumar Jain, who had reported the shortage of Rs. 50,000 in his cash only in the afternoon of 20-05-1999, had not complained of/reported any shortage in the cash which had been given to the workman for being stitched into packets in the morning at the time the stitched cash was handed back to Mr. Adish kumar Jain by the workman. This fact clearly proved that the said shortage had taken place after the workman had handed over the stitched cash to Mr. Adish Kumar Jain in the forenoon of 20-05-1999. That Mr. Adish Kumar Jain, the Cashier concerned, failed to produce/show any record in the inquiry as to how many packets of Rs. 500/- denomination he had given to the workman for being stitched in the morning of 20-05-1999.

That even between the time when Mr. Adish Kumar Jain had received the stitched cash from the workman in the forenoon of 20-05-1999 and the tallying of his cash by Mr. Adish Kumar Jain in the afternoon of that day, he had not complained of/reported any shortage in his cash, nor had been reported to any officials of the Branch that the workman had returned to him one packet of Rs. 500/denomination less in the forenoon after the stitching of the cash given to the workman for that purpose. This showed that the story of there being shortage of one packet of Rs. 50,000/- in his cash, reported at the time of tallying his cash in the afternoon was a self-serving, afterthought story.

The cash given to a cash peon by a cashier for being stitched into packets is duly counted by the concerned cashier at the time of receiving back the stitched cash from the cash peon, there could be no question of the workman returning one packed of Rs. 500/- denomination less to the cashier, Mr. Adish Kumar Jain, more particulary when Mr. Adish Kumar Jain had not pointed out any such shortage at the time of receiving back the stitched cash from the workman. That simply because the missing packet of Rs. 500 denomination was traced in the old record room of the Branch later on, did not by itself mean that it was placed there by the workman, more particularly when the workman had handed over to Mr. Adish Kumar Jain the entire cash which was given to the workman for stitching by the said cashier, Mr. Adish Kumar Jain, who had accepted the same as intact without pointing out any shortage therein at that time. That the admitted fact that Mr. Adish Kumar Jain had changed the original version of the incident of 20-05-1999 on 21-05-1999 at the instance of the Branch Manager and Dy. Branch Manager, as transpired in the inquiry, clearly pointed to a foul play and a pre-plan of the management to make the workman a scapegoat to save Mr. Adish Kumar Jain from the consequences of the loss of Rs. 50,000/- from his custody after the entire stitched cash was handed over to Mr. Jain by the workman in the forenoon of 20-05-1999.

However, withholding of increment of a charged employee is also one of the punishments prescribed in the bipartite settlements. Paragraph 85 of the Sastry Award makes it clear that "increments should normally be given and stoppage of increments by management should be only by way of punishment for proved misconduct or gross inefficiency". It, therefore, follows that the orders of the disciplinary authority for non-release of workman's increment falling due to him during the period of his suspension, was passed by him by way of imposition of another punishment, in addition to the punishment of removal from service, which means that the disciplinary authority had awarded two punishments to the workman for the same single charge framed against him in the chargesheet dated 18-08-1999. Since imposition of two punishments for any one charge, as done by the disciplinary authority in the case of the workman, was prohibited by clause 19.9 of the bipartite settlement dated 19-10-1966, the punishment orders passed by the disciplinary authority was invalid and is liable to be set aside on this ground alone.

The management has filed written statement. It has been submitted that the workman applicant was given seven packets of Rs. 500 each to the workman for stitching but the workman returned only six packets back and thus there was a shortage of Rs. 50,000 (Rs. Fifty Thousand) cash and when Shri Adish Kumar Jain pointed out inquired from the workman he replied that whatever packet he received from Adish Kumar Jain the same has been returned and nothing left to him. During the inquiry proceedings it is proved that the workman removed one

packet of Rs. 500 denomination and returned the same in the defence to the Branch Manager on his persuation. most of the paras of the claim statement have been denied. It has been further stated that a proper inquiry was conducted and sufficient opportunity was given to the workman applicant. He was found guilty to the admitted theft of Rs. 50,000 (Rs. Fifty Thousand) so he was given the punishment of removal from service.

The workman has filed rejoinder. In his rejoinder he has reiterated the averments of his claim statement and denied most of the paras of the written statement.

The workman has not been truning up for a long ume. So notice on 20-09-2004 and 02-02-2005 has been sent to the workman. It has been returned with the endorsement that none of the name of the workman resides in that house so the workman did not turn up despite proper notice and knowledge of the case. The management occasionally turned up. The cross of the workman was closed and the case was posted for argument. None was present on 01-07-04, 25-08-04, 10-09-04, 27-10-04, 02-02-05, 12-05-05 & 17-05-05. The workman did not turn up despite notices. He has given affifdavit but he did not make himself available for cross examination so his cross examinaton was closed on account of his absence. Thus claim statement of the workman applicant has not been proved because of his absence.

The reference is replied thus:-

The action of the Regional Manager, Central Bank of India, Regional Office, B (North), 1st Floor, Chandni Chowk, Delhi-6 in removing from services to Shri Ajay Kumar, Ex. Peon, Central Bank of India, Regional Office w.e.f. 29-04-2000 is unjustified, valid and legal. The workman applicant is not entitled to get any relief as praved for.

The Award is given accordingly.

Date: 06-06-2005 R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, १ जन, 2005

का.आ. 2339. - औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नार्थ वेस्टर्न रेलवे के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच. अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जयपर के पंचाट(संदर्भ संख्या सी.जी.आई.टी. 36/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को १-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [मं. एल-41012/253/2003-आंई आर(बी-I)] अजय कुमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2339.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No CGIT 36/2004) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal Labour Court Jaipur now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the management of North Western Railway and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

> [No. L-41012/253/2003-IR (B-I)] AJAY KUMAR. Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-36/2004

Reference No. L-41012/253/2003-IR(B-I)

Shri Gulab Singh (deceased), S/o. Sh. Meeru Singh, Through his legal heir Smt. Dhoban Kaur, W/o. Late Sh. Gulab Singh, Dauddpur, Gurunanak Colony, Alwar (Rajasthan)Applicant Versus

1. The Assistant Engineer. North Western Railway, Alwar

2. Divisional Railway Manager.

North Western Railway,

Jaipur.Non-applicant

Present:

: Sh. R. C. Sharma **Presiding Officer:** For the applicant : Sh C.D. Chaturyedi. For the non-applicant: Sh. Balvinder Singh.

Date of award

: 31-5-2005. AWARD

1. The Central Government in exercise of hte powers conferred under Clause 'D' of sub-section 1 & 2(A) to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (herein after referred to as the 'Act') has referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under :-

> "Whether the action of the management of Railway Administration, Jaipur through Asstt. Engineer, 'NWR, Alwar in terminating the service of deceased Shri Gulab Singh, Gangman from 8-8-97 is legal and justified? If not, the widow of the deceased is entitled for pensionary benefit etc. or not?"

2. The facts, in brief, are that the legal heir Smt. Dhoban Kaur, wife of the deceased Gulab Singh (workman) has submitted a statement of claim in pursuance of the reference that her husband Gulab Singh was appointed on 4-1-1976 as a Gangman at Padisal Railway station, Distt. Alwar, who remained on leave on account of his sickness. But his service was terminated on 8-8-97 on the ground that he remained on unauthorized leave w.e.f. 11-1-95 till his termination. It has been further stated that the chargesheet was not served upon him and his service was terminated without giving him an opportunity of hearing. It has been further pointed out that the workman Gulab Singh expired on 16-2-2002 during the conciliation proceedings pending before the Assistant Labour Commissioner. The applicant has urged that the termination order dated 8-8-1997 passed against her deceased husband Gulab Singh be set aside and treating him to be in service till the date of his death all the admissible benefits be allowed to her. It is also prayed that all benefits which are admissible to the dependent of the deceased be also granted to her.

- 3. The non-applicants, in their written counter, have stated that the workman had remained on unauthorized leave for a period of 2248 days, in total, between 1990 to 1997, to whom a notice was sent by the management which was returned unserved and thereafter the chargesheet was sent at his residential address which was also returned unserved. Thereafter the domestic enquiry was initiated as per rules. It has also been stated that the workman has never submitted any application for his absence along with his sickness certificate. The non-applicants have further stated that the applicant is not entitled for any relief.
- 4. By the order dated 2-2-2005, this court has found the domestic enquiry conducted against the workman to be defective and unfair.
- 5. On 9-2-2004, the applicant moved an application accompanied with certain documents and has urged that since the fribunal has declared the departmental enquiry as unfair, in view of the settled law towards such cases, where the workman has been expired during the proceedings, the full relief is being granted to the dependents of such workman. The applicant has, therefore, prayed that full back-wages and other service benefits for the above period be granted to her as the legal heir of the deceased workman and the family pension also be grnated in her favour.
- 6. The non-applicant have opposed this application in their reply dated 26-4-2005 by stating that the applicant is not entitled for any relief.
- 7. On 23-2-2005, the non-applicants have petitioned before the court that in the interest of justice an opportunity be given to them for adducing the evidence to prove the charge levelled against the deceased workman. It has been orally opposed on hehalf of the applicant.
- 8. I have heard both the parites and have gone through the record.
- 9. The pertinent question which emerges for determination is whether on account of the death of the workman, the bank be permitted to lead its evidence in support of the charges levelled against the workman.
- 10. The ld. representative for the applicant contends that due to the death of the workman the charges cannot be proved against him by adducing the evidence on behalf of the bank and that there is no justification now to lead

the evidence. His contention is that it was the deceased workman who could be able to confront the management witnesses in their cross-examinations. on the other hand, the ld. representative for the non-applicants has submited that once the dispute has been referred to the tribunal it should prefer to pass an award by giving an opportunity of leading the evidence to the parties.

- 11. I have bestowed my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions and have carefully perused the judicial pronouncement 1995 (70) FLR Raj. 244 relied upon by the representative for the applicant on the point.
- 12. In 1995 (70) FLR Raj. 244 referred to on behalf of the applicant, the facts are that the workman died on 7-12-87 during the pendency of the reference who was survied by his wife and sons, who were made the parties. The enquiry held against the workman by the Life Insurance Corporation of India had been held to be unfair by the Tribunal during the lifetime of the workman and the Corporation was granted the permission to lead the evidence to prove the charge levelled against the workman. The Tribunal arrived at a conclusion that the charges were proved. But it directed that the workman was entitled to wages up to 7-12-87 which may be paid to his legal heirs. Both the parties challenged the award by filing the writ petitions before the Tribunal respectively which were dismissed.
- 13. The Hon'ble DB has observed that the fats relating to the termination order, holding the enquiry unfair and the death of the workman were undisputed. It was also observed that the charge against the concerned workman was held to be proved on the basis of the evidence which was led before the Tribunal by the employer after the death of the concerned workman. On these facts, the observation made by the Hon'ble Court is quoted as below:—
- "....we are of the considered opinion that since the workman had died on December 7, 1987 and it had already been held earlier by the Tribunal that the enquiry held by the employer was unfair, the appellant could not seek to prove the charge after the death of the concerned workman. No charge can be proved on the basis of the evidence tendered after the death of the charge-sheeted workman. Argument of Shri Agrawal is that the charge could be proved even after the contemporaneous record as the charge was only of not giving the prescribed standard of work. We do not agree with this argument for the simple reason that only the deceased workman could confront the employer with the circumstances in which the prescribed standard of work could not be done by the legal representative, i.e., the widow of the deceased workman and his sons. Only the deceased workman could confront the witnesses of the employer with such circumstances in which it may not have been possible for him to give the prescribed standard of work. Therefore, to say that the work put in by the concerned employee

workman was on record and the requisite standard of work had been prescribed and therefore the charge could be proved even against a dead person without causing any prejudice to him is wholly misconceived, rather illconceived. In such circumstances, we do not find that the Tribunal committed any illegality in granting the relief of wages and other consequential financial benefits to the legal representatives of the deceased workman on the basis of the Supreme Court decision in Deshraj Gupta Vs. Industrial Tribunal, Lucknow for the period from the date of the termination to the date of the death of the workman."

- 14. Evidently, the facts of the referred to case are squarely applicable to the present controversy and the contention canvassed on behalf of the non-applicants is fortified by the observations made by the Hon'ble Court.
- 15. The ld. representative for the applicant then, while relying upon 1994 SCC (L&S) 1981, has contended that the applicant also deserves to be granted the pensionary benefits. In this case, the departmental enquiry was initiated against the petitioner-delinquent who died during the proceedings of the departmental enquiry. The Hon'ble Apex Court has rendered a direction to the respondents to grant the appellant (legal heirs of the deceased) the pensionary benefits according to the rules. The facts of this case also cover the present controversy and in the light of this decision it would be expedient in the interest of the justice to issue a direction to the non-applicants to consider applicant's case for the grant of the pensionary benefits according to the rules.
- 16. On facts and in the light of the decision rendered in 1995 (70) FLR Raj. 244, in the instant case, the nonapplicants cannot be permitted to lead the evidence to prove the charge levelled against the workman on account of his death during the pendency of the conciliation proceedings. Following the principles enunciated in the decisions supra, the applicant, who being the legal heir of the deceased workman is etitled to get the full wages from the date of his dismissal from the service i.e. 8-8-1997 till the date of his death i.e. 16-2-2002. She is also entitled to other financial benefits which the deceased workman could receive up to the date of his death. The non-applicants are further directed to consider the applicant's case for the grant of pensionary benefits to her according to the departmental rules. Both these applications are disposed of in this manner and the reference is adjudicated to this effect and an award is passed in these terms accordingly.
- 17. Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act.

R. C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2340. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947) का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक आफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच.

अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सी जी आई टी 28/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 08-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-12012/184/2003-आईआर(बी-I)] अजय कमार, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2340.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Case No. CGIT-28/2004) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/ Labour Court, Jaipur now as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 8-6-2005.

> [No. L-12012/184/2003-IR (B-I)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-28/2004

Reference No. L-12012/184/2003-IR(B-I)

Sh. Ram Singh Rajput S/o Sh. Bhawani Singh, C/o Secretary, HMS, Bengali Colony,

Chhawani, Kota

.....Applicant

Versus

The Branch Manager. State Bank of India.

Ind. Area, Branch Newai, Distt. (Raj.),

Tonk (Raj.)

... Non-applicant

PRESENT:

Presiding Officer: SH. R.C. SHRMA.

For the applicants:

Sh. Arun Sharma

For the non-applicants:

Sh. Yashpal Garg.

Date of award:

30-5-2005

AWARD

1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 'D' of Sub-sections 1 and 2(A) to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') has referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under :---

"Whether the contention of Shri Ram Singh Rajput that he has worked continuously for more than 240 days in consecutive 12 months during the period from 1-9-94 to 15-3-2003 is correct and justified? If ves, whether the action of the Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Industrial Area Br., Newai, Distt. Tonk (Raj.) in terminating the service of the workman w.e.f. 15-03-2003 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to and from which date?"

- 2. The claimant in his claim statement has pleaded that he was employed as a 4th class by the non-applicant on 1-9-1994 at the Newai Branch, Distt. Tonk, who continuously worked up to 13-3-2003 and had completed more than 240 days of actual work during this period. But on 15-3-2003 his service was terminated in violation of Section 25-F of the Act. He has also averred that prior to him Shri Mohan Lal Gurjar was working in the bank whose service was confirmed in the year 1994 and after his appointment at Jhalawar he was substituted at his place. He has stated that the junior persons to him were retained while terminating his service and that after his termination Shri Mahesh Sharma was appointed by the management.
- 3. Resisting the claim, the non-applicant in his written counter has averred that no relationship of employer-employee existed between both the parties, that the claimant is not a workman as defined under the Act and the local implementation committee is a body of the bank officers and employees, which had employed the workman as a canteen boy from September, 1994 to January, 2003 to serve the tea and snacks to them and to the bank customers. The non-applicant has further stated that the workman was never employed by the bank as a 4th class, that he did not carry out any task of the bank during his period of employment as canteen boy and that the bank exercises no control over Local Implementation Committee (for short, 'LIC'). The non-applicant has also stated that the case is not covered by the definition of retrenchment as defined under Section 2(00) of the Act and had specifically denied any contravention of the provisions under Section 25-G and 25-H of the Act respectively. He has also pleaded that the bank is not an industry for the claimant.
- 4. In the rejoinder, the workman has reiterated the facts as narrated in the statement of claim.
- 5. On the pleadings of both the parties, the following points for determination were framed:—
- I. Whether the workman was appointed by the non-applicant bank on 01-09-1994 as a 4th class employee on daily wages, who continuously worked up to 14-03-2003 and whose service was terminated on 15-3-2003 in violation of Section 25-F of the Act?
- II. Whether after the termination of the service of the workman fresh hand named Shri Mahesh Sharma was appointed by the non-applicant bank in violation of Section 25-H of the Act? BOA
- III. Whether the applicant is a workman as defined under Section 2(S) of the Act? BOA
- IV. Whether in the present case the non-applicant bank is not an industry under the provisions of the Act? BONA

- V. Relief, if any.
- 6. In the evidence, the workman has placed his affidavit. In the rebuttal, the counter affidavits of MW1 Ramchandra Man Singhani, retired Branch Manager and MW2 B. L. Bairwa, Deputy Manager have been submitted. All the witnesses were cross-examined by the opposite representatives respectively.
- 7. The workman has also led the documentary evidence by exhibiting as many as 148 documents, whereas no-applicant has brought on record 8 cheques which are stated to have been issued by the LIC.
- 8. I have heard both the parties and have scanned the record. The point-wise discussion follows as under:—

Point No. I & III

- 9. Both these points contain the identical questions of facts and law, which are discussed together hereunder.
- 10. The ld. representative for the workman contends that the workman has come out with a case that he had completed 240 days of work during the entire tenure from 1-9-1994 to 13-3-2003 as a 4th class employee appointed by the bank. His service was terminated w.e.f. 15-3-2003 without following the provisions under Section 25-F of the Act. The ld. representative then has contended that the non-applicant in his written statement has admitted that the workman has worked with the bank during this period, but to make out a different case he has cleverly said that the workman was working as a canteen boy. The ld. representative while placing his reliance on the documentary evidence has argued that the workman has submitted 148 documents to prove that various jobs were assigned by the bank to him and for which the payment was made by the bank. His contention is that the submission that these documents are forged is not maintainable since the bank could not prove it by producing the original record lying with it. These documents, as per his submission, were submitted before the conciliation officer in presence of the non-applicant, who had never stated that they are forged documents. The ld. representative, therefore, contends that it is undoubtedly established that the workman has worked from September, 1994 to January, 2003 as a 4th class with the bank. It has been submitted on behalf of the workman also that the bank has not placed on record any agreement entered into by the LIC and the workman to serve as a canteen boy.
- 10A. Arguing contra, the ld. representative for the bank contends that the workman has alleged that he was appointed by the Deputy GM as a 4th class, which is unnatural since the Assistant GM is the competent authority to appoint him as the 4th class and no document has been placed on record to prove this fact. His next contention is that the bank had never appointed him, but the LIC had employed him as a canteen boy. The ld.

representative have further questioned the genuineness of the documents placed on behalf of the workman by arguing that they do not carry the signatures of the Branch Manager as well as the cashier and are fake documents. His second contention on this point is that on the basis of these documents it could not be proved that the workman had completed 240 days of work in a calendar year during the said period. He has also submitted that a few of the documents e.g. Ex. W97 to W99 show that he received the payment as a canteen boy which is his admission.

- 11. I have bestowed my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions and have carefully gone through the judicial pronouncements referred to before me by both the parties.
- 12. Now, the questions which emerge for determination are (i) whether the workman had completed 240 days of continuous work under the employment of the bank in a calendar year preceding to the date of his termination and (ii) whether during the period of his employment, he discharged his duties as a canteen boy engaged by the LIC.
- 13. To establish his claim the workman in his evidence has exhibited as many as 148 documents. Ex. W1 to W90 along with few others are the applications addressed by the workman to the Branch Manager for payment of conveyance charges which he incurred to carry out the works of the bank. Although, these documents do not bear the seals of the bank, yet the payment order has been passed thereupon. Ex. W 135 to W 147 are the slips of the bank containing the details of the clearing cheques, which are stated to have been handed over to the workman for receiving their payment on behalf of the bank. With the assistance of these documents, the workman has sought to prove that he was employed by the bank to perform as a 4th class and as a part of his duties he had carried out the jobs assigned to him by the bank during the alleged period, i.e., from 1-9-1994 to 13-3-2003. But it has been contended on behalf of the bank that on the basis of these documents it is not proved that the workman had completed 240 days of actual work in any of the calendar years. During the course of the arguments, on behalf of the workman it could not be specifically pointed out as to in which calendar year the workman has completed over 240 days of actual work during this tenture. On a careful scanning of these documents it is revealed that on various dates the workman submitted the applications before the Branch Manager for payment of coveyance charges. On the basis of these applications total number of 240 days in any calendar year in the period in question cannot be reckoned. In other words, it is not possible to calculate the working days in a calendar year performed by the workman.
- 14. Similarly, the slips containing particulars of the cheques have been issued by the bank on various dates which do not go to show as to in which year the workman

- had worked 240 days in a calendar year. Although the voluminous documentary evidence has been brought on the record, but it is nothing except the applications submitted on various dates by the workman and the slips containing the particulars of the clearing cheques issued by the bank on the various dates. Even if these documents are read in sequence of the various dates on which they have been written, they do not establish the fact that the workman had completed 240 days of actual work in any of the calendar years ranging between September, 1994 to March, 2003. Thus, the workman could not satisfactorily discharge the onus of proving the fact on the basis of documentary evidence that he had completed 240 days of actual work in any calendar year prior to the date of his termination.
- 15. Now, I proceed to analyze the oral evidence led by both the parties. The workman in his cross-examination has admitted that no appointment letter was issued in his favour by the bank. He has further admitted that the payment of wages was made to him through the cheques, which were credited into his account. It is also his admission that he had submitted the applications Ex. W 97, W98 and W99 wherein he has stated that he has performed the work of the canteen for which the payment be made to him. At one place in his cross-examination he has pleaded ignorance as to whether the remuneration was made to him by the LIC, whereas on another place he has admitted this fact that it is correct to say that formerly the LIC paid him monthly consolidated wages of Rs. 350, which was subsequently increased to Rs. 500 per month. He has admitted that he received the payments through the cheques Ex. M1 to M4 and has again pleaded the ignorance whether these cheques bear the signatures of the Chairman and Secretary of LIC respectively. As such, from the oral testimony of the workman, too, it could not be established that he had completed 240 days of actual work in a calendar year in the aforestated duration. Beyond it, it is reflected from his evidence that he was employed by the LIC on the payment of monthly consolidated wages which used to be credited in his account and the plea of the bank is corroborated; by the cheques Ex M1 to Ex. M 8 whereby the remuneration was paid to him as a canteen boy.
- 16. Adding to it, the management witness Ramchandra Mansinghani has deposed that the workman was not appointed by the bank but he was employed as a canteen boy by the LIC. In his cross-examination he has stated that in the month of May, 1998 he was transferred from the present branch and till then he had seen the workman working as a canteen boy.
- 17. The ld. representative for the workman has contended that MW2 Babulal Bairwa had admitted in his cross-examination that the workman had worked continuously in the bank from January, 2002 to February, 2003. But this witness in continuation of the piece of this

statement has further pointed out that he was performing as a canteen boy. Therefore, the submission made on behalf of the workman cannot be maintained that he had worked in this period as a 4th class employee.

- 18. The ld. representative for the workman has referred to the decisions reported in 1965(3) SCR 418; AIR 1957 SC 264; 2003 Lab IC Bombay 2789 and 1995 (1) RLR 704 on the point, but the facts thereof are distinguishable from the case on hand and on this factual background they are not applicable to the present controversy.
- 19. The ld. representative for the workman has then contended that even if it is presumed that the workman was performing as a canteen boy, he will be deemed to be a employee of the bank and the ld. representative has drawn my attention towards the decision reported in 1994 (69) FLR Bombay 189. In this case, the workmen were working in the mess of the government college's hostels. whose claims were dismissed by the Industrial Court on the ground that no relationship of employer-employee existed between the parties. The Hon'ble High Court has observed that looking to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case the state of Maharashtra appears to be the employer of the concerned workmen working in the mess attached to the hostels. But the facts of the present case are entirely distinguishable wherein, firstly, the workman has not been able to prove that he was employed as a 4th class by the bank itself and, secondly, it could; not be shown on behalf of the workman as to whether the non-applicant bank was under a statutory obligation to provide the canteen facilities to its employees.
- 20. By contrast, the ld. representative for the non-applicants has referred to <u>AIR 2000 SC 1518</u>. In this case, the question which came up for consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court was whether the employees of the canteens of some of the branches of State Bank of India can claim to be absorbed as employees of the State Bank of India.
- 21. The Hon'ble Court has observed that "it is admitted position that in law if there is an obligation to provide the canteen, the employees working in the canteen would be employees of the bank, and if not, the employees working in the canteen may not become part of the establishment".
- 22. The Hon'ble Court further goes on to observe that there was no statutory, legal of contractual obligation of the bank to run the canteen or provide for canteen in its branches. The relevant passage of the observation is quoted as below:—

"In the present case also, the facts are also similar. There is no obligation statutory or otherwise to run the canteens by the Bank. The scheme is stated above only provides for grant of subsidy, for promoting running of canteen and if some more cost is incurred in running the canteen, the members of the staff working in that particular

- branch are required to bear it. The Bank is not employing the canteen workers. The Bank is not supervising or controlling the work or the details regarding the canteen or its employees appointed by the Local Implementation Committee."
- 23. On the basis, the Hon'ble Court has propounded that "we, therefore, hold that employees of the canteens which are run at various branches by the Local Implementation Committees as per the welfare scheme framed by the SBI would not become employees of the Bank as the Bank is not having any statutory or conractual obligation or obligation arising under the Award to run such canteens".
- 24. In the light of the principle enunciated by the Hon'ble Court in the decision supra, the submission advanced on behalf of the workman does not find any assistance. Since the claimant was not employed by the bank itself as a 4th class, no nexus of employer-employees existed between the contesting parties and in view of the matter, he cannot be treated to be a workman as defined under Section 2(S) of the Act. As such, both these points are decided against the workman.

Point No. II

25. The workman in his affidavit has deposed that after the termination one Shri Mahesh Sharma was appointed in his place. It has been denied by the management witnesses. In the cross-examination, the workman has stated that he has not seen the appointment letter of Mahesh Sharma and has further pleaded his ignorance as to whether he was employed as a canteen boy. Thus, no definite and positive evidence could be adduced by the workman to prove that after his termination new hand was recruited without affording him an opportunity of the employment. This point, therefore, is decided against the workman.

Point No. IV

26. This point has not been pressed on behalf of the bank. It is, therefore, decided against the bank.

Relief

- 27. For the forgoing reasons, the workman is entitled to no relief.
- 28. In the result, the reference is answered in the negative to this effect that the contention of the claimant Ram Singh Rajput that he was worked continuously for more than 240 days in consecutive 12 months during the period from 1-9-1994 to 15-3-2003 is incorrect and unjustified and his termination order dated 15-3-2003 is legal and justified. His claim is dismissed. An award is passed in these terms accordingly.
- 29. Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act.

R.C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2005.

का.आ. 2341.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मिनरल एक्सप्लोरेशन कॉर्पो. लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण, उदयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 2/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-29011/60/98-आई आर (विविध)]

बी. एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2341,—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 2/99) of the Industrial Tribunal, Udaipur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Mineral Exploration Corpn. Ltd., and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 9-6-2005.

[No. L-29011/60/98-IR (M)] B. M. DAVID, Under Secy.

अनुबंध

न्यायालय : न्यायाधीश, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम : न्यायालय, उदयपुर

पीठासीन अधिकारी : उषा अग्रवाल, आर. एच. जे. एस. प्रकरण संख्या : 2/99

ओ. वि. (केन्द्र सरकार)

शाखा सचिव, मिनरल एक्सप्लोरेशन कॉर्पो. एम्प. यूनियन, जावर माईन्स, उदयपुर

.....प्रार्थीगण

बनाम

प्रोजेक्ट मैनेजर, मिनरल एक्सप्लोरेशन कॉर्पो. लिमिटेड, जावर माईन्स, उदयपुर

.....विपक्षी

उपस्थित :

श्री सुभाप श्रीमाली : प्रार्थीगण की ओर से। श्री बी. एस. बेपुरा : विपक्षी की ओर से।

दिनांक: 31-5-2005

पंचाट

भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय द्वारा जरिये पत्र क्रमांक : एल-29011/60/98/आई.आर. (एम) दिनांक 20-4-99 को निम्न आशय का प्रसंग इस न्यायालय को अधिनिर्णाथ प्रेषित किया गया। "Whether the action of the management of the Mineral Exploration Corporation Ltd. in laying off 26 contingent workers of Zawar Project is justified? If not, to what relief the workman for entitled to?"

उक्त आशय का प्रसंग प्राप्त होने पर न्यायालय द्वारा दिनांक 19-5-99 को प्रकरण संख्या 2/99 दर्ज रिजस्टर किया जाकर पक्षकारान को नोटिस जारी किये गये, जिस पर प्रार्थी यूनियन की ओर से क्लेम व विपक्षी की ओर से जवाब पेश किया गया।

क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र के तथ्य संक्षेप में इस प्रकार है कि प्रार्थी यनियन विपक्षी संस्थान में कार्यरत श्रमिकों का प्रतिनिधि संगठन है तथा इस औद्योगिक विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिक प्रार्थी यनियन के सदस्य हैं, जिनके नाम संलग्नक-1 सूची में दिये हैं। विवाद से संबंधित श्रमिकों की नियक्ति प्रारम्भ में अप्रार्थी द्वारा बारोई प्रोजेक्ट के लिये की गयी। इन सभी श्रमिकों के नियक्ति पत्र में एक शर्त थी कि इनकी सेवाओं का उपयोग जब भी आवश्यकता होगी जावर माईन्स एरिया के किसी की अन्य प्रोजेक्ट में किया जायेगा. एक श्रमिक की फोटो प्रति संलग्नक-2 है। इन 26 श्रमिकों को शुरू में कंटीनजेंट अकुशल श्रमिक के रूप में नियुक्ति दी गयी लेकिन बाद में जावर माईन्स एरिया में विभिन्न परियोजनाओं में इनको स्किल्ड श्रमिक बना दिया गया। नियुक्ति शर्त के आधार पर ही श्रमिकों से बारोई प्रोजेक्ट के अतिरिक्त पहले बलारिया माइन्स प्रोडेक्शन इन्कलाइन प्रोजेक्ट पर तथा बाद में बलारिया आइटम रेट कान्ट्रेक्ट प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्य दिया गया। दिनांक 6-11-97 को श्रमिक बलारिया आइटम रेट कान्ट्रेक्ट प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्यरत थे, तब अप्रार्थी ने यह करते हुये इनके लिये कार्य उपलब्ध नहीं है, ले आफ कर दिया। औद्यो. विवाद अधि. 2 (के.के.के.) के अनुसार विपक्षी द्वारा बताये कारण के आधार पर ले आफ नहीं किया जा सकता। 26 श्रमिकों को 6-11-97 से ले आफ किया जाना निम्नलिखित कारणों से पूर्णतः अनुचित एवं अवैध है। श्रमिकों को ले आफ किये जाने का जो कारण बताया वह औ.वि.अधि., 1947 की धारा 2 (के.के.के.) के प्रावधानों के विपरीत है। विपक्षी के पास बलारिया आइटम रेट कांटेक्ट ्र<mark>प्रोजेक्ट के स्था</mark>न पर उसी स्थान पर चल **रहे अन्य प्रोजे**क्ट मोचिया बलारिया कनेक्टिंग डाईवर पर पर्याप्त मात्रा में कार्य उपलब्ध था जहां पर 94 श्रमिकों जो विवाद से संबंधित श्रमिकों को ले आफ करने के बाद नियुक्त किया, जिनके नाम संलग्नक सूची-3 में हैं। इन श्रमिकों को इस उद्देश्य से सेवामुक्त किया कि इन्हें बाद में अनुचित एवं अत्रैध रूप में छंटनी किया जा सके, क्योंकि मिनरल एक्स. कार्पी. लि. के संचालक मंडल ने नियमित श्रमिकों व आई.डी.ए. एक्जीक्युटिवस के वेतनमान संशोधन करने हेतु राशि बचाने के उद्देश्य से 578 श्रमिकों की छंटनी करने का निर्णय लिया था। निवेदन किया कि विपक्षी द्वारा श्रमिकों को ले आफ किये जाते समय 100 से अधिक श्रमिक कार्यरत थे, अतः विपक्षी संस्थान पर औ.वि.अधि., 1947 के चेप्टर-5-वी के प्रावधान लागू होते थे, इसके वावजूद श्रमिकों को ले आफ करने से पूर्व औ.वि.अधि. की धारा 25 एम. के अनुरूप सक्षम सरकार की अनुमति नहीं ली गयी। निवेदन किया कि मोचिया बलारिया कनेक्टिंग डाईव प्रोजेक्ट उसी खान पर शरू किया गया जिस खान पर बलाग्या रेट कॉन्ट्रेक्ट प्रोजेक्ट आइटम चल रहा है अर्थात् तथाकथित प्रोजेक्ट एक ही खान पर थे, एक ही खान मैनेजर व प्रिंसिपल एम्पलायर के अधीन

थे, यहां तक कि दोनों प्रोजेक्ट में प्रवेश हेतु एक ही शाफ्ट का उपयोग किया जाता था। केवल श्रमिकों को ले आफ/छंटनी कर हानि पहुंचाने के उद्देश्य से इन्हें अलग-अलग प्रोजेक्ट दिखाया गया। विपक्षी इन 26 श्रमिकों को कार्य की समाप्ति व कार्य उपलब्ध न होना बताते हुये अनुचित एवं अवैध रूप से ले आफ किये जाने के स्थान पर उनसे मोचिया बलारिया कनेक्टिंग डाईव प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्य लिया जाता तो न तो इन श्रमिकों को ले आफ किये जाने की आवश्यकता थी और न ही इन्हें छंटनी किये जाने की आवश्यकता थी, क्योंकि यह प्रोजेक्ट विपक्षी के अधीन अभी भी चल रहा है व बलारिया आइटम रेट कान्ट्रेक्ट प्रोजेक्ट अप्रैल 98 से पुन: प्रारम्भ कर दिया गया है। प्रार्थना की गयी कि मा. न्यायाधिकरण यह घोषित करे कि इस विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिकों को दि. 6-11-97 से ले आफ किया जाना पूर्णतया अनुचित एवं अवैध था, श्रमिकों को जावर माईन्स के प्रोजेक्ट मोचिया बलारिया कनेक्टिंग डाईव प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्य उपलब्ध होने के कारण ले आफ व बाद में. दिनांक रिक्त स्थान से छंटनी नहीं किया जाना चाहिये था। सभी श्रमिक मोचिया बलारिया कनेक्टिंग डाईव प्रोजेक्ट या विपक्षी निगम के किसी की अन्य प्रोजेक्ट पर नियोजित किये जाने के अधिकारी हैं. सभी श्रमिक दिनांक 6-11-97 से उन्हें पुन: नियोजित किये जाने की तिथि तक का संपूर्ण वेतन व भत्ते, सेवा की निरन्तरता व अन्य सभी परिलाभ प्राप्त करने के अधिकारी हैं तथा अन्य कोई अनुतोप व विवाद का हर्जा खर्चा जो मा. न्यायाधिकरण उचित समझे, दिलाने की कृपा करे।

विपक्षी ने जवाब क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र प्रस्तुत कर निवेदन किया कि निम्नलिखित कारणों से कथित विधि अथवा तथ्य के आधार पर चलने योग्य नहीं है। विवादित विषय पर केन्द्रीय सरकार के सीजीआईटी. जबलपुर द्वारा आनुषंगिक विषय के रूप में पहले से ही न्याय निर्णयन किया जा चुका है, जब 2145 आकस्मिक श्रमिकों के नियमितीकरण तथा एमईसीएल के मृतकों के 64 आश्रितों को अनुकम्पा आधार पर नियोजन के मुख्य प्रश्न पर न्याय निर्णयन किया गया था। उपर्युक्त निर्देश समुचित सरकार द्वारा सीजीआईटी, जबलपुर को दि. 7-1-93 को किया गया। दि. 24-6-97 को हुई कार्यवाही के दौरान उपरोक्त न्यायाधिकरण ने प्रबन्धक को अवरुद्ध करते हुये एक अंतरिम आदेश पारित किया कि न्याय निर्णयन की कार्यवाही के लंबित रहते हुये किसी भी कर्मकार की छंटनी नहीं की जाए लेकिन परिणामत: क्योंकि अप्रार्थी निगम के पास जावर माईन्स प्रोजेक्ट पर नियोजित 26 कर्मकारों सहित आकस्मिक श्रमिक जो कि अकुशल कार्य करने हेतु नियोजित थे के लिये कोई काम उपलब्य नहीं था अत: औ.वि.अधि., 1947 के उपबंधों का अनुसरण करते हुये उनको जबरी छुट्टी दे दी गयी। श्रमिकों को दिये गये काननी नोटिस की प्रतियां संलग्नक-1 के रूप में इसके साथ संलग्न की जा रही हैं। एमईसी कर्मचारी संघ ने उपर्युक्त अवैध जबरी छुट्टी का प्रश्न सीजीआईटी, जबलपुर के समक्ष उठाया और उपरोक्त ऱ्यायाधिकरण ने अवार्ड पारित करते समय प्रबंधक द्वारा कर्मकारों की जबरी छुट्टी देने की कार्यवाही पर किसी दोप अथवा अवैधता का सम्प्रेक्षण नहीं किया। आगे यह भी प्रस्तुत है कि उपरोक्त न्यायाधिकरण ने अपने अवार्ड दि. 24-3-98 द्वारा प्रबंध की 2145 आकस्मिक श्रमिकों को नियमित करने का अन्य बातों के साथ निर्देश दिया। कंपनी के प्रबंध ने रिट याचिका उच्च न्यायालय, मध्य प्रदेश, जबलपुर के समक्ष कथित अवार्ड को चुनौती दी और न्यायालय ने अपने आदेश दि. 26-2-99 द्वारा सीजीआईटी द्वारा पारित अवार्ड को नामंजूर एवं अपास्त कर दिया। मा. उच्च न्यायालय के समक्ष कार्यवाहियों में भी उत्तरवादी संघ ने जाबर माईन्स में नियोजित कर्मकारों सहित श्रमिक को कंपनी प्रबंधन द्वारा औ.वि.अधि. के प्रावधानों के कथित उल्लंघन में जबरी छटटी देने के विषय पर उच्च न्यायालय का ध्यान आकर्षित किया, किन्तु न्यायालय ने इस पर कोई संप्रेक्षण नहीं किया। निवेदन किया कि सुनवाई के समक्ष इन कथनों को निर्दिष्ट किया जाये। मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर के निर्णय दि. 26-2-99 की प्रति संलग्नक-2 संलग्न है। इस प्रकार जाबर माईन्स के श्रमिकों सहित जबरी छुट्टी का प्रश्न दोनों सीजीआईटी, जबलपुर तथा उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर के समक्ष उठाया गया था और उन्होंने जबरी छुट्टी दिये जाने पर किसी दोष अथवा अवैधता का संप्रेक्षण नहीं किया, अतः न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष यह प्रश्न उठाने के लिये संघ विबंधित है। निवेदन है कि इस न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष यह निर्देश (रेफ्रेन्स) न्याय निर्णयन हेत् अयोग्य है इसलिये तदनुसार उत्तरित किया जाना चाहिये। समझौता कार्यवाही के दौरान प्रबन्ध द्वारा समझौता अधिकारी के समक्ष सीजीआईटी, जबलपुर एवं उच्च न्यायालय के समक्ष वाद के लंबित होने के बारे में कथन किये गये थे। एलईओ (सी) कथित एफओसी तथा समझौता अधिकारी, उदयपुर सं. एलईओयुडी/5(8)97 दि. 4-12-98 की संलग्नक-3 संलग्न है। उपरोक्त कथनों के प्रति बिना प्रतिकृल प्रभाव के निम्न निवेदन किया कि मिनरल एक्सप्लोरेशन निगम लि. एक प्रमुख सार्वजनिक उपक्रम है जो खनिज संसाधनों की खोज में लगा है। देश के औद्योगिक विवाद को गति देने के लिये खनिज संभावनाओं की खोज तथा उनके विदोहन हेतु भारत सरकार द्वारा अक्तूबर 1972 में इसकी स्थापना की गयी। कंपनी अधि., 1956 के अंतर्गत निगमित यह भारत सरकार का एक उपक्रम है। इसके अतिरिक्त कंपनी खादान निर्माण का कार्य भी करती है। निगम का उपर्युक्त कथित कार्य विभिन्न प्रोजेक्टों द्वारा किया जाता है जो औद्यो. विवाद अधि., 1947 के अधीन अस्थायी औद्योगिक स्थापन होते हैं। निगम बड़ी संख्या में निमित कर्मकारों को नियोजित करता है जो अधिकतम अनुभवी और कुशल कर्मचारी होते हैं। इनके अतिरिक्त कतिपय स्थानीय आकस्मिक श्रमिक भी नियोजित किये जाते हैं। जो नितान्त अस्थायी अवधि के लिये अधिकांशत: अकुशल प्रकृति के कार्य के लिये नियोजित किये जाते हैं तथा उनको सौंपा गया कार्य पूरा हो जाने पर अस्थायी औद्योगिक स्थापन बंद कर दिया जाता है और स्थानीय आकस्मिक श्रमिकों की कानून के अनुसार छंटनी कर दी जाती है और निगमित श्रमिकों को देश में दूसरे स्थानों पर भेज दिया जाता है, जहां पर निगम का नया कार्य सौंपा जाता है। श्रमिकों के नियोजन की इस व्यवस्था का अनुसरण निगम की स्थापना के समय से किया जा रहा है। प्रबंध के इस दृष्टिकोण को कि प्रोजेक्ट अस्थायी औद्योगिक स्थापन होते हैं। भारत सरकार के सीता माला प्रोजेक्ट में सही माना गया है। भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय के पत्र सं. एल. 20012/61/70-डी iii(ए) दि. 22-11-70 की एक प्रति संलग्नक-4 संलग्न है। निगम के प्रोजेक्टों को अस्थायी स्थापन मानते हुए भारत सरकार ने अनेक प्रोजेक्टों में छंटनी करने तथा उनके बंद करने की अनुमति दी है। जावर माईन्स प्रोजेक्ट के प्रकरणों में भी पूर्व में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय ने अपने पत्र सं. एल. 29024/2/86-डी-3(बी) दि. 27-6-86 जिसकी प्रति संलग्नक-5 संलग्न है, द्वारा 32 आकस्मिक श्रमिकों की छंटनी की अनुमति दी थी जब श्रमिकों की संख्या 100 से अधिक हो गयी थी। निवेदन किया कि सीजीआईटी जबलपुर अवार्ड दि. 23-2-80 के अनुसार प्रोजेक्टों पर आकस्मिक श्रमिकों का नियोजन प्रोजेक्ट की अवधि में विनिर्दिष्ट कार्य के लिए किया गया। इन नियुक्त व्यक्तियों की छंटनी कार्य पुरा होने और प्रोजेक्ट बंद होने पर की गयी। उपर्युकत अवार्ड दि. 23-2-80 की प्रति संलग्नक-6 के रूप में संलग्न है। ये 26 श्रमिक भी इस प्रोजेक्ट के विनिर्दिष्ट कार्य को पूरा करने हेतु लगाये गये थे इसलिए वे दूसरे प्रोजेक्टों में स्थानान्तरण अथवा आमेलित करने का दावा नहीं कर सकते। आर.आर.सी. प्रोजेक्ट जावर माईन्स पर कार्य पुरा हो गया था। एमईसीएल तथा मै. एचजेडएल के मध्य मद-दर-संविदा 5-11-97 को समाप्त हो गया था तथा मै. एचजेडएल ने संविदा को आगे बढ़ाने की मंजूरी नहीं दी। हिन्दुस्तान जिंक जावर माईन्स के चीफ इन्जि. (टीएस) से प्राप्त पत्र दि. 26-9-97 की एक प्रति संलग्नक-7 संलग्न है। इस दृष्टि से इस प्रोजेक्ट पर इन 26 श्रमिकों के लिये कोई कार्य नहीं था। कार्य की अनुलिब्धता के कारण से इन श्रमिकों को दि. 6-9-97 से जबरी छुट्टी दी गयी थी। सीजीआईटी जबलपुर द्वारा दिये गये आदेश दि. 24-6-97 द्वारा रोकी की दृष्टि से इन आकस्मिक श्रमिकों की छंटनी नहीं की गयी यद्यपि जिस कार्य पर इनको लगाया वह कार्य पहले ही पूरा हो गया। निगम के प्रमाणित स्थायी आदेश के खैंड 21 में उपबंधों के अनुसार तथा औद्योगिक विवाद अधि. 1947 तथा तद्धीन नियमों के अनुसार प्रक्रिया का अनुसरण करने के बाद इन श्रमिकों को जबरी छुट्टी दी गयी थी। कंपनी द्वारा की गयी जबरी छुट्टी सही एवं उचित थी तथा स्थायी आदेशों के उपबंधों के अनुसार तथा औद्योगिक विवाद अधि. 1947 के लागू होने वाले अनुबंधों के अनुरूप थी। उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा सीजीआईटी जबलपुर के अवार्ड को मन्सुब करने के बाद औ.वि.अधि. के उपबंधों के अनुसार इन श्रमिकों की पहले से छंटनी की जा चुकी है तथा कंपनी की पंजी (रोल) पर अब नहीं है इसलिये प्रस्तुत विवाद निष्फल है तथा न्याय निर्णयन के योग्य नहीं है। क्योंकि कोई विवाद अस्तित्व में नहीं रहता है। उर्पयुक्त निवेदनों की प्रतिकुलता के बिना प्रबंध ने प्रारम्भ से ही प्रार्थी संघ द्वारा प्रबंधक के कथनों के विपरीत किये गये दावे के प्रत्येक कथन, प्रकथन और निवेदनों को अस्वीकार किया है तथा प्रबंध के उपर्युक्त निवेदनों के विपरीत सभी दावों को इसके द्वारा अस्वीकार किया जाता है। जबाव में कथन किया कि संबंधित श्रमिकों की नियुक्ति प्रारम्भ में अप्रार्थी द्वारा बारोई प्रोजेक्ट के लिये नहीं की गयी, न ही इन श्रमिकों की सेवा का उपयोग जब भी आवश्यकता होगी जावर माईन्स एरिया के किसी भी अन्य प्रोजेक्ट में किया जायेगा यह शर्त हो। 26 श्रमिकों को न तो कटीजेंट अकुशल श्रमिक के रूप में नियुक्ति दी न ही इनको स्किल्ड श्रमिक बनाया। ये श्रमिक जावर माईन्स प्रोजेक्ट में आकस्मिक आधार पर लगाये गये थे। इससे अस्वीकार किया कि नियुक्ति की इस शर्त के आधार ही विवाद से संबंधित श्रमिकों से बारोई प्रोजेक्ट के पहले बलारिया माईन्स प्रोडेक्शन इन्जबाइन प्रोजेक्ट पर तथा बाद में बलारिया आइटम रेट कांट्रेक्ट प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्य किया गया हो। जबरी छुट्टी ओ.वि.अधि. के प्रावधानों के विपरीत की गयी हो स्वीकार नहीं है, जबरी छुट्टी स्थायी आदेशों के उपबंधों तथा औ.वि.अधि. से लागू होने योग्य उपबंधों के अनुसार की गयी थी।

कंपनी का अपना कोई निजी काम नहीं है और ये श्रमिक बिना किसी काम के बेकार एवं कार्यहीन हो गये उनके लिये कोई काम नहीं रहा। फिर भी सीजीआईटी जबलपुर की अंतरिम रोल के कारण इन श्रमिकों को छंटनी नहीं की जा सकी और अंत तक इनकी छंटनी नहीं की गयी उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर द्वारा सीजीआईटी का अवार्ड मन्सूब तथा अपाता नहीं किया गया इसलिये यह निवेदन किया गया है कि प्रबंध द्वारा उनको जबरी छुट्टी देने तथा तत्पश्चात् उनको छंटनी करने को कार्यवाही औ.वि.अधि. के अनुसरण में की गयी। यह स्वीकार नहीं हैं कि अध्याय 5 बी. इस पर लागू होता है इस प्रोजेक्ट पर नियोजित श्रमिक 100 से कम संख्या में थे इसलिये अघ्याय 5 ए. लागू होता है और अध्याय 5 बी. के उपबंध कराई लागू नहीं होते हैं। यद्यपि यह सही है कि पूर्व में श्रम मंत्रालय ने लापंगा प्राजेक्ट पर जबरी छुट्टी देने की अनुमति देने से इंकार किया था, किन्तु उसका भिन्न आधार था वास्तविक स्वरूप से प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्य शेष था, लेकिन जब कार्य पूरा हो गया तो लापंगा प्रोजेक्ट मैनेजर ने औ.वि.अधि. की धारा : 25-ओ के अंतर्गत (क्योंकि श्रमिक सं. 100 से अधिक हो रहे थे) श्रम मंत्रालय को प्रार्थना पत्र दिया और श्रम मंत्रालय ने अपने अनुमति पत्र दि. 10-5-99 द्वारा अनुमति प्रदान की। कथित पत्र की प्रति संलग्नक-8 संलग्न है। आर.आर.सी. जाबर माईन्स का प्रोजेक्ट एक अलग एवं स्वतंत्र स्थापन था और इस प्रोजेक्ट पर एक विनिर्दिष्ट अवधि के लिये नियोजित श्रमिकों की प्रोजेक्ट बंद होने पर छंटनी की जानी थी। जिसको अभी-अभी मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा रिट पिटीशन सं. 1981/98 जैसा उपर्युक्त अवधूत दे दिये गये निर्णय सहित विश्व निर्णयों में उचित एवं वैध ठहराया है। कंपनी का प्रत्येक प्रोजेक्ट एक स्वतंत्र औद्योगिक स्थापन होता है क्योंकि जावर माईन्स प्रोजेक्ट पर कार्य पहले ही समाप्त हो चुका है। इन श्रमिकों को अन्य निकट एवीसीडी जावर माईन्स प्रोजेक्ट पर नियोजित करने का प्रश्न ही उत्पन्न नहीं होता निवेदन किया गया कि दावे का कोई औचित्य नहीं है तथा निर्देश का जवाब नकारात्मक तथा प्रबंध के पक्ष में दिये जाने योग्य है। उपर्युक्त लिखित कथन में किये गये कोई प्रकथन यदि श्रंमिक द्वारा प्रस्तुत किये गये दावे के कथनों के विरुद्ध नहीं है तो विनिर्दिष्टत: अस्वीकार किये जाते हैं यद्यपि दावे के कथनों में किये गये प्रकथन विनिर्दिष्टत: स्वीकार नहीं किये गये हैं अथवा इस लिखित कथन में उनका उल्लेख नहीं किया गया हो तो भी वे स्वीकृत नहीं समझे जावें। तद्नुसार विचारार्थ प्रस्तुत किया है।

प्रार्थीगण की ओर से सर्व श्री इमाम हुसैन, गंगाधर, विकास भट्टाचार्य, पुरुषोत्तम, जसवंत सिंह, धन्ना व हुनमान सिंह के शपथ पत्र प्रस्तुत हुये जिनसे विपक्षी प्रतिनिधि द्वारा जिरह की गयी। उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगण के अतिरिक्त प्रार्थी श्रिमिक श्री हाजा का शपथ पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया, परन्तु ये प्रार्थी जिरह हेतु उपस्थित नहीं आया। विपक्षी की ओर से वी.आर. सुब्बाराजु का शपथ पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया जिनसे प्रार्थीगण के प्रतिनिधि द्वारा जिरह की गयी तथा दस्ताविजी साक्ष्य में नोटिस, लपंगा प्रोजेक्ट आफीसर आर्डर नियुक्ति पत्र श्रमिक, श्रमिकों की नियुक्ति नई भर्ती-97 एमबीसीडी प्रोजेक्ट, बोर्ड मीटिंग आईटम नं. 4, श्रम मंत्रालय का पत्र रिकार्ड प्रति आदेश नोटिस श्रमिकों को वे आफ करने का जबलपुर उच्च न्यायालय का निर्णय व अवार्ड श्रम मंत्रालय के पत्र हि.जि.लि. का पत्र श्रम न्यायालय का निर्णय न

नरबहादुर प्रोजेक्ट मैनेजर, पत्र केसु लाल बनाम प्रोजेक्ट मैनेजर, पत्र सोना बनाम प्रोजेक्ट मैनेजर, पत्र श्यामुबाई बनाम प्रोजेक्टर मैनेजर, कार्यालय आदेश, पत्र थावरचंद, प्रार्थना पत्र हांजा व भैरा का बकाया पैसे लेने हेतु, प्रार्थना पत्र बी.पी. सिंह का बकाया पैसा प्राप्ति हेतु प्रार्थना पत्र जग्या छंटनी का पैसा लेने हेतु स्टेपिंग आर्डस व क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र की छाया प्रतियां प्रस्तुत की हैं।

उन पक्षकारों की बहस सुनी गयी व पत्रावली का अवलोकन किया गया। हस्तगत प्रकरण में हमें देखना है कि विपक्षी नियोजक द्वारा उनके 26 श्रमिक प्रार्थीगण को जबरी छुट्टी देना उचित है ?

हस्तगत प्रकरण में श्रम मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा जो प्रसंग इस न्यायालय को अधिनिर्णय हेतु प्रेषित किया गया है, उसमें 26 श्रिमकों की जबरी छुट्टी किये जाने के संबंध में विवाद है, परन्तु ये 26 श्रमिक कौन हैं ? इस बाबत प्रसंग के साथ कोई श्रमिकों की सूची संलग्न नहीं है तथा प्रार्थी संघ द्वारा जो क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया है उसमें भी विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिकों के नाम नहीं दर्शा रखे हैं, क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र में केवल मात्र यह अंकित कर रखा है कि इस औद्योगिक विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिक प्रार्थी यूनियन के सदस्य हैं, जिनके नाम संलग्नक-1 सूची में दिये गये हैं, परन्तु प्रार्थी संघ की ओर से:पत्रावली पर कोई संलग्नक-1 सूची प्रस्तुत नहीं की गई है, ये पत्रावली का अवलोकन करने से प्रकट होता है। इसके अतिरिक्त प्रार्थीगण की ओर से साक्ष्य में साक्ष्य स्वरूप कुल आठ शपथ पत्र प्रस्तुत किये गये हैं जिनमें भी प्रार्थीगण ने क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र के कथनों को दोहराते हुए कथन किया है कि इस औद्योगिक विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिक प्रार्थी यूनियन के सदस्य हैं जिनके नाम संलग्नक-1 सूची में दिये गये हैं, परन्तु कोई सूची प्रस्तुत नहीं की गयी है। अत: जिन श्रमिकगण के शपथ पत्र प्रस्तुत किये गये हैं ये विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिकों में से हो यह संलग्न सूची के अभाव में माने जाने योग्य नहीं है। प्रार्थी संघ की ओर से भी प्रकरण की अंतिम स्थान तक प्रसंग को संशोधित कराने का प्रयास नहीं किया गया और न ही 26 प्रार्थी श्रमिकगण के नाम की सूची ही प्रस्तुत की है। इस न्यायालय का क्षेत्राधिकार प्रेषित प्रसंग तक ही सीमित है अभयपक्षकारों की सहमति से थी यह न्यायालय प्रेषित प्रसंग में संशोधन नहीं कर सकती है, ये ही दिशा निर्देश विधि दृष्टांत 2002 (3) डब्ल्यू एल सी-67 सुरेशचंद्र बनाम जनरल मैनेजर राज. स्टेट ब्रिज एवं कन्स्ट्रक्शन कोरपोरेशन में माननीय राज. उच्च न्यायालय ने सम्मानीय सर्वोच्च न्यायालय के द्वारा कई निर्णयों में दिये गये दिशा निर्देशों की पालना में दिये हैं। ऐसी स्थिति में श्रम मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा प्रेषित प्रसंग में सूचि संलग्न नहीं होने व प्रार्थी संघ द्वारा विवाद से संबंधित 26 श्रमिकों की सूची संलग्नक-1 प्रस्तुत नहीं किये जाने के कारण प्रेषित प्रसंग दूषित व निस्तारण योग्य नहीं होने से निरस्त किये जाने योग्य है।

ऐसी स्थिति में श्रम मंत्रालय भारत सरकार द्वारा प्रेषित प्रसंग निस्तारण किये जाने योग्य नहीं होने से निरस्त किया जाता है।

भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय प्रेषित प्रसंग को उत्तरित करते हुए पंचाट इस प्रकार पारित किया जाता है कि व्यवस्थापक मिनरल एक्सप्लोरेशन कोरपोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा जावर माईन्स के 26 कर्मकारों को जबरी छुट्टी देने की कार्यवाही उचित एवं वैध है ? प्रसंग में 26 कर्मकारों की सूची संलग्न नहीं होने एवं प्रार्थी संघ द्वारा क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र के साथ उपरोक्त 26 कर्मकारों के नाम की सूची संलग्न नहीं होने के कारण प्रेपित प्रसंग दूषित होने से निरस्त किया जाता है। पंचाट प्रकाशनार्थ श्रम मंत्रालय भारत सरकार को भेजा जावे।

पंचाट आज दिनांक : 31-5-2005 को खुले न्यायालय में लिखाया जाकर सुनाया गया।

उषा अग्रवाल, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 9 जुन, 2005

का.आ. 2342.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एयरपोर्ट ऑथोरिटी ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नई दिल्ली-1 के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 87/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 09-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11011/24/2002-आई आर (विविध)]

बी. एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2342.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 87/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal -cum-labour Court, New Delhi No. 1 as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Airport Authority of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 09-06-2005.

[No. L-11011/24/2002-IR (M)] B. M. DAVID, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: Shri Sant Singh Bal

I. D. No. 87/2003

In the matter of dispute between: The Working General Secretary, Airport Employees Union, 3, V. P. House, Rafi Marg, New Delhi, New Delhi-110001.

2. The Secretary, The Airport Employees Union, Vandana Building, 11, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110001.

..... Workmen

Versus

The Regional Executive Director (NAD) Airport Authority of India, Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, New Delhi-110037.

.....Management

APPEARANCES: None for the workmen.

Shri Feroz Ahmed A/R for the management.

AWARD

The Central Government in the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-11011/24/2002 IR(M) dated 26-5-2003 has referred the following industrial dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:—

"Whether the action of the management of AAI New Delhi in not regularising the services of concerned 5 workmen from the date of his initial appointment is just, fair and legal. If not, what relief the concerned workmen are entitled to and from which date."

2. After receipt of the reference notice to the parties was issued for filing claim statement on 14-10-2003. On 14-10-2003 Shri Satva Singh Rawat appeared for workman and Shri Mukesh Kumar for the management and Shri Rawat requested for adjournment for filing claim. Hence it was adjourned to 13-1-2004. On 13-1-04 and 25-2-04 none for the workman appeared and Shri Feroz Ahmed for the management appeared and then case was adjourned to 28-4-04 for filing claim. Again on 28-4-04 none appeared for either party and even claim was not filed and case was adjourned to 8-7-04 for filing claim. On 8-7-04 Shri Ranjit working General Sec. for the workman requested for adjournment for filing claim and case was adjourned to 20-9-04 for filing claim. On 20-9-04 workman with his A/R appeared and requested for adjournment for filing claim an it was adjourned to 1-12-04.

From 1-12-04 til today i.e. 1-6-2005 four opportunities were granted to the workman for filing claim statement but neither he nor his counsel has appeared nor claim statement filed. It appears that the workman are not interested in prosecution of this case. Shri Feroz Ahmed A/R for the management appeared. Hence workman are proceeded *ex parte*. Thus, No Dispute Award is passed. File be consigned to record room.

Dated: 1-6-05

SANT SINGH BAL, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 9 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2343.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार हिन्दुस्तान जिंक लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के

बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण उदयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 3/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-05-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-43012/12/2000-आई आर (विविध)]

बी. एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 9th June, 2005

S.O. 2343.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal Udaipur (Ref. No. 3/2000) as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Hindustan Zinc Ltd., and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-05-2005.

[No. L-43012/12/2000-JR (M)]

B, M. DAVID, Under Secv.

अनुबंध

न्यायालय : न्यायाधीश, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण एवं श्रम : न्यायालय, उदयपुर

पीठासीन अधिकारी : उषा अग्रवाल, आर. एच. जे. एस.

प्रकरण संख्या : 3/2000

आई. टी. आर. (केन्द्र सरकार)

श्री मिठूलाल वैष्णव (18303) द्वारा अखिल भारतीय जस्तः उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ, रामचंद्र चम्पालाल धर्मशाला के बाहर उदयपुर (राज.)

....प्रार्थी

बनाम

महाप्रबंधक , हिन्दुस्तान जिंक लिमिटेड, राजपुरा दरीबा माइन्म पोस्ट दरीबा जिला राजसमन्द (राज.)

.....विपक्षी

उपस्थित :

श्री प्रदीप पालीवाल

: प्रार्थी की ओर से ।

श्री बी.एल. गुप्ता

: विपक्षी की ओर से।

दिनांक : 13-4 2005

पंचाट

भारत सरकार श्रम मंत्रालय द्वारा जरिये पत्र क्रमांक : एल-43012/12/2000/आई आर (एम) दिनांक 30-8-2000 द्वारा निम्न प्रसंग इस न्यायालय को अधिनिर्णयार्थ प्रेपित किया गया।

"Whether the action of management of Hindustan Zinc Ltd. Rajpura Dariba Mines, Dariba Distt. Rajamand in not giving pay protection and step up to Sh. Mithulal

Vaishnav and giving more salary to his junior workman is legal and justified? If not what relief workman concerned is entitled to?"

उक्त आश्य का प्रसंग प्राप्त होने पर इस न्यायालय द्वारा दिनांक 9-10-2000 को प्रकरण संख्या 3/2000 आई.टी.आर. दर्ज रजिस्टर किया जाकर पक्षकारान को नोटिस जारी किये गये, जिस पर प्रार्थी की ओर से क्लेम व विपक्षी की ओर से जवाब प्रस्तुत किया गया।

यह प्रकरण दिनांक 5-4-2005 को अंतिम बहस हेतु नियत था एवं बहस के दौरान विपक्षी/संस्थापक प्रतिनिधि की यह आपित रही है कि केन्द्रीय सरकार के द्वारा अधिनिर्णय हेतु इस न्यायाधिकरण को जो प्रसंग प्रेपित किया है, उसमें प्रार्थी स्वयं पक्षकार नहीं है, बल्कि प्रार्थी की यूनियन अखिल भारतीय जस्ता उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ, रामचन्द्र चम्पालाल धर्मशाला के बाहर उदयपुर पक्षकार है तथा प्रार्थी का विवाद वेतन संरक्षण व स्टैप-अप करने से संबंधित है, चूंकि व्यवस्थापक द्वारा प्रार्थी/श्रमिक मीठ्लाल से कनिष्ठ को अधिक वेतन दिया जा रहा है, इसलिये संदर्भित विवाद का प्रार्थी युनियन द्वारा ही क्लेम प्रस्तुत किया जा सकता है स्वयं प्रार्थी द्वारा प्रस्तुत नहीं किया जा सकता है। जबकि हस्तगत प्रकरण में क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र में पक्षकार मीठू लाल वैष्णव द्वारा अखिल भारतीय जस्ता उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ, रामचन्द्र चंपा लाल धर्मशाला के बाहर उदयपुर है, किन्तु क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र पर संघ के सचिव या प्रेसिडेन्ट के बतौर प्रार्थी हस्ताक्षर नहीं किये हैं, बल्कि प्रार्थी के द्वारा हस्ताक्षरित किया गया है, न कि श्रमिक संघ द्वारा तथा यह क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष पेश भी प्रार्थी श्रमिक ने नहीं किया है, बल्कि उसके अधिवक्ता श्री जय पालीवाल ने प्रस्तुत किया है व उन्होंने भी धारा 36 आई. डी. एक्ट के तहत न्यायालय से श्रमिक की पैरवी करने हेतु इजाजत नहीं ली है। अतः श्रमिक का क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र श्रमिक की संघ द्वारा प्रस्तुत नहीं किये जाने व पैरवी भी अधिवक्ता द्वारा किये जाने से प्रार्थी का क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र निरस्त किये जाने योग्य है, क्योंकि औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम की धारा 2ए के अनुसार श्रम न्यायालय/न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष कोई भी विवाद जो श्रमिक की सेवा मुक्ति, छंटनी सेवा पृथक या अन्यथा उसकी सेवाएं व्यवस्थापक द्वारा पर्यवस्ति से सम्बन्धित हैं तो ही श्रमिक स्वयं बतौर पक्षकार बनाया जा सकता है व उसके द्वारा पैरवी की जा सकती है। जबकि प्रार्थी/श्रमिक का विवाद धारा 2-क के तहत नहीं है, इसलिये वह स्वयं न तो क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र प्रस्तुत कर सकता है न वह स्वयं पैरवी ही कर सकता है। श्री प्रदीप पालीवाल बतौर अधिवक्ता प्रकरण में पैरवी कर रहे हैं, चूंकि उन्होंने वकालत-नामा प्रस्तुत किया है तथा बतौर सचिव संघ, की हैसियत से प्रतिनिधित्व करने हेतु नियम 36 के तहत फार्म-एफ के तहत कोई अधिकार-पत्र प्रस्तुत नहीं किया है। न ही क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र में बतौर सचिव हस्ताक्षर ही किये हैं व क्लेम भी उनके द्वारा प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है। यह भी विपक्षी प्रतिनिधि का तर्क है कि प्रार्थी ने विपक्षी अधिवक्ता द्वारा की गयी जिरह में यह स्वीकार किया है कि यह सही है कि प्रस्तुत विवाद अखिल भारतीय जस्ता उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ द्वारा उठाया गया है। स्टेटमेंट ऑफ क्लेम एमजी आर-13 पर केवल मेरे ही दस्तखत हैं संघ के किसी पदाधिकारी के दस्तखत नहीं हैं। अत: प्रार्थी का क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र आई. डी. एक्ट की धारा 36 के अनुसार पेश कर प्रतिनिधित्व नहीं

किये जाने से यह विवाद चलने योग्य नहीं होने से खारिज किया जाना चाहिये।

जबिक प्रार्थी की ओर से उनके अधिवक्ता श्री प्रदीप पालीवाल का तर्क है कि विवाद में प्रतिनिधित्व सचिव अखिल भारतीय जस्ता उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ द्वारा किया गया है। क्लेम प्रस्तुतिकरण से ही बतौर सचिव में (प्रदीप पालीवाल) ही प्रकरण में पैरवी कर रहा हूं तथा प्रार्थी यूनियन मान्यताप्राप्त यूनियन नहीं है विपक्षी द्वारा ऐसी कोई लिखित या मौखिक आपित गत पांच वर्षों में नहीं की गयी है। अत: क्लेम प्रस्तुतिकरण तिथि से ही यूनियन की ओर से माना जावे। प्रार्थी प्रतिनिधि ने इस बाबत बहस के समय प्रत्युत्तर भी प्रस्तुत कर निवेदन किया गया है। उनका यह भी तर्क है कि विपक्षी इस प्रकार की आपित उठाकर प्रार्थी द्वारा विवाद को विड्रा करने के लिये बाध्य कर रहा है। प्रकरण की सिद्धी के लिये बयान श्रमिक के ही कराये जाते हैं व उसी के ही कराये गये हैं। उनका यह भी तर्क है कि उन्होंने प्रत्युत्तर प्रस्तुत कर अपनी भूल का सुधार कर लिया है। अत: प्रार्थी का विवाद के समर्थन में प्रस्तुत कलेम प्रार्थना पत्र स्वीकार किया जाकर उसे तदनुसार अनुतोष प्रदान कराया जावे।

हमने उभय पक्षकारों की बहस पर गम्भीरतापूर्वक विचार किया व पत्रावली का अवलोकन भी किया। पत्रावली के अवलोकन से यह प्रकट होता है कि प्रस्तुत विवाद केन्द्रीय सरकार के समक्ष अखिल भारतीय जस्ता उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ द्वारा उठाया गया है, किन्तु क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र पर यूनियन के या सचिव के हस्ताक्षर नहीं हैं, केवल मात्र प्रार्थी के हस्ताक्षर हैं तथा इसको न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष प्रस्तुतं भी प्रार्थी या यूनियन/प्रतिनिधि द्वारा नहीं किया जाकर उसके अधिवक्ता श्री जय पालीवाल द्वारा प्रस्तुत किया गया है। श्री प्रदीप पालीवाल प्रकरण में पैरवी बतौर अधिवक्ता कर रहे हैं न कि यूनियन के सचिव की हैसियत से, चूंकि उनके द्वारा वकालत-नामा प्रस्तुत किया गया है न कि नियम 36 के फार्म-एफ के अनुसार प्रतिनिधित्व पत्र पेश किया है। औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम की धारा 2-क के अनुसार सेवा पृथक, छंटनी सेवामुक्ति या अन्य प्रकार से सेवा से वंचित किसी कर्मकार को व्यवस्थापक द्वारा किया जाता है तो वह स्वयं ऐसा विवाद उठा सकता है अर्थात् अन्य किसी प्रकार का विवाद कर्मकार की संघ द्वारा ही उठाया जा सकता है। हस्तगत प्रकरण में भी विवाद प्रार्थी के संघ ने ही उठाया है तथा प्रेषित प्रसंग में संघ ही पक्षकार है व न्यायालय के समक्ष प्रसंग प्रेषित होने पर संघ ही क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र प्रस्तुत कर पैरवी कर सकता है जो कि हस्तगत प्रकरण में नहीं की गयी है। इस प्रकार प्रार्थी ने स्वयं क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र पर हस्ताक्षर कर अपने अधिवक्ता द्वारा क्लेम पेश कराया है, जो औद्योगिक विवाद अधिकरण की धारा 2-क का प्रार्थी का विवाद नहीं होने से क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र प्रार्थी द्वारा प्रस्तृत नहीं किया जा सकता है तथा यह धारा 36 औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम का स्पष्ट उल्लंघन होने से प्रार्थी का क्लेम चलने योग्य नहीं है। विपक्षी द्वारा यह विधिक आपित्त उठायी गयी है जो क्लेम के किसी भी स्टेज पर उठायी जा सकती है। ऐसी स्थिति में विपक्षी द्वारा उठायी गयी विधिक आपत्ति में बल पाते हैं तथा प्रार्थी की ओर से कोई ऐसा कानून या नियम औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम में नहीं बताया गया है, जिससे उसकी यह त्रुटि सुधारी जा सकती हो। अत: विपक्षी

के द्वारा उठायी गयी आपत्ति उचित एवं वैध होने के कारण प्रार्थी का क्लेम प्रार्थना पत्र चलने योग्य नहीं होने से निरस्त किया जाता है।

भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय द्वारा प्रेषित प्रसंग को उत्तरित करते हुये पंचाट इस प्रकार पारित किया जाता है कि व्यवस्थापक हिंदुस्तान जिंक लि. राजपुरा दरीया माईन्स दरीबा डिस्ट्रीक राजरबन्द द्वारा श्रमिक श्री मीठूलाल वैष्णव को वेतन संरक्षण एवं स्टेप अप नहीं करना तथा उससे जूनियर कर्मकार को अधिक वेतन देना उचित एवं वैध है का क्लेम रैफ्रेन्स में प्रार्थी पक्षकार अखिल भारतीय जस्ता उत्पादक श्रमिक संघ द्वारा प्रस्तुत व पैरवी नहीं किये जाने के कारण चलने योग्य नहीं होने से खारिज किया जाता है। पंचाट प्रकाशनार्थ भारत सरकार को भेजा जावे।

पंचाट आज दिनांक : 13-4-2005 को खुले न्यायालय में लिखाया जाकर सुनाया गया।

उषा अग्रवाल, न्यायाधीश

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2344.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इण्डियन ऑयल कार्पो॰ लि॰ के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 20, 23, 24/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-30012/49, 50, 54/2004-आई आर (विविध)] बी. एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2344.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 20, 23, 24/2004) of the Cental Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Oil Corpn. Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-30012/49, 50, 54/2004-IR (M)] B. M. DAVID, Under Sccv.

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-20/2004.

Reference No. L-30012/49/2004-IR (M)

Sh. Narendra Singh Shekhawat.
S/o Sh. Gulab Singh,
Through Sh. Lakhu Singh Shekhawat, UDC
R/o City Palace Museum.
Applicant

Versus

Sr. Manager (P&A)
IOCL (Marketing Division),
Rajasthan State Office,
Ashok Chowk, Adarsh Nagar,

Jaipur Non-applicant

Case No. CGIT-24/2004.

Reference No. L-30012/54/2004-IR(M)

Sh. Chanda Ram Meena, S/o Sh. Nanag Ram Meena, R/o Mukam Post Chintanu Kla via Manoharpur, Tehsil Amer, Jaipur Applicant

Versus

Sr. Manager (P&A) IOCL (Marketing Division), Rajasthan State Office, Ashok Chowk, Adarsh Nagar, Jaipur

Non-applicant

Case No. CGIT-23/2004.

Reference No. L-30012/50/2004-IR(M)

Sh. Ramkishan Meena, S/o Sh. Narayan Lal Meena, R/o Village-Chakotia, Tehsil Amer, Jainur

Tehsil Amer, Jaipur Applicant

Versus

Sr. Manager (P&A)
IOCL (Marketing Division),
Rajasthan State Office,
Ashok Chowk, Adarsh Nagar,

Jaipur Non-Applicant

PRESENT:

Presiding Officer: Sh. R.C. Sharma
For the applicant: Sh. Kunal Rawat
For the non-applicants: Sh. Sudhanshu Kasliwal

Date of award: 16-5-2005

AWARD

1. These aforementioned three references have been transmitted by the Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 'D' of Sub-Sections 1 & 2(A) to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') for adjudication to this Tribunal which involve the identical facts and questions of law and are agitated against the same establishment, which are being disposed of by this common order. The disputes referred to this Tribunal relate to the validity of the termination orders dated 21-5-2001 passed by the establishment against the workmen Shri Narendra Singh Shekhawat and Shri Chanda Ram Meena respectively as well as the termination order dated 7-12-2002 passed against the workman Shri Ram Kishan Meena.

- 2. According to the averments made by the workman in their respective claim statements, Narendra Singh Shekhawat and Chanda Ram Meena were recruited by the non-applicant Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. (for short, the crop.) as the labourers on 2-6-2000, who continuously performed to work till 21-5-2001 at Khasha Kothi Circle, Petrol Pump belonging to the corp. Ram Kishan Meena was also recruited as a labourer on 7-12-2002, who continued to work till 6-12-2002. But the services of the first two workmen were terminated on 21-5-2001 whereas that of Ram Kishan Meena was terminated on 7-12-2002 respectively without following the requirements under Section 25-F of the Act, who unsuccessfully raised the Industrial Dispute before the Conciliation Officer. The workman have further stated that after the termination, the crop, has recruited the fresh hands in violation of Section 25-H of the Act. The workmen have alleged that the nature of the work performed by them is perennial. They have urged that their termination order be declared unjst and void respectively and they be reinstated in the service with back-wages and other consequential reliefs.
- 3. Resisting the claims of the workmen, it has been stated on behalf of the corp. in the written-counter that no relationship of employer-employee exist between the parties, that the workmen were only trainees and were kept on training under a Training Scheme by the management of the crop, vide its letter dated 19-6-2000 (Ex. M1) according to which the training period of the claimants was for a stipulated period and it was made clear to them that they shall not be absorbed on completion of the training. It is further pleased that the certificates of their training were issued in their favour to enable them to get the employment and they had accepted the terms and conditions of the training prior to the execution of the undertaking by them. The non-applicant has further alleged that the claimants are not the workmen as defined under Section 2(s) of the Act and on completion of training period by efflux of time their termination cannot be termed as retrenchment falling under Section 2 (00) of the Act. The non-applicant has claimed that the cases of the workmen fall under Section 2 (oo) (bb) of the Act
 - 4. In the rejoinder the workmen have reiterated the same facts as mentioned in their claim statements and have admitted that the certificates of training were issued to them respectively.
 - 5. On pleadings, the following points for determination were framed:—
 - I. Whether the claimant was employed by the non-applicant corporation on 2-6-2000, who continuously worked from 26-6-2000 to 21-5-2001 and whose service was terminated on 21-5-2001 (7-12-2002 in case of Ram Kishan

- Meena) in violation of Section 25-F of the ID Act?

 BOA
- II. Whether after the termination of the workman, fresh hands were recruited by the non-applicant corporation in violation of Section 25-H of the Act and Rule 78 of the Industrial Disputes Rules, 1957?

BOA

- III. Whether the claimant is a workman as defined under Section 2(s) of the ID Act?

 BONA
- IV. Relief, if any.
- 6. In the evidence, the workmen have examined themselves and in the rebuttal the counter affidavit of MW-1 Giyalal, Sr. H.R. Manager has been placed on the record. All these witnesses were cross-examined by the opposite representatives respectively. The corpn. has also led the documentary evidence whereas the workmen have chosen not to bring any documentary evidence on record.
- 7. I have heard both the parties and have gone through the record. The point-wise discussion follows as under:—

Points No. I & III

- 8. Since the facts involved in both these points are common, they are discussed together.
- 9. The ld. representative for the workmen contends that the workmen have worked for more than 240 days in a calendar year at the petrol pump at Khasha Kothi under the supervision and control of the corpn. Their names were not sponsored by any college for training, that the corpn. was earning through their employment and that there was no training institute for imparting training to them. The ld. representative further contends that they were working 8 hours per day, that their job was of the permanent nature and the claimmants fall in the category of the workmen as defined under Section 2(s) of the Act. Per Contra, the ld. representative for the corpn.contends that as per contract Ex. M-1 the claimants were appointed as the trainees, who were paid the monthly stipend and they were posted on the retail outlets. The corpn. floated a scheme and the names of the workmen were sought from the employment exchange, who on being found suitable were given the training and the term of their appointment expired with the efflux of time. The ld. representative adds that the scheme was framed in terms of 20 point programme of the Central Government. The main thrust on behalf of the corpn. is that the workmen were apprentices and their disengagement does not amount to retrenchment.

- 10. I have bestowed my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions and have carefully gone through the decisions referred to by both the parties before me.
- 11. It is undisputed that the claimants Narendra Singh and Chanda Ram Meena had performed their duties from 2-6-2000 to 21-5-2001 and Ram Kishan Meena from 7-12-2001 to 6-12-2002 under the employment of the corpn. The claimants case is that they were engaged as labourers and were performing the job of the perennial nature, whereas the corpn. has set up a plea that they were appointed as trainees/apprentices on certain terms and conditions for a fixed term and after the expiry of the stipulated period their appointment came to an automatic end. It has been averred on behalf of the corpn. that a scheme was launched by the Central Government to impart the training in the field to the youths to enable them to get the ample opportunity for their employment in the future. Admittedly, the offer of appointment and the undertaking by the claimants were signed by the claimants respectively.
 - · 12. The ld. representative for the workmen in support of his submission has referred to the decision reported in JT 2004 (8) SC 272 and the observation made by the Hon'ble Apex Court therein is quoted for convenience sake as below:—
 - "Whether a relationship of an employer and workman or an employer and an apprenticeship had been brought about, it essentially a question of fact. The court while determining such a dispute must consider the factual matrix involved therein in the light of the provisions of the said Act. Once it is held that a contract of apprenticeship entered into by and between the employer and the workman is a genuine one and not a camouflage or a ruse, a presumption would arise that concerned person is not a workman".
- 13. Now, in view of the principle propounded in the decision supra, I proceed to examine as to whe ther the offer of appointment is a sham contract or a genuine one.
- 14. The letter containing the offer of appointment Ex. M-1 (which is similar in all the three cases), addressed to the claimants respectively, states that they have been found suitable for the purpose of the training on the following terms and conditions, out of them, the few important conditions are that their training shall be for a period of 11 months, that they will be governed by Coco Retail Outlet Training Team of IOC, that they would have a undergo both theoretical and practical training, that the training period shall be of 8 hours per day and that the stipend worth Rs. 1664 per month will be paid to them. It

- also says that during the training period there shall not be any employer-employee relationship between the parties and that on completion of the training the IOC shall not absorb them, however, on successful completion of training period a certificate to that effect will be issued.
- 15. Ex. M-2 is the undertaking designed as the "Title of the scheme", whereby the claimants have agreed to be governed by the scheme in terms of the letter for offer of appointment.
- 16. Now, I advert to the oral evidence adduced by both the parties to justify their pleas respectively.
- 17. The claimants in their cross examination have stated that they were engaged for delivering of the petrol into cutomers' vehicles and have denied that the consolidate wages were paid to them in the capacity of the trainees. Although, they have admitted that prior to their engagement they had not handled the job of delivering the petrol into the vehicles, but they have deposed that the nature of the job was such which did not require any training. Thus, the claimants have firmly denied that they were appointed as the trainees and have stated that they were performing the regular work.
- 18. Contrary to it, MW-I Jivalal has stated in his counter affidavit that the claimants were not the employees of the corpn., who were only the trainees and had undergone the training for the stipulated period, which they had accepted by putting their signatures and executing an undertaking. In the cross examination this witness had admitted that the workmen were imparted the training for delivery of the petrol into customers' vehicle as well as in conducting with the customers. He has, too, admitted that the cost of the petrol was charged by them from the customers. It is also his admission that no principal for training was appointed, that the attendance of the claimants were marked in the attendance register by the supervisor of the corpn. and that the work performed by them still continues to exist in the corpn. He has clearly admitted that the claimants were put under the control and supervision of the petrol pump and that after the disengagement of the claimants the fresh workers were engaged as trainees by the corpn.
- 19. It flows from the aforestated facts that the corpn. had neither training institute and nor even a trainer it had to impart the training to the disputants in the field. So far as the nature of the work is concerned, it is crystal clear that the work performed by them was perennial in nature and it even exists after their disengagement and to carry on the similar kind of work the fresh employees were recruited by the corpn. Undoubtedly, the corpn. had exercised the control and supervision over them.
- 20. The Id. representative for the workmen has referred to AIR 2003 SC 3329 in support of his submission, the facts thereof are that the case of the management was that the disputants were traines only and their traineeship was terminated by the management. The question that

came up for consideration before the Hon'ble Apex Court was whether the disputants were employed within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the Act and it was considered by the Hon'ble Court that if the disputants were trainees, there should have been trainers too. The case of the management was also disbelieved on the ground that it did not have any permanent workmen and all the persons employed were trainees. It has been observed by the Hon'ble Court that "the management evidently came forward with a false plea doubling the employees/workmen as trainees so as to resort to summary termination and denied the legitimate benifits". The facts of the referred to decision are squarely applicable to the present controversy and it fortifies the submission canvassed on behalf of the workmen.

21. Contrary to it, the ld. representative for the corpn. has placed before the court a photostat copy of the unreported judgement passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the matter of Writ Petition 2020 of 2000, Petroleum Employees Union v. M/s, Indian Oil Corpn. Ltd. and Ors., the facts thereof are that 22 candidates were posted at retail outlet by the corpn. treating them as the trainees. The Hon'ble Court has upheld the conclusion drawn by the Labour Court that they were not the workmen but the trainees, to whom the training was imparted by the corpn. But the facts of this case demonstrate that the candidates were provided classrooms and field training, which was being given to them by specialized agency. They were also given training history card. On these facts they were considered to be trainees and, therefore, the facts of the decision supra are totally distinguishable from the present controversy. The ld. representative has also relied upon JT 2004(7) SC 232, which I have carefully gone through and suffice it to state here that ex facie the facts of the cited decision are quite dissimilar from the present controversy. Thus, the ld. representative for the corpn. does not derive any assistance from both these judicial verdicts.

22. Thus delving deep into the matter it echoes that the appointment order/contract Ex. M-1 was a veil and under the disguise of imparting the training to the claimants, their services were hired by the corpn. on payment of monthly consolidated wages for performing its regular work at the petrol pump, which even exists till today. It leads to an inescapable conclusion that their appointment/contract is a sham one and the corpn.'s plea cannot be maintained. On these facts, the claimants have succeded to establish that they had completed over 240 days of actual service under the employment of the corpn. in a calendar year preceding to the termination and that there existed a nexus of employer-employee between the contesting parties. Undisputedly, prior to their termination they were not served with one month's notice, nor the pay in lieu of the notice, may the retrenchment

a type

compensation were paid to them. Thus, their termination tentamounts to retrenchment as defined under Section 2(00) of the Act and the plea set for on behalf of corpn. that the claimants were appointed as the trainees and their appointment came to an automatic end on the expiry of the period of appointment, fails and their case is not covered by clause (bb) to Section 2(00) of the Act. Accordingly, points No. I and III are decided in favour of workmen and against the corpn.

Point No. II:-

23. The workmen in their pleadings have categorically stated that after their termination the fresh hands were recruited by the corpn. They have further deposed this fact in their affidavits respectively. In the cross examination MW-1 Jiyalal has admitted that while terminating the services of the workmen their seniority list was not drawn up and after their termination the fresh hands were employed as the trainees. He has further clarified that similar kind of training was also imparted to the new apprentices. Under the foregoing paragraphs it has been concluded that the claimants were engaged as the labourers and a relationship of employer-employee existed between both the parties. The admission made by the management witness leads to infer that the casual workers were engaged after the disengagement of the claimants to carry on the similar kind of work, which used to be performed by the claimants. Furtrher, it could not be disputed on behalf of the corpn. that prior to the engagement of the fresh hands, an opportunity was not afforded to these retrenched workmen. Therefore, the corpn. has acted in violation of Section 25-H of the Act and Rule 78 of ID Rules, 1957. This point, therefore, is decided in favour of the workmen.

RELIFE

- 24. On account of the decision of the aforestated points in favour of the workmen, their claims deserve to be allowed and they are entitled to be reinstated. They have also pleaded their unemployment since their termination, which stands unrebutted. Therefore, they are also entitled to get the back wages.
- 25. In consequence, all these aforestated three references are answered in affirmative in favour of the workmen and against the Indian Oil Corpn. Ltd. and it is held that their claims deserve to be allowed. The termination orders dated 21-5-2001 terminating the services of claimants Narender Singh Shekhawat, Chanda Ram Meena and dated 7-12-2002 terminating the services of Ram Kishan Meena are illegal and unjustified respectively. They are entitled to be reinstated in the service with its continuity and 50% back wages. An award is passed in these terms accordingly.

R. C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2345.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार ओरिएण्टल बैंक ऑफ कॉमर्स के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 12/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 03-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/156/2000-आई आर (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2345.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 12/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court Jaipur as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Oriental Bank of Commerce and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 03-06-2005.

[No. L-12012/156/2000-IR (B-II)] C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy. ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-12/2002

Ref. No. L-12012/156/2000-IR (B-II)

Sh. Lokendra Singh, S/o Sh. Swaroop Singh, Digava Para, In front of Moti Singh, Advocate, Anah Gate, Bajaria, Teh. and Distt. Bharatpur (Rajasthan)

.....Applicant

Versus

The Assistant General Manager (Personnel), Oriental Bank of Commerce, Harsha Bhawan, E-Block, Connaught Place, New Delhi-110001

.....Non-applicant

PRESENT:

Presiding Officer: Sh. R.C. SHARMA

For the applicant : Sh. Sure

: Sh. Suresh Kashyap

For the non-applicant : Sh. B. S. Ratnu Date of award: 05-05-2005

AWARD

1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 'D' of sub-section 1 to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred

to as the 'Act') has referred the following Industrial Dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under:—

"Whether the action of the management of Oriental Bank of Commerce to dismiss Sh. Lokendra Singh, S/o Sh. Swaroop Singh from service vide Order dated 23-5-1997 is justified and legal? If so, what relief is the aggrieved workman entitled to?"

- 2. The factual background of the case sans the unnecessary details is that the workman was initially appointed as a safaiwala on 1-1-85 and after clarifying the departmental examination he was promoted to the post of clerk-cum-cashier. While he was working in the branch of bank at Bharatpur, he was chargsheeted on 1-11-95 with an imputation that on 11-8-95 he misbehaved with Sh. K.C. Gupta, the Sr. Manager of the branch situated at hotel Van Vihar, Bharatpur (for short, the hotel). The workman has pleaded that after his duty hours on 11-8-95 he was called by Sh. K.C. Gupta around 7.30/8 pm at the hotel and asked him to stay there till late at night. He requested him that his wife is unwell and his presence is required at his house, but no heed was paid to his request. He has alleged that Sh. K.C. Gupta was taking liquor at that time along with some other persons, who under intoxication asked him either to supply alcohol to him or he would be reverted to the post of 4th Class. The workman denying the misbehaviour committed by him towards Sh. K.C. Gupta has pleaded the ulterior motive of Sh. Gupta to prosecute him. He has also assailed the impugned punishment order of dismissal to be an excessive one.
- 3. In turn, the non-applicant in his written counter has averred that on 12-8-95 the extension counter of the Bharatpur branch was scheduled to be inaugurated and the bank's higher officers from Delhi and Jaipur had arrived at Bharatpur on 11-8-95 and were staying in the aforestated hotel where at about 9.25 pm the workman had abused the Sr. Manager Sh. K.C. Gupta and attempted to assault on him in presence of the staff members against whom a disciplinary proceeding was initiated on the charges of misconduct and was subsequently dismissed from the service by the disciplinary authority. It has also been pleaded that the misconduct committed by the workman at the hotel is directly related to the bank for which the legal action can be taken by the bank.
- 4. In the rejoinder, the workman has stated that the alleged misconduct was committed outside the bank premises which is not covered by the clauses under the Bipartite Settlement (for short the BPS).
- 5. Vide order dated 18-9-2003 of this Court, the domestic enquiry conducted against the workman was found to be bad and the bank was permitted to lead the evidence to justify the charges levelled against the workman.
- 6. In support of its case, the bank has examined Paramhans Meena, the officer of the bank Maheshchand Sharma, LDC, Anil Kumar Gupta, Clerk/Typist, K.C. Gupta Sr. Manager and Nikhil Gupta, Special Assistant. On behalf of the workman, the affidavits of Raja Ram, Class IV, R.K. Goyal, Manager and Vijay Kumar Mehra, Hotel Manager

along with his own have been placed on the record. All these witnesses have been cross-examined by the opposite representatives respectively.

- 7. I have heard both the parties and have scanned the record.
- 8. The ld. representative for the bank contends that by examining five witnesses the management has proved the charges under paras 19.5(c) and 19.5(e) of the BPS and the management witnesses have categorically narrated the incident in their testimony. The ld. representative further contends that the workman had defied the order of the Sr. Branch Manager, and abused him and the meeting in the hotel was for the purpose of improvement and betterment of the bank which attracts the provision under clause 19.5(c) of the BPS.
- 9. Countering these submissions, the ld. representative for the workman contends that it is to be seen whether the oral order given to the workman was reasonable and whether he had deliberately refused the order. His submission is that there is no evidence that the meeting was convened at the hotel, but contrary to it the evidence produced on the record is that the employees of the bank went in the hotel at their own to meet the higher officers and they were not called there. His further contention is that the evidence discloses that no meeting was held at the hotel and that no document showing this fact has been produced on the record. The ld. representative adds that the said incident which had taken place at about 9.25 p.m., which was not during the office hours.
- 10. The ld. representative has further contended that the management witnesses have denied happening of the incident and their statements are contradictory. His further submission is that Sh. Paramhans Meena's statement was not recorded by the Enquiry Officer, who was examined in the Court after a lapse of 10 years, that he has named Sh. R. K. Goyal, who was present there and R. K. Goyal has been produced by the workman in support of his case. The ld. representative has also assailed the testimony of Sh. K. C. Gupta by arguing that he has admitted that no order for convening the meeting was issued, that no proceedings were drawn, that the workman was not called in the hotel and that no written order was issued for allocation of the duties. The ld. representative has emphasized on the fact that it was necessary for him to issue the order in writing. The ld. representative has also attacked the management evidence on the ground that certain witnesses, viz., Pankaj Sharma and Hanuman Prasad Meena have also been named by the management witnesses who have not been produced. It has also been a ground of attack on behalf of the workman that why Sh. K. C. Gupta has not lodged an FIR against the said incident. On behalf of the workman, the veracity of Sh. K. C. Gupta has been sought to impeach on the ground that certain news have been published against him in the newspaper and a suit was also filed against him.
- 11. The ld. representative for the workman then has placed his reliance upon the defence evidence adduced on behalf of the workman and has contended that Sh. R. K. Goyal, Manager in the bank who was the ther in

- charge of the extension counter has denied the said allocation of the duties among the employees and has stated that no incident had taken place. The ld. representative has also placed his reliance upon the testimony of Sh. Vijay Mehra, the in charge of the aforestated hotel by stating that he has deposed that no permission to convene the meeting in the hotel was obtained from the hotel management. It has also been a ground that the charges have not been framed in accordance with the standing order and, therefore, no punishment can be imposed on the workman and that both the charges could not be proved by the bank.
- 12. Both the ld. representative have referred to the various decisions in support of their submissions, which find mention herein below.
- 13. I have bestowed my anxious consideration to the rival contentions and have gone through the judicial pronouncements.
- 14. Now, the question which crops up for determination is whether the workman had committed the misconduct with the then Sr. Manager, Sh. K. C. Gupta as defined under paras 19.5(c) and 19.5(e) of the BPS dated 19-10-1966?
- 15. The chargesheet dated 1-11-95 reads that on 11-8-95 at about 9.25 p.m. at the hotel, Van Vihar, Bharatpur, you had misbehaved with the Sr. Manager, Sh. K. C. Gupta while he was discussing with the staff members about the duties entrusted to the employees on 10-8-95 for 12-8-95. When in the presence of other staff members, you were told to work at the main branch, then denying his orders you replied that "I would not go to the branch. I would only go to the extension counter". But when you were directed to report in the main branch, then you abusively said "You do whatever you like. I will do go to the extension counter". When you were objected for abusing, you took up your slippers from your foot and abused the Sr. Manager and rushed towards him aggressively to assault him and the staff members had to restrain you from scuffling with Sh. K. C. Gupta. The chargesheet further says that the aforestated misconduct of the workman is attracted by paras 19.5(c) and 19.5(e) of the BPS dated 19-10-1966.
- 16. Para 19.5 deals with the gross misconduct and the aforestated clauses are reproduced as below:—
 - 19.5(c): "drunkenness or riotous or disorderly or indecent behavior on the premises of the bank";
 - 19.5(e): "willfull insubordination or disobedience of any lawful and reasonable order of the management or of a superior";
- 17. As per clause 21 (IV) (a), an employee found guilty of gross misconduct may be dismissed without notice.
- 18. Now, the primary question which needs the consideration is whether the incident had occured? The management to establish the gross misconduct levelled against the workman has examined 5 witnesses in support of its case. Although, the management witnesses Shri Mahesh Chand Sharma and Shri Anil Kr. Gupta have

narrated the alleged incident in their affidavit respectively, but Shri M. C. Shah has admitted in his cross-examination that no such incident had taken place in his presence. Likewise, Shri A. K. Gupta has stated that there was a brawl between Sh. K. C. Gupta and the workman with regard to the entrustment of the duty at the branch office, who were pacified and when he went towards the jeep, he heard a noise and then saw that the staffers were intervening between both of them. Thus, according to his testimony it appears that he had not witnessed the occurrence. As such, evidently both these witnesses cannot be termed as eye witnesses who have not corroborated the management case.

- 19. Now remains the evidence of K. C. Gupta, Paramhans Meena and Nikhil Gupta, who have narrated the incident in detail in their affidavits respectively. K. C. Gupta has stated in his affidavit that on 18-8-1995 the workman Lokendra Singh was entrusted with the duty to attend the main branch and on 12-8-1995 in the hotel when Paramhans Meena intimated him that Lokendra Singh was defying the duty already allotted to him and stated that he will not attend the branch on 12-8-1995, then he had asked Lokendra Singh to attend the branch, who indecently replied to him that he would go to the Extension Counter. He has further deposed that when he asked Lokendra Singh to be present at the branch, the workman abused him in filthy and foul language by stating that he would go to the extension counter only. He has further stated that when he asked him not to abuse, then he took up his Hawai Chappal from his foot and rushed towards him to assault him in the aggressive mood, who was resisted by Mahesh Sharma, Pankaj Sharma, Nikhil Gupta and Paramhans Meena.
- 20. The testimony of K. C. Gupta has been corroborated by Paramhans Meena who has depicted the said incident in his affidavit by stating that on 12-8-1995 he had reported to K. C. Gupta in the hotel that Lokendra Singh had refused him to join the duty on 12-8-1995 at the branch and he is insisting to attend the extension counter. Thereafter, he has categorically stated that he was advised by the K. C. Gupta to attend the branch, but he abused him indecently and insisted to attend the extension counter. He has stated that the workman attempted to assault K. C. Gupta with his chappal, who was intervened by the staff members present there. Nikhil Gupta has also described the alleged incident in his affidavit and has corroborated the evidence of both K. C. Gupta and Paramhans Meena.
- 21. On behalf of the workman, the management evidence has been assailed by arguing that the order allotting the duty of the workman was not reasonable, that no meeting was held on 10-8-1995 whereby the duties were allotted to the staff members, that Sh. K. C. Gupta had not issued any notice to the workman fixing his duty on 12-8-1995 at the branch and that no document of holding the meeting could be produced on behalf of the bank. It has also been questioned on behalf of the workman that why the FIR was not lodged by K. C. Gupta, that his functioning as a manager was objectionable and the adverse news were published against him in a newspaper

and a suit was also filed against him. The ld. representative for the workman has argued that the testimony of the management witnesses is contradictory and cannot be relied upon.

- 22. K. C. Gupta in his cross-examination has pointed out that the duties to the staff members for 12-8-1995 was orally allotted by him in the branch on 10-8-1995, in a meeting of staffers called by him. It is true that the witness has admitted that no document with regard to convening the meeting could be placed on the record, but he has emphatically stated that he in the capacity of Sr. Manager could issue the written or verbal order for entrusting the duties. This fact has even been admitted by WW-2 R. K. Goyal that the manager can allot the duties to the employees. Further it is not the case of the management that a meeting was convened on 11-8-1995 at the hotel, but the bank has clearly put forth its case that on 11-8-1995 when the superior bank officers from Delhi and Jaipur had arrived at the hotel, the staffers along with the workman on their own had gone to the hotel to pay the courtesy call to the superior officers, where the incident had taken place.
- 23. K. C. Gupta has also been cross-examined on the point that he was not abused by the workman and no such incident had taken place, but he has emphatically denied all such questions put to him and has categorically stated that the workman was putting on the chappal on that day. He has also clarified that for loding the FIR permission from the regional office was required and, therefore, it could be lodged. He has also clarified that he had reported this incident to the higher bank officers. Apart, it, when a misconduct is committed by any of the employees of the bank, it rests with the bank authorities to lodge the complaint with, the police or to initiate the disciplinary proceedings against him and even if the FIR is not lodged against him, the disciplinary proceedings launched against him cannot be doubted on this sole ground.
- 24. Sh. K. C. Gupta has admitted in his cross-examination that a suit against him was instituted in the District Court at Bharatpur, but has stated that it was a false suit. He has pleaded ignorance as to the news published against him in the newspaper on 15-12-1995. On behalf of the workman, a copy of the newspaper 'Crown' dated 15-12-1995 has been placed on the record wherein it has been reported that the bank manager of the Oriental Bank of Commerce at Numaish Road Branch, demanded bribery for the grant of loan, but Sh. K. C. Gupta has not been named in this news. Moreover, in view of the decision reported in 1994 (1) WLC (Raj.) 679 statement of fact in newspaper is merely hearsay and inadmissible in absence of maker of statement deposing to hand perceived facts reported.
- 25. Similarly, Paramhans Meena and Nikhil Gupta have also been cross-examined at length, but all these 3 witnesses could not be shaken in their cross-examination and nothing has surfaced on record to discard or disbelieve their testimony. On all the material points these witnesses have corroborated the testimony of each other.

26. As against it, on behalf of the workman, 4 witnesses including the workman have been examined to prove his innocence. WW-1, Raja Ram has stated that he is working as 4th class in Bharatpur Branch since 4-9-1995 and that K. C. Gupta has named him to be present on 11-8-1995 at the hotel, whereas on that day he was not under the employment of the bank and the news and no reason for him to be present there. His evidence is thus a negative evidence which does not relate with the commission of the misconduct levelled against the workman. The next witness is WW-2, Shri R. K. Goyal, who has stated that on 11-8-1995 he was posted as Manager in Bharatpur Branch and that no brawl had taken place in his presence on 11-8-1995 at the hotel, where he was also present. But in his affidavit he has stated that he had reached the hotel around 10 p.m., whereas it has been alleged that the occurrence took place around 9.25 p.m. As such, it appears that he was not present at the scene which is further fortified by his reply to the question put to him on behalf of the bank whereby he has pleaded ignorance as to whether the incident could have taken place before his arrival on the spot. Therefore, his evidence is indefinite and vague which does not corroborate the workman's case. WW-4 is Vijay Kumar Mehra, who was posted as Manager of hotel Van Vihar on 11-8-1995, who has stated in his affidavit that on 11-8-1995 the staff members of the bank had come to see the bank's authorities staying in the hotel at about 9.30 p.m. and the alleged occurrence had not taken place. In his cross-examination, he has admitted that he personally knows the workman as he is acquainted with his elder brother, which suggests that he is an interested witness. In his affidavit he has stated on 11-8-95 at 9.30 p.m., no meeting was held by the bank authorities at the hotel, but in his cross-examination he has stated that no permission was taken from them before convening the meeting by the officers staying in the hotel. Thus, his testimony is contradictory in itself and being a Manager it can safely be perceived that he could be busy in attending his duties, whereas incident is stated to have been taken place outside the gate of the hotel. At that place and that time, the presence of the Manager of the hotel could not be expected on the spot in the aforestated process. Moreover, none of the management witnesses could be cross-examined on behalf of the workman on this aspect as to whether the hotel manager Vijay Kumar Mehra was also present at the scene. Thus, his deposition is untrustworthy and his presence at the scene is unnatural and it is reflected that being an interested witness he has been examined on behalf of the workman.

27. I, now switch to the testimony of the workman, who has denied the alleged misconduct committed by him. In his cross-examination, he has admitted that the higher bank authorities from Delhi and Jaipur had arrived at the hotel and to see them the staff members had visited the hotel. He has stated in his affidavit that he was called by K. C. Gupta on 11-8-95 around 8 p.m. in the hotel and when he visited the hotel he found that Sh. Gupta along with some other persons were taking alcohol and that he was asked by Sh. Gupta either to provide the liquor to all of them or he would be reverted to the 4th Class. In his

cross-examination he had stated that he has complained this matter to the RM Office but has further admitted that in his complaint Ex. W-1/A this fact does not find mention.

28. I have carefully gone through the complaint Ex. W-1/A addressed by the workman to the Chairman and the Managing Director of the bank wherein he has stated that Sh. K. C. Gupta has marked him absent in the attendance register, who has tempered with the official record and the action be taken on probing into the matter. But nowhere in this application the fact of taking alcohol by Sh. K. C. Gupta and the demand of providing the liquor are mentioned. Thus, testimony of the workman is merely of the negative nature, wherein he has denied the commission of any misconduct on his part, yet he has admitted his presence on 11-8-95 at the relevant time in the hotel. It is further obvious from his evidence that in turn he has blamed the then Sr. Manager Sh. Gupta with a serious derogatory allegation of taking liquor and asking him either to fulfil his demand of alcohol of bear the consequence of reversion. It is unnatural that an officer in presence of his superior officers would take the alcohol and beyond it he would compel a subordinate employee to provide the liquor or to see the dire consequences of reverting to the post of 4th Class. It, therefore, flows that in order to save himself the workman has gone to the extent of imputing his superior with such a grievous but false allegation. The rebuttal evidence adduced on behalf of the workman is feeble, indefinite and vague and does not substantiate his plea of innocence.

29. On a critical examination of the oral evidence adduced on the record on behalf of both the parties, the management has succeeded to establish that the workman without any provocation had abused and misbehaved indecently with Sh. K. C. Gupta and had wilfully disobeyed the reasonable order issued by Sh. Gupta to adhere to his duty on 12-8-95 at the Branch Office, Bharatpur.

30. The ld. representative for the workman then has contended that the misconduct committed by the workman is not covered by para 19.5(c) of the BPS wherein the requirement is alleged indecent misbehaviour should be committed in the premise of the bank. The ld. representative has asserted that the hotel is situated nearly 7-8 Kms. away from the branch and the alleged misconduct cannot be attracted under clause 19.5(c). Countering this submission, the ld. representative for the bank has contended that the act of the workman was subversive of discipline which relates to the business and management of the bank and, therefore, it is covered under clause 19.5(c) of BPS.

31. The ld. representative for the workman in support of his submission has placed his reliance upon 1984 FJR (64) SC 16, 1996 (1) LLN SC 526 and 1994-II LLN Bombay 974. In 1984 FJR (64) SC 16 Glaxo Laboratories (India) Ltd. v. Labour Court, Meerut and Ors., the facts are that in the standing order 22 of the establishment it was provided that the acts set out therein committed within the precincts of the establishment or in the vicinity thereof will be treated as misconduct. During the strike in the establishment certain workmen who had joined the strike boarded a bus which was to carry the loyal workman who

did not join the strike and at various places the delinquent manhandled the loyal workmen. On the question whether the alleged acts of misconduct committed within the premises of establishment constitute the misconduct under the standing order, it was held by the Hon'ble Apex Court that the words "committed within the premises of the establishment" must be given full effect. It was further observed that the casual connection between the act of misconduct and the employment must be real, immediate and not remote. In this case, it was held that the alleged acts were not committed within the premises of the establishment.

- 32. 1996 (1) LLN SC 526, in this case the relevant clause 39(h) states that disorderly behaviour during working hours within the premises of the bank are misconduct and its second part states that any act subversive of discipline committed either within or outside the premises of the company is also a misconduct. The ld. representative for the workman has argued in view of this ruling that since the alleged misconduct was not committed within working hours, it is not a misconduct. But no such expression as "working hours" has been incorporated in 19.5(c) of the BPS in the instant case. Accordingly, the ld. representative does not derive any help from this decision being dissimilar from the present controversy.
- 33. In 1994-II LLN Bombay 974, the Hon'ble Court has held that in the event there is nothing to show any connection between the incident and the employment of the delinquent workmen with the undertaking, there is not nexus between alleged act of misconduct and the employment to bring within the standing orders. Thus, the facts of this case are dissimilar from the present controversy.
- 34. Per contra, the ld. representative has referred to (1975) 4 SSC 731 Mulchandani Electrical and Radio Industries Ltd. v. The Workmen, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as below:—
 - "In our opinion, on a plain reading of the clause, the words within the premises or precincts of the establishment" refer not to the place where the act where the consequence of such an act manifests itself. In other words, an act, wherever committed, if it has the effect of subverting discipline or good behaviour within the premises or precincts of the establishment, will amount to misconduct under Standing Order 24(1). We are unable to agree that Standing Order 24(1) leaves out of its scope an act committed outside though it may result in subversion of discipline or good behaviour within the premises or precincts of the establishment in question. Such a construction in our view would be quite unreasonable".
- 35. The next case relied upon by the ld. representative for the bank is (1995) II LLJ SC 314 wherein the Hon'ble Court has referred to its decision on the point rendered in Mulchandani Glaxo Cases and the views of the Hon'ble Court are usefully quoted as below:—

"In view of the consistent view taken by the different Benches of this Court, as evidenced in above judgments, it is not possible for me to accept the contention so strenuously canvassed at the Bar by Mr. Sarnaik. In my view, there is no conflict between Mulchandani and Glaxo. The law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of Mulchandani (supra) is clear. An act would be 'subversive' of discipline within the premises or precincts of the industrial establishment as long as the act has a rational and reasonable nexus with the industrial employment and has the deleterious consequence of subverting the discipline in the establishment, regardless of whether the act takes place within or outside the establishment. The emphasis is on the baneful consequence of the act, and not on its situs. Applying this test to the facts in these two writ petitions it is clear that the acts alleged against the workmen fell clearly within the definition of the misconduct under the Model Standing Order 24(1) as commission of an act subversive of discipline or good behaviour on the premises of the establishment".

- 36. In 1994(1) LLN Kerala 744 and 192 (II) LLJ Bombay 394, referred to on behalf of the bank, the Hon'ble Kerala High Court has held that if an assault is caused on the officer who was leading non-striking workman into the factory near its premises, it will amount to a misconduct since it has as intimate connection with employment in the factory though it was not taken place in the factory and in the later case the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has observed that the act of assault on the co-worker by the delinquent, though it took place outside the factory premises had a direct and rational nexus with industrial relations between the employer and the workmen in the establishment, which amounted to an act subversive of discipline within the meaning of the concerned standing order.
- 37. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in (1975) 4 SCC 731 and (1995) LLJ SC 314, the alleged act of misconduct even if it was committed outside the premises of the bank, it has the effect of subverting discipline within the premises of the bank and will amount to misconduct under para 19.5(c) of the BPS. The submission canvassed on behalf of the bank is thus fortified by the principle propounded by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the decisions supra. The Glaxo Laboratories case has been discussed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforestated decisions and in view of the principles enunciated by the Hon'ble Apex Court, the ld. representative for the workmen does not derive any help from athe decision relied upon by him.
- 38. On a careful examination the facts and circumstances and the survey of decisions referred to on behalf of both the parties, the bank has succeeded to establish that the commission of the alleged act by the workman amounts to an act subversive of discipline within the meaning of para 19.5 (c) which had a direct relation between the workman and the management and that the workman has committed gross misconduct under paras 19.5 (c) and 19.5(e) of the BPS.

- 39. That takes me to consider the question of disproportionate quantum of punishment awarded to the workman looking to the nature of the misconduct.
- 40. The ld. representative for the workman contends that the disciplinary authority has not considered the past service record of the workman before passing the punishment order, who has ten years of unblemished service at his credit and the stringent punishment has been imposed upon him which is a violation of clause 19.5 (c) of the BPS. In support of his submission the ld. representative has relied upon 1983 FJR (62) SC 100, 1984 FJR (64) SC 433, 1989, LLN-1 SC 303, 1969 (69) SC FLR 191.
- 41. Contrary to it, the ld representative for the bank contends that looking to the gravity of the misconduct, the punishment imposed upon the workman is proportionate and is not harsh. The ld. representative in support of his contention has placed before the court of photocopy of a decision rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd. v. N. V. Naravade.
- 42. In 1983 FJR (62) SC 100, the Hon'ble Apex Court has observed that the punishment must be commensurate with the gravity of the offence. In this case, the workman was awarded the punishment of dismissal which was considered to be unjustified on the ground that the workman had 14 years of unblemished service and was not resorting to any positive action subsequently. He was charged with the misconduct of using the abusive and threatening language towards another workman.
- 43. 1984 FJR (64) SC 433: In this case the workman had abused another workman in derogatory and abusive manner, the Hon'ble Court has noted that the "Charge levelled against the appellant is not serious one and it is not known how the charge, even if proved would result in any; much less total, loss of confidence of the management in the delinquent".
- 44. The ld. representative has also placed his reliance upon 1989 LLN-1 SC 303 and 1969 (69) FLR SC 191, which I have carefully gone through, but their facts are not applicable to the controversy at hand.
- 45. In contrast, the Id. representative for the bank has invited my attention towards the views taken by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the quantum of punishment imposed for a similar misconduct in a most recent case Mahindra & Mahindra Vs. N.B. Naravade, the facts thereof are that the respondent workman used abusive and filthy language against his superior, who was found guilty after concluding the disciplinary proceedings against him and was terminated by the disciplinary authority. The relevant passage of the aforesaid judgment is extracted as below:—

'In the absence of any such factor existing the Labour Court cannot by way of sympathy alone exercise the power under Section 11-A of the Act and reduce the punishment. As noticed herein above at least in two of the cases cited before us, i.e. Orissa Cement Ltd. (supra) and New Shorrock Mills (supra), this Court held:' punishment of dismissal

- for using of abusive language cannot be held to be disproportionate." In this case all the forums below have held that the language used by the workman was filthy. We too are of the opinion that the language used by the workman is such that it cannot be tolerated by any civilized society. Use of such abusive language against a superior officer, that too not once but twise, in the presence of his subordinates cannot be termed to be an indiscipline calling for lesser punishment in the absence of any extenuating factor referred to herein above".
- 46. The workman in the instant case has been held blameworthy on the basis of positive and legal evidence adduced on behalf of the bank and it is found that the workman was shockingly rude towards his superior and his behaviour was so offending that he deserved to be punished. On the overall assessment of the facts and circumstances emerging in the case and considering the totality of the alleged misconduct, the punishment of dismissal awarded to him cannot be treated to be excessive and disproportionate in the light of the views expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Mahindra & Mahindra Vs. N.B. Naravade. As such, the impugned punishment order does not warrant any interference.
- 47. In the result, the reference is answered in the negative against the workman and in favour of the management of the bank and it is held that the dismissal order dated 23-5-1997 passed against the workman is justified and legal. The claim of the workman is rejected. An award is passed in these terms accordingly.
- 48. Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act.

R.C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2346.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन ऑयल कार्पो. लि., के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, नई दिल्ली-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 57/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-30011/102/2002-आई आर (विविध)]

बी.एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2346.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 57/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi-II as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Oil

Corpn. Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-30011/102/2002-IR (M)] B.M. DAVID, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI

Presiding Officer: R. N. RAI.

I.D. No. 57/2003

IN THE MATTER OF:

Shri Ram Avtar & Others, C/o The General Secretary, Delhi Multi Storeyed Building, Employees Congress, Vandana Building, 11, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110001.

Versus

The Chairman Cum Managing Director, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Scope Complex, Core No. 2, Lodhi Road, New Delhi

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-30011/102/2002-IR(M) Central Government Dt. 04-04-2003 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:—

"Whether the action of the management of I.O.C.L., New Delhi is not regularizing the services of 10 workmen as in the Annexure from the date of his initial appointment is just, fair and legal? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled and from which date?"

It transpires from the perusal of the order sheet that notice on 29th January, 2004 and 12th January, 2005 has been sent to the workmen applicants as well the management but none appeared. The workman has not filed claim statement.

No Dispute Award is given.

Dated: 31-5-2005

R.N. RAI, Presiding Officer,

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2347.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग, के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय न.-I, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट

(संदर्भ संख्या 142/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/584/2000-आई आर (डी यू)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2347.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 142/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-40012/584/2000-IR (DU)] KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. ID-142/2001

Shri Kuldip Singh, C/o R.K. Sharma, H. No. 372, Sector 20A,

Chandigarh

....Applicant.

Versus

- The Chief General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160001.
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom. Sector 18,

Chandigarh-160001.

....Respondents

APPEARANCES:

For the workman

: None

For the management

: Shri Shiv Kumar &

Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Govt. vide notification No. L-40012/584/2000-IR(DU) dated 26-3-2001 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of Chief General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Chandigarh and the Princiapl General Manager Telecom., Chandigarh Distt. in ordering disengagement/termination of services of Shri Kuldip Singh a workman engaged through contractor Shri R.K. Mittal w.e.f. 27-2-99 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled and from which date?"

2. Case repeatedly called none is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the AR of the workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present references he has not appeared despite service of the court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced.

20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का. आ. 2348.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग, के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.—I, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 278/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/167/2000-आई आर (डीयू)] कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2348.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 278/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No.-I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom., and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/167/2000-IR (DU)] KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR,
PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I,
CHANDIGARH

Case No. ID-278/2K

Shri Daljit Singh, C/o R.K. Sharma, H.No. 372, Sector 20A, Chandigarh

....Applicant

Versus

- The Chief General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160001.
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom Sector 18,

Chandigarh-160001.

....Respondents

APPEARANCES:

For the workman

: None

For the management

: Shri Shiv Kumar &

Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Govt. vide notification No. L-40012/167/2000-IR(DU) dated 31-7-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of Chief General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Chandigarh and the Principal General Manager Telecom, Chandigarh Distt. in ordering disengagement/termination of services of Shri Dalji; Singh a workman engaged through contractor Shri R.K. Mittal w.e.f. 27-2-99 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled and from which date?"

2. Case repeatedly called none is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the AR of the workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present references he has not appeared despite service of the court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced

20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer,

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2349.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/ श्रम न्यायालय नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 212/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/124/2002-आईआर(डीयू)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2349.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.212/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/124/2002-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA. Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER,

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM LABOUR COUKT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. L.D. 212/2002

Shri Jaipal Singh Raghav, son of Shri Ram Narain Singh Village & P.O. Dohla, Gurgoan,

Applicant

VERSUS

 The Sub Divisional Officer, O/o The General Manager, Telecom, Main Telephone Exchange, Old Delhi Road, Gurgoan.

Respondent

APPEARANCES

For the workman:

None

For the management: Shri Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government vide notification No. L-40012/124/2002/IR (D.U.) dated 8-10-2002 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication;

"Whether the action of the Management of BSNL, Gurgaon in terminating the services of Shri Jaipal Singh Raghav son of Shri Ram Narain, a part time sweeper-cum-chowkidar w e.f. March, 1966 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. Case repeatedly called, none is present for the workman despite registered notice served upon him for 28-3-05. Today also workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. No claim statement has been filed by the workman till today. The authorised representative of the management also submitted that as workman is not appearing, the reference may be returned to the Central Government for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the A.R. of the management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the Court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced.

20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्लो, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2350.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 300/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/188/2000-आईआर(डीयू)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2350.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 300/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/188/2000-IR (DU)] KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER,

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 300/2000

Shri Kesar Singh, Son of Shri Harpal Singh Village Gidderpur, P.O. Chudiala Sudan, Teh. Mohali, Ropar

Applicant

Versus

- (1) The Chief General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160 001
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom. Sector18, Chandigarh-160001

Respondents

APPEARANCES

For the workman: For the management

Shri O. P. Singh Sh. Shiv Kumar & Shri Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government vide notification No. L-40012/188/2002/IR (D.U.) dated 31-7-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the Management of General Manager Telecom, Chandi-garh in terminating the services of Shri Kesar Singh Son of Shri Harpal Singh, w.e.f. 27-2-99 is, just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which date?"

2. Case repeatedly called. None is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the Management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the AR of the workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the Court notice on him for

today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced 20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2351.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट(संदर्भ संख्या 309/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/197/2000-आईआर(डीय्)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2351.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.309/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. I. Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/197/2002-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER,

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM LABOUR COURT -I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 309/2000

Shri Dinesh Kumar Son of Late Shri Balraj Kishan, House No. 2764, Ward No. 11, Near Jain Mandir, Kharar, Ropar

ar Applicant

Versus

(1) The General Manager, Telecom, Sector-18, Chandigarh.

Respondent

APPEARANCES:

For the workman: For the management,

SH. O.P. Singh Shiv Kumar & Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government vide notification No. L-40012/197/2000/IR (D.U.) dated 31-7-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

- "Whether the action of the Management of General Manager Telcom Chandigarh in terminating the Services of Shri Dinesh Kumar Son of Late Shri Balraj Kishan, is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which date?"
- 2. Case repeatedly called. None is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through Court notice, workman is nsot present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the Management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the A.R. of the workman and submission of the management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the Court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced 20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जुन, 2005

का.आ. 2352.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 136/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/578/2000-आईआर(डीय)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2352.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.136/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of

Telcom. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/578/2000-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM-LABOUR COURT-L CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 136/2001

Shri Bahadur Singh, C/o Shri R.K. Sharma, House No. 372, Sector 20 A, Chandigarh-160 001

Applicant

Versus

- (1) The Chief General Manager, Telecom., Sector 18, Chandigarh-160001
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160 001

Respondents

APPEARANCES

For the workman: For the management,

None

Shri Shiv Kumar & Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government vide notification No. L-40012/578/2000/IR (D.U.) dated 26-3-2001 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

- "Whether the action of Chief General Manager, Telecom Punjab Circle Chandigarh and the Principal General Manager Telecom, Chandigarh in ordering disengagement/termination of Services of Shri Bahaduer Singh a a workman engaged through contractor Shri R. K. Mittal w.e.f. 27-2-99 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which date?"
- 2. Case repeatedly called. None is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through Court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the

Management have also no objection in returning the case want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the A.R. of the workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the Court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced 20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2353.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट(संदर्भ संख्या 375/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/306/2000-आईआर(डीय)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2353.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.375/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telcom. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/306/2000-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. 1.D. 375/2000

Shri Jasbir Singh, S/o Shri Chaaja Singh Village & P.O. Samana Kalan, Teh & District Ropar

Applicant

Versus

- (1) The Chief General Manager, Telecom., Sector-18, Chandigarh-16001
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom. Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160001

Respondent

APPEARANCES:

For the workman For the management

None

Shri Shiv Kumar &

Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government *vide* notification No. L-40012/306/2000/IR (D.U.) dated 25-9-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of management of Department of Telecom Chandigarh in terminating the services of Shri Jasbir Singh Son of Shri Chaaja Singh w.e.f. 27-2-1999 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. Case repeatedly called none is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the Management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the A.R. of the workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the Court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced 20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2354.— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक

अधिकरण नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट(संदर्भ संख्या 403/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/351/2000-आईआर(डीय्)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2354.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 403/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/351/2000-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. L.D. 403/2000

Shri Surjit Singh, C/o, Shri R. K. Sharma, H. No. 372, Sector 20A, Chandigarh

Applicant

Versus

- (1) The Chief General Manager, Telecom. Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160001
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom., Sector-18, Chandigarh-160001

... Respondents

APPEARANCES

For the workman:

None

For the management:

Shri Shiv Kumar & Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government *vide* notification No. L-40012/351/2000/IR (DU) dated 28-9-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of Chief General Manager, Telecom., Punjab Circle, Chandigarh and the Principal General Manager Felecom., Chandigarh Distt. in ordering disengagement/termination of services of Shri Surjit Singh a workman engaged through contractor Shri R. K. Mittal w.e.f. 27-2-99 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which date?"

2. Case repeatedly called. none is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the AR of the workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the Court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced

20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2355.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध. नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 160/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/577/2000-आईआर(डीय)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2355.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.160/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court No. I, Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/577/2000-IR (DU)] KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 160/2002

Shri Kuldip Singh, C/o. Shri R. K. Sharma, H. No. 372, Sector 20A, Chandigarh

-Applicant

Versus

- (1) The Chief General Manager, Telecom., Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160001
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom., Sector-18, Chandigarh-160001

-Respondent

APPEARANCES

For the workman

None

For the management

Shri Shiv Kumar

& Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government *vide* notification No. L-40012/577/2000/IR (DU) dated 26-3-2001 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of Chief General Manager, Telecom., Punjab Circle, Chandigarh and the Principal General Manager Telecom. Chandigarh Distt. in ordering disengagement/termination of services of Shri Kuldip Singh a workman engaged through contractor Shri R.K. Mittal w.e.f. 27-2-99 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which date?"

2. Case repeatedly called, none is present for the workman. His earlier authorised representative Shri Tanved Ali Khan is not present. On the request of the new AR Shri O.P. Singh, Court notice was issued as the workman was not contacting the union. But despite service of the workman through court notice, workman is not present and it appears that he is not interested in persuing the case and perhaps gainfully employed. Statement of AR of the workman recorded and the AR of the management have also no objection in returning the case for want of prosecution. In view of the submission of the AR of the

workman and submission of management's authorised representative, it is clear that workman is not interested to persue the present reference as he has not appeared despite service of the court notice on him for today and the same is returned for want of prosecution. Central Government be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced

20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जुन, 2005

का.आ. 2356.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 279/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-40012/162/2000-आईआर(डीयू)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2356.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No.279/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court No. I. Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-40012/162/2000-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-1, CHANDIGARH

Case No. 1.D. 279/2000

Shri Ram Kumar C/o Shri R. K. Sharma, H. No. 372, Sector 20A, Chandigarh

Applicaant

Versus

- (1) The Chief General Manager, Telecom., Punjab Circle, Sector 34, Chandigarh-160001
- (2) The Principal General Manager, Telecom., Sector-18, Chandigarh-160001

Respondents

APPEARANCES:

For the workman:

None

For the management:

Shri Shiv Kumar & Anish Babbar

AWARD

Passed on 20-5-2005

Central Government vide notification No. L-40012/ 162/2000/IR (D.U.) dated 31-7-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:—

> "Whether the action of Chief General Manager, Telcom., Punjab Circle, Chandigarh and the Principal General Manager Telecom., Chandigarh Distt. in ordering disengagement/termination of service of Shri Ram Kumar a workman engaged through contractor M/s. Gupta w.e.f. 27-2-99 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled and from which date of?"

- 2. Workman AR submits that workman is not contacting him nor available and old representative is also not traceable. It appears that workman is interested. The management representative Shri Shiv Kumar submit that as per postal report workman is not available and he prayed for the dismissal of the reference. Statement of AR of both the parties recorded to the effect that old AR is not traceable and workman is not contacting himself to the union and the management has no objection.
- 3. In view of the submission of the rep. of the management and that of the workman who is now President of the Union Mr. O.P. Singh, it appears that workman is not interested and gainfully employed, the present reference is returned to the Central Govt. for want of prosecution. Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned to record.

Chandigarh 20-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2357.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उड़ीसा माईनिंग कार्पो. लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, भुवनेश्वर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 422/01) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-29011/48/2001-आईआर (विविध)]

बी. एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2357.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 422/ 01) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bhubaneswar now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Orissa Mining Corpn. Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-29011/48/2001-IR (M)]

B. M. DAVID, Under Secv.

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, **BHUBANESWAR**

PRESENT:

Shri N.K.R. MOHAPATRA, Presiding Officer, C.G.I.T.-cum-Labour Court, Bhubaneswar.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 422/2001

Date of Passing Award—13th May, 2005

BETWEEN:

The management of the Managing Director, Orissa Mining Corporation Limited, OMC House, Bhubaneswar

...1st Party-Management

AND

The Workmen represented through the General Secretary, Orissa Mining Workers Federation, OMC Ltd. OMC House. Bhubaneswar,

...2nd Party-Union

APPEARANCES:

M/s. M.R. Mohanthy & Associates, Advocates For the 1st Party Management.

M/s. S.S. Das & Associates, For the 2nd Party Advocates

Union.

AWARD

1. The Government of India in this Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section 2(A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. L 29011/48/2001-IR (M), dated 6-9-2001:-

"Whether the action of the management of OMC by not paying equal pay to 251 employees (as per list) at par with the regular employees of OMC is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled to?"

- 2. The case of the workmen numbering 251 as presented by the 2nd Party Federation is that they are working continuously in different mining areas of the 1st Party-Management since 31-12-1990 and prior to it either as daily rated monthly paid employees or on adhoc basis or against some designated posts. For providing better service benefits to them the 2nd Party-Federation had earlier submitted a charter of demands and accordingly the 1st Party-Management had appointed a committee under the Chairmanship of Shri D. Mohanta, the then General Manager (Mines) to make an in-depth study and to submit a report accordingly. In the 312th General Body Board Meeting of the Management the report of the committee was placed for consideration and in it, it was decided to absorb as non-permanent employees only those of the workers who were engaged prior to 31-12-1990 but not all other workers engaged subsequent thereto. Accordingly the State Government was moved for according approval and creation of additional posts but it was turned down by the Government. Here it is further averred that though creation of additional posts was within the competency of the Board of Directors, the matter should not have been referred to the State Government for approval etc. and as such the workers need to be absorbed in service pursuant to the decision of the Board. It is further contended by the Federation-2nd Party that out of the listed 251 workmen the Management has already regularized the services of Sl. No. 154, 158, 159, 163, 179 and 180 while Sl. No. 39 to 46, 94 to 98, 179 to 192, 194, 196, 198 to 206, 223, 224, 227 to 230, 233 to 238, 241, 243 and 244 have filed different cases in various legal forums for regularization of their service and therefore the present dispute is to be limited to the rest of the workmen.
- 3. In reply to the above claim of the 2nd Party-Federation, the 1st Party-Management (Orissa Mining Corporation) has contended that in order to meet the time to time exigencies of work these 251 and many other workers were engaged at different point of time by picking them from the open market by the Mines Manager/Camp Officer and they were engaged either as adhoc employees or daily rated monthly paid employees on payment of minimum wages prescribed by the Government from time to time. In a latter stage, considering the difficulties of the workers, the Management also adjusted some of them against regular vacancies while few others against a category called "Non-permanent category" for their future regularization subject to the restriction imposed by the State Government in the matter of reducing the staffing strength. In so far as the left out persons (meaning the 251 workmen in question) a committee was set up on the demand of the 2nd Party-Federation to make an in-depth study of the problems of these workmen and on that basis

- the Board of Directors, hereinafter called the Board, recommended to the State Government to create sufficient number of posts for absorption of those of the workers who had joined the job prior to 31-12-1990 against "non-permanent" category. But on the refusal of the State Government to create such posts it is no more practicable on the part of the Management to provide regular service to all these workers at a single stroke without following the restriction prescribed under O.R.V. and C.N.V. Act and the Rules framed thereunder and the other restrictions imposed by the Government for abolition of 50% of the posts.
- 4. As regards the maintainability of the present claim for absorption of the workmen, the Management further contends that since some of the workmen have preferred separate similar cases in different other legal forums as also before Hon'ble High Court demanding their regularization/absorption, this Tribunal by going outside the term of reference can not adjudicate the question of absorption of these workmen pending disposal of the cases filed before the Hon'ble High Court.
- 5. On the basis of above claim and counter claim of the parties the following issues were framed:—

ISSUES

- (i) Whether the action of the Mangement of Orissa Mining Corporation by not paying equal pay to 251 apployees (as per list) at par with the regular camployees of Orissa Mining Corporation is justified?
- (ii) If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?
- 6. For convenience sake both the issues are taken up together.

It may be reiterated that, though under the terms of the reference the Government of India has asked the Tribunal to examine as to whether the listed 251 employees are entitled to get equal pay for equal work, the Federation, representing the workmen has claimed for regularization of these workers in its claim statement. Now therefore, the question arises as to whether the terms of the reference and the present claim of the Federation can be considered be complimentary to each other or whether the claim for regularization can ever be considered as a matter ancilliary to the terms of reference.

7. It is the settled law that regularization of service depends on various factors such as status of the employees, the length of service rendered by the employees, whether by such continuous employment their status have been changed, the manner and methods of recruitment of employees, availability of permanent posts for them and the rules/schemes framed for regularization

of such employees. Whereas in case of equal pay for equal works factors such as the qualification of employees, methods of their appointment, the criteria prescribed for such recruitment and the quality and quantity of work performed by both regular and non-regular/casual employees are to be taken into consideration along with the pay structures prescribed for different categories of employees. Besides the availability of work for such employees are also to be taken into consideration so as to cut a balance between the regular employees and the nonregular employees. Thus from the above it appears that the guiding principles prescribed both for regularization and equal pay for equal work are based on two different and distinct concepts and as such the claim for equal pay for equal work can not either be considered to be complimentary or matter ancillary to a claim for regularization of service.

8. As per the terms of reference it is required to examine whether the listed workers numbering 251 are entitled to get equal pay at par with the regular employees. The word equal pay at par with regular employees cannot be read in isolation without reference to the term "equal work". As pointed out in the foregoing Para for a claim for equal pay for equal work it is to be shown that the work performed by the non regular/casual employees are similar both in quality and quantity with that of the work peformed by regular employees. Besides it is also to be shown that the casual workers have possessed necessary qualification or acquired necessary knowledge and experience matching with that of their counter-part regular employees. It is also to be shown the pay structures prescribed for both the categories besides providing data's about availability of work for the non-regular employees so as to cut a balance between regular employees and non-regular employees. But in the instant case no such material has been brought on record nor any witness has been examined from the side of the Federation representing the workmen to cut such a balance or to prove that they are in no way less competent than the regular employees. A good number of decisions have simply been filed from the side of the Federation on the subject of equal pay for equal work. But in the absence of materials on record as pointed out earlier, the applicability of these decisions becomes remote. When under the terms of reference the Tribunal is required to examine the question relating to equal pay for equal work it can not by going beyond such terms of the reference decided by a circumbent approach the question of regularization when some of the 251 workers have already filed separate O.J.C. No. 2530/99 and 3375/99 before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa on grounds similar to the present one.

9. Admittedly in order to provide better service benefits to the casual workers the Management had appointed a committee to make an indepth study of the problems of these workers and on the basis of

recommendation on the committee the Management had moved the State Government pursuant to a decision taken in 312th Board meeting for creation of additional post for absorption of the 251 workmen in a non-permanent category as was done on earlier occasions. It is also the admitted case of both the parties that on the refusal of the Covernment to create such posts the 2nd Party-Federation raised an Industrial Disputes culminating in the present reference. It is also admitted that few of the workmen involved in this reference being aggrieved with the decision of the State Government filed separate O.J.C. No. 2530/99 and 3375/99 before the Hon'ble Court claiming regularization on the basis of the decision taken in 312th Board Meeting On perusal of the judgement delivered by the Hon'ble Court in the above noted two O.J.Cs it can be gathered that in O.J.C. 3375/99 the petitioners had claimed extended service benefits for daily rated monthly paid employees (DRMP) working in designated posts in terms of the decision of 312th Board meeting and in O.J.C. 2530/ 99 claim was laid on the basis of above decision of the Board for extended benefits as available to a nonpermanent employee and for payment of minimum pay and D.A. as apaplicable to a regular employee working in parallel posts. The above two prayers no doubt look different but the decision of 312th Board meeting being the basis for all these claims as also for the present claim there can not be different treatment for different workmen. If these different prayers are considered along with the memorandum proposed for the 312th Board meeting (Ext.-1) and the decision of the board under item 9(J) (Ext.-2) it would lead to an irresistible conclusion that virtually all these divergent prayers are directed against a common demand for regularization/absorption of these workmen in a category called non-permanent category. The judgements delivered by the Hon'ble Court in the above noted O.J.Cs show that in both these cases the State Government was directed in the year 2001 to consider the recommendation of 312th Board Meeting within a stipulated period and after the above order of the Hon'ble Court the present reference was received in Sept. 2001 when the Government was yet to take a decision as per the direction of the Hon'ble Court. From a xerox copy of a Government letter available on record it appears that the Government of Orissa. Department of Steel and Mines in its letter No. 2161, dated 4-3-2003 have turned down the proposal for creation of additional posts given by the 1st Party-Management.

10. In the above back drop of the case it was argifed further by the Counsel for the workman that the 1st Party-Management being a body corporate having its independent financial power to exercise it should not have referred the matter to the Government for creation of additional posts for the workmen. Reliance being placed in a case between Narayan Chandra Das—Versus—State of Orissa and others reported in 1994 (II) OLR 343 it was further submitted that in so far as the functioning of the

1st Party-Management the Government of Orissa except in the matter of appointment of Director, Chairman and Managing Director has got no power of interference in all other matters of appointment. Emphasis being placed on the above ruling it was submitted that when the Board of Directors in their 312th meeting have already taken a decision to absorb the workman in a non-permanent category they should equally come forward to make necessary provision in that direction independent of any decision of the Government of Orissa. In other words it was submitted that the Management being itself competent to create additional posts it can not escape from its said liability by throwing the responsibility on the State Government.

- 11. As against the above submission it was argued by the 1st Party-Management that creation or abolition of posts is always within the prerogative discretion of the employer and that an employee or its Union cannot encroach upon such power or force the Management to exercise its power to their suitability. In the reported case between State of Haryana-Versus-Piara Singh (AIR-1992-SC-2130) it has been observed by their Lordship that "ordinarily speaking the creation and abolition of posts in the prerogative of the Executive. It is the executive again that lays down the conditions of service, subject of course, to a law made by the appropriate legislature. This power to prescribe the conditions of service can be exercised either by making rules under the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution or (in the absence of such rules) by issuing rules/instructions in exercise of its excecutive powers. The Court comes into picture only to ensure observance of fundamental rights, statutory provisions, rules and other instruction, if any, governing the condition of service."
- 12. From the pleading of the parties it is clear that the Management has formulated a scheme for absorption of the casual workers and under it a separate category of employees have been created under a title "Nonpermanent category." Under the above scheme casual workers having the prescribed qualification and service experience have been taken to the above category with minimum service benefits for the purpose of their future regularization against permanent posts and there is no denial to the fact that in the past several casual workers have already availed of such benefits year after year. In view of the same the management can not be asked (as argued by the Federation) to create posts for these workmen as per the decision of the 312th Board meeting ignoring the decision of the State Government and without looking at its financial condition specially in the face of an existing scheme mentioned above and pay equal monetary benefits and other service benefits to these workmen in terms of salary and other benefits at par with their counterpart regular employees.

- 13. However, while parting with the judgement (Award) I would like to mention here that a provide protection to the services of these workmen who have been rendering services since long the Management may be good enough to find out an alternative method to improve the financial condition of these workmen.
- 14. Creating new posts for absorption of the workmen may be a problem for the Management (as is the stand taken by the Management in the present case) but such problem can be meted out otherwise if the Management is really sincere to take care of the futures of the casual employees who have rendered continuous services to the establishment of the Management. Creation of posts is necessary only in case of absorption of the employees but in so far as payment of wages at a higher rate is concerned no such post needs to be created. The Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievance and Pension Department of Personnel and Training in their Office Memorandum No. F-49014/2/86-Estb. (C) have prescribed certain guidelines in respect of the casual workers who are rendering same nature of work like the regular employees. Under the scheme a casual labourer continuously engaged for a longer period has been declared entitled to 1/30th pay at the minimum of the relevant pay scale + D.A. of the regular employee (subject to limited restriction) for the work of eight hours a day. As per the said Office Memorandum such benefits have been given without creation of new posts. Therefore, if the Management is really serious in its thought of improving the financial condition of the casual workers it is high time for the Management of OMC to formulate a separate scheme akin to the scheme referred to above with necessary modifications prescribing therein certain modalities for the future absorption of the listed workmen in the cadre of nonpermanent category.
- 15. With the above observation and for the discussions made under various issues it is held that the 251 workmen are not entitled to get equal pay at par with regular employees. But however, in keeping pace with the intention as reflected in its 312th Board meeting, the Management is directed to think of formulating a separate scheme of 1/30th pay with or without limited service benefits in the light of the Office Memorandum referred to above (with suitable modification and alteration) so as to protect and preserve the services of the 251 workmen for their future absorption in the existing non-permanent category.
 - 16. Reference is answered accordingly.

N. K. R. MOHAPATRA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 जून, 2005

का.आ.2358.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नेशनल एयरपोर्ट ऑधोरिटी ऑफ इण्डिया के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नई दिल्ली-II, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 108/97) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11012/1/97-आई आर (विविध)]

बी. एम. डेविड, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th June, 2005

S.O. 2358.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 108/97) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi-II, as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of National Airport Authority of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-11012/1/97-IR (M)]

B. M. DAVID, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-

LABOUR COURT—II

NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. RAI

I.D. No. 108/97

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Sh. Suresh Kumar S/o Sh. Chhatter Pal, Tripura Bhawan, Guest House, Race Course, New Delhi.

Versus

The Director (Admn.)
National Airport Authority of India,
A-Block, Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan,
Safdarjung Airport, New Delhi.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-11012/1/97-IR (Misc.) Central Government dt. 10-07-1997 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:-

"Whether the action of the management of National Airport Authority of India in terminating the services of Shri Suresh Kumar, Safai Karamchari w.e.f., 01-11-1995 is just, and fair? If not, what relief the concerned workmen is entitled to?"

That the applicant had been working in the Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan Departmental Canteen of National Airport Authority at A-Block, Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, New Delhi as Safaiwala since April, 1994. That on the direction of Ministry of Civil Aviation and Tourism (Department of Civil Aviation) the applicant and one other person namely Madhav, were appointed on daily wages as unskilled workman in April, 1994.

This was done to provide better service to the staff of Ministry and National Division of A.A.I. as the quantum of work was unmanageable for the existing staff of the Canteen.

That the payment to the applicant used to be made by the A.A.I. through its contractor who had acted as a tout and had introduced the applicant to the Management to get appointed with the A.A.I. as daily wager. That the strongly enough the salary to the applicant was being paid through the contractor and the applicant was employed and continued as such even though the work and duty was of permanent nature and the applicant had worked continuously till 31-10-1995 till the time his services were illegally and arbitrarily terminated. The applicant was being paid on day to day basis instead of month basis as other regular employees including class-IV, workers are paid. Thus the applicant was deprived of benefits like C.P.F., Insurance, Medical, H.R.A. etc. and other benefits and the sword of insecurity hanging of his head all the time and which infact has already been used w.e.f. 1st November, 1995, when the services of the applicant have been illegally terminated.

That the applicant requested the authorities to remove the above anomalies and irregularities an to regularise his services in furtherance to which on 31st August 1994 it was decided that the payment to the daily wagers working in the Canteen (including the applicant) would be made by the National Airport Authority and the before the salary used to be paid the said authority. The minutes of the meeting dt. 31st August, 1994 are enclosed as Appendix 'A'. The applicant was not paid his salary for February, March, April & May, 95 for which he complained to the Chairman A.A.I. on which the Canteen Manager and Director (Admn.) got annoved and threatened the applicant to terminate his services. That the applicant continued to request/represent to the authorities to regularise his services and extended benefits mentioned in services and extended benefits mentioned in para 4 above and the authorities by their word and conduct assured the applicant time and again by word and conduct that his services would be regularised in due course.

That, however, contrary to the assurance and understanding given to the applicant on 1st Nov., 1995 when the applicant went to join his duty he was not allowed to sign the duty roaster and was informed that his services had been terminated. That the applicant has worked for 19 months continuously i.e. from April, 1994 to Oct., 95 and his services have been illegally terminated without following the due process of law and in gross violation of the provision of the Industrial Disputes Act. The photo copy of the duty roaster showing that the applicant has worked in the Canteen for 19 months continuously is attached herwith as Appendix 'B'.

That after terminating the service of the applicant illegally other persons have been appointed in the Canteen who are performing the same duty as were being performed by the applicant. That thus it is established that there is continuity of work and the work is of continuous and permanent nature and also the authorities were estapped to take such an action by their word and conduct. That the above said action of the A.A.I. Authority of not regularising service and instead terminating the services of the applicant amounts to unfair practice a defined in the I.A. Act and the action of termination in liable to the cancelled and the applicant is entitled to be -reinstated in service with full back wages all consequential benefits and also regularisation in service.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been stated that since the claimant, at no point of time was employed by the Management, he is not a "workman" as defined in Sub-Section(s) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Hence the order of reference is bad in law. The Claimant, Shri Suresh Kumar cannot, by any stretch of imagination, be covered with in the expression of "Workman". Therefore, the present order of reference which presupposes that the claimant is a "workman" is bad in law. That the order of reference is wholly mis-conceived, incompetent, illegal and invalid. The order of reference does not refer for adjudication the "real matter in dispute" between the parties. The dispute between the parties as was submitted by the management before the Learned Reconciliation Officer and as also stated in the failure report, was that Shri Suresh Kumar was at no point of time employed by the management and was only an employee of one of the contractors, therefore, there was no question of termination of his services by the management. However, the order of reference is based on presumption that the claimant, Shri Suresh Kumar, was a workman under the management of the National Airport Authority. The terms of reference as per the order of reference are as under :---

"Whether the action of the management of National Airport Authority of India in terminating the services of Shri Suresh Kumar, Safai Karamchari w.e.f., 01-11-1995 is just, and fair? If not, what relief the concerned workmen is entitled to?"

The actual dispute between the parties are that the claimant was not the employee of the management, therefore, the management could have never terminated his services. But the order of reference presupposes the existence of termination and employer and employee relationship. In these circumstances, as per section 10(4) of the Act, this Hon'ble Tribunal cannot go into the question of existence of termination of services and also whether Shri Suresh Kumar is a workman, therefore, the order of reference is bad. It is pertinent to mention here that the order of reference cannot be based on mere presumptions. In fact it should refer the real matter in dispute. Hence, no adjudication proceeding can take place on the basis of the instant order of reference.

.The order of reference refers the matter for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal under Section 10(1)(d) of the Act, whereas as per item 3 of the Second Schedule to the Act "discharge or dismissal of workmen including reinsatement of, or grant of relief to workmen wrongfully dismissed" are the matters which can under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, be adjudicated upon by the Labour Court only and the Industrial Tribunal cannot transgress the jurisdiction of a Labour Court. As would be apparent from the order of reference, the matter in dispute as per the instant order of reference is one of the matters specified in item 3 of the second schedule of the Act. As per the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, Under Section 10(1) of the Act, the dispute referred in the instant order of reference falls under the exclusive jurisdiction of Labour Court instead of the Industrial Tribunal, Hence, this Hon'ble Tribunal does not have the jurisdiction to entertain the present matter. The proceeding should be dropped on this very ground that the order of reference is bad in law and liable to be set aside.

It is relevant to point out that the claimant Shri Suresh Kumar, was a contract labour under an independent contractor, M/s. Arcon India Limited. hence, as such, there was no 'master' and 'servant' relationship between the management and the claimant. The claimant was not an employee of the management and hence his name was not borne in "Muster Roll" of the management. He was a "CONTRACT LABOURER" under a direct supervision and disciplinary control of the respective contractor. It is relevant to point out that at no time "Appropriate Government" has prohibited the engagement of the contract labourer through contractors. Neither the "CONTRACT LABOURER" (REGULATION AND ABOLITION) Act, 1970 nor "RULES" framed therein provide that upon terminating the services of the contractor by the contractor the said contract labour who was working under the direct supervision and disciplinary control of the respective contractor should be absorbed or otherwise treated as employees of the "PRINCIPAL EMPLOYER". It may be useful to make a reference to the pronouncement of the Apex Court in the case of 1957 (2) LLJ 658 "Umed Singh Hamira

Vs. Masderi Mills Limited" where in it was held that the workmen employed by the Cooperative Society in the Canteen run by it in the premises and the equipment provided for by the management cannot be considered to be "EMPLOYEES" qua the management. Hence there is no justification for the claimant to claim for absorption by the Principal Employer i.e., the management of the National Airport Authority.

That it is the respectful submission of the management that the "National Airport Authority of India" is a Public Sector and hence, the workman to be taken as regular employee can be appointed only as per the rules, regulations and guidelines provided for the same from time to time. The claimant cannot seek employment with the management by passing all the rules in that regard by claiming absorption solely on the ground that he worked as a contract labour. In this matter, it may be relevant to make reference to the pronouncement of the Apex Court, in 1992 L.I.C. 2332 and 1990 L.I.C. 405, wherein it was held that a contract labour working in an establishment in Public Sector under a contractor is not entitled to claim absorption on regular basis without being sponsored by an Employment Exchange even if he has worked continuously for five years. It is opened to establishment in Public Sector to choose the media of Employment Exchange for filling up of vacancies and the establishment cannot be compelled to appoint persons other than those sponsored by the Employment Exchange, it was also held that the word "employer" as such is not defined, however on the other hand the definition of Principal Employer denotes the existence of middle man that is a contractor. Therefore, there is no direct "master and servant relationship" between the management and the contract labour. As such there was no Industrial Dispute between the claimant and the management and hence on this very ground the order of reference is bad and the present proceeding should be dropped. It is significant to note that the claimant was not engaged by the contractor for work of management which is of permanent nature.

That the application is bad for non-joinder of the necessary party, i.e. M/s. Arcon India Limited the contractor under whose supervision the present claimant Shri Suresh Kumar worked as a contract labour. That the claimant is neither a "workman" nor "employee" of the management, as such there exist no "Industrial Dispute" between the claimant and the management and the order of reference which presupposes a termination of the services of the claimant by the management is bad and no adjudication proceedings can, therefore, take place on the basis of the present order of reference. The issue of absorption of claimant in the employment of the management is beyond the jurisdiction of the Hon'ble Tribunal.

It is submitted that the dispute if any exists is between the contractor i.e. M/s. Arcon India Limited and the claimant and not between the claimant and the manage-ment, as the claimant was not a workman under the management. It is submitted that the said Shri Suresh Kumar was contract labourer under one of the contractors, M/s. Arcon India Limited, who was a contractor of conservancy services. Shri Suresh Kumar was engaged by the contractor for conservancy services and not for Canteen purposes. the contractor deputed its workers on rotational basis at various points/areas of the Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan.

It is submitted that the said claimant, Shri Suresh Kumar was appointed by the contractor M/s. Arcon India Limited and was working under the supervision of the said contractor and there was no direct relationship of "masterservant" between the management and the claimant and hence there is no dispute of the Industrial nature between the management and the claimant. It is the respectful submission of the management that as the Ministry of Civil Aviation was shifted in Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, seeking the temporary shortage of staff in CAD Canteen, for short period Shri Suresh Kumar was deputed by the contractor M/s. Arcon India Limited. it is specifically denied that the appointment was done to provide better services to the staff of Ministry and Information Division of Airport Authority of India and the quantum of work was unmanageable by the existing staff of the canteen.

It is denied that the contractor acted as a tout and had introduced the claimant to the management to get appointed with the Airport Authority of India as daily wager. As mentioned in the foregoing paragraphs, it is submitted that the claimant was paid by the contractor and there was no direct relationship between the claimant and the managment. The management used to pay the money to the contractor for maintenance of the canteen and at any point of time the Respondent Management paid any wages to the contract labour like the one the said claimant Shri Suresh Kumar. It is further denied that the nature of duty was of permanent nature and the claimant had worked continuously till 31st October, 1995. It is categorically denied that the services of claimant were illegal and arbitrarily terminated. It is submitted the said Shri Suresh Kumar was paid by the contractor. The allegation that the services were terminated illegal is baseless and misconceived. As mentioned in foregoing paragraphs that when the claiment was not an employee under the Respondent Management the question of termination could not arise. The mere presumption that the claimant worked as a regular employee under the Respondent Management, will not form a basis for the allegation of illegal termination. It is denied for want of knowledge that the claimant was being paid on day to day basis instead of monthly basis because the claimant was not a employee under the respondent Management. Since, there existed no relationship of employer and employee the allegation that the claimant was deprived of benefit like C.P.F., Insurance, Medical, H.R.A. etc. and other benefits and the sword of insecurity hanging on his head all the time and the services of the claimant have been illegally terminated are absolutely misconceived and denied. It is submitted that the claimant was not an employee and hence the question of benefits mentioned above will not arise and the allegation of termination of services is misconceived.

The workman applicant has filed rejoinder and in his rejoinder he has reiterated the averments of his claim statement and has denied most of the paras of the written statement.

Evidence of both the parties has been taken. The Management has denied most of the paras of the claim statement.

Heard argument from both the sides.

The workman applicant has filed written argument. The management was heard orally. It was submitted from the side of the applicant that payment to the applicant was made by the AAI through its contractor who had acted as a tout and had introduced the applicant to get appointment of daily wager. The workman has worked continuously till 31-10-1995. He was appointed in April 1994. He has worked for more than 240 days. He requested the authorities to regularize his services and to remove the anomalies and irregularities. It was decided that the payment to the daily wager working in the Canteen to be made by the National Airport Authority. The applicant has not received his pay for Feburary, March, April and May 1995. He complained to the Chairman, AAI. When the applicant went to join duty on 1st November, 1995 he was not allowed to sign the duty roaster and he was informed that his services have been terminated. The petitioner has worked for 19 months continuously from April 1994 to October 1995 and his services were terminated without following the due process of law. He was not paid money in lieu of compensation. The work is of continuous and permanent nature.

It was submitted from the side of the management that the workman was a contractor's man. he was not an employee of the management so the reference is bad in law. The management has not terminated the services of the workman applicant and he was not an employee of the management. The order of reference is one of the matters specified in item 3 of the 2nd schedule of the act as per the provisions of the ID Act under Section 10 (10 of the Act.) The dispute referred in the instant order of the reference falls under the exclusive jurisdiction of Labour Court instead of Industrial Tribunal so the Hon'ble Tribunal does not have jurisdiction to entertain the present matter. The Claimant Suresh Kumar was a contractor labour under M/s Arcon India Limited so there is no master servant relationship between the claimant and the management. He was a contract worker and he worked under the control and supervision of the contractor. The contractor was his principal employer. In 1957 (2) LLJ 658, the Hon ble Supreme Court has held that such employees are not the employees of management. In 1992 LIC 2332 and in 1990 LIC 405 it has been held by the Supreme Court that contract labour working in an establishment in Public Sector under the contract are not entitled to claim absorption on regular basis without being sponsored by an employment exchange even if he has worked continuously for five years.

That the application is bad for nonjoinder of necessary parties. The workman applicant has not impleaded the contractor M/s. Arcon India Limited. He was deputed by the contractor for cleaning Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan. The contractor did not act as a tout. The termination of the services of the workman was quite legal. It has been held in 2004 (4) 1 SSC Page 126 that the factors to be considered interalia are:—

(1) Control, (2) Integration, (3) Power of appointment and dismissal, (4) Liability to pay remuneration and deduct insurance contribution, (5) Liability to organize the work and supply tools and materials, (6) Nature of mutal application, (7) Terms and conditions of contract.

It has been held in this case that if the work is of permanent and perennial nature they shall be deemed to be directly employed by the adminstration. In the present facts and circumstances of the case the workman is entrusted with the work of cleaning and sweeping Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan. Cleaning and Sweeping is a work of permanent and perennial nature. Contract labour cannot be employed for such work. The same view has been expressed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in (1999) 3 SCC 601, 1994 SCC (L&S) 765. The work of workman applicant is of perennial nature. It has been held in JT 2003 (1) SC 465 that contract labour should not be permitted when the work is of perennial nature. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in JT 1999 (2) SC 435 has held that Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act 1970 is a beneficial piece of legislation. It should not be considered with narrow pedantic approach. The widest possible meanning ought to be offered to the expressions used in the act and contract labourer should not be left on the mercy of the intermediary. From perusal of the citation referred to above it becomes quite explicite that the workman was intrusterd with the work of safai. Such a work is work of perennial nature. Contract labour cannot be engaged for such nature of work. He worked under control and supervision of the management and he was integrated to the establishment. Later on payment was made through the NAA as such he was under control and supervision and payment of the management. No paper has been filed regarding any agreement of contract between M/s. Arcon India Limited and the Management. The so called contractor has got

registration. There is no term of contract. In the circumstances contract shall be deemed to be camouflage and as such the workman will become an employee of the management and there shall be deemed to be a contract of service. In the facts and circumstances of the case there is no term of agreement so it shall be deemed that the workman was directly engaged by the management and there was master and servant relationship between the management and the workman applicant. The law cited by the management is not applicable in the present facts and circumstances of the case.

The reference is replied thus:—

The action of the management of National Airport Authority of India in terminating the services of Shri Suresh Kumar, Safai Karamchari w.e.f. 01-11-1995 is neither just nor fair. The workman applicant is entitled to be reinstated w.e.f. 01-11-1995 to the post of Safai Karamchari with 25 % back wages. The Management is directed to reinstate the workman applicant within one month from publication of the award. In case of default the workman applicant will be entitled to get 10% interest over the entire back wages.

The Award is given accordingly.

Dated: 25-05-2005 R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, ७ जुन, २००५

का.आ. 2359.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यूको बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं०-1, चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 350/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/51/2000-आईआर(बी-II)] सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2359.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 350/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Chandigarh No. 1, as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of UCO Bank, and their workman, received by the Central Government on 6-6-2005.

[No. L-12011/51/2000-IR (B-II)] C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJESH KUMAR, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-1, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 350/2000

The General Secretary, UCO Bank Employees Union, Central Office C/o UCO Bank, G.T. Road, Jalandhar (Punjab)

.....Applicant

Versus

UCO Bank, The Divisional Manager,

UCO Bank, Sector 22,

Chandigarh

.....Respondent

Appearances:

For the workman

: None

For the management

: Shri N. K. Zakhmi,

Advocate

AWARD

Passed on 11-5-2005

Central Government vide notification No. L-12011/51/2000/IR (B-II) dated 11-9-2000 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication:

"Whether the action of the management of UCO Bank in concelling the notification dated 10-9-1996 for filling up the vacancy of Head Cashier category E vide circular dated 11-5-1998 and filling up the vacancy by posting Chief Cashier from officer cadre by transferring a officer from outside the branch is just and legal? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen/Union are entitled?"

- 2. Case repeatedly called. None has put up appearance on behalf of the Union today also nor affidavits in evidence has been filed by the Union. On persual of the file it is found that for the last many dates from 13-7-04 none is appearing for the Union despite notice to them. The learned counsel for the management submitted that it appears that Union is not interested to persue with the present reference and the case may be closed.
- 3. In view of the above submission and my persual of the order sheet. I have found that Union is not appearing. It appears that Union is not interested to persue with the present reference despite several dates for filling of the affidavit. In view of the same, the present reference is returned to the Central Govt. for want of prosecution. Central Govt. be informed. File be consigned to record.

Announced 11-5-2005

RAJESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2360.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार जवाहर लाल नेहरू पोर्ट ट्रस्ट के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में विवाचक श्री राजाभाऊ गवाण्डे, थाने, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 1/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 06-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

· [सं. एल-31011/25/2003-आईआर(बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2360.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 1/2004) of Sh. Rajabhau Gawande, Arbitrator, Thane as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to management of Jawahar Lal Nehru Port Trust and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 06-06-2005.

[No. L-31011/25/2003-IR (B-II)] C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy. ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI RAJABHAU GAWANDE, ARBITRATOR, THANE

Reference (VA) No. 1 of 2004

Between:

Shri R. Ravikumar Chief Manager (Admn.) & Secy., Jawaharlal Nehru Port Trust Sheva, Navi Mumbai.

Party No. 1

AND

Representatives of Party II

- (1) Shri Bhushan Patil, General Secretary, Nhava Sheva Bunder Kamgar Sanghatana (A) 64, Shopping Centre, 1st Floor, JNPT, Township, Uran-400 707.
- (2) Shri Shrikant Patil, Secretary, Nhava Sheva Port & General Workers' Union, Port Trust Kamgar Sadan, Nawab Tank Road, Mazgaon, Mumbai-400 010.
- (3) Shri G.U. Kolhe,
 Joint Secretary,
 Transport & Dock Workers' Union,
 P.D, Mello Bhavan, P. D'Mello Road,
 Carnac Bunder, Mumbai 400 038.Party No. II

Appearances:

S/Shri R. Ravikumar, CM(A) & Secretary, D.S. Garde, M(CT), S.M. Shetty, M(ES)—Representatives of the Company.

S/Shri Bhushan Patil, General Secretary, Ganesh Gharat, President, Prashant Bhagat, Treasurer—representatives of Nhava Sheva Bunder Kamgar Sanghatana (A).

S/Shri Jaiprakash Sawant, Vice President, Shrikant Patil, Secretary, J.A. Panda, Executive Committee Member—representatives of Nhava Sheva Port & General Workers' Union.

S/Shri Y.S. Rane, Joint Secretary, G.U. Kolhe, Joint Secretary, D.V. Pangavhane, Executive Member—representatives of Transport & Dock Workers' Union.

AWARD

This is a voluntary Reference under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, hereinafter referred to "as the Act" of a dispute between the parties mentioned above. It appears that there was a Memorandum of Settlement dated 24th August, 2000 arrived at before the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Mumbai, under Section 12(3) of the Act over incentive scheme in JNPT between the parties mentioned above.

2. Parties to the dispute have been unable to reach a Settlement on the quantum of the multiplying factors contained in Annexures I, II and III of the Settlement referred to above. Copies of the same was to be found at the end of the Award marked as Appendix and it is to be treated as the part of the Award. However, they agreed to refer this issue to my arbitration and accordantly they entered into an agreement. The agreement was forwarded to the appropriate authority and the Government of India/Bharat Sarkar, Ministry of Labour/Shrama Mantralaya by an order No. F. No. L-31011/25/2003-IR(B-II) dated 17th March, 2004, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3A) of Section 10A of the Act referred the said dispute to my arbitration. The said agreement was published in the Gazette of India dated May 9-15, 2004 in Part II, Section 3, Subsection (ii) at page 2327. This is how the Arbitrator is seized of the matter. Nature of the dispute can be gathered from the Schedule appearing in the said Gazette. Text of the said Schedule is reproduced below:---

SCHEDULE

"Whether multiplication factors indicated in Ann. I, II and III of the settlement dated 24-08-2000 between the management of Jawaharlal Nehru Port Trust and (i) Nhava Sheva Port and General Workers" Union, (ii) Nhava Sheva Bunder Kamgar Sanghatana (A) and (iii) Transport and Dock Workers' Union regarding incentive scheme are fair and reasonable? If not, what should be the multiplication factors?"

3. Parties were served with necessary notices. The Nhava Sheva Bunder Sanghatana (A), hereinafter

referred to as "The Sanghatana", has filed its Statement of Claim at Exhibit U-4. It contends that the Settlement in question was the first Settlement and prior to the same, there was no official sheeme of incentive for Class III and IV category of employees. It adds that while deciding the multiplying factors, no scientific method was adopted and the RTGC Operator was considered as a prime category, for which multiplying factor was fixed as Annexure I and in that proportion the multiplying factor was fixed for other categories. According to it, the multiplying factor is very high for certain categories and very low for other categories. It submits that there should be variation in the multiplying factor for different categories and the same should be reasonably and scientifically fixed according to the importance of the work and the sharing in productivity. It further submits that in the Port operation, the work of almost all categories of emplyees is co-related and interdependent on each other. Whenever the Cleaning Operator discharges the container from vessel, it is loaded on the tractor trailer, which is being transported by Heavy Duty Driver upto the Container Yard for stacking which is discharged by RTGC Operator or ICD to move it at its destination, which is discharged by RMGC Operator,

On all the cranes, i.e. RMQC, RTGC, RMGC, Checkers, Technicians, Assistant Technicians are deployed for giving proper signals and information. Thus the operation work of all categories of employees is interdependent. It further submits that the employees of the Port Trust are divided amongst different Unions on the basis of categories. The amount of incentive unreasonably varies from one category to another. Its grievance is that certain categories of employees are getting unreasonably more amount as compared to other categories. Referring to Clause No. 6 in the Settlement, it complains that this clause was not strictly implemented. Consequently, only certain employees of certain categories are getting maximum benefit from the existing incentive scheme. It is also complained that by keeping multiplying factor at low level, the Management is not utilising the budget amount fixed for incentive scheme. According to it, since all the employees of Jawaharlal Nehru Port Trust, for short referred to as JNPT and also as the Company, are directly or indirectly contributing to the productivity, new scheme should not be signed without applying it to all the categories of the employees. Lastly, it is prayed that in order to give justice to all categories of employees in the Operations Department, the multiplying factor should be fairly and reasonably increased in case of all categories especially lower categories like Technicians, Assistant Technicians. Checkers and Heavy Duty Drivers working at different places as stated in Appendix I to the Statement of Claim. It also submits that incentive scheme should be applied to all 1581 employees of Class III and IV categories and adds that the revised multiplying factor should be made applicable retrospectively i.e. from 24 th August 2000. Appendix I reads as follows:

APPENDIX-I

Demand for Revision in Multiplying Factor for Incentive Scheme

Category	Multiplying Factor	
	Existing	Demand
Jr. Engineer (Opm.) RMQC	1	1.35
Jr. Engineer (Opm.) RTGC	1	1.0
Technician	0.5	0.8
Checker	0.5	0.8
Driver	0.5	0.8
Asstt. Technician	0.5	0.8
Jr. Engineer (Maint.)	0.5	0.8
Technician (Maint)	0.5	0.8
H.D.D./Checker/Asstt. Tech. (Maint.)	0.4	0.8

ICD Operation(a) 100% Level

Category	Multiplying Factor		
	Existing	Demand	
Jr. Engineer (Oprn.)	0.7	1.0	
Technician	0.5	0.8	
Checker	0.5	0.8	
Driver	0.5	0.8	
Asstt. Technician	0.5	0.8	

ICD Operation(a) 90% Level

Category	Multiply	Multiplying Factor		
	Existing	Demand		
Jr. Engineer (Opm.)	0,5	0.9		
Technician	0.4	0.7		
Checker	0.4	0.7		
Driver	0.4	0.7		
Asstt. Technician	0.4	0.7		

ICD Operation@ 80% Level

Category	Multiplying Factor	
	Existing	Demand
Jr. Engineer (Opm.)	0.5	0.8
Technician	0.3	0.6
Checker	0.3	0.6
Driver	0,3	0.6
Asstt. Technician	0.3	0.6

ICD Operation @ 70% Level			
Category	Multiply	Multiplying Factor	
	Existing	Demand	
Jr. Engineer (Oprn.)	0.4	0.7	
Technician	0.2	0.5	
Checker	0.2	0.5	
Driver	0.2	0.5	
Asstt. Technician	0.2	0.5	

4. Nhava Sheva Port and General Workers' Union, hereinafter referred to as Workers Union, has filed its Statement of Claim at Exhibit UA 3. It has annexed proposed Annexures, I, II and III to the Statement of Claim and it submits that the multiplying factors may be revised as per the same. Claim of the Workers Union is based upon the nature of work involved in the Port operations and also on the duties and responsibilities of the workmen employed for performance of the Port authorities. It adds that the claim is based upon the risk factors involved in the operational work and in handling necessary equipments and also on the skill and knowledge involved in the operation and maintenance work. It submits that the occupational hazards of the workmen need to be taken into consideration. The Workers Union further submits to take into consideration the amount of contribution of the workmen in Port productivity and the revenue and the profits earned by the JNPT on account of the said productivity. It submits that an award be made after taking into consideration the multiplication factors indicated in the annexures to the Statement of Claim and the consequential benefits should also be given to the employees accordingly. Annexures I, II and III read as follows:

PROPOSED ANNEXURE-I

Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Union
1	2	3
Jr. Engineer (Opm.) RMQC	• 1	1.5
Jr. Engineer (Op.) RTGC	1	1
Technician (Op)	0.5	0.8
Drivers/A.Ts./Checker (Op)	0.5	0.8
Jr. Engineer (Maint.)	0.5	0.8
Technician (Maint.)	0.4	0.7
Drivers/A.Ts./Checkers (Mai Other Category (ICD & CFS)	nt.) 0.4	0.7

1	2	3
At a level of 100% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.7	0.8
Tech. (Op.)	0.5	0.6
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op.)	0.5	0.6
At a level of 90% performance	-	
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.5	0.7
Tech (Op.)	0.4	0.5
Drivers/A.Ts./Checkers (Op.)	0.4	0.5
At a level of 80% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.5	0.6
Tech. (Op.)	0.3	0.4
Drivers/A.Ts./Checkers (Op.)	0.3	0.4
At a level of 70% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.4	0.5
Tech (Op.)	0.2	0.3
Drivers/A.Ts./Checkers (Op.)	0.2	0.3

PROPOSED ANNEXURE-II

Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Unions
Jr. Engineer (R/O)	1	1.5
Jr. Engineer (RM)	0.75	1
Tech & AT (R/O)	0.5	0.6
Tech/AT (M)	0.5	0.6
BTCC/Shipping Maintenanc Sub Stores	ę/	
Jr. Engr.	0.5	0.6
Tech/AT	0.4	0.5
HDD	0.3	0.4
Checkers	0.3	0.4
Mechanical Bagging Opms. performance level of 100%	at	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.4	0.5
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.5	0.6
Mechanical Bagging Oprns. Performance level of 90%	at	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.3	0.4
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.4	0.5
Mechanical Bagging Oprns. Performance level of 80%	At '·	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.2	0.3
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.3	0.4
Mechanical Bagging Oprns. Performance level of 70%	At	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.2	0.3
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.25	0.4

PROPOSED ANNEXURE-III			
Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Unions	
Engg. Services & Marine D	ept.		
Jr. Engr.Supervisor (P.C. & Signal Stn.)	0.25	0.5	
Tech./Signal Supdt./Station Officer	0.2	0.4	
Drivers/A.T.s/Sub. Officers/ Checkers/Sr. Signal Man/Jr. Signal Man/DCPO/Fireman	0.2	0.4	
Indoor Staff & PPD Deptt. O.S./Surveyor/Matron/Drau Gr. I.J.E. (Civil)	ghtsman 0.125	0.25	
Steno Gr. I/Sr. Asstt./Audit Computer Operator/Hindi Tr Sister-in-charge Radiograph A.T. (Civil)	ranslator/	0.2	
Steno Gr. II/Jrt. Asstt./ Staff Lab. Technician/Pharmacist/ Telex Optr. Staff Car Driver/ Hindi Typist/Theatre Asstt.		0.2	
Peon/Jamadar/Jamadar Gr. I/ Mazdoor/Zerox Operator/Ho Attendant		0.15	
Attendant	0.067	0.13	

5. The Transport and Dock Workers' Union, hereinafter referred to as the Dock Workers Union, has filed its Statement of Claim at Exhibit UB-3. It has annexed in all three annexures captioned as proposed Annexures I, II and III to the Statement of Claim and it submits that the award be made as indicated by the three annexures. It submits that this claim is based upon the nature of the work, the duties and responsibilities shouldered by the workmen, the risk factors involved in the operational work in handling equipments and also on the skill and knowledge involved in the operation and maintenance of work as also upon the occupational hazards the workmen are required to face. It also urges the Arbitrator to take into consideration the contribution of the workmen in the Port productivity and the revenue and profits earned by the JNPT. It prays that an award be made after taking into consideration the multiplication factors indicated in the annexures and effect of the same be given retrospectively. The text of the proposed annexure reads as follows:—,

PROPOSED ANNEXURE-I

Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Unions
1	2	3
Jr. Engineer (Op.) RMQC	1	1.5
Jr. Engineer (Op.) RTGC	1	1

1	2	3
Tech. (Op.)/Checkers/ Drivers/A.T.s.—RMQC	0.5	0.7
Tech. (Op.)/Checkers/ Drivers/A.Ts./R.TGC	0.5	0.6
Jr. Engineer (Maint.)	0.5	0.8
Tech. (Mant.)	0.4	0.7
Drivers/A.T.s/Checkers (Maint.)	0.4	0.7
Other Category (ICD & CFS)		
At a level of 100% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.7	0.8
Tech (Op.)	0.5	0.6
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op.)	0.5	0.6
At a level of 90% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.5	0.7
Tech (Op.)	0.4	0,55
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op.)	0.4	0.55
At a level of 80% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.5	0.6
Tech (Op.)	0.3	0.4
Drivers/A.Ts./Checkers (Op.)	0.3	0.4
At a level of 70% performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op.)	0.4	0.5
Tech (Op.)	0.2	0.3
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op.)	0.2	0.3

PROPOSED ANNEXURE - II

Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Union
1	2	3
Jr. Engineer (R/O)	1	1.5
Jr. Engineer (RM)	0.75	1
Tech & AT (R/O)	0.5	0.6
Tech./A.T. (M)	0.5	0.6
BTCC/Shipping Maintenan Sub Stores/Auxiliary facilit		
Jr. Engineer	0.5	0.6
Tech/AT	0.4	0.5
HDD	0.3	0.4
Checkers	0.3	0.4
Mechanical Bagging Oprns	3.	
At Performance Level of 1	00%	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.4	0.5
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.5	0.6
Mechanical Bagging Oprns	5.	

1	2	3
At Performance	e level of 90%	
Jr. engr. (S/O)	0.3	0.4
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.4	0.5
Mechanical Bagging Oprns.		
At Performance level of 80%	%	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.2	0.3
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.3	0.4
Mechanical Bagging Oprns.		
At Performance level of 70	%	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.2	0.3
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.25	0.4

PROPOSED APPENDIX-III

Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Union
Engg. Services & Marin	ne Dept.	
Jr. Engr.Supervisor (P.C. Signal Stn.)	& 0.25	0.5
Tech./Signal Supdt./State Officer	tion 0.2	0.4
Drivers/A.T.s/Sub. Offic Checkers/Sr. Signal Man Signal Man/DCPO/Firen	/ Jr .	0.4
Indoor Staff & PPD Dep	tt.	
O.S./Surveyor/Matron/I Gr. I.J.E. (Civil)	Oraughtsman 0.125	0.25
Steno Gr. I/Sr. Asstt./Au Computer Operator/Hind Sister-in-charge Radiogr A.T. (Civil)	li Translator/	0.25
Steno Gr. II/Jrt. Asstt. St Lab. Technician/Pharma Telex Optr. Staff Car Driv Hindi Typist/Theatre As	cist/ ver/	0.2
Peon/Jamadar/Jamadar C Mazdoor/Zerox Operato Attendant		0.17

6. The Management of JNPT, hereinafter referred to as the Company has filed its Written Statement to these Statement of Claims at Exhibit C-2. It submits that the total number of workmen employed under the undertaking is 1581 and 609 employees are eligible for the incentive payment under the settlement dated 24th August, 2000. It submits that Annexure I of the Settlement dated 24th August, 2000 relates to the Container Terminal, Annexure II relates to the Bulk Terminal and Annexure III relates to other administrative staff. Annexures II and III could not be implemented. In respect of Bulk Terminal, because of

the dwindling bulk traffic, it was not possible to achieve the minimum throughput level mentioned in Annexure II. Therefore, a decision was taken to convert the Bulk Terminal into a Container Terminal on BOT basis. Bulk Terminal, as it was originally envisaged, no longer exists and all the main structures have been demolished. In respect of Annexure III, the matter was to be referred to Indian Port Association for concurrence before it could be implemented. The concurrence of Indian Port Association was not received. Therefore, Annexure III could not be implemented. According to it, the issue to be decided by the Arbitrator is the quantum of multiplication factors, as contained in Annexure I only. It adds that this is clear from Para 2 of the terms of reference, which states that the total number of workmen employed in the undertaking is 1581 and 609 are eigible for the incentive payment under the Settlement. (THIS POSITION IS LATER ON ADMITTED BY THE UNIONS WHILE MAKING SUBMISSIONS BEFORE ME). According to it, the existing incentive scheme is very liberal. Moreover, the datum fixed are very low. In the neighbouring NSICT terminal, no incentive payment is paid if the productivity was below 23 moves per hour whereas in JNPT it is 12 moves per hour. In JNPCT 1: 1 reliever is provided both for RMQC and connected RTGC working. In other words, the Junior Engineering in fact effectively works for 4 hours instead of the usual 8 hours and gets his full wages. Similarly, 2:1 reliever pattern is provided in all other categories working for various operations in Container Terminal, which means that on an average each worker is effectively working only for five and half hours instead of 8 hours duty. Over and above, he gets the incentive payment for productivity above data. The productivity levels so far achieved in JNPT are well below the international norms. It further submits that there has been constant criticism that the productivity levels of JNPT are low and pre-berthing detention and turnaround time of the ships is very high. It submits that the existing multipliction factors are already on the higher side and more than commensurate with the actual skills, level of productivity, nature of work, time spent and the qualification of the workers involved. It criticises that what has been proposed by the the Unions is irrational and without any basis, that the Unions have not given any scientific reasoning as to why the multiplication factors stated by them should be accepted. In fact, the multiplication factors as claimed by them in some cases are more than the multiplication factors they had actually demanded during the discussion with the management while finalising the Settlement. It adds that the incentive amounts paid under the existing scheme have been substantial and it was of the order of Rs. 1.62 crores during 2002-03 and on an average about Rs. 13.5 lakhs per month and Rs. 1.87 crores during 2003-04 and on an average about Rs. 15.6 lakhs per month. In addition to the incentive payment, the workers also get substantial overtime payment. It prays that the Arbitrator may be

pleased to pass an award to the effect that the multiplication factors indicated in Annexures I, II and III of the Settlement dated 24th August 2000 are fair and reasonable and there is no need to make any modification. Company has given calculation of Multiplying Factors worked out on the basis of merits of different criteria for different categories of emplyees in Annexure I of its Written Statement Exhibit C-2. Copy of the same is to be found at the end of the Award marked as Appendix II and it s to be treated as part of this Award.

All the three Unions, namely, Sanghatana, Workers Union and the Dock Workers Union at a later stage have filed a joint rejoinder at Exhibit U,UA, UB-1. Along with this rejoinder, they have placed on record proposed Annexure A, proposed Annexure B and proposed Annexure C and they submit that what is indicated by these annexures is fully legal and justified. These proposed annexures are based upon the nature of work involved in the Port Operations and upon the duties and responsibilities shouldered by the workmen employed for performance of Port Operations. The skill as also the risk factors are taken into consideration. The occupational hazards the workmen are required to face are also taken into consideration. Similarly, the amount of contribution of the workmen in Port productivity and to the revenue and profits earned by JNPT are also taken into consideration. The claim is justified because of the contribution of the workers in the JNPT has been accredited with ISO 9002 certification. It ranks among top 30 container ports in the world. It handles about 55-60% of the total containerised cargo of the country. It ensures the fastest turn-around amongst all Indian Ports and it has grown exponentially to reach Super Port status and its financial performance has always remained remarkable. It has referred to the revenue earned by JNPT. It urged that the proposals indicated by the three annexures, namely, A, B and C annexed to the rejoinder be taken into consideration and appropriate award be made in the light of the same. The same are as under:

PROPOSED APPENDIX-A

Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Union
1	2	3
Jr. Engr. (OP)-RMQC	1	1.5
Jr. Engr. (OP)-RMQC	1	1
Tech (Op)	0.5	0.8
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op)	0.5	0.8
Jr. Engr. (Mant.)	0.5	0.8
Tech (Mant)	0.4	0.7
Other Category (ICD & CFS)	*	0.7

1	2	3
At a Level of 100% Performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op)	0.7	0.8
Tech (Op)	0.5	0.6
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op)	0.5	0.6
At a Level of 90% Performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op)	0.5	0.7
Tech (Op)	0.4	0.5
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op)	0.4	0.5
At a Level of 80% Performance		
Jr. Engr. (Op)	0.5	0.6
Tech (Op)	0.3	0.4
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op)	0.3	0.4
At a Level of 70% Performance		
Jr, Engr. (Op)	0.4	0.5
Tech (Op)	0.2	0.3
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Op)	0.2	0.3

PROPOSED APPENDIX-B

Category	Multiplying Factor in the	Multiplying Factor as
	Settlement	claimed by the Union
Jr. Engr. (R/O)	1	1.5
Jr. Engr. (RM)	0.75	1
Tech & AT (M)	0.5	0.6
Tech/A.T. (M)	0.5	0.6
BTCC/Shipping Maintenance	e/	
Sub Stores		
Jr. Engr.	0.5	0.6
Tech/AT	0.4	0.5
HDD	0.3	0.4
Checkers	.0.3	0.4
Mechanical Bagging Oprns.		
At Performance level of 100%	ó	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.4	0.5
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.5	0.6
Mechanical Bagging Opms. AT performance level of 90%)	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.3	0.4
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.4	0.5
Mechanical Bagging Oprns. AT performance level of 90%	•	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.2	0.3
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.3	0.4
Mechanical Bagging Oprns. AT performance level of 90%	1	
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	0.2	0.3
Tech. & AT (S/O)	0.25	0.4

PROPOSED A	APPENDIX-C	
Category	Multiplying Factor in the Settlement	Multiplying Factor as claimed by the Union
Engg. Services & Marine De	pt.	
Jr. Engr./Supervisor (P.C. & Signal Stn.)	0.25	0.5
Tech/Signal Supdt./Station Officer	0.2	0.4
Drivers/A.Ts./Sub.Officers/ Checkers Sr. Signal Man/ Jr. Signal Man/DCPO/Firema	n 0.2	0.4
Indoor Staff & PPD Deptt. O.S./Surveyor/Matron/ Draughtsman Gr. I.J.E. (Civil)	0.125	0.25
Steno Gr. I/SR. Asstt./ Audit Astt./Computer Opera Hindi Translator/Sister-in-ch Radiographer/A.T. (Civil)		0.2
Steno Gr. II/Jr. Asstt/Staff No Lab. Technician/Pharmasist/ Telex Optr. Staff Car Driver/ Hindi Typist/Theatre Asst.	urse/ 0.1	0.2
Peon/Jamadar/Jamadar Gr. I/ Mazdoor/Zerox Operator/ Hospital attendant	0.087	0.15
crospitat attonumit	0,007	0.15

8. The disputants requested the Arbitrator to make site inspection for the proper appreciation of the situation obtaining at the site and also for appreciating real nature of the issue involved in the matter. Accordingly, site inspection was made on 28th September 2004. However, site inspection could not be fully done on that day. It was only partly done. The further site inspection took place on 10th October 2004. The Company (Management) has placed on record Exhibit C-7. It is accompanied by a note. submitted by the Company (Management) urging the Arbitrator to take the same into consideration while making the site inspection. The Unions have placed on record their note which accompanies Exhibit U, UA, UB-5 urging the Arbitrator to take the same into consideration. During the course of inspection, I had occasion to hear Shri Pradeed Kumar, the Deputy Manager (Container Terminal and Operations) and also S/Shri Prashant Bhagat, G. U. Kolhe and Shri Sharad Khadke. There were few more workmen but I have named only these who were prominent amongst them. I had occasion to visit (i) Rail Mounted Gantry Crane (RMGC), (ii) Rubber Tyred Gantry Crane (RTGC) (Yard), (iii) Rail Mounted Quarry Crane (RMQC), (iv) Planning Section POC and (v) VTMS Marine Control Room, I had occasion to prepare my site inspection note which is to be found at Exhibit O-4. It speaks for itself.

- 9. It is felt necessary to refer to certain documents before referring to the oral evidence on record. Admittedly, the Settlement Exhibit C-18 between the parties took place on 24th August 2000. In particular, I refer to paragraph III of the Settlement which reads thus: "If any doubt arises on the interpretation of the above clauses/provisions of the incentive scheme, the matter will be discussed bilaterally for resolution. If no resolution emerges, the mattar will be referred to an Arbitrator under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947", (Emphasis supplied). Further, I refer to paragraph IV of the Settlement which reads thus: "Since the parties have been unable to reach a settlement on the quantum of the multiplying factor contained in Annexure I, II and III, it was agreed to refer this issue to arbitration by a mutually acceptable person under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act. This. however, will not hold up implementation of the Settlement in its existing form". Presisely speaking, it is by virtue of this provision that I am seized of the matter. Next I refer to paragraph VI of the Settlement which reads thus: "The settlement will be reviewed after a period of two years".
- 10. Further, it is felt necessary to refer to certain terms which are in the nature of abbreviations. These are RMOC which means Rail Mounted Ouav Crane: RTGC which means Rubber Tyred Gantry Crane; RMGC which means Rail Mounted Gantry Crane; CFS operation which refers to either the lifting of containers from the yard and giving delivery of these containers through the tractor trailers deployed by the container freight station or off loading of containers brought from the CFSs in the JNPT yard through the port equipments. ICD operation refer to off loading of containers (By RMGC) from the rakes deployed by the Container Corporation of India, placing it on the tractor trailer and shifting it to the designated yard/ vessel or vice versa. It is also necessary to understand what is meant by CFS operation at a level of 100% performance. It means when 91% and above import containers are delivered within 24 hours time (from the time of issue of job order) it is considered as 100% performance in incentive calculation. Lastly, we are concerned with the term M.F. which means Multiplication Factor. A somewhat brief explantion is needed to understand the import of this term. The major contribution for the Port productivity (from the employees cadre) is from the crane operators in RMQC. Their contribution is considered as unity or 100% and the contribution of other categories have been proportionately dervied as percentage of this RMOC operators contribution. This factor derived for the individual categories is referred to as Multiplication Factor for calculation of incentive. This can be further explaied by taking an illustration, e.g. when the incentive payable to Operator (Junior Engineer) of RMQC at a particular level of productivity is Rs. 5,000, then the categories of employees with 0.7 M.F. will get Rs. $5000 \times 0.7 = \text{Rs. } 3500 \text{ and so on.}$
- 11. Let me now refer to the evidence on record. On behalf of the Union as many as four witnesses have led

their evidence. Let me begin with Witness Shri Santram Nagnath Khavase. His evidence is to be found at Exhibit UB-6. He has placed on record his affidavit at Exhibit UB-5 which is treated as his evidence in chief. He has been cross-examined by the Company. He states that his monthly gross salary is Rs. 12500 excluding HRA. In addition, he gets overtime and also the incentive. He is in agreement with the suggestion put to him that the total amount of O.T. (overtime) and incentive comes to 50% of his gross salary. He is a Junior Engineer. He admits that the reliever is provided and the ratio is 1:1. He adds that the duty hours are four hours both for the operator and reliever. However, in practice the operator may work for two hours and then the work is handed over to the reliever who in turn works for two hours and so on and so forth. The two hours which he thus gets free, he spends the same in having rest, in eating his food and attending to toilet efc. He admits that he is in agreement with the suggestion that in all he does four hours active job.

12. The next witness is Shri Jaikrishna Anand Panda. His evidence is to be found at Exhibit UA-5. He has placed on record his affidavit at Exhibit UA-4 and the same is treated as his evidence in chief. He has been crossexamined at length by the Company. It appears from the responses made by him during the course of crossexamination that he was promoted as a Junior Engineer in the year 1996 and that for about ten years he has worked in Container Terminal (Maintenance). At present his job is to operate Container Handling Cranes namely RMQC, RTGC and RMGC as per the duty allocation. Gross salary of a Crane Operator is in the neighbourhood of Rs. 20,000 including incentive and overtime. On an average, the incentive amount which he gets is in the neighbourhood of Rs. 3000 to Rs. 4000 per month. The amount of overtime he earns per month is in the neighbourhood of Rs. 4000 to Rs. 5000. According to him, the substantial contribution to productivity is by Junior Engineer. He admits that the operational part of the job is four huors per operator and after the operational work he does some personal work. He takes tea and has his lunch and does work assigned to him by the Shift Incharge. He states that he spends some time in assisting the loading and unloading work. However, quick in succession he states that it is only when he is called upon to assist the loading and unloading work, his services are rendered in that context. He admits that otherwise he keeps himself idle. While concluding his evidence, he states that he is unable to state whether the work done by Crane Operator in NSICT is comparable with the work of Crane Operators in INPT.

13. Then the next witness is Shri Prashant Ramkrishna Bhagat. His evidence is at Exhibit U-6. He too has placed on record his affidavit Exhibit U-5 which has been treated as his evidence in chief. In his evidence in cross, he states that he has worked as a Crane Operator

for about three years. he did not get any chance to operate RMQCs. He adds that prior to introduction of the incentive scheme, the take home salary of a Junior Engineer was Rs. 8000 (Gross) per month. After the implementation of the scheme, the take home salary is Rs. 10000 (gross) per month. His Union represents only in JNPT and it has nothing to do with NSICT.

14. The last witness is Shri Jeevan Kamlakar Kadu. His evidence is at Exhibit UB-9. He too has placed on record his affidavit at Exhibit UB-8 and the same has been treated as his evidence in chief. From the responses made by him during the course of his cross-examination, it becomes clear that he had joined JNPT in the year 1989 as an Assistant Technician. Currently he is working as a Technician. In the year 2003, he has been transferred to the Container Terminal where he is working in the Maintenance Section. It is clear from his evidence that there are as many as 18 cranes operating at JNPT. He does not state anything substantial with a view to putting forth the case of the Union. This is the nature of evidence led on behalf of the Unions.

15. On behalf of the Company, two witnesses have given evidence. The first witness is Shri M. Sumitra Rao. He was working in the capacity of Senior Manager (Container Terminal) in the establishment of JNPT. His evidence is to be found at Exhibit C-11. He has placed on record his affidavit at Exhibit C-10. The same has been treated as his evidence in chief. He has been cross-examined at some length.

16. What is more important in the context of his evidence is what he has stated in his affidavit. There, we get the detailed justification of Multiplication Factors. It is to be found in paragraphs A, B, C and D of the affidavit. At this very stage, let me refer to Exhibit C-9 where we get justification of Multiplication Factors. When we compare the text of Exhibit C-9 to be found in particular at paragraphs A, B, C and D with what has been stated in Exhibit C-10 in the affidavit of Shri Rao, one has reason to observe that what is stated in both Exhibit C-9 and Exhibit C-10 is virtually the same and identical. As observed earlier, some discussion regarding paragraphs A, B, C and D is necessary as these hinge on the Multiplication Factors.

17. In paragraph A, there has been a reference to (i) Jr. Engr. (Op) RMQC (M.F. 1.0); (ii) Jr. Engr. (Op) RTGC (M.F. 1.0); (iii) Tech./Checker (Op)-RMQC (M.F. 0.6); (iv) Tech./Checker (Op)-RTGC (M.F. 0.6); (v) Asstt. Tech.-RMQC (M.F. 0.6) and (vi) Drivers (Op) (M.F. 0.6). In paragraph B, there has been a reference to (i) Jr. Engr. (Maint.) (M.F. 0.5); (ii) Technician (Maint.) (M.F. 0.4); (iii) Asstt. Technican (Maint.) (M.F. 0.4) and (iv) Driver (Maint.) (M.F. 0.4). In paragraph C, there has been a refence to (i) Jr. Eng. (Op)-RMGC/RTGC (M.F. 0.7); (ii) Technician/Checker (Op)-RMGC/RTGC (M.F. 0.5); (iii) Asstt. Technician-RMGC (M.F. 0.5) and (iv) Driver (Op)-RMGC (M.F. 0.5). Lastely in paragraph D, there has been a reference to (i) Jr. Engineer (M.F. 0.7) and (ii) Technician/Checker (M.F. 0.5).

- 18. These headings are important because those mentioned above constitute what is called as a team and it is this team that does the work in question. It may be noted here only that the Company has given out the nature of work required to be done by the individual categories constituting the team. It is also mentioned as to whether the work is heavy and requires skill. It is also mentioned as to whether the nature of work is continuous or otherwise. In short, I may state that all the required details projecting on record the nature of work etc. to be carried out by the individual categories of workmen is found in paragraphs A, B, C and D. What exactly is the worth of the same will be considered at the appropriate place. Incidentally, I may observe that although possible, no Union has placed any rejoinder to the details to be found in paragraphs A, B, C and D.
- 19. The next witness examined by the Company is Shri Anand Krishnrao Hambarde. His evidence is to be found at Exhibit C-15. He has placed on record his affidavit at Exhibit C-14 and the same has been treated as his evidence in chief. He too has been cross-examined at some length by the Unions. It appears that the witness joined JNPT in 1990 as an Assistant Manager (Operations). He is a Graduate in Engineering. According to him, a good deal of concentration is required for operating the cranes. He adds that other categories also need to have concentration but the degree is not the same which the Operator is required to have in the context of concentration. He admits that while JNPT is a Government concern, NSICT is a private one. He makes it clear that he is comparing with NSICT because it is a neighbouring terminal and working with the same environment. However, he adds that he cannot state if the working conditions in JNPT Terminal and the NSICT Terminal are identical because he is not aware of the working conditions obtaining in NSCIT. He concludes his evidence by saying that he does not know the quality and standard of NSICT equipments and hence he is unable to answer the question: whether the quality and standard of NSICT equipments and JNPT equipments are not the same? I shall have occasion to refer to some relevant responses made by him at a later stage while discussing the evidence at large on record. This is the nature of the oral evidence adduced by the Company.
- 20. Both Shri Sumitra Rao and Shri Anand Krishnrao Hambarde have been cross-examined by the Unions and I shall have occasion to refer to some relevant responses made by them at a later stage while discussing the evidence at large on record.
- 21. Issue for consideration is whether the multiplication factors indicated in Annexures I, II and III of the Settlement Exhibit C-18 are fair and reasonable. The later part of the issue is: if not, what should be the multiplication factors?
- 22. It is the contention of the Unions that the multiplication factors are neither fair nor reasonable. Let

- me first refer to their pleadings. The Sanghtana which figures as Union No. 1 In its Statement of Claim Exhibit U-4 has mainly pleaded three points. According to it, the amount of incentive unreasonably varies from one category to another, clause 6.0 which refers to the staff being subject to periodic transfer was not strictly implemented and, lastly, the revised scheme should be made applicable to all 1581 employees. The Workers'Union which figures as Union No. 2 in its Statement of Claim Exhibit UA-3 has mainly pleaded that the claim should be revised in the context of multiplication factors and consideration for this revision should be the risk factor and also the skill and knowledge factors involved in the operation of the work. It is also urged that the contribution of the workmen made in port productivity should also be taken into consideration. The Dock Workers' Union which figures as Union No. 3 in its Statement of Claim Exhibit UB-3 has raised two points. It is pleaded that the multiplying factors are required to be revised in the interest of port productivity and in order to ensure fairness to the workman as a share of return on gains of productivity. It invities may attention to the fact that the workmen have increased productivity during the currency of the Settlement.
- 23. It may be added here that all the three Unions later on placed a joint rejoinder to the Written Statement filed by the Company at Exhibits U, UA, UB-1. It proposed multiplication factors are to be found in Annexures A, B and C. It is now the contention of all the Union vide this joint rejoinder that these proposals are based upon the nature of work, the duties and responsibilities shouldered by workmen, the skill as also the risk factor, the occupational hazards the weekmen are required to face should also be taken into correlderation. In particular, more stress has been given to the fact that JNPT has been accredited with ISO 9002 Certification and that it ranks in top 30 Container Ports in the world and it handles about 55-60% of the containers cargo of the country. It is urged by the Unions that these factors be considered and the multiplication factor be revised.
- 24. A careful reading of these pleadings will instantly show that nothing specifically has been stated how the existing multiplying factors are neither fair nor reasonable.
- 25. I do not wish to reproduce the relevant and important pleadings to be found in the Written Statement Exhibit C-2 filed by the Company as at an earlier stage I have made a mention thereof. Suffice it to say that Company has justifiably placed reliance on the justification of the multiplication factors given in the existing incentive scheme (Exhibit C-9).
- 26. On behalf of the Unions representing the workmen, it is chiefly argued that the claim put forth by them is based upon the duties and responsibilities those are being shouldered by the workmen and also upon the

skill factor and the risk factor. Then there are the occupational hazards too. Further it is argued that the Company has earned a very good revenue and the workmen are entitled to upward revision of the multiplication factors as their share in the gain. They conclude their submissions by saying that the claim put forth by them is based on the actual experience and practical approach. They urge me to make an upward revision in the multiplication factors.

- 27. On the other hand, it is argued on behalf of the Company that the Unions have failed to furnish any convincing material justifying the upward revision of the multiplication factors. In the form of justification, however, Company has shown how the exiting multiplication factors are both fair and reasonable. According to the Company, there are other reasons also for improvement in productivity like reviewing the operational procedures from time to time, induction of new equipments and hiring of more than 100 tractor trailers for augmenting the capacity of the terminal. It submits that the multiplication factors are justified on the basis of actual nature of work, hardship involved and educational qualification, skills, effect on port productivity. In particular, my attention is invited to the evidence of Shri Hambarde who states that the requirement of engaging external agencies for deriving the multiplication factors was not necesscitated as JNPT is the leading container port in India and the officers involved in preparation of the scheme were working in the subject filed for sufficient time and they have derived the multiplication factors judiciously based on the involvement and the skills of each category of workmen. He urges me to reject the demand of the Unions for upward revision.
- 28. I now refer to the relevant evidence and certain important responses made by the witnesses during the course of their evidence in cross. In his affidavit Exhibit U-5, which is treated as evidence in chief, Shri Prashant Ramchandra Bhagat has given as many as one to ten grounds justifying the increase in the multiplication factors. Now, it may be noted here only that Shri Jaikishna Anand Pande in his affidavit Exhibit UA-4 has given the same grounds. Same is the case with Shri Santram Nagnath Khavase, who in his affidavit Exhibit UB-5 has given the very same grounds and lastly the witness Shri Jeevan Kamlakar Kadu in his affidavit Exhibit UB-8 has followed suit. A careful reading of these responses does not throw any light on the issue, namely, whether or not the existing or not the existing multiplying factors are fair and reasonable or otherwise.
- 29. I now refer to the evidence of Shri Sumitra Rao whose affidavit, as stated earlier, is at Exhibit C-10 and the same has been treated as his evidence in chief. In his evidence in cross, he has stuck up to the stand taken up by the Company and has not deviated from the same despite his lengthy cross-examination.

- 30. While responding to the question put to him by the Court, Shri M. Sumitra Rao admits that even though he has made a reference to the same of NSIT, he has not produced any official record or records to substantiate the same. At one stage he happened to state: "Right from CMO to the Assistant Engineer level—we framed the present incentive scheme". Now, this statement rings as if the Unions were excluded while framing the present incentive scheme. He was required to admit that unfortunately what he stated gives such an impression. I am of the view that this part of his evidence should not come in the way of making evaluation of his evidence in its totality because it is a fact that there were as many a sixteen meetings between the Management and the Unions when the terms of settlement were discussed. Morever, it is not the case of the Unions that they were not parties to the Settlement Exhibit C-18. His evidence coupled with the justification Exhibit C-9 particularly what is stated in paragraphs A, B, C and D does strengthen the plea of the Company that the existing multiplication factors are both fair and reasonable. To say that his evidence is motivated because he happens to be an ex-officer of the Company is to over-simplify the issue. In fact he is the concerned officer who has personal knowledge regarding the framing of the incentive scheme. He was on the spot and he had the advantage of having day to day experience whole supervising the work of the concerned workman.
- 31. The next witness is Shri A. K. Hambarde and, as stated earlier, his affidavit Exhibit C-14 has been treated as his evidence in chief. His prime contention is that since the JNPT is the major Container handling Port in India and the Officers were having sufficient experience in the subject field, no assistance from outside agencies was obtained. He has stood firm to his stand during the course of his evidence in cross. And there has been no specific challenge to his prime contention stated above. He has impressed me by his evidence.
- 32. Evidence of the Company's two witnesses read with the justification Exhibit C-9 enables one to hold that what is stated by them is both relevant and important in the context of the multiplication factors. Burden of proof shifts depending on the nature of the case. In the instant case, while it is for the Unions to establish that the existing multiplication factors are neither fair nor reasonable, it is for the Company to establish that they are both fair and reasonable. In view of this special feature of the case, I have made the observation that the burden of proof shifts.
- 33. In fact, it is difficult to define the two expressions, namely, (i) fair and (ii) reasonable. Referring to Webster's Distionary, it is possible to say that by "fair" is meant just, equitable, impartial, unbiased. The expression "reasonable" is capable of meaning that which is agreeable to reason, not absurd, within the limit of reason. In fact, it is an objective expression and its objectivity is to be

determined judiciously by the Court. All this does not advance our understanding of the two expressions and one has to consider them in the light of facts on record. In this context, there is one more aspect for consideration. Evidence on record led by the parties does not help the Arbitrator to comprehend the import of the expression scientific data and/or job evaluation to which a reference has been made during the course of examination of the parties. In the absence of necessary evidence on record at the hands of external agency, one has reason to hold that experience is and should be the guiding principle. It is rightly said that experience is the best teacher and it teaches one more than what the theoretical volume teaches. The concerned workmen were carrying out their duties and the supervisors were supervising their day to day work. The inter-action between the two must have given some guideline to both of them to determine as to what is meant by a scientific data and/or job evaluation. The arbitrator will certainly be justified in taking a judicial note of the fact that the practical experience is more important and that it goes a long way in the determination of scientific data and/or job evaluation. Moreover, the Arbitrator cannot be oblivious of the fact that the inter-action between the two and the day to day experience gathered by them was giving results. It is in this back ground that one has to read the various terms in the Settlement Exibit C-18 bearing in mind that there were many sittings attended by both the parties who discussed the issue under consideration and finally the prime amount could be fixed and the multiplication factors could be determined. It cannot be said that the arrangement that evolved was chance-arrangement. Certainly, there was application of mind on the part of all the concerned.

- 34. I have given an anxious thought to the oral as also the documentary evidence on record. Evidence led by the Unions, in my view is neither inspiring nor satisfactory and it does not help them to establish that the present multiplication factors are unfair and unreasonable. They have led evidence without fully understanding the issue before the Tribunal. It appears that they treated the issue on a par with the Charter of Demands. On the other hand, evidence led by the Company at the hands of the two witnesses is cogent, to the point and the same merits to be preferred as it has the character of compelling acceptance. Banking on the same, I hold that the existing multiplication factors are fair and reasonable and record my finding on the issue accordingly. In view of this finding, the latter part of the issue does not survive. It follows from this that the present arrangement in the context of multiplication factors need not be disturbed. It remains the same.
- 35. To make the long story a short one, the Company wins and the Unions lose in this Reference.
- 36. However, before I conclude, I wish to make a few observations. I refer to the text of the Settlement (Exhibit

- C-18). Here the basic arrangement is that there is a prime amount called as X and the multiplication factors called as Y, Y1, Y2 etc. Both of these are inter-related because they are concerning with amelioration of the individual units of an organic whole. Whether in a concrete instance, prime amount is increased or in the alternative the multiplication factors are increased, the sum total of the benefit accruing to the individual unit of the organic whole will remain unaffected.
- 37. In the overall context of the dispute I am seized of, I could not consider the issue of giving a better allocation to the concerned workmen. In fact it can be accomplished by reasonably increasing the prime amount. I am unable to increase or decrease the prime amount for want of jurisdiction. My jurisdiction is confined only to the determination of the multiplication factors. Now, the legal position on this score is very clear. The Tribunal is not free to enlarge the scope of the dispute referred to it. It must confine its adjudication to the point/s specifically mentioned. Thus the scope is limited. It cannot be enlarged. Enlarging the scope will amount to travelling beyond the scope of Reference.
- 38. I may refer to clause 7.VI of the Settlement (Exhibit C-18) which reads thus: "The Settlement will be reviewed after a period of two years". Now, the said two years period is already over and the parties, if they so desire, may think of resorting to this enabling provision. There they do get a premise to review the existing Settlement in its entirety and in particular the quantum of the prime amount.
- 39. That the dispute could not be resolved yesterday does not necessarily mean that it cannot be resolved today or tomorrow. The guideline is and should be: "Do not allow yesterday to sit on today lest the concerned workmen community would lose its tomorrow". It will be useful to seek mutual dialogue between the Company and the three Unions and this alone can lead to the settlement of the issue.
- 40. Social justice imperative has constrained me to make the above said observations and the other considerations are the hardships suffered by the concerned workmen as a team and their valuable contribution towards increasing port productivity. These observations are in the nature of loud thinking and it is hoped that the parties to the present dispute will not lend a Nelsons's eye to these observations. It is my carnest plea that these observations may be seriously considered by the disputants because 1 firmly believe that Management and Labour should be a great family living in unity and harmony.
 - 41. Award accordingly.

RAJABHAU GAWANDE, Arbitrator

Thane

Dated: 18th May 2005

APPENDIX I Incentive Scheme for Technical Staff in Container Terminal (Financial Implication)

											Updated on 28-03-2000	28-03-2000
Categories Mult	Multiplying factor	No. of employees	Amount of incentive PM at 12-13.99	Total incentive for the Month	Amount of incentive PM at 14-15.99 moves/hr	Total incentive for the Month	Amount of incentive PM at 16-17.99 moves/hr	Total incentive for the Month	Amount of incentive PM at 18-19.99 moves/hr	Total incentive for the Month	Amount of incentive PM at 20 and above moves/hr	Total incentive for the Month
-	2	3	4	S	9	7	∞	6	10	=	12	13
Jr. Engr. (Op)-RMQC	-	42	3,250	136,500	3,700	155,400	4,500	189,00	5,500	231,000	7,000	294,000
Jr. Engr. (Op)-RTGC	-	42	3,250	136,500	3,700	155,400	4,500	189,000	5,500	231,000	7,000	294,000
Tech (Op)	9.0	35	1,950	68,250	2,220	7,7,700	2,700	94,500	3,300	115,500	4,200	147,000
Drivers/A.T.s/Checkers (OP)	9.0	114	1,950	222,300	2,220	253,080	2,700	307,800	3,300	376,200	4,200	478,800
Jr. Engr. (Mant)	0.5	23	1,625	37,375	1,850	42,550	2,250	51,750	2,750	63,250	3,500	80,500
Tech (Mant)	0.4	34	1,300	44,200	1,480	50,320	1,800	61,200	2,200	74,800	2,800	95,200
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (Mant)	0.4	29	1,300	37,700	1,480	42,920	1,800	52,200	2,200	63,800	2,800	81,200
Other category (ICD & CFS)												
At a level of 100% performance	ų											
Jr. Engr. (Op)	0.7	36	2,275	81,900	2,590	93,240	3,150	113,400	3,850	138,600	4,900	176,400
Tech (Op)	0.5	34	1,625	55,250	1,850	62,900	2,250	76,500	2,750	93,500	3,500	119,000
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (OP)	0.5	06	1,625	146,250	1,850	166,500	2,250	202,500	2,750	. 247,500	3,500	315,000
Total		419										
Total a level of 90% performance	o											
Jr. Engr. (Op)	9.0	36	1,950		2,220		2,700		3,300		4,200	
Tech (Op)	0.4	34	1,300		1,480		1,800		2,200		2,800	
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (OP)	0.4	06	1,300		1,480		1,800		2,200		2,800	
At a level of 80% performance												
Jr. Engr. (Op)	0.5	36	1,625		1,850		2,250		2,750		3,500	
Tech (Op)	0.3	34	975		1,110		1,350		1,650		2,100	
Drivers/A.Ts/Checkers (OP)	0.3	06	975		1,110		1,350		1,650		2,100	
At a level of 70% performance												
Jr. Engr. (Op)	0.4	36	1,300		1,480		1,800		2,200		2,800	
Tech (Op)	0.2	34	650		740		006		1,100		1,400	
Drivers/A.Ts /Checkers (OP)	0.2	06	650		740		006		1,100		1,400	
Grand total/month				966,225		1,100,010		1,337,850		1,635,150		2,081,100
Grand Total/Annum	ı			11,594,700		13,200,120		16,054,200		19,621,800		24,973,200

CFS Operation within 24 hrs.	91 & Above = 0.7	86-90% = 0.6	80-85% = 0.5	16 000 / L
ICD Operation	4-4.59 = 0.7	5-5.59 = 0.6	6-6.59 = 0.5	

Eligible criteria for calculating incentive based on the ship operations are as follows:

1. For handling 30,000 containers and above in a month is 100%

2. For handling 29,000 to 29,999 containers in a month is 95%.

3. For handling 28,000 to 28,999 containers in a month is 90%.

4. For handling 27,000 to 27,999 containers in a month is 85%.

5. For handling 26,000 to 26,999 containers in a month is 80%.

6. For handling 25,000 to 25,999 containers in a month is 75%.

7. For handling containers below 25,000 in a month is -NIL-.

Note: (i) The gross craneproductivity per month shall be calculated based on the average gross crane productivity of the vessels handled in that month.

Delay for the reasons stated below shall be deducted from the total crane working hours for the purpose of calculation of INCENTIVE.

1. Delay due to non-compliance of custom formalities by the agent between first and last move.

2. Delay more than one hour at astreach on account of hired/leased equipment.

3. Delay due to forced majeour conditions such as inclement weather condition, mainpower supply failure due to extraneous reasons, etc.

4. Delay due to shifting of vessel from one berth to other.

APPENDIX II

Proposed incentive scheme for bulk terminal (Updated 16-02-2000 with multiplying factor as per existing practice)

Category	Multiply-	No. of	No. of Avg Dish 30	1000-3999	4	4000-4999	2000-	5000-5999 MT	-0009	TM 6669-0009	7000	7000-7999 MT	8000	8000 & above
	ing factor	Emplo-	Incentive p month	Total amount per month	Incentive	Total amount per month								
-	2	3	4	8	9	7	∞	6,	10	=	12	13	14	15
Jr. Engr. (R/O)	-	28	2500	70000	2800	78400	3300	92400	4000	112000	4900	137200	0009	168000
Jr. Engr (R/M)	0.75	9	1875	11250	2100	12600	2475	14850	3000	18000	3675	22050	4500	27000
Tech. & AT (r/O)	0.5	63	1250	78750	1400	88200	1650	103950	2000	126000	2450	154350	3000	189000
Tech/A.T. (M)	0.5	41	1250	51250	1400	57400	1650	67650	2000	82000	2450	100450	3000	123000
BTCC/Shipping maintenance/Sub Stores. Auxillar Facility etc.	nce/Sub Stores	. Auxillar	Facility etc.											
Jr. Engr.	0.5	14	1250	17500	1400	19600	1650	23100	2000	28000	2450	34300	3000	42000
Tech/AT	0.4	130	1000	130000	1120	145600	1320	171600	1600	20800	1960	254800	2400	312000
HDD	0.3	33	750	24750	840	27720	066	32670	1200	39600	1470	48510	1800	59400
Checkers	0.3	34	750	25500	840	28560	066	33660	1200	40800	1470	49980	1800	61200

-1-	2	3	4	8	9	7	∞	6	10	=	12	13	14	15
Machanical Bagging Oprn.s at performance level of 100%	performanc	ce level of	100%											
Jr. Engr. (S/O)	6.4	9	1000	0009	1120	6720	1320	7920	1600	0096	1960	11760	2400	14400
Tech & AT (S/O)	0.5	135	1250	168750	1400	189000	1650	222750	2000	270000	2450	330750	3000	405000
Machanical Bagging Opms. at performance level of 90%	performanc	ce level of	%06											
Jr. Engr (S/O)	0.3	9	750		840		066		1200		1470		1800	
Tech. & AT(S/0)	0.4	135	1000		1120		1320		1600		1960		2400	
Machanical Bagging Orpns. at performance level of 80%	: performanc	se level of	%08											
Jr. Engr (S/O)	0.2	9	200		260		099		800		086		1200	
Tech & AT (S/O)	0.3	135	750		840		066		1200		1470		1800	
Machanical Bagging Opms. at performance level of 70%	: performanc	se level of	%02											
Jr. Engr (S/O)	0.2	9	200		260		099		800		086		1200	
Tech & AT (S/O)	0.25	135	625		700		825		1000		1225		1500	
Total				583750		653800		770550		934000		1144150	_	1401000
Total for 12 months				7005000		7845600		9246600		11208000	_	13729800		16812000

(1) Bagging Operations : Incentive for bagging will be considered subject to minimum throughput of 10000 MT Mechanical Bagging per month.

Average Bagging in a day: 1000 MT & Above-100% Performance level. Average Bagging in a day: 800 MT to 999 MT-90% Performance level.

Average Bagging in a Day: 600 MT to 799 MT-80% Performance level.

Average Bagging in a Day: 400 MT & above-70% Performance level.

Time lost due to major detentions with complete stoppage of discharge oprns. in the particular vessel/mechanical bagging system for more than four hours continuously in a day during vessel discharge/bagging due to rain, holiday (non working). vessel delays and lack of space in the silo will be excluded while calculating average discharge per day. $\overline{3}$

The average discharge per ship berth day is calculated based on the total dry bulk cargo discharged from the vessels completed in the particular month by the mechanised system considering the individual berth occupancy of all the vessels and the quantity of cargo discharged from these vessels. (3)

The average discharge is based on the total time taken from the starting of discharge operations upto the completion of discharge operations including deck cargo cleaning, taking out payloader (4)

(5) The minimum throughput of dry bulk cargo handled with the incehanised system per month shall be 80.000 MT and above for achieving the prime amount.

There will be incremental Rates of 30, 50, 70, 90 & 110 Paise respectively for every MT increase in the average discharge rate per day in the slabs of 3000-3999, 4000-4999, 5000-5999, 6000-7000-7999 & 8000 and above. 6669 (9)

(7) Eligibility for incentive :

Throughput of dry bulk through mechanical system 80000 MT or above 100%. Throughput of dry bulk through mechanical system 78000 MT to 79999 MT 95%. Throughput of dry bulk through mechanical system 76000 MT to 77999 MT 90%. Throughput of dry bulk through mechanical system 74000 MT to 75999 MT 85%. Throughput of dry bulk through mechanical system 72000 MT to 73999 MT 80%. Fhroughput of dry bulk through mechanical system 70000 MT to 72999 MT 75%. Fhroughput of dry bulk through mechanical system below 70000 MT -Nil-.

ANNEX-III

Incentive Scheme for the Staff other than CT & BT (Financial Implication)

											Upda	Updated on 16th Feb '00/28th Mar' 00	Feb '00/28	th Mar' 00
Categories	Multiply- ing factor	No. of Employees	Amount of incentive per mth in C/T at 12-13.99 moves/hr. & in B/T at Avg. Disch of 3000-39999	Total incentive per month	Amount of incentive per mth in C/T at 14-15.99 moves/hr. & in B/T at Avg. Disch of 4000-4999	Total / incentive per month 5	Total Amount of antive incentive incentive nonth per mth in C/T at 16-17.99 moves/hr. & in B/T at Avg. Disch of 5000-5999	Total, incentive per month	Total Amount of native incentive incentive nonth per mth in C/T at 18-19.99 moves/hr. & in B/T at Avg. Disch of 6000-6999	Total incentive per month in in in	Total Amount of entive incentive nonth per mth lin C/T at 20 & above moves/hr. & in B/T at Avg. Disch of 7000-7999	ince	Total Amount of antive incentive nonth per mth. in C/T at 20 & above moves/hr. & in B/T at Avg. Disch of 8000 & above	Total incentive per month
1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	111	12	13	14	15
Average Prime Amount	ınt		2875		3250		3900		4750		5950		6500	
Engg. Services & Marine Dept. Jr. Engr./Supervisor (P.C & Signal Stn.) 1x0.5x0.	rine Dept. P.C 1x0.5x0.5 = 0.25	31	719	22,281	813	25,188	975	30,225	1,188	36,813	1,488	46,113	1,625	50,375
Tech/.Signal Supdt./ Statin Officer	1x0.4x0.5x = 0.2	73	575	41,975	650	47,450	780	56,940	950	69,350	1,190	86,870	1,300	94,900
Driver/A.Ts./Sub officers/ Checkers/Sr. Signal Man/ Jr. Signal Man/DCPO/ Fireman	cers/ lan/ 1x0.4x0.5 = 0.2	124	575	71,300	650	80,600	780	96,720	950	117,800	1,190	147,560	1,300	161,200
Total per month		228		135,556		153,238		183,885		223,963		280,543		306,475
Indoor staff & PPD Dept.	Dept.													
OS/Surveyor/Matron/ Draughtsman Gr. I JE (Civil) 1x0.3	tron/ . I 1x0.5x0.5x0.5 = 0.125	18	359	6,469	406	7,313	488	8,775	594	10,688	744	13,388	813	14,625
Steno Gr. I/Sr. Asstt./ Audit Asst./Computer Operator/Hindi Transletor/ Sister-in-charge/ Radiographer/AT (Civil) .9x0.5x0.5x	ussti./ iputer Transletor/ T Sx0.5x0.5x0.5 = 0 112	56	323	18,113	366	20,475	439	24,570	534	29,925	699	37,485	. 731	40,950
Gr. II/J Staff N ician/ acists/G Optr. S iver/Hi	r. urse/Lab. Clerk/ taff ndi Typist/ nos vos vos en o	9 -	8 6	1960	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	905 %	300	000 000		9		001	9	00 711
ASSII. 0.0 N U.	1 0 - C.O & C.O & C		007	007,10	32.5	000,00	350	10,200	C/#	000,00	27.5	107,100	050	11,,00

1	2 3	4	2	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15
Peon/Jamadar/ Jamadar S. Gr./ Mazdoor/Zerox Operator/Hospital Attandant 7x0.5x0.5x0.5 = 0.087	87 67	252	16,855	284	19,053	341	22,864	416	27,847	521	34,882	695	38,106
Total per month	321		93,186		105,341		126,409		153,959		192,854		210,681
Grand total per annum	549		2,744,906		3,102,938		3,723,525		4,535,063		5,680,763		6,205,875
Calculation	ı of Multip	lying facto	r worked ou	it on the b	APPENDIX II asis of merits of	IX II its of diffe	rent criteris	ı's for diff	APPENDIX II Calculation of Multiplying factor worked out on the basis of merits of different criteria's for different Categories of employees	ries of en	nployees		
Sr. Particulars/category No.	Hardship (Max. marks 20)	Education Qualifi- tion required (Max. marks 15)	Skill (Max marks 10)	Risk factor (Max marks 10)	Effect on Port producti- vitiy (Max. marks 10)	Effect on Continuity trade of job (Max. (Max. marks 10) marks 10)		Technical skill (Max. marks 10)	Sophis- tication/ Speed of Equip. (Max. marks 05)	Total Marks	Multi- plication Factor as worked out by the Port (=j/100)	Multi- plication Factor as demanded by labour union	Multi- plication Factor (M.F.) as signed in
	æ	٩	S	Þ	ب	-	50	료	· -		*	-	E
A. Ship Operation staff		3	3	-	:	3	-	d		0	0	•	-
1. Jr. Engr. (Op.)-KMCC 2. Jr. Engr. (Op.)-RTGC	07	<u>.</u> ₹	0 9	01	2 2	2 -	2 2	× ×	n "	8 8	0.98	-	
3. Tech. (Operation)	2	9	2 0	יא ני	2 2	0.0	2 00	• •	0	53	0.53	0.9	9.0
4. Checker (Operation)	01		0	S	10	10	· •	0	0	20	0.5	0.8	9.0
5. A.T.s (Operation)	10	5	0	10	•	7	\$	0	0	45	0.45	8.0	9.0
6. Drivers (Operation)	01	3	\$	7	10	∞	4	0	S	52	0.52	8.0	9.0
B. Maintenance Staff	v	-	_	7	v	r	, ,	-	c	90	\$ 0	0.75	5 0
2. Tech (Maint)	·	0	• ~		. v	7 7	7 6	<u>~</u>	0	42	0.42	0.675	0.5
3. A.T.s (Maint.)	S	S	, E	7		7	7	S	0	34	0.34	9.0	0.4
	v,	33	3	7	5	2	5	5	0	35	0.35	9.0	0.4
5. Checker (Maint.)	3	7	3	0	5	7	∞	0	0	28	0.28	9.0	0.4
C. (ICD & CFS) Operation Staff at the level of 100%	at the							•					
1 fr Engr (On)	01	-	v	4	7	7	«	v	v	67	0 67	-	0.7
7 Tach (Onoration)		. o		·			• •			40	0.4	60	0.5
2. Charles (Operation)	, v	•	· c	v			×	· c	0	40	0.4	× 0	5.0
5. Clickel (Operation)	7 4	0 4	•	v	, ,	. [v	0 0	· C	3.4	0.34	, «	5.0
4. A.1.s (Operation)	٠ <u>-</u>	. "	o •				0.4	o C	o c	4	0.53	. c	0.5
D. Vessel/ICD Planning/Stats/	-	•	,		-		-	•	•	1	:	}	•
Report													
1. Jr. Engr.	0	1.5	0	0	10	10	4	10	2	54	0.54		0.7
2. Tech.	0	10	0	0 .	01	10	4	S	5	44	0.44	6.0	0.5
3. Checker	0	10	0	0	10	01	4	S	2	44	0.44	0.8	0.5

.

.,

** **

श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2361. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय धनबाद II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 82/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/619/97-आई आर(सी-I)]

एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2361.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 82/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court Dhanbad II, now as shown in the Annexure in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-20012/619/97-IR (C-I)] N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

SHRI B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

REFERENCE NO. 82 OF 2001

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workman.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman

: Mr. S. N. Goswami,

Advocate.

On behalf of the employers : Mr. H. Nath, Advocate.

State: Jharkhand Industry: Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 18th May, 2005.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their order No. L-20012/619/97-IR (C-I), dated the 15th March, 2001.

SCHEDULE

"Kya Colliery Sharmik Sangh ki Mang ke Shri Bistu Mahato Evam Suchi Mey Diya Gaye Anya 18 Karmkaro ko Mudidih Colliery, Bharat Coking Coal Limited ka Niyamita Karmkar Mana Jaya Uchit Evam Naya Sangat Hai? Yadi ha to ukt Karmkar kis rahat key patra hai tatha kis Tarikh Sey?"

2. Case of the concerned workmen according to written statement submitted by the sponsoring union on their behalf in brief is as follows:—

The sponsoring union submitted that the management of Mudidih Colliery Area No. V engaged the concerned workmen for supplying Free Fuel Coal by Cart Loading from the colliery siding of the colliery for domestic purpose to the officers, staff and other Executives of the Colliery and Area office in their Bunglow, Quarters of Sijua and Mudih Colliery in the year 1980.

They submitted that existing system of supplying of Free Fuel Coal for domestic purpose of the employees/ staff/officers of the colliery/establishment under social security/facilities was available under Chapter XI since implementation of NCWA-II under clause 11.2.1 and this existing system is in continuous, permanent and perennial in nature. Therefore as per job description and categorisation of Coal Employees in Cat. I the concerned workmen ought to have been designated at "FUEL COAL SUPPLIER MAZDOOR". "Dey submitted that the concerned workmen have been performing their job as per job assignment since 1980 under direct control and supervision of the officials of the management continuously. The concerned workmen in course of rendering their services have put attendance for more than 240 days in each calendar since 1980. The concerned workmen used to supply coal to the residence/Bunglow/ quarters of the Officers/staff/workers/employees for their domestic use and they used to load coal on bullock-cart according to the directions of Loading Clerk/Loading Supervisor. In rendering such services the concerned workmen used to note their attendance before the Attendance Clerk and they used to draw wages from the management as per their hazira chart. It has been submitted by the sponsoring union that the concerned workmen were directly engaged by the management and they used to render their services under direct supervision and control of the officials of the management and not under any contractor. Accordingly they submitted several representation for regularisation of the concerned workmen as "FUEL COAL SUPPLIER MAZDOOR". But to no effect, though management provided amenities, facilities to them like other permanent employees of the colliery and allowed to build up their hutments within the vicinity and precinct of the colliery and allowed them to enjoy drinking water, fuel coal, electricity, cow shed etc.. They disclosed that had there not been any relationship of Master and servant

between the management and the concerned workmen they would not provide all those facilities to them. They alleged that inspite of rendering all facilities as the management refused to regularise them as Fuel Coal Supplier Mazdoor, they raised an industrial dispute before the ALC(C), Dhanbad for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal. The sponsoring union accordingly submitted prayer to pass award directing the management to regularise the concerned workmen as departmental workmen with pay scale of Cat. I and other consequential benefits with effect from 1980.

3. Management on the contrary after filing Written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring union asserted in their Written Statement. They submitted that no employeremployee relationship existed between the management and the concerned workmen any day. They denied the fact that they ever selected and recruited the concerned workmen as Mazdoor for supply of free coal to their staff and officers for domestic use. Neither their names were enrolled in the Form B Register nor they issued any I.D. Card to them. They categorically denied the fact about the issuance of any work order to the concerned persons for carrying coal on the baskets on their heads and shoulders and supplying the fuel coal at the residence of officers and staff. As they never deployed them to perform such job question of payment of their wages by them never arose. They submitted that they awarded contract to different bullock cart owners at different periods for transporting coal from the coal depot to the residence of officers and staff and to supply the coal to them as per the sanctioned quantity. The contractors received payments for transporting the fuel coal and supplying the same at the residence of the officers and staff on the agreed rate. Said contractors as were local residents they purchased bullocks and bullock carts by investing their own money and they constructed the sheds for keeping the bullocks and feeding them with necessary fodders and other materials. They submitted that the bullock cart owners used to carry on transport business by transporting various goods, materials, foodgrains etc. from the railway station to the shop owners or to other persons of the locality and they also used to engage themselves in carrying on the business of transporting coal from the depot to the residence of the officers and staff of Mudidih Colliery for its distribution according to the requirement and sanction. Moreover, such transportation and distribution of coal through bullock carts are not regular job but are carried on once in a week or once in two weeks. For the rest of the period the bullock carts are utilised for transporting jobs of different persons in the locality. The concerned workmen are the workmen of the bullock cart owners and accordingly they cannot place their demand to be treated as workmen of the management. They submitted that the management never purchased the

bullocks and bullock carts for the purpose of transporting coal to the officers and staff as per requirement and sanction and as such question of engagement of the concerned workmen on the jobs relating to maintenance of the bullock carts and feeding the bullocks and looking after the bullocks by washing cleaning etc. never arose. They submitted further that neither they had any control over the workmen of the bullock cart owners nor they worked under their control and supervision. They are out and out workmen of the bullock cart owners and for which they do not have any claim for their regularisation as Cat.I Mazdoor. Accordingly, they submitted prayer to pass Award rejecting the claim of the concerned workmen.

4. POINTS TO BE DECIDED

"Whether the claim of Colliery Shramik Sangh that Sri Bistu Mahato and 18 others named in the annexure (list enclosed) should be treated as regular workmen, is legal and justified? If yes, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled and from what date?"

5. FINDING WITH REASONS

The sponsoring union with a view to substantiate their claim examined two witnesses as WW-1 and WW-2. On the contrary management also in support of their claim examined one witness as MW-1. WW-1 who is one of the concerned workman during his evidence disclosed that they were engaged by the management in the year 1980 to load coal in the bullock cart from colliery siding and to unload coals to the quarters of different workmen according to list. He submitted that from 1980 till 9-12-95 they performed their duties in this way. He also disclosed that they used to load coal in the bullock carts under supervision of the loading supervisor and loading Munshi used to record their Hazira. He further disclosed that he used to draw wages from colliery counter. In support of their claim he relied on the Wagesheets which during his evidence was marked as Ext. W-1. It is his contention that as the management refused to regularise them in work they through the sponsoring union raised industrial dispute. WW-2 during his evidence corroborated the facts disclosed by WW-1 in course of his evidence. However, this witness disclosed that the concerned workmen worked directly under the management from 1980 to 1990 continuously. On the contrary MW-1 during his evidence disclosed that while he was posted at Mudidih Colliery during the period from 1973 to 1988 management used to supply free coal to all the staff through contractors engaged by them. He disclosed that for supply of coal management used to pay Rs.5 per bullock cart loaded with coal. Contractor through their own men used to supply the said coal. He categorically, during his evidence denied the claim of the sponsoring union that the concerned workmen were engaged by the management for supply of coal to the quarters of the workers and officers. It is the

specific contention of the management that no employeremployee relationship ever existed between them and the concerned wokrmen. Neither they were selected nor recruited by the management and nor their names were enrolled in the Form B Register. Accordingly question of issuance of I.D. Card to them never arose. As they were not the workmen appointed by them, they disclosed that question of issuance of letter of appointment or issuance of pay slip to enable them to receive wages from the pay counter of the colliery never arose. It is their contention that they used to award contract to different bullock cart owners for different periods for transporting coal from the coal depot to the residence of officers and staff and to supply the coal to them as per the sanctioned quantity in respect of different persons. Against supply of coal the contractors used to receive payment from the management. During evidence, WW-1 admitted that neither they received any letter of appointment from the management nor management issued any I.D. Card to them. He also admitted that they never received any wageslip from the management for the work done by them. No Provident Fund account was also opened in their favour. He further admitted that they do not have any sort of paper to show that they worked under the management continuously from 1980 to 1995 excepting the wagesheet marked as Ext. W-1. I have carefully considered the wagesheet Ext. W-1. From this wagesheet it cannot be ascertained at all if the same was maintained in the official capacity of the management and a copy of which was handed over to the workman. The wagesheet marked as Ext. W-1 is for the week ending 3-5-1985. Neither it bears any signature of the officials of the management nor office seal. Accordingly relying on the wagesheet for the week ending 3-5-1985 there is no scope to arrive into conclusion that the concerned workmen received wages from the management against the work performed by them as per this wagesheet. It is the specific claim of the management that no employeremployee relationship ever created in between the concerned workmen and the management. It is their further contention that for supply of coal to the officers and staff which they are entitled as per NCWA they used to give contract to the contractors for the same and those contractors with the help of their own men used to load coal in the bullock carts and thereafter they used to suppply coal to the workers and the officers on the basis of requisition slip issued by the management. There is no dispute to hold that as per provision of NCWA management used to supply coal to their officers and staff. There is also no dispute to hold that those officers and staff used to receive coal as per requisition at their quarters. Now the question is whether the concerned workmen being engaged by the management used to supply coal to

them or not. In course of hearing sponsoring union has failed to produce a single scrap of paper excepting the document marked as Ext. W-1 to show that the concerned workmen were engaged by the management and they continuously worked under them from 1980 to 1995. The pertinent question in relation to the demand of the concerned workman comes in though there is no whisper in the Written Statement of the sponsoring union actually who used to supply bullock carts and bullocks for the purpose of loading coal in the bullock carts from the coal depot and transportation of the same to the quarters of the officers and workmen as per requisition. There is no iota of evidence that it was the management who was the owner of those bullock carts and bullocks for loading and supply of coal and for operation of those bullock carts they engaged the concerned workmen. The sponsoring union in course of hearing has failed to produce any cogent paper to show that management was the owner of those bullock carts and bullocks they used for supply of coal through the concerned workmen. It is unbelievable to consider that continuously for a period of 15 years the concerned workman worked under the management being engaged by them but the management neither issued any wageslip to them nor opened any Provident Fund account. If they were considered as regular worker in that case their names ought to have been recorded in the Form B Register along with other particulars for future guidance. It has been specifically mentioned by the management that as no employer employee relationship ever grew up there was no scope to record their names with other particulars in the Form B Register. There was also no scope to issue any wageslips, I.D. Card or to open Provident Fund account number for their future benefit. As the concerned workmen claimed that they were the direct employees of the management onus automatically shifted on the sponsoring union to establish such claim. No doubt the sponsoring union in course of hearing examined two witnesses on their part but considering evidence of these two witnesses I have failed to find out any such material ingredient relying on which they veracity of the claim of the sponsoring union could be relied on. In view of the facts and circumstances discussed above I, therefore, hold that the concerned workmen are not entitled to get any relief. In the result, the following Award is rendered:—

"The claim of Colliery Shramik Sangh that Sri Bistu Mahato and 18 others named in the annexure (list enclosed) should be treated as regular workman is not legal and justified. Consequently, the concerned workmen are not entitled to get any relief."

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

Annexure

नाम

- 1. बडा बिंसत् महती
- मे. इसहाक अन्सारी
- सेख मैनु दास
- रीकू राम
- मे. अमीन अन्सारी
- 6. रिबकुमार बेलदार
- 7. चन्द्रकेश भर
- अलाउदीन अन्सारी
- राजेश राय
- 10. रमेश
- 11. सदानन्द
- श्याम बिहारी
- रामचन्द्र 13.
- कइम मियां
- जियाउल हसन 15.
- 16. सम्भ
- मे. असलम अन्सारी
- बसंत 18.
- 19. विरेन्दर रजवार

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2362. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सी सी एल के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/ श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 13/2004) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-20012/176/2003-आईआर(सी-I)] एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2362.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 13/ 2004) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/ Labour Court Dhanbad II now as shown in the Annexure. in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of CCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-20012/176/2003-IR (C-I)]

N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

Present:

SHRIB. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act., 1947

Reference No. 13 of 2004

Parties:

Employers in relation to the management of Rajhara Area of M/s. CCL and their workmen.

Appearances:

On behalf of the employers : Mr. D. K. Verma,

Ld. Advocate.

On behalf of the workmen

: None

State: Jharkhand

Industry: Coal

Dated, Dhanbad, the 18th May, 2005

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their order No. L-20012/176/2003-IR (C-I), dated the 17-12-2003.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demands of the Rajhara Colliery Mazdoor Sangh from the management of CCL Rajhara Area (1) for payment of double the normal wages for the extra work done by wagon loaders viz. Loading of 7.25 tonnes as against their prescribed workload of 4.50 tonnes; and (2) for reviving the practice of giving 5 attendances for loading a truck of 10 tonnes by two workmen is just and fair? If so, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?"

2. The case of the sponsoring union according to Written Statement submitted by them is as follows:—

The sponsoring union submitted that prior to formation of North Karmpara Area the system of filling the wagon box were done through eight casual wagon loaders. They disclosed that when 2 loaders would fill up the trucks the management used to note five attendance in place of 2 attendance because the workers used to collect best coal from the siding and load in the truck of 10 tonnes. They disclosed that this system of noting extra

attendance started from 1983. After formation of Rajhara Area in the year 1988 which consisted of Rajhara Colliery. Hutar Colliery, Gore Magnetite Project and Tetariya Khand. The same system was followed. They alleged that being aggreived by the complaint case filed before Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Daltangani, General Manager S. R. Pandey of Rajhara Area in collusion with Head Ouarters to break the backbone of the wagon loaders not only issued illegal and biased orders but also refused to deploy wagon loaders for loading coals who used to be deployed regularly. Instead management started engaging villagers to load trucks and wagons. They accordingly have placed their demand to pay the wages double the normal wages for extra work done by wagon loaders for loading wagons having 58 tonnes capacity by eight wagon loaders. They further submitted that illegal stoppage of giving five attendance for loading of truck of ten tones capacity by two loaders should be renewed.

3. Management on the contrary after filing written statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims & allegations which the sponsoring union asserted in their written statement.

They submitted that demand of the union for payment of double the normal wage for extra work done by wagon loaders viz. loading of 7.25 tonnes as against their prescribed workload of 4.50 tonnes is neither legal nor justified and against the provision of NCWA-VI. In fact piece rated workers are entitled to draw wages on prorata basis for doing extra work beyond the prescribed work load of 4.50 tonnes as per NCWA-VI.

They submitted that in chapter III of NCWA VI the wage rate, work norms etc. of piece rated workers have been mentioned. In para 3:4:1, special provision for payment of wages if a workman works in excess of the prescribed work load has been made out. As per the said provision a piece rated worker shall be paid prorata increase in the basic piece rate as well as special deamess allowance and variable dearness allowance.

They disclosed that NCWA-VI is an agreement between all recognised unions and the managements and the same is binding to all workmen as well as management of Coal Industry.

Accordingly, management submitted that as the demand of the sponsoring union is a state demand they are not entitled to get any relief.

4. POINTS TO BE DECIDED

"Whether the demands of the Rajhara Colliery Mazdoor Sangh from the management of CCL Rajhara Area (1) for payment of double the normal wages for the extra work done by wagon loaders viz. Loading of 7.25 tonnes as against their prescribed workload of 4.50 tonnes; and (2) for reviving the

practice of giving 5 attendances for loading a truck of 10 tonnes by two workmen is just and fair? If so, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?"

FINDINGS WITH REASON

5. It transpires from the record that in spite of giving several opportunity the sponsoring union did not consider necessary to adduce any evidence either oral or documentary to substantiate their claim. Management also did not consider necessary to adduce any evidence on their part.

As per written statement it is the contention of the sponsoring union that management since long years used to note five attendance for loading of coal in a truck of 10 tonnes by two workmen instead of noting two attendance. They alleged that management arbitrarily stopped that privilage to the workmen who since long years enjoyed.

Similarly it is their further claim that management used to deploy eight workmen for loading of wagon having 58 tonnes capacity. As per norms a workman is liable to load 4.50 tonnes of coal in the wagon. They disclosed that a workman is entitled to get double the wages for his loading 7.25 tonnes of coal but that too management have denied to pay. On the contrary, management referring NCWA-VI submitted that a workman is eligible to get extra wages on prorata basis for loading of coal excess to that of the required quantity which he is liable to load as piece rated worker. And in support of that claim they relied on para 3: 4: 1 as special provision for payment of wages if a workman works excess of the prescribed work load. They submitted that as per the said provision a piece rated worker shall be paid prorata increase in the basic piece rate as well as special dearness allowance and variable dearness allowance.

Disclosing this fact they submitted that the demand which the sponsoring union placed is against the provision of NCWA-VI. In spite of getting ample opportunity the sponsoring union have failed to produce a single scrap of paper in support of their claim.

It is to be borne into mind that facts disclosed in the written statement cannot be considered as substantive piece of evidence until and unless it is substantiated by cogent evidence. As the sponsoring union have lamentably failed to substantiate their claim by adducing cogent evidence just relying on the facts disclosed in the written statement there is no scope to uphold their contention particularly when the provision laid down in NCWA-VI as mentioned above speaks contrary to their claim.

According, the demand of the sponsoring union can not be acceeded to.

In the result the following award is rendered:

"That the demands of the Rajhara Colliery Mazdoor Sangh from the management of CCL Rajhara Area (1) for payment of double the normal wages for the extra work done by wagon loaders viz. Loading of 7.25 tonnes as against their prescribed workload of 4.50 tonnes; and (2) for reviving the practice of giving 5 attendances in place of 2 attendances for loading a truck of 10 tonnes by two workmen is not just and fair.

Accordingly, the concerned workmen are not entitled to get any relief.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुन, 2005

का.आ. 2363. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय धनवाद-॥, के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 139/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/324/98-आई आर (सी-!)]

एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2363.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 139/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court. Dhanbad-II now as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-20012/324/98-IR (C-I)]

N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT

Shri B. Biswas, **Presiding Officer**

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947

REFERENCE NO. 139 OF 1999.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workman.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman : None

On behalf of the employers:

Mr. B. M. Prasad.

Advocate.

State: Jharkhand

: Industry : Coal

Dated, Dhanbad, the 19th May, 2005

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I, D, Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/324/ 98-IR (C-I), dated, the 22nd February, 1998.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Laikdih Deep Colliery under C.V. Area of BCCL in dismissing Sri Khera Roy U.G. Loader (B. Form No. 2347) w.e.f. 15-3-96 is justified? If not, what relief the workman is

The case of the concerned workman according to Written Statement, submitted by the sponsoring Union in brief is as follows :-

The sponsoring union submitted that the concerned workman was underground loader in Laikdih Deep Colliery. They submitted that owing to his illness it was not possible on the part of the concerned workman to attend to his duties and the said matter was duly reported to the management. After recovery when he came to his place of work with a view to resume his duty management issued him a letter of dismissal under signature of Agent vide letter No. BCCL/1202/96/398 dt. 15-3-96. They alleged that management passed that order of dismissal without holding domestic enquiry as well as without knowledge of the concerned workman. Accordingly they submitted that the said order of dismissal was not only arbitrary but also absolutely illegal in the eye of law. After that order of dismissal the concerned workman submitted the representation with prayer for reinstatement but as it was refused he raised an industrial dispute through the sponsoring union before the ADC(C) for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. The sponsoring union submitted prayer to pass Award directing the management to reinstate the concerned workman in his service with back wages and other consequantial relief from the date of his dismissal.

Management on the contrary after filing Written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring union asserted in their written statement submitted on behalf of the concerned workman. They submitted that the concerned workman was underground loader at Laikdih Deep Colliery. They further disclosed that the developed the habit of absenting himself from duty without information and without permission and also without showing satisfactory cause. During the year 1992, 1993 and upto 13-3-94 he worked for 79 days, 109 days and 28 days respectively. From 14-3-94 again he started absenting himself from duty without giving any intimation or taking prior permission from the management. As a result on 7-3-95 for committing misconduct on the ground of absentism they issued chargesheet to the concerned workman which was sent to his home address by Regd. Post A/D. The concerned workman as did not consider necessary to submit reply to the chargesheet, disciplinary authority decided to hold domestic enquiry against him and accordingly appointed Enquiry Officer. The enquiry officer after assuming the charge of enquiry also issued notice to the concerned workman repeatedly but to no effect. Thereafter the Enquiry Officer was compelled to proceed with the hearing of the enquiry proceeding exparte and submitted report after completion of hearing of that enquiry proceeding. The disciplinary authority thereafter issued a notice dt. 7-2-96 enclosing therewith copies of the enquiry proceeding papers and enquiry report at his home address by Regd. Post A/D. In spite of sending all those papers the concerned workman did not submit any representation against the finding of the enquiry officer. Accordingly Disciplinary Authority after considering all aspects including his past conduct issued the order of dismissal by letter dt. 15-3-96 against him. They submitted that the Disciplinary Authority neither took any arbitrary decision nor committed any illegality in dismissing the concerned workman from service. Accordingly, they submitted prayer to pass Award rejecting the claim of the concerned workmen.

4. POINTS TO BE DECIDED

"Whether the action of the management of Laikdih Deep Colliery under C.V. Area of BCCL in dismissing Sri Khera Roy U.G. Loader (B. Form No. 2347) w.e.f. 15-3-96 is justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled?"

5. FINDING WITH REASONS

It transpires from the record that before taking up hearing of this case on merit it was taken into consideration whether domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice or not. It is seen that the said isssue on preliminary point was disposed of by this Tribunal vide order No. 19 dt. 3-1-2005 in favour of the management. Therefore, now the point for consideration is whether the management have been able to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman and if so whether the concerned workman is entitled to get any relief under Section 11A of the I.D. Act., 1947.

In course of evidence of MW-1 the chargesheet issued against the concerned workman was marked as Ext. M-1. From the chargesheet it transpires that the same was issued on the allegation of committing misconduct for unauthorised absence of the concerned workman from 14-3-94 to 6-3-95. Considering evidence of MW-1 there is no dispute to hold that the concerned workman did not submit any reply to the chargesheet. It also transpires

clearly from the materials on record that in spite of issuance of repeated notices the concerned workman did not appear with a view to face the enquiry proceedings. The enquiry papers if looked into will expose clearly which ventures the Enquiry Officer took to serve notices upon the concerned workman for causing appearance of the concerned workman in course of enquiry proceeding. As the ventures taken by the Enquiry Officer in that regard went fruitless he took up hearing of the enquiry proceeding exparte and after completion of hearing submitted his report to the Disciplinary authority which during evidence of MW-1 was marked as Ext. M-8. It is the contention of the management that the enquiry report and proceeding papers also were sent to the concerned workman by Regd. Post but the same was returned back with the comments of the Postal Peon "Refused". The envelope containing enquiry report during evidence was marked as Ext. M-8/1. As the concerned workman refused to accept the enquiry report which sent by the Agent by Regd. Post with A/D this should be considered as valid service. It is seen that knowing fully well of the enquiry proceeding matter the concerned workman did not consider necessary to submit his explanation in support of his claim if any.

It is seen that the concerned workman previous to this incident was also in the habit of remaining himself absent from duty without assigning any sufficient cause and also without obtaining any permission from the managment. In the instant case the concerned workman remained himself absent for about one year continuously and without giving any intimation or taking prior permission from the management. After careful consideration of all the facts circumstance. I find sufficient reason to hold that the management have been able to substantiate the charge of misconduct against the concerned workman. In course hearing the order of dismissal issued against the concerned workman was marked as Ext. M-9.

Now the point for consideration is if there is any scope to review that order of dismissal relying on the provision as laid down under Section 11A of the I.D. Act., 1947. It is admitted fact that the concerned workman was underground loader at Laikdih Deep Colliery. There is also no dispute to hold that for committing misconduct on the ground of absentism management issued chargesheet against the concerned workman and thereafter conducted domestic enquiry against him through the Enquiry Officer. During enquiry proceeding it exposed that the concerned workman was in the habit of remaining himself absent from duty without giving any information or taking prior permission from the management. The contention of the management is that during 1992, 1993 and upto 13th March, 1994 he put his attendance for 79 days, 109 days and 28 days respectively and thereafter with effect from 14-3-94 he continuously started remaining himself absent from duty without giving any intimation or taking prior permission from the management and for which management was compelled to issue chargesheet to him dt. 7-3-95. Therefore, it transpires clearly that management issued chargesheet for committing misconduct on the ground of absentism after the concerned workman remained himself absent for about one year continuously. It is the contention of the sponsoring union that as the concerned workman was lying seriously ill it was not possible for him to attend to his duty and for which due intimation was given to the management, but managment illegally and arbitrarily ignoring that fact dismissed him from service when he came to his place of work with a view to resume his duties: It is really curious to note that in course of hearing before this Tribunal the sponsoring union did not consider necessary to produce a single scrap of paper to show that actually the concerned workman was lying ill and for which it was not possible on his part to attend to his duty. They also have failed to produce a single scrap of paper to show that due intimation was given to the management. Therefore, there is sufficient reason to believe that plea taken by the sponsoring union is far from satisfactory and for which there is no scope to give any importance to that claim. On the contrary considering materials paper on record it has been established that conduct of the concerned workman relating to his attendance in duty for the previous years was absolutely bad. In the instant case actually for about a year the concerned workman remained himself absent from duty and did not consider necessary to given any intimation to the management about the reason of such absence. Gesture of the concerned workman if is taken into consideration will expose clearly that he considered the place of his employment as of his Zamindari and accrued right to enjoy his service as of his wishes and choice. The concerned workman was so much adamant that even when copies of enquiry proceeding and other enquiry papers were sent to him by Regd. Post with A/D he refused to accept the same. Even he did not consider necessary to assign any reason. To that effect. After that order of dismissal issued by the management the sponsoring union came forward and raised industrial dispute to shield the interest of the workman by making some allegations and aspersions against the management not being supported by any sufficient cause. Accordingly after careful consideration of all the facts and circumstances I hold that the order of dismissal issued against the concerned workman by the management was absolutely justified and there is no scope at all to review that order of dismissal. Accordingly the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief. In the result, the following Award is rendered.

> "The action of the management of Laikdih Deep Colliery under C.V. Area of BCCL in dismissing Shri

Khera Roy U.G. Loader (B. Form No. 2347) w.e.f. 15-3-96 is justified. Consequently, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2364. —औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम. 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा को को लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/ श्रम न्यायालय धनबाद II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 259/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-06-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/466/98-आई आर (सी-I)]

एन, पी, केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2364 .- In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No. 259/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal /Labour Court Dhanbad II now as shown in the Annexure, in industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-20012/466/98-IR (C-I)]

N.P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT

SHRI B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I. D. Act., 1947

REFERENCE No. 259 OF 1999

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Lohapatti Colliery of M/s. BCCL and their workman.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman

: None

On behalf of the employers : Mr. H. Nath, Advocate.

State: Jharkhand

Industry: Coal/

Dated, Dhanbad, the 24th May, 2005.

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act., 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/466/98-IR (C-I), dated the 4th June, 1999.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action to dismiss Shri Ishwar Singh, Driver from service by the management of Lohapatty Colliery of M/s. BCCL and non-payment of subsistence allowance is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to?"

2. The case of the management according to Written Statement submitted by them in brief is as follows:—

They submitted that the concerned workman, a driver was on 3rd Shift duty on 30-11-1996. They disclosed that while he was engaged in driving the truck bearing Number BHN-8624 dashed against a tree near Muchraidih resulting serious damage to that truck which involved a loss of Rs. 50,000/-.

They alleged that as the said accident took place due to rash and negligent driving of the said truck by the concerned workman a chargesheet vide letter No. LC/CS/96/3623 dt. 2-12-96 was issued to him under clause 26-1-15 and 26-1-2 of the Certified Standing Orders applicable to the employees of the Company. The concerned workman on receipt of the said chargesheet submitted his reply but as the said reply was not satisfactory the Disciplinary Authority decided to hold domestic enquiry against him and appointed Enquiry Officer. The said Enquiry Officer in course of hearing enquiry preceeding extended full opportunity to the concerned workman to defend his case. During hearing they submitted that the concerned workman did not raise any question in the manner and way the Enquiry Officer conducted the enquiry against him. They submitted that the said Enquiry Officer after conducting domestic enquiry submitted his report holding the concerned workman guilty to the charge brought against him.

The Disciplinary Authority thereafter, with the approval of the Competent Authority awarded him punishment and he was reverted back to the post of Helper/Khalasi and placed in Category II w.e.f. 31-7-97. It is the specific claim of the management that they did not dismiss the concerned workman from service as per reference. They further submitted that the Disciplinary Authority neither took any arbitrary decision nor committed any illegality in reverting him back to the post of Khalasi/Helper in Cat. II as he was found guilty to the charge brought against him.

3. It transpires from the record that inspite of getting ample opportunity neither the sponsoring union nor the concerned workman filed any Written Statement in support of the Industrial Dispute raised by him for which the instant reference case was taken up for exparte hearing.

4. POINTS TO BE DECIDED

"Whether the action to dismiss Shri Ishwar Singh, Driver from service by the management of Lohapatty Colliery of M/s. BCCL and non-payment of subsistence allowance is justified? If not to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?"

FINDING WITH REASONS

Before taking up hearing of this case on merit it was taken into consideration if domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice. It transpires from the record that the said issue on preliminary point was disposed of vide Order No. 13 dt. 17-5-2005 in favour of the management.

Now the point for consideration is if the management have been able to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman and if so whether he is entitled to get any relief U/S. 11-A of the I.D. Act.

Considering the evidence of MW-1 & also materials on record there is no dispute to hold that the concerned workman was a driver posted at Lohapatty Colliery. It is the contention of the management that on 30-11-96 the concerned workman was on 3rd shift duty and in course of his said duty while he was engaged in driving Truck No. BHN-3624 dashed against a tree at Muchraidih. They alleged that the said accident took place due to rash and negligent driving of the said Truck by the concerned workman. They further alleged that due to said accident not only the said truck was damaged badly but also it incurred a loss of Rs. 50,000/-. They disclosed that as the concerned workman committed such miscondnuct under clause 20-1-15 and 26-1-2 of the Certified Standing Order applicable to the workman of the management a chargesheet bearing No. LC/CS/96/3623 dt. 2-12-96 was issued to him. The copy of the said chargesheet during evidence of MW-1 was marked as Ext. M-2. After receipt of the said chargesheet the concerned workman submitted his reply which was also marked as Ext. M-3. The reply was given by the concerned workman on 6-12-96. In his reply he disclosed that on 1-12-96 driving his truck while he was preceeding to Area Office reached of at his place of occurance suddenly he faced a woman with three children was trying to cross the road from right to left direction. At that time a private car came to the spot from Mohuda side and as a result the situation went beyond his control and the truck dashed against a road side tree. Disclosing this fact he submitted the said accident did not take place for his rash and negligent driving but to save the lives of some persons.

It is seen that inspite of the said fatal accident the concerned workman did not personally give any intimation to the management. On the contrary disclose that he intimated the matter to Madan Napit for report to the management. To uphold his contention he did not consider necessary to examine that person as witness during hearing of the enquiry proceeding. Therefore, veracity of this fact cannot be relied on. From his reply there is no whisper if due to mechanical fault the said accident took place. On the contrary it has been exposed that the said accident took place to save the lives of some persons who at that relevant time tried to cross the road from right to left side. He disclosed that at that relevant time a Private car also was on the road for which he was not in a position to control his truck. The concerned workman also did not consider necessary to exmine the driver of that private car in course of hearing to establish the genuinity of his claim. From his reply I also do not find any whisper why he did not take attempt to stop his vehicle when he apprehended danger to lives of those persons who at that relevant moment tried to cross the road. Considering his reply there is reason to believe that he was driving his truck with high speed and for which it was not possible on his part to stop the same with a view to avoid that accident. If the reply of the concerned workman is taken into consideration carefully further it will expose that he was very much negligent in driving his truck and for which the said accident took place. Accordingly the plea taken by the concerned workman in his reply cannot in any circumstances be considered as sufficient enough to accept that he had no fault in meeting the accident in question. As a result of the said accident the Truck was badly damaged and that also was not denied by the concerned workman. It is not expected that a driver while on the steering should drive in such a manner that he would not be able to control the same in case of any exigency. Therefore, considering all aspects carefully which I have discussed above I find sufficient reason to hold that for rash and negligent driving of the truck by the concerned workman the said accident took place. Management issued chargesheet against the concerned workman under clause 26-1-15 and 26-1-2 of the Certified Standing Order. Clause 26-1-15 speaks "Causing wilful damage to work in progress or to the Property of the employer" and clause 26-1-2 speak "Habitual negligence or neglect of duty, malingering, slowing down of work or inciting others to do so." Considering the material evidence on record I hold that management has well been able to establish charge under clause 26-1-15 of the Certified Standing Order against the concerned workman, Management also have been able to substantiate part of the charge as per clause 26-1-2 of the Certified Standing Order i.e. "Negligent of duty". Had he not been negligent in duty at the time of driving the said truck he could easily

aver the accident in question and in that case the said truck would not sustain serious damage and automatically question of sustaining loss would not arise. It transpires from the record that after submitting the enquiry report the Disciplinary Authority considering the same and incident inflicted no severe punishment like order of dismissal but only issued order for his demotion to the post of Helper/Khalasi.

6. Now the point for consideration is whether the concerned workman is entitled to get any relief U/s. 11A of the I.D. Act, 1947. Section 11A of the I.D. Act, 1947 speaks as follows:—

"Where an industrial dispute relating to the discharge or dismissal of a workman has been referred to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal for adjudication and, in the course of adjudication proceedings, the Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal, as the case may be, is satisfied that the order of discharge or dismissal was not justified, it may, by its award, set aside the order of discharge or dismissal and direct reinstatement of the workman on such terms and conditions, if any, as it thinks fit, or give such other relief to the workman including the award of any lesser punishment in lieu of discharge or dismissal as the circumstances of the case may require."

The schedule to the order of reference which was received from the Ministry is as follows:—

"Whether the action to dismiss Shri Ishwar Singh Driver from service by the management of Lohapatty Colliery. M/s. BCCL and non-payment of subsistence allowance is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to?"

According to the schedule of the order of reference the concerned workman was dismissed from his service and that is why answer is required to be given whether that order of dismissal issued by the management was justified or not? From the record it transpires that the concerned workman was not at all dismissed from his service, he was only demoted to the post of Helper/Khalasi from the post of Driver as punishment. Therefore, there is no scope to answer whether the order of dismissal issued by the management was justified or not?. In the circumstances, there is also no scope to review the punishment of demotion in service imposed upon the concerned workman U/s. 11A of the I.D. Act., 1947. In the result, the following Award is rendered:—

"The action of the management to issue order of demotion against the concerned workman Shri Ishwar Singh, Driver (not order of dismissal as mentioned in the order of reference) to the post of Khalasi/Helper was justified. Consequently, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief."

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2365.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 319/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केम्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/202/99-आई आर(सी-I)]

एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2365.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 319/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-20012/202/99-IR (C-I)]

N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

SHRI B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947

REFERENCE NO. 319 OF 1999

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Kustore Area of M/s. B.C.C.L, and their workman

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman : None

On behalf of the employers: Mr. R. N. Ganguli,

Ld. Advocate.

State: Jharkhand

Industry: Coal

Dated, Dhanbad, the 25th May, 2005

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/202/99-(C-I), dt. 22-11-1999.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management in denying promotion to Shri Banwari Kurmi of technical and supervisory grade C contrary to JBCCI cadre scheme and past practices is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

The case of the concerned workman according to written statement, submitted by the sponsoring Union on his behalf in brief is as follows:—

The sponsoring union submitted that the concerned workman was posted at Kustore Colliery under Kustore Area as Winding Engine Khalasi. They disclosed that he obtained Winding Engine Man's II class certificate (Electrical) from Competent Authority on 31-3-86 and the number of his Certificate is 1178. They alleged that as per the said certificate though he was entitled to get his promotion in category VI as per N.C.W.A. Management did not consider his such promotion. They further disclosed that thereafter in the year 1993 he obtained Winding Engine Man's I Class Certificate (Electrical) and the number of that certificate is 867. They submitted that as per this Certificate he was entitled to get his promotion in the post of T & S Gr. 'C' as per NCWA but the management did not give him any such promotion for which he was deprived of getting the benefits of the Statutory Competency Certificate. They alleged that management illegally, arbitrarily and violating the principle of natural justice deprived him from his legitimate claim and for which he raised an Industrial Dispute for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication.

The sponsoring union on behalf of the concerned workman accordingly submitted prayer to pass award directing the management to issue order of promotion in Cat. VI from the date of obtaining class II Certificate and promotion in T & S Grade 'C' from the date of obtaining Class I Certificate, i.e., w.e.f. 25-1-93 along with back wages and other consequential relieve.

 Management on the contrary after filing written statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring Union asserted in the written statement submitted on behalf of the concerned workman.

They submitted that as per JBCCI Circular No. 30 dt, 26-6-84 Winding Engine Operators are required to be placed in Category V if he is promoted and placed as Winding Engine Operator after obtaining 2nd class certificate of competency for operating Winding Engine from the Mining Examination Board.

They disclosed that a Winding Engine Operator in Cat. V may be considered for his promotion to Cat. VI after he obtains first class Certificate of Competency as Winding Engine Operator and has completed minimum of 3 years of experience as Cat. V Winding Engine Operator on the basis of Trade Test conducted by the DPC to fill up the vacancy existing in the colliery. Thus, the prerequisite for promotion is existence of vacancy and passing the trade test conducted through D.P.C. after a person becomes eligible for consideration of his promotion.

The Winding Engine Operator can only be promoted to higher grade in case of existence of Koope Winder. They disclosed that as in their colliery there is no Koope Winder his grade of promotion of Winding Engine Operator was considered in Cat. VI only.

They submitted that concerned workman after obtaining his first class certificate of Winding Engine Operator, raised an Industrial Dispute through his RCMS Union before ALC(C), Dhanbad and as per cadre scheme he was placed in Cat. VI with effect from 1-1-1994 on the basis of Tripartite Settlement arrived at on 21-10-94 in the course of conciliation proceeding carried on under the jurisdiction of ALC(C), Dhanbad IV. They disclosed that they have already implemented the aforesaid settlement which is still binding. Accordingly, during, pendency of that settlement, there is no scope for raising any Industrial Dispute by him through other unions.

They submitted that as Cat. VI is highest grade for the Winding Engine Operator and as in their colliery there is no Winding Engine named Koope Winder, there is no scope to issue further promotion order in his favour. Accordingly, demand of the sponsoring union for his promotion in grade 'C' is without any merit and for which his such claim is liable to be rejected summarily.

4. POINTS TO BE DECIDED

"Whether the action of the management in denying promotion to Shri Banwari Kurmi of technical and supervisory grade C contrary to JBCCI cadre scheme and past practices is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

5. FINDING WITH REASONS

It transpires from the record that in spite of offering several opportunities as the sponsoring union/workman failed to take any step with a view to substantiate the claim in question the instant reference case was fixed for ex parte hearing on the part of the management. On the date of hearing the management also declined to adduce any evidence on their part. In view of this circumstances relying on the pleading of both sides and materials on record let it be considered how for the claim of the concerned workman stands on cogent footing.

Management in their written statement admitted the fact of obtaining first class certificate of Winding Engine Operator by the concerned workman. They also admitted that R.C.M.S. Union on behalf of the concerned workman raised an Industrial Dispute before ALC(C), Dhanbad.

According to written statment submitted by the sponsoring union the concerned workman claimed his promotion in Cat. VI. w.e.f. 31-3-86 and his promotion in T & S Grade 'C' from the date of obtaining 1st class Competency Certificate w.e.f. 25-1-1993. The contention of the mangement is that as per J.B.C.C.I. Circular No. 30 dt. 26-6-84 Winding Engine Operators are required to be placed in Cat. V if he is promoted and placed as Winding Engine Operator after obtaining 2nd class Certificate of Competency for operating Winding Engine from the Mining Examination Board. Again Winding Engine Operator in category V may be considered for his promotion to Cat. VI after he obtains 1st class Certificate of Competency as Winding Engine Operator and has completed minimum 3 years of experience as Cat. V Winding Engine Operator on the basis of trade test conducted by the D.P.C. to fill up the existing vacancies.

Here in the instant case from the facts disclosed by the written statement it transpires that the concerned workman was_Winding Engine Khalasi posted at Kustore Area and not as Winding Engine Operator. It has been disclosed by the management that haulage khalasi in Cat. IV or any other person belonging to E & M Cadre can be considered for his promotion as Winding Engine Operator subject to the conditions that he obtained 2nd class Winding Engine Khalasi Certificate and also that he passed necessary trade test in that regard. It is seen that as Winding Engine Khalasi the concerned workman obtained Winding Engine Man's II class certificate on 31-3-86 and Winding Engine Man's I class Certificate on 25-1-1993. No evidence is forthcoming if after obtaining 2nd class Certificate he appeared for trade test or not. It is the contention of the management that without Trade Test, a Khalasi though obtained requisite 2nd class Certificate is not eligible to get his promotion as Winding Engine Operator in category VI. Again to get promotion in category VI he must obtain his experience for three years and must obtain 1st class Certificate and thereafter he will be eligible to appear in the Trade test to be conducted by D.P.C. If he fulfils the required condition and if he passed the trade test then as per vacancy he will get his posting as Winding Engine Operator in Cat. VI is that there must be vacancy for the post of Winding Engine Operator. They further disclosed that there is no provision to get promotion directly in T & S grade 'C' only for obtaining 1st class Certificate.

It has been further submitted by the management that during pendency of hearing of the dispute before ALC(C), Dhanbad the concerned workman was placed in Cat. VI w.e.f. 1-1-94 on the basis of Tripartite Settlement arrived at on 21-10-94. They further submitted that the said settlement is still in force and as per the terms & conditions of the said settlement full benefit was given to him. They disclosed that raising of further Industrial Dispute is to be considered illegal when the settlement in question is still in force as per Sec. 18 of the I.D. Act.

Considering the submission of the management and materials on record it is clear that as per Tripartite Settlement the concerned workman was placed in Cat. VI as Winding Engine Operator. As per that settlement he has already been provided with all benefits.

Therefore, onus is on the sponsoring union to establish that the concerned workman is not bound by the said settlement and also it was not at all acted upon. It is seen that neither the concerned workman nor his sponsoring union has failed to produce an iota of evidence to refute the claim of the management. Onus is also on the sponsoring union to establish that the moment a workman obtains 1st class certificate he is eligible to get his promotion in T & S grade 'C' particularly when management categorically denied this claim. It is curious to note that neither the sponsoring union nor the concerned workman considered necessary to establish such claim.

It is to be borne into mind that facts disclosed in the written statement cannot be considered as substantive piece of evidence until and unless the same are substantiated by material evidence either oral or documentary.

Management specifically asserted relying on Tripartite Settlement that the concerned workman has got his promotion in Cat. VI w.e.f. 1-1-94. Again as per clause 2 of the settlement it was agreed upon that arrear payment pertaining to the period from 2-2-92 should be decided mutually at Area level within two months from the date of settlement. There is no whisper on the part of the sponsoring union that the said settlement was not acted upon as per terms and conditions. There is also no whisper that the sponsoring union submitted any application to RLC(C) subsequently disagreeing with the terms and conditions of the said settlement. It is seen that over the issue in question when a Tripartite Settlement existed the sponsoring union over self same issue raised another dispute without disclosing any fact which led them to take such decision.

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all the facts and circumstances discussed above I hold that sponsoring union have lamentably failed to establish their claim and for which the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief.

In the result, the following Award is rendered:—

"That the action of the management in denying promotion to Shri Banwari Kurmi of Technical and Supervisory Grade 'C' contrary to JBCCI cadre scheme and past practices is justified."

Consequently the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, ७ जून, २००५ 🗔

का.आ. 2366. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-I के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 15/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/235/99-आई. आर.(सी-I)] एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2366.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 15/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-I now as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-20012/235/99-IR (C-I)] N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference U/s. 10(1)(d)(2A) of the I. D. Act

Reference No. 15 of 2000

Parties: Employers in relation to the management of Mudidih Colliery of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

Present: Shri S. Prasad, Presiding Officer

Appearances:

For the Employers

None.

For the Workman

: None.

State: Jharkhand

Industry: Coal

Dated, the 20th May, 2005.

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/235/99-IR (C-I) dated, 20-12-99 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of Mudidih Colliery of M/s. BCCL in not regularising S/Sri Shambhu Nath Rabidas and Akhileshwar Mahato, M/Loader in accordance with statement dated 21-7-95 is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled?"

- 2. This reference was received in this Tribunal on 6-1-2000. But till 18-5-2005 no written statement has been filed on behalf of the workmen though several opportunities were granted to the workmen. It, therefore, appears that neither the concerned workmen nor the sponsoring union are interested to contest the reference case.
- In such circumstances, I render a 'No Dispute' Award in the present reference case.

S. PRASAD, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2367 — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की थारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मै. शाम एविएशन प्रा. लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नई दिल्ली-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 16/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-6-2005 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11012/121/99-आई. आर.(सी-!)]

एन. पी. केशवन, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2367.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 16/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, New Delhi-II now as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Sham Aviation (P) Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 3-6-2005.

[No. L-11012/121/99-IR (C-D)]

N. P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. RAI

I.D. No. 16/2000

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sh. Arvind Kumar Chaudhary, F-350, Gali No. 23, Sadh Nagar, Part-II, Palam Colony, New Delhi-110045.

VERSUS

M/s. Sam Aviation (P) Ltd.. Jaydeep Meer Chandani, Salatpur State. 15 No., Form House, Near Andhariya More, Maheroli, New Delhi.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-11012/ 121/99-IR (C-1) Central Government Dt. 04-02-2000 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder:-

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Managing Director M/s. Sam Aviation (P) Ltd., Room No. 15, Hotel Janpath. New Delhi-110001 in termination the services of Sh. Arvind Kumar Chaudhary, Cargo Supervisor w.e.f. 1-3-99 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

The workman has filed statement of claim. In his statement of claim, it has been stated that the workman applied for the post of supervisor in the respondent establishment on 02-11-1995. On the basis of the application, the management appointed him as Cargo Supervisor with effect from 17-11-1995 at a monthly wages of Rs. 3,000 per month. A copy of the

appointment letter issued by the management to the workman is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/1. The workman's last drawn monthly wages were Rs. 3,744/-. A copy of the salary slip for the month of January, 1998 is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/2.

That the workman used to do his job sincerely and honestly. It is submitted that for discharging the responsibilities of the job, the workman used to enter Airport and the management required to provide entry pass to the workman. The workman seeks the permission of the Hon'ble Court to place the following documents on record to prove his case. A copy of the letter dt. 02-12-1995 issued by the respondent management to the Security Manager, Airports Authority of India is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/3. The photo-copy of the attendance record of January, 1996 is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/4. The photo-copy of the temporary passes issued to the workman are collectively placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/5. The photo-copy of the permanent passes issued to workman at different periods are collectively placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/6. The photo-copy of the duty rosters pertaining to different periods are placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/7. The photo-copy of the salary register pertaining to November, 1996, December, 1996 and February, 1997 are placed herewith collectively and marked as Exb. WW 1/8. That the workman's Incharge wrote a letter dt. 30-04-1997 to the General Manager of the Respondent establishment recommending that the workman's salary required to be enhanced from Rs. 3,200 to Rs. 4,200. A copy of the letter dt. 30-04-1997 from Sh. A.K. Rai to the General Manager of the respondent is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/9.

That all of a sudden, the respondent management served to the workman a suspension letter dt. 20-12-1998. A copy of the suspension letter dt. 20-12-1998 is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/10. That the respondent management also issued similar suspension letters to 5 other employees. That the management has pressurized all the suspended employees to tender their resignations. The other five employees succumbed to the pressure and surrendered their resignations without their own will. But the claimant workman refused to sign resignation letter and requested the management to continue him in the service.

That the respondent management sent a letter dt. 22-02-1999 to the workman asking him to meet the Senior General Manager in connection with his suspension. A copy of the letter dt. 22-02-1999 from the management to the workman is placed herewith and marked as Exb. WW 1/11. When the workman met the Senior General Manager of the respondent establishment in response to above letter,

the workman was pressurized to surrender his resignation letter and take away 20 days salary pertaining to month of December, 1998. The workman refused to accept the demand of the management. Then, the Senior General Manager misbehaved with the workman. The workman then sent a letter dt. 04-03-1999 under certificate of post to bring these matters on the record. A copy of the letter dt. 04-03-1999 written by the workman to the Senior General Manager alongwith postal receipt is placed herewith collectively and marked as Exb. WW 1/12.

As the respondent management failed to pay 20 days salary for the month of December, 98 and also subsistence allowance during the suspension period, the workman filed a statement of claim before the Hon'ble Assistant Labour Commissioner praying to issue the director to the management to pay the workman his 20 days salary and also subsistence allowance and conduct his departmental enquiry expeditiously by following principles of natural justice.

That the workman has been suspended illegally and unjustifiedly. That the management has not served any charge sheet on the workman. That the management has not conducted any department enquiry against the workman and principles of natural justice are violated. That the management has not served any termination letter and only by reply informed before the Hon'ble Assistant Labour Commissioner (C) that the services of the workman have been terminated. That the management has not paid 20 days salary pertaining to December, 1998 and also has not paid subsistence allowance during the suspension period. That the termination of workman is illegal and unjustified and liable to be set aside and workman is entitled to be reinstated with full back wages and continuity of service. The workman is unemployed since 20th December, 1998. Dispite his best efforts he could not get any alternative employment.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been stated that the statement of claim filed on behalf of the claimant is liable to be dismissed as the claimant is not covered under the difinition of 'Workman' as per Sec. 2(s) of the I.D. Act. It is submitted that the claimant was working as 'Supervisor'. The claimant was working in a supervisory capacity and the claimant was drawing a salary of more than Rs. 1600. Thus the claimant is not covered under the definition of 'Workman' as given in Sec. 2(s) of the I.D. Act. On this ground the claim of the claimant is liable to be dismissed as not maintainable. That the reference has not been made by the appropriate Govt. The reference has been made by the Central Govt. which is not the appropriate Govt. in the present case. It is submitted that the management does not own and carry an air transport service. The management simply books the cargo and gets them sent to different countries through Aeroplanes owned by different companies.

That the statement of claim filed by the claiment is not in accordance with the well established practices. The statement of claim looks like an affidavit as the present statement of claim contains a number of documents which have been exhibited without oath. The statement of claim should contain the simple facts and same are to be proved later on oath by way of evidence. The claaaimant, therefore, be directed to file a proper statement of claim. That the reference has been made without application of the mind. the claimant had claimant his 20 days' salary for the month of December, 1998 and suspension allowance in his statement of claim filed before the Conciliation Officer, but the terms of reference speak of termination, thus, the reference has been made without application of the mind. It is submitted that the claimant filed a statement of claim U/S. 2(k) of the I.D. Act before the conciliation officer for wages and suspension allowance, but reference has been made of termination U/S 2-A of the I.D. act whereas there was no statement of claim filed by the claimant against the alleged termination, hence the reference is liable to be struck down.

That there is no termination on the part of the management. It is submitted that the claimant was suspended vide letter dt. 20-12-1998 for various misconducts including drinking with order employees during duty hours. It is submited that the management sent various letters and messages at the last known official address of the claimant as the whereabouts of the claimant were not known till notice was received from the office of the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) in the month of May, 1999. The claimant did not contact the management nor sent any letter to the management during this period. From the conduct of the claimant, it is manifest that the claimant had abandoned his job. It is further submitted other employees who were also suspended alongwith the claimant had already resigned and got their dues.

The workman applicant has filed rejoinder. In this rejoinder he has reiterated the averments of his claim statement and has denied most of the paras of the written statement, the management has also denied most of the paras of the claim statement. The workman has filed affidavit. He has not been cross-examined by the management. The management was not present to argue the case. The workman applicant has been present all along. The management was also present previously and notice has been sent to the management but the management has not appeared to cross-examine the workman.

The case of the workman applicant is that he was appointed to the post of supervisor in the respondent's establishment on 02-11-1995. He was appointed as Cargo supervisor w.e.f. 17-11-1995 at a monthly wage of Rs. 3000 per month. The workman was provided Identity Card and temporary pass. The workman was suspended on 20-12-1998, the other five persons appointed with him were

also suspended. The other workmen were reinstated later on but this workman was not reinstated.

The management in the written statement has taken the plea that the petitioner is not a workman as per section 2 (s) of ID Act. He was working as a supervisor. He was working in a supervisory capacity and he was drawing salary more than Rs. 1,600 so he is not covered under definition as given in 2 (s) of the act. It has been further stated by the management in the written statement that he was suspended on various grounds of misconduct and the management sent him several letters but he was not known. The claimant did not contact the management. The claimant has abandoned his job.

It was submitted from the side of the management that no doubt he was Cargo supervisor and his salary was Rs. 3000 but he supervised Cargo only. He was not a supervisor of the other workman working under him. No workman worked under him. He was rather a watchman or Guard of the Cargo. He took Cargo from one place to another so he was not a supervisor in the real sense who supervises the activities of the others but this workman did not supervise the duties of others who worked under him and in fact none worked under him so he was not a supervisor in the oral sense as provided in the definition 2 (s) of the ID Act. He was a Cargo Guard or watchman and he got Cargo carried as required by the management so he was not a supervisor.

It was further submitted that the management has admitted that he was suspended owing to his misconduct i.e. drinking with other employees. He has abandoned his job. The management ought to have proved the allegations levelled against the workman applicant but no inquiry has been held. The workman has come simply for raising dispute so it cannot be said that he has abandoned the job. The action of the management is not just. The workman aplicant is entitled to be reinstated with 50% back wages.

The reference is replied thus:—

The action of the Managing Director, M/s. Sam Aviation (P) Ltd., Room No. 15, Hotel Janpath, New Delhi-.110001 in terminating the services of Shri Arvind Kumar Choudhary, Cargo supervisor w.e.f. 01-03-1999 is neither just nor legal.

The workman applicant is reinstated to the post of Cargo supervisor w.e.f. 01-03-1999 with 50% back wages. The management is directed to reinstate the workman as directed within one month from the date of publication of the Award. In case of default the workman applicant will be entitled to get 10% interest on his entire back wages.

The Award is given accordingly.

Dated; 25-05-2005

R.N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 7 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2368.— कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 16 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, यह मत होने के कारण कि सोसाइटी पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1860 (1860 का 21) के अंतर्गत पंजीकृत कितपय स्थापनाओं की परिस्थितियों के मद्दे नजर अथवा फिलहाल लागू किसी अन्य तदनुरूप निगम के अंतर्गत ऐसा करना जरूरी तथा समीचीन है, एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित श्रेणी के प्रतिष्ठानों को 1 अप्रैल, 2005 से 31 मार्च, 2010 तक की अवधि तक उक्त अधिनियम के प्रचालन से छूट प्रदान करती है,—

- (क) जिनका वित्तपोषण पूर्णतः केन्द्र सरकार, अथवा किसी राज्य सरकार अथवा राज्य सरकारों अथवा आंशिक रूप से केन्द्रीय सरकार तथा आंशिक रूप से एक अथवा अधिक राज्य सरकारों से प्राप्त सहायता अनुदान से होता हो बशर्ते कि सहायता अनुदान में भविष्य निधि के नियोक्ताओं के अंशदान के संबंध में नियोक्ता की देयताओं को पूस करने के प्रयोजन से कोई राशि शामिल न हो;
- (ख) जो लोक धर्म अथवा दातव्य न्यासों अथवा अक्षयनिधियों (मठों, मन्दिरों, गुरूद्वारों, वक्फों, चर्चों, यहूदी सभाधरों, एजीरियों अथवा सार्वजनिक धार्मिक अन्य पूजा स्थलों) अथवा सोसाइटियों अथवा धार्मिक न्यासों अथवा दातव्य अथवा अन्य सार्वजनिक प्रयोजनार्थ न्यासों द्वारा चलाए जाते हों और केन्द्र सरकार द्वारा आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) के अंतर्गत उपर्युक्त रूप में अधिस्चित किए गए हों,

बशतें कि ऐसी श्रेणी के प्रतिष्ठान कोई विश्वविद्यालय, कोई कॉलेज, कोई विद्यालय, कोई वैज्ञानिक संस्थान, कोई ऐसा संस्थान चलाते हों जिसमें छात्रों से कोई प्रभार अथवा शुल्क लेकर अनुसंधान, शिक्षा जानकारी अथवा प्रशिक्षण प्रदान किया जाता हो अथवा वे कोई अस्पताल-नर्सिंग होम अथवा क्लोनिक चलाते हों जिसमें रोगियों से कोई प्रभार अथवा शुल्क लेकर चिकित्सा उपचार या प्रक्रिया की जाती हो, तो ऐसे कार्यकलाप को प्रथम उल्लिखित अधिनिमम के प्रचालन से छूट नहीं दी जाएगी:

बशर्ते कि सरकार जब कभी उचित समझे हूँ को रह करने तथा/अथवा आशोधित करने का अधिकार सुरक्षित रखती है।

[फा. सं. एस-35014/3/02-एस एस-II]

संयुक्ता राय, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 7th June, 2005

S.O. 2368.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (2) of Section 16 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) the Central Government, being of opinion that having regard to the circumstances of certain establishements registered under the Societies Registration

Act, 1860 (21 of 1860), or under any other corresponding law for the time being in force it is necessary and expedient so to do, hereby exempts the following class of establishments from the operation of the said Act for a period up to the 31st March, 2010 with effect from the 1st April, 2005,:—

- (a) those being wholly financed by the grants-inaid received from the Central Government, or
 any State Government or State Governments
 or partly by the Central Government and partly
 by one or more State Governments subject to
 the condition that grants-in-aid does not
 include any amount for the purpose of meeting
 the liability of the employer towards the
 employers' contribution to the provident fund;
 or
- (b) those being run by public, religious or charitable trusts or endownments (including maths, temples, gurudwaras, wakfs, churches, synagogues, agiaries or other places of public religious worship) of societies and Trusts for religious or charitable or other public purposes and notified as such as by the Central Government under the Income Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961):

Provided that if such class of establishments run any university, any college, any school, any soientific institution, any institution in which research, education, imparting knowledge or training is carried on against charges of fees from the students, or run any hospital, nursing home or clinic in which any medical treatment or procedure is carried on against charges or fees from the patients, such activity shall not be exempted from the operation of the first mentioned Act:

Provided that the Government reserves the right to revoke and/or modify the exemption as and when it is deemed fit.

[F. No. S-35014/3/02-SS-II] SANJUKTA RAY, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2369.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 जुलाई, 2005 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है के उपबन्ध असम के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

"डिगबोई क्षेत्र के मोजा-माकुम, जिला तिनसुकिया के अन्तर्गत आने वाले डिगबोई राजस्व सर्किल के अधीन राजस्व ग्राम-बापापुंग, मूलियाबारी गोलाई नम्बर 1, 2 और 3 एवं बोरबिल संख्या 1, 2 और 3।''

> [संख्या एस-38013/25/2005-एस.एस.-]] के.सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 17th June, 2005

S.O. 2369.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st July, 2005 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except Sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Assam namely:—

"Areas under Digboi falls within Digboi Town Committe incuding Revenue Villages-Bapapung Village, Mullabari, Golai Nos. 1, 2 and 3, Borbil Nos. 1, 2 & 3 in the Digboi area under Mouza-Makum in the District Tinsukia."

[No. S-38013/25/2005-S.S. I] K.C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 17 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2370.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 जुलाई, 2005 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय-5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध केरल के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात,

"जिला कण्णुर में तलिपरंबु तालुक में चुपलि क्षेत्र।"

[संख्या एस-38013/26/2005-एस.एस.-1] के. सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 17th June, 2005

S.O. 2370.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st July, 2005 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77. 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Kerala namely:—

"Chuzhali in Taliparambu Taluk in Kannur District."

[No. S-38013/26/2005-S.S. I]

K. C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2371.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 जुलाई, 2005 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले की प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध केरल के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

"जिला एरनाकुलम के चेनयान्तूर में चेरानल्लूर क्षेत्र।"

[संख्या एस-38013/28/2005-एस.एस.-1]

के. सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2371.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st July, 2005 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except Sub-Section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Kerala namely:—

"Cheranallur in Kanayannur Taluk in Ernakulam District."

[No. S-38013/28/2005-S.S. I]

K. C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2372.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा-1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 जुलाई, 2005 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 (धारा-76 की उपधारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध केरल के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्,

''जिला तथा तालुक कोट्टयम में ओणमतुरूत क्षेत्र।''

[संख्या एस-38013/29/2005-एस.एस.-1]

के. सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2372.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st July, 2005 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters

V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Kerala namely:—

"Onamthuruth in Kottayam Taluk & District."

[No. S-38013/29/2005-S.S. I]

K. C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2373.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 जुलाई, 2005 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 (धारा 76 की उप-धारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है) के उपबन्ध केरल के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात्:—

''जिला तथा तालुक कोट्टयम में अकालकुन्नम क्षेत्र।''

[संख्या एस-38013/27/2005-एस.एस.-I]

के. सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st June, 2005

S.O. 2373.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employee's State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st July, 2005 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapters V and VI (except sub-section (1) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Kerala namely:—

"Akalakunnam in Kottayam Taluk & District."

[No. S-38013/27/2005-S.S. I]

K. C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 27 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2374.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 303 दिनांक 11-1-2005 द्वारा खनिज तेल (कच्चा तेल), मोटर और विमानन, स्पिरिट, डीजल तेल, मिट्टी का तेल, ईंधन तेल, विविधा हाइड्रोकार्बन तेल और उनके मिश्रण जिनमें सिंथेटिक तेल और इसी प्रकार के तेल शामिल हैं, के निर्माण या उत्पादन में लगे उद्योग में सेवाओं में है जो कि औद्यागिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्ट 26 में शामिल हैं, को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 16-1-2005 से छः मास की कालाविध के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छ. मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है;

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के परंतुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 16-7-2005 से छः मास की कालाविध के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. संख्या एस-11017/6/97-आई.आर. (पी. एल.)] जे. पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th June, 2005

S.O. 2374.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so requires that in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of the clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 303 dated 11-1-2005 the services in Industry engaged in manufacture or production of mineral oil (crude oil), motor and aviation spirit, diesel oil, kerosene oil, fuel oil, diverse hydrocarbon oils and their blends incuding synthetic fuels, Lubricating oils and the like which is covered by item 26 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 16th January, 2005.

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 16th July, 2005.

[F. No. S-11017/6/97-IR(PL)] J. P. PATI, Jt. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2375.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट है कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित है कि भारतीय खाद्य निगम में सेवाओं को जिसे औद्यागिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्ट 6 के अन्तर्गत निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवाएं घोषित किया जाना चाहिए।

अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (६) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए तत्काल प्रभाव से छः मास की कालाविध के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. संख्या एस-11017/5/91-आई.आर. (पी. एल.)] जे. पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव New Delhi, the 28th June, 2005

S.O. 2375.—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the public interest so requires that the services in the Food Corporation of India which is covered by item 6 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), should be declared to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares with immediate effect the said industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act for a period of six months.

[F. No. S-11017/5/91-IR(PL)]

J. P. PATI, Jt. Secv.

नई दिल्ली, 28 जून, 2005

का.आ. 2376.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट हो जाने पर कि लोक-हित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के उपबंधों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का.आ. 302 दिनांक 10-1-2005 द्वारा बैंक नोट मुद्रणालय, देवास (म.प्र.) जो कि औद्यागिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्ट 22 में शामिल है, को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 10-1-2005 से छः मास की कालाविध के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था।

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालाविध को छ. मास की और कालाविध के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है: अतः अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (vi) के परंतुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए दिनांक 10-7-2005 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा. संख्या एस-11017/4/97-आई.आर. (पी. एल.)]

जे. पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 28th June, 2005

S.O. 2376.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so requires that in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of the clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour S.O. No. 302 dated 10-1-2005 the service in Bank Note Press, Dewas which is covered by item 22 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 10th January, 2005;

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 10th July, 2005.

[F. No. S-11017/4/97-IR(PL)] J. P. PATI, Jt. Secy.